

ATTACHMENT A - Lake Monona Waterfront Design Challenge  
Public Online Survey Results, Write-In Responses

<b>Q2</b>	<b>Which plan best understands and responds to the priorities of Madison residents? Please provide comments on your ranking.</b>
Comment #	Q2 Responses
1	All 3 firms listened to Madison responses, but Sasaki did so in real time.
2	I really like an increased green space. I thought the James Corner field operations also did that, and I liked the inclusion of a boat house and the depiction and specificity of natural shoreline. The Sasaki proposal did a beautiful job, emphasizing the environmental impact, and would also be wonderful, but I would've like to see them do more to connect Lake Monona with the downtown beyond just pathways.
3	I think all three did a nice job of analyzing what Madisonians are looking for. I just think the James Corner proposal is more designed for residents than tourists.
4	I love Saski's take on the waterfront restoration. They seem to really understand the idea of making the lake healthier while still making a nice place for people to enjoy. Agency seemed more about making it look nice and that's great but making the lake healthy should be the priority.
5	I like Sasaki plan if only it could include the green paths that go through the city that lead to the water in James corner field plan
6	I prefer the nature based approach of the James Corner Field design plan
7	Developing in Olin Park would be an ecological tragedy.
8	The lake itself has been in pretty terrible for a while--every summer the algae takes over and the smell of dead rotting fish overwhelms the senses, so any project really prioritizing the health of the lake and taking steps to improve it from an environmental standpoint is first in my mind.
9	Don't want anything to change the lake. Any design should on be done on existing land. Need to preserve the lake.
10	All are good, I think Sasaki does a great job of blending function with a feel that makes Madison seem ahead of the times.
11	I like how there's better space to take in the skyline from Olin, felt like it had something for everyone and that the design would age well (James Corner). Agency Landscapoe provided a nice space near the capital and recognized the asphalt as bad, but needed more to do in the space imagined. Sasaki storytelling feels dated.
12	Fringe wetland & boardwalk, fishing pier, canopy walk, nature center.
13	What is best for Madison residents is a commute to and from neighborhoods that is respective of the speed and mode of transportation that they need. I support the proposed mix of event space that supports gatherings and of downtown residents because it is available to them any season.
14	Sasaki offers a lot with Native voices, families, and disability accessibility at the forefront.

15	Bicycle commuting along the lake shore, walking and fishing are the key uses of the Monona shoreline and should be retained. The Sasaki plan seems to retain these functions better without going overboard. The beach in the Sasaki plan seems doable and very popular. More parking may be needed. The Sasaki plan seems to create less costly and unnecessary structural changes. The Agency plan has bike paths designed that have very tight turns, are built out over the lake and don't allow for going both directions by bike. Agency's Monona Hill design is way too formal, too much turf grass and doesn't fit with the rest of their plan.
16	Sasaki represents how many people will actually be drawn to the lake, not just the eco-egalitarians. Real true current day living. A vibrant community of many citizens. JCFO is best at naturalizing the shoreline.
17	Bicycle commuting along the lake shore, walking and fishing are the key uses of the Monona shoreline and should be retained. The Sasaki plan seems to retain these functions better without going overboard. The beach in the Sasaki plan seems doable and very popular. More parking may be needed. The Sasaki plan seems to create less costly and unnecessary structural changes. The Agency plan has bike paths designed that have very tight turns, are built out over the lake and don't allow for going both directions by bike. Agency's Monona Hill design is way too formal, too much turf grass and doesn't fit with the rest of their plan.
18	This was the most scenic. We don't need another beach.
19	I want to keep all lanes of traffic. Period.
20	The Monona Hill idea from Agency is really good, I also like the natural/ecological elements from Sasaki
21	Sasaki has the best variety of activities. Playgrounds for kids and a lovely canopy walk. I do really like the kayak cove from James Corner also. Agency's design doesn't offer as much variety.
22	The Sasaki plan addresses all my concerns about the lakefront the best. I'm a jogger and while I have no problem with the fishers, I would love for them to have a dedicated space for this away from where I am running. I'm excited about a dedicated running path. I think it does the best in both addressing the environmental concerns of the lake as well as its history and respecting that. Based on their presentation I trust that no matter what final decisions are made, they have the best intentions for the lakes, the wildlife, and the community.
23	The James Corner Field Operations proposal contains too many elements that won't survive the reality of Wisconsin winters and the winds on the water.
24	Hard to rank as they all address multiple uses for the lakefront, while making the lake healthier
25	Like ALP's minimalist and green green green design best, like JCFO's Olin best but otherwise too much concrete.
26	I prefer that the Agency design focuses more on green open space rather than thing space. The JCFO interpretation of Olin Park does the most for the Bay Creek area, which I think deserves a little more attention.

27	Agency has the better design. The lakefront is open to redesign. The current situation was made by people before us. The other designs seem to keep the basic layout with more concrete. There is not an erosion problem here. We don't need more concrete.
28	Liked the overall ideas presented and the way it was organized into four phases.
29	The James Corner Field Operations project better integrates the lakeshore into the urban fabric and makes it more accessible, while at the same time enhancing its natural character.
30	These were three strong plans. I loved the wilding of the lakeshore and the many community spaces in the James Corner Field Operations plan. I also really liked that they were tapping into such a broad range of experts.
31	My priority is feeling more connected with nature, which I feel the Agency Landscape plan does well.
32	Lots of green space.
33	Hard to choose. Like the green bridge of JCFO, the design aesthetic of AL+P and the Ecology and historical respect of Sas
34	Saski acknowledged people and the environment in a way I want to live and have my city represented.
35	I felt Sasaki had a strong foundation, great principles yet could best incorporate other design concepts from other plans. I like Agencies grand vision.
36	I like the ethics of Sasaki but the beech and green space of agency. I think think the olin park design in Sasaki is the best
37	The agency landscape and planning plan is a beautiful concept with an environmental focus. Both of the other concepts are great for Madison but not necessarily important nor should they be priorities.
38	The Agency plan is absolutely the boldest and most wonderful. Madison deserves something so grand. I love how much green space it will create all around Monona Terrace. I think FLW would be proud to see it evolve.
39	i like the role sasaki tie to the earth & the overall aesthetic
40	I think the residents of Madison understand the importance of honoring the history of lake Monona, as well as its function and ecosystem services. The isthmus of Madison is a very unique environment and I see Sasaki as the best way to honor this special area. I believe Madison residents would get the most out of the recreational and ecological opportunities that Sasaki will create.
41	our priorities were around habitat, waterfront protection and history, so that's how we chose rankings
42	I appreciated the James Corner attention to wild and natural spaces, social spaces, designs for distinct-use paths and more.
43	I like that Saski focused on the Monona waterfront the most which will allow the most accessibility for downtown residents and allows easy access to our beautiful downtown for all who visit. I also loved the emphasis on how it will allow wildlife to thrive within and around it.
44	Sasaki is the only plan that recognizes on and in the lake activities such as sailing and paddling as important lake uses, contrasted with merely looking at and walking neat it.

45	<p>The Agency plan stands out because it reclaims the most amount of green space for Madison residents, creates safe and convenient access to the lakefront, and aims to realize the cultural and ecological potential of the area.</p> <p>JCFO's plan would still reclaim a significant amount of green space, but has less access points and more apparent emphasis on creating new environments such as sandy beaches that may not line up as well with how residents actually use the lake. The Sasaki plan seems to have the least green space, opting instead for more built environments along the lake front. All the plans seem to recognize the need for traffic calming on John Nolen Drive and safe, separated paths for bikers and pedestrians.</p>
46	<p>Lane reduction and adding boulevards to JND is a great way to reduce car noise and make the lakefront more accessible.</p>
47	<p>The separation of walking and bike paths is over it my biggest preferences across all designs, and the elevated walkway is a really neat concept that would be unique and modern for the city. I do love the layout of the Monica terrace from the James corner Design.</p>
48	<p>Saskia has the most interesting ideas</p>
49	<p>I feel the addition of all the greenspace and protecting the lakes are my top priority. We visited the Biosphere in Montreal and the Sasaki design seems to incorporate some of the future problem solving outlined there.</p>
50	<p>Sasaki's plan would elevate the community and draw in tourist. It addresses many interests. It would be nice to add a floating park on the beach for families.</p>
51	<p>I really want a beach. I also run a lot, so I value the comprehensive trail design.</p>
52	<p>Love the sled hill! Sasaki has the least amount of piers and walkways extending over and into the water ...</p>
53	<p>Loved the Wild Lakeshore concept. It is responsive to both our past and future! Agency adds more land through building out the John Nolan causeway. This will help make the area feel less like a place to just pass through. Which plan makes this area a "destination" is what we asked ourselves in rating this.</p>
54	<p>Sasaki does the best at honoring history/culture and welcoming all people.</p>
55	<p>Sasaki seems to have greater focus on environmental protection of the lake, and multi-seasonal use.</p>
56	<p>Agency offers and opportunity to cover portions of John Nolan with green space. Traffic in that area is loud and this would increase the viability of using the shoreline.</p>

57	<p>The ego and hubris of the head of JCFO was immediately apparent. He jetted in the day of the final presentations, called Madison a "little town", showed a photo he took of Lake *Mendota* from Observatory Drive, called John Nolen Drive "Nolen", and called us "Wisconsinians". He projected a strong attitude of superiority and appeared to think we should be honored by his presence. I doubt he and his firm would be responsive to Madisonians' desires or understand our values and pride we already have in our city.</p> <p>Agency, on the other hand, gave a clever final presentation that was down-to-earth and in which they didn't take themselves too seriously, just like most Madisonians. Multiple representatives I spoke to at the boards outside the final presentation were friendly, respectful of feedback, and had done their homework. They appeared to be the best fit for Madison to work with.</p>
58	More walking paths, more access, better for full year-round activities, integrated into the city
59	<p>Like the green space over and either side of Monona terrace. Like the Olin park boardwalk style design with more interaction of the water and shoreline.</p> <p>All plans are excellent. Tough to choose.</p>
60	Sasaki voice of the community captures the ethos of our times. Excellent narrative and visuals!
61	I think that the Agency plan is the most cohesive with the City of Madison and Wisconsin's Capitol vibes. I think that it adds beauty, incorporates natural elements, and isn't over designed. I think the James Corner one captured John Nolen's original plan, but I think the Agency plan is a more reasonable take.
62	All three plans are excellent, but I feel that the Corner design strikes the best balance between creating green spaces and "re-wilding" the lake and providing new public amenities that Madison residents will actually use.
63	I like the concepts for covering John Nolen in Agency and Sasaki, but not sure that's feasible. Corner has the most comprehensive plan for recreating a more natural shoreline and marrying it to recreation. I like that all 3 separate the bikers and walkers. As someone who lives in the BayCreek neighborhood I both bike commute and walk for recreation on that trail and it definitely needs the different modalities to have their own space.
64	Madison is a hybrid of technology and love for nature. The JCFO design seems the most in line with both views.
65	Sasaki includes a boat house. Providing some sort of boat parking would allow more people to access downtown madison. The Agency plan includes a big circle dock by Olin. I think that will fill up with seaweed and be difficult to manage.
66	All three seemed to understand the culture of Madison. I found the first two easier to follow and clearer in concept.
67	Did not like James corner
68	I thought that the plan presented by James Corner Field Operations looked the neatest and most straightforward, while also looking pretty and having plenty of greenspace. I wasn't particularly fond of the odd-looking, winding wooden boardwalks presented by Agency Landscape.

69	<p>The Sasaki plan is the only one that (realistically) leaves existing buildings in place. [full disclosure, I live in one of the buildings that the other two plans wipe out for the sake of symmetry.] While I do not really like their solution to access, I appreciate that they are not raising the price by requiring the city to buy the buildings.</p> <p>The Agency plan is extremely ambitious, and probably unrealistically so. It is hard to imagine how it would fare in the piecemeal implementation such plans are likely to get when faced with budget realities.</p>
70	Plenty of greenery and public spaces are well incorporated in this design.
71	Sasaki is by far the best and most modern approach
72	Great focus on green space and gathering space, I'm addition to improved traveling routes
73	Agency's has a nice nature path, but it's still primarily a path. We have that now. Sasaki really pulls in activity & community engagement while keeping a nature feel.
74	Agency Landscape and Planning felt the most complete and well rounded to me. The Southside's Lakefront resonated with me. We need this plan to reach into the southside and connect it to the lake and downtown.
75	Sasaki hits several needs of those that use and want to use the water
76	I think this plan is the most environmentally friendly and will really focus on restoring the lakeshore
77	The Sasaki plan speaks to the interests of multiple resident groups, especially the indigenous peoples and environmentalists. The boat house area provides the greatest improvement to the Law Park area of the plan and addresses many entertainment needs. This was also the only plan I saw that allotted space for the current sailboat pier to relocate.
78	Compliments downtown
79	All 3 would be a huge improvement, but Sasaki' submission stood out to me as the most comprehensive.
80	The boardwalk seems unnecessarily expensive. I'm all for more green space and beaches.
81	I think Agency's emphasis on green space, particularly around Monona Terrace, best understands what the community wants in a redeveloped Monona lakefront. That said, I think James Corner's plans for Olin Park are a better fit for that area than Agency's.
82	Had more info on the lake restoration
83	Of the three options, both Agency and Sasaki would be big improvements. It looks like James Corner Field Operations plan would include too many artificial beaches (which we already have many of).
84	i prefer less cement and more natural design

85	<p>Sasaki:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Favorable: unlike the proposals from Agency Landscape + Planning and James Corner Field Operations, the Sasaki plan does not include demolishing Union Transfer Condos (155 E. Wilson St.); Summit Credit Union; and the proposed hotel at the site of the former Rubin's furniture building.</li> <li>2. Gives people an easier access to Lake Monona.</li> <li>3. Seems to be more environmentally sensitive than the other two plans.</li> <li>4. More financially realistic.</li> <li>5. Monona Hill could be detrimental to the Marina and Union Transfer.</li> </ol> <p>Agency Landscape + Planning:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Problem: King Street continues to Lake Monona and eliminates: Union Transfer Condos (155 E. Wilson St.); Summit Credit Union; and the proposed hotel at the site of the former Rubin's furniture building. Cost to buy out these buildings would be expensive!</li> </ol> <p>James Corner Field Operations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Problem: King St. is extended to Lake Monona and the new King Street Pier. Plan shows "new development" in area occupied by Union Transfer Condos (155 E. Wilson St.); Summit Credit Union; and the proposed hotel at the site of the former Rubin's furniture building. Did City of Madison not instruct the three design</li> </ol>
86	<p>Sasaki and Corner seem to incorporate some more of existing structures while introducing wilder habitat. Agency looks like it eliminates John Nolen completely for cars. How would cars access the city then?</p>
87	<p>Agency got my 1st place vote principally for its plan to bury the concrete around Monona Terrace with parkland. James Comer was 2nd with its naturalistic vision of a wild lakeshore. Sasaki was third because its "Voices of the Waterfront" seemed to misplace the emphasis on ethical use rather than design and its plan wasn't as well fleshed out as the others.</p>
88	<p>I very dissappointed the City of Madison's lack of vision of how they intend to move traffic in the area. Not everyone can or wants to ride a bus. There are too many bottle necks as it is. More emphasis needs to be placed on homelessness and improving travel.</p>
89	<p>Sustainability, linkages to nature and has many pathways between Olin Park and the Convention Center area</p>
90	<p>The amount of quality public lake access for middle and lower class residents has been lacking for a long time</p>

91	The James Corner Field Operations design best reflects the broad range of personalities and activities of the Madison population, from very wild and natural to sensitive structured urban waterfront.
92	The Sasaki plan seems more developed conceptually with links to Ho-Chunk history, nature, and family activities. I do love the the circle-walking promenade of the Agency design. I am intrigued with the swing park of the James Corner design. Might this be swing park for both adults and children where you could look out over the lake and swing? Intriguing.
93	<p>Having biked the Monona Lake Loop at least a thousand times over the past decade, my observations are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Virtually no one wants to swim anywhere in Lake Monona (presumably because of weeds, toxic algae, e-coli, etc.), so any proposal for a beach is misguided.</li> <li>2) Many people fish from the Monona Convention Center overhang, as well as along John Nolen Drive, both from the shore and from boats, so any proposal that increases fishing opportunities (e.g., better fish habitat and some readily-accessible fishing spots) would almost certainly benefit many people.</li> <li>3) People occasionally string hammocks among the trees in Law Park, especially the trees closest to the shoreline, but only a few of these most-desirable spots exist, so a plan that provides more such spots would probably benefit many people.</li> <li>4) By far the greatest number of people who use the space are running or biking along the John Nolen path. Unfortunately, the path is in terrible condition, especially on the bridges. Accordingly, a plan that improves the condition of the path would likely be of greatest value to the greatest number of users.</li> </ol> <p>Instead of seeking a plan that proposes fictional new uses of the Monona shoreline -- many of which seem likely to exist only in the imagination of the architects and unlikely to be actually used (the Sasaki plan stands out for its absurdity) -- I urge Madison Parks to adopt a plan that most closely matches the uses that people already have for the area. With these comments in mind, I believe the JCFO plan most closely fits these criteria...though I would omit the beach it proposes.</p>
94	I think all the plans are pretty even in their understanding of the need to preserve the health and character of the lake and water as much as possible - all other amenities and additions are secondary. All plans also seem to make a point of respecting indigenous populations and the fact that this project is a pretty serious matter of stewardship. I believe the Sasaki plan is the most considerate of maintaining the shape of the lake as much as possible and only restructuring shoreline as necessary.



95	My focus was on how to handle Nolen Ave. I liked the hill side (burying it) over the Blvd version. By creating the hillside, it provides, at least it appears to provide, more green surface area within the immediate downtown area. The Olin park part of the Agency was maybe a bit too ambitious with the looping boardwalks. My concern there was the stagnation of water following seaweed inundating the area. I found the beginning kayaking area of the Olin park area in the other design as unrealistic. It will be a scummy mess of slim.
96	The design features from Blair Street to John Nolen Drive (Including Monona Terrace Rooftop) takes advantage of the full potential of the lakefront
97	Focused on transportation, access and recreation.
98	it is really thought out and they incorporated local voices
99	Boaters need more public docks and access to businesses
100	James Corner Field feels the most people friendly and great for active lives and pets!
101	Sasaki seemed to pay the most attention to what residents want and provide accessibility that means that it can be enjoyed by all residents
102	Sasaki plan is least disruptive and flows best.
103	I think the most important part is to maintain the health of the lake.
104	Bike paths in design effectively allow for faster traffic without colliding with people
105	I like either of the first two, but didn't like the last one.
106	The JCF proposal looks the most inviting for a variety of uses that also expands and beautifies the waterfront.
107	has the most connection to the heritage of this land and water
108	Project reflects a deep understanding of community and context. Plan is at once playful, sustainable, and elegant.
109	Sasaki offers the most diversity for varied public interests.
110	I really think a nice beach in that area would be great.
111	All plans work to better connect downtown to the lake and all work to better utilize Olin Park.
112	Sasaki adds a modern feel to the Madison waterfront but is also a really natural looking experience that looks beautiful in all seasons. Agency has a similar advantage, and James Corner is decent but the other two look more appealing.
113	I like how Sasaki reached out to the community and implement the input into their proposal. Sasaki was the only one to show a robust plan on controlling lake runoff and being had a proactive solutions to stop pollutants from going into the lake.
114	Sasaki seems very environmentally conscious
115	The Sasaki plan is WAY too confusing, and I feel it is too "busy"
116	JCFO: They have separate circulation for bike commuters through Law Park to keep this area linked to the city-wide off-street bike transportation network. They also integrated urban fishing @ Monona Terrace in their design. The other designers did not seem to be aware of the fact that people fish @ MT every single morning. The plan for Hamilton St Pier also reflects Madisonian's penchant for lounging at the lake (see the Terrace, Picnic Point, lakefront parks, etc.).

117	Sasaki prioritizes pedestrian safety in their design and acknowledges the community's history with inclusion of the Ho Chunk people's practices and the board walk. The design also feels more realistic (vs. the circular boardwalk presented in Agency Landscape + Planning for example).
118	<p>The guiding principles of the Agency Framework Plan at one respect the history and Native heritage of the Lake Monona waterfront, and provide a stable and solid foundation for design decision-making, both at the concept level and across the arc of the building process. This framework, in other words, will best serve this project and the good of our people.</p> <p>Moreover, I believe that Agency's commitment to honor and integrate multiple past legacies while staying true to 21st century values of a more equitable, healthy future will best serve the many Madison communities who will utilize the park and waterfront, once work is complete. I am so humbled and impressed by their design and ethos. Please choose Agency!!!</p>
119	Best
120	I felt that the JCFO better understood the need for a green boulevard for safety
121	I appreciate Sasaki's commitment to equity in their proposal. Their proposal provides accessible entertainment for everyone, with a wide variety of options. I also love the winter garden idea -- many spaces in Madison are not specifically designed to be used year round.
122	As someone who frequently bikes from south John Nolen to near the capital, an elevated crossing of Nolen (all plans) and biking path with separated walking/jogging path (Agency,Sasaki) are great to see. The Agency causeway looks very ambitious but would be wonderful to see.
123	I appreciate the redesign of John Nolan in James Corner Field Operations because it is more welcoming into the downtown area and less concrete when driving along that space.
124	The Agency Landscape + Planning design focuses on natural space and restoring wetland ecology to the area along with prioritizing indigenous voices. The Sasaki design also prioritized these, but there design showed less focus on native plant species. Their focus on accessibility for individuals with disabilities should be an important factor in any design considered.
125	The Sasaki project appears to have more piers which could not only provide space to get upon the lake, but for boaters to use it to allow access to downtown Madison.
126	all the plans are good, all try to cram too many things into the same space. Some things can be done better with less expense at other locations. Agency has the best mix and is the most naturalistic.
127	I really like the 3 different paths, the John Nolen path can get quite congested. I also like the beach access to Lake Monona. I do not like the elevated park.
128	I value to commitment to nature restoration from James Corner Field Operations and Sasaki. Both also incorporated a variety of recreational activities into their plans.

129	I ranked in order of which ones I thought had the most offering and going on (activities, paths, etc). Agency seems to offer the most, then Sasaki.
130	Madison residents like myself and my wife want to enjoy the lakefront near Monona Terrace when we are downtown.
131	<p>James Corner wants to reduce traffic on John Nolan by more than 50%, with no plan for how to get those people into and out of downtown. This would be disastrous for Madison. The rest of their plan is fine, but we can't lose one of the major connectors between Madison and the Beltline. I can't imagine the hell that South Park St and Stoughton Rd/East Washington would become under this plan.</p> <p>Agency has a really cool vision for hiding all of the ugliness that currently surrounds Monona Terrace. Such a beautiful structure really deserves more than concrete and pavement around it. I'm not totally sold on the extensive boardwalks, but if done well, and if they provide the benefits Agency predicts, I think it's a very good idea.</p> <p>Sasaki is fine. I neither love it nor hate it.</p>
132	<p>The extensive vision and green space of the agency landscape plan is stunning, thoughtful, and inclusive.</p> <p>Sasaki is a close second because it incorporates less green space, but does so more environmentally focused— benefiting the health of the lake and not just residents.</p> <p>The James corner plan is lacking in what feels like Madison- lacks environmental and green space vision- feels like it's trying too hard to be a west coast city. Which are not (proudly!)</p>
133	Like the comprehensive scope of the design and its focus on people use of the waterfront year around.
134	Sasaki prioritizes the history and the people of Madison, while Agency prioritizes rehabilitating the landscape and allowing cohesive interaction with nature and city.
135	Sasaki best documented their conversations with residents
136	While development into Olin park is nice, having things close to downtown will make downtown even more attractive to future development. The Agency plan doesn't have as much going on downtown (more natural vs. places for events).
137	JCFO provides the most and best options for lakeshore access.
138	More emphasis on environment
139	<p>There were many points that I considered valuable additions to the beautiful waterfront. The expansions along the Monona Terrace, Hamilton St. and Law Park really enhance the overall experience along the waterfront. The semicircle pier from Olin Park is fantastic.</p> <p>The presentation was very easy to understand. I also liked the estimated timeline and costs.</p>

140	Sasaki seemed more limited although the connections through time nicely represented. The Hill of Agency seems interesting but over the top. Corner seemed bold while still honoring city needs and historical context
141	James Corner option blends utility best into the natural environment
142	AL+P seems to provide a great balance of restoring the lake, giving residents access to many ways to use and enjoy the lakefront along with history and preservation as well. I think JCFO's plan does this as well, but better, with more areas for people to gather and socialize and phases that show how it will get done. I think residents ultimately want a lakefront they can use for either enjoying nature or a place to gather outside.
143	Three way tie for me. They all seem to have done a good job listening and responding.
144	Provides the best mix of different water/lake based recreation activities and restoration of natural features.
145	Sasaki really thought of all the elements to make the lake monona waterfront a safe, accessible, and fun place for the city!
146	I like the focus on restoration and easy access to nature
147	I love all the options to have more meeting/ hanging out space to be outside
148	I like the concept provided in #1 and the plan for Olin Park. I like the walk on water feature of #2. I like the beach access and structures of #3.
149	Lakefront porch brings together urban and natural environments with plenty of space for all forms of traffic and users.
150	None of the plan submissions are worth giving a ranking to. The choices above are not my own but what was given me. You should have an option for " I don't like any of the proposed plans" for people to choose. All three plans present a Disney World redo of the lakeshore. Don't like the artificial islands shaped like boat hulls. Don't like the reworking of the shoreline at the boundary between JND and the northern shoreline of Olin Park to create a kayak/canoe course and pretend it will be a great wetland area. Fluctuating lake levels and repeated deposits of lake weeds in this area will quickly make a mess of this concept if implemented.
151	i LIKE THE OVERALL DESIGN AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS
152	Something that environmental safe and culturally supportive
153	James Corner meets a lot of needs of downtown residents - something for everyone. The runners, the walkers, the dogs, the black people. It's natural and functional, but with a flare of modern. The Agency plan doesn't have as many amenities, but still lovely. I don't love the elevated components of the Sasaki or Agency. Too Epic-y.
154	The James Corner appears to offer the most access to residents to the water in multiple ways. I like the walking paths, beach and especially additional of a dog area. We have very little resources for dog owners in a city filled with dogs.
155	Loved how the Sasaki plan considers the ideas of the people of Madison! This scheme felt the most authentic to the city's unique culture and history.
156	Mendota Hill in Agency's plan is AMAZING!

157	Sasaki is providing space for waterfront dining in multiple locations which I value. The nature center would be a great addition to a revitalization of the Olin Park area and I noticed a beer garden out there as well which I value. Sasaki also provides a welcome honoring of our connection to the past and the future at this present moment which I appreciate.
158	I liked both agency and sasaki's plans as both Incorporated active uses like running and bicycling while still providing space for people to sit and simply enjoy the outside.
159	I love the idea of bringing marsh's back to the lake so that the water can have a liver to sift out the toxins from John Nolan Dr. the boardwalk option is fine but to manicured. We need to have more natural areas incorporated. The third option seems out of scope and unrealistic.
160	All three are good, and combining some elements from each would be wise.
161	Sasaki makes the most sense for improving the use of existing space. The other plans build on what already works fairly well. Sasaki would greatly enhance the lake front. Concepts from agency landscape and planning are worth considering though
162	The Sasaki plan provides a diverse landscape and variety of activities, plus it seems like the most self-sustaining option that considers the lake's wildlife the most. The Agency design is great for Madison activities and making the area a focal gathering point.
163	The Field Operations is both elegant and practical.
164	love the natural urban balance and the incorporation of the lake into the city surroundings. Before it was a city built on a lake, now it feels incorporated.
165	Sasaki's plan includes the most relation to wildlife, along with accessibility for all bodies. They show their work in crediting the voices represented in their plans. Agency showed some awareness of this too with wheelchair use depicted. James Corner showed poor or no acknowledgement of mobility challenges or ecosystem impact with their design.
166	Agency has the best comprehensive plan and they build the hill to bring the lakefront up to the level of Wilson, Street and that's brilliant. I also like the boardwalk arching out into the lake from Olin Park. There are excellent ecological features of the second plan that could be incorporated into Agency's plan. I like that the Ho chunk nation was involved in the third plan but nothing really translated well enough for me. I would think that filtering runoff before it gets to the lake could be built into any of the plans, even though it was best emphasized by the third plan.
167	Ranked based on my impression of consideration taken for the actual environment.
168	Sasaki's design is eco-friendly, inclusive, and creative
169	Focuses on the outdoors, on nooks, and new ways to interact with the lake and downtown.
170	Sasaki appears to be very green space oriented.
171	The bike path and fishing pier in front of MT are still together.
172	I didn't see any way to down rank them all, but that is my choice

173	Agency landscape and planning allows a high volume of foot traffic while also encouraging communal gatherings near the lake which I appreciate.
174	I think the Monona sides needs to offer just as much as the Mendota side does. With this plans, I think it'll be able to compete with the union with being able to offer walking/biking paths, food, play area, and an area for all sorts of entertainment
175	Bringing the grade up to the city over the John Nolan tunnel is vital. Getting pedestrians and the bike path safely across John Nolan at either end of the park is vital.
176	I can't seem to get the ranking thing to work. I did like Agency's concept with the water walks, and their proposal seemed like the easiest to understand. I like how Sasaki included first nation perspectives. I like the canoe routes in the James Corner proposal. They're all good.
177	I like the elevated board walks. I didn't like lack of tree diversity in JCFO john Nolan drive. The plan also expanded the road by adding in a tree divider that I didn't like.
178	I really like the idea of a waterfront restaurant and lots of green space. The top two create more usage of the space.
179	Sasaki just shows an appreciation for all of the issues confronting this park on the water. It looks the most "communal" to me and is the most visually unique. I like James Corner's idea to turn John Nolen Blvd into a "green boulevard".
180	Love the Monona Hill connecting downtown to the lake and providing meeting and festival space. Creating usable green space to connect with nature and the lake as well as to have events is brilliant.
181	JCFO has the most community space additions. I think the event and performance lawn would be a huge hit.
182	Option 1 the land flow rendition makes good use. Option 2 infuses multi-use for all ages. Option 3 the postcard rendition hard to image.
183	Really like the idea of turning the 95% grey area of Monona Terrace in to a green space/hill in Agency's plan! Also like the emphasis on environmental concerns in Sasaki's.
184	The layout makes sense for the location and vibe of Madison.
185	Agency best hits on accessibility, waterfront health, and attractiveness.
186	Sasaki's plan allows for the most diverse number of community activities and engagement with the waterfront, and promotes larger-scale community-building activities, like concerts, performances, and the Mad-City Water Ski shows, rather than only individual or small-group enjoyment of the space. Madison is a community-oriented city, as we know from the great success of events like Concerts on the Square, activities like tailgating at Camp Randall, or enjoying large community spaces like Memorial Union.
187	I liked that Sasaki relied on community input heavily and emphasized that in their plans and presentation. I also like the emphasis on a plan that relies heavily on a nature approach. All firms did a good job and seem capable.

188	Sasaki - fine, overall James Corner - The Olin Park area is better (compared to the Agency plan) but the part north of the Monona Terrace looks pretty bad (in my opinion) Agency Landscape - I like the lakeshore redesign, but the part that is supposed to go into the lake at Olin Park looks pretty terrible
189	The most important aspect of this decision must be environmental concern & re-wilding. If we don't take action now, we will lose all ability to enjoy the lake in the future. We must also acknowledge Indigenous ancestral voices who have guided the lake's evolution over thousands of years. We must remember them, make our spaces accessible to all, and decolonize our relationship to land as we re-wild.
190	Saski plan seems to do the best job of enhancement of waterfront while allowing natural water cleaning and recreation
191	James Corner is the best laid out and most usable plan of the 3 with great connection to the downtown environment.
192	Sasaki appears the most realistic to me, and would turn out like it's drawings faster than the others. You know, we don't want to have to wait 30 years for these spaces to look like they do in the drawings. Nor do we want a construction site for 30 years- that's also not inviting!
193	I like the simplicity of the Agency design, less of a "built" look to it, the others involve too much of a "built" environment which I feel detracts from the natural beauty of the lake. The Agency design still offers much for people to enjoy while still preserving the natural beauty.
194	3, 1, 2
195	Sasaki focuses on access and cleaning the lakes at the same time.
196	I think its a good mix of "wild" lakeshore and citizen use
197	James Corner best understands the issues and addresses them with meaningful solutions that can be realized.
198	I like the connection between South Madison and Downtown, but I am concerned about the lack of consideration of a potential expansion of Monona Terrace.
199	I like the piers and large gathering areas that are easily accessible. I think the things James Corner is modeling are the most beautiful of the three options. It prioritizes the health of the lake and bike/pedestrian safety.
200	Covers many different areas: continue water skiing show, restaurant on the lake, more walking paths and bike paths. green space with nature at Olin park
201	Sasaki's plan is the most compelling
202	Please no beach. Something cool would be great but the beach is just gonna get filled with goose poop and algae like the other beaches. Sasaki design feels like it will best fit our city.

203	<p>The agency design best integrates environmental and social aspects of the lakefront. I especially like the boardwalk extending into the lake, the large buffer zone to the shoreline and the green space around Monona terrace.</p> <p>The overhead boardwalk of the Sasaki design will someday look dated early on, will add huge cost and will provide shelter for mischievous activity with little added social or environmental benefit.</p>
204	Thought Sasaki's provided the best amenities and loved the design. Preserved FLW designed Monona Terrace.
205	I like that HoChunk voices (history and present) are highlighted in the project. I wonder if any Hochunk were or will be involved in the actual planning and execution of the plan. That would be vital.
206	Agency understands the culture and needs of Madison. While the others may be meeting the needs of the city, their proposals follow their typical style as a firm rather than fitting to the culture of the city. I believe the designs would stick out and not be cohesive to what makes Madison distinctive.
207	Most plans appear to be roughly similar, so ranking is based primarily on professional presentation and aesthetic differences in the design.
208	While the Agency and JC plans are visually stunning, the Sasaki plan feels to be most thoroughly thought-out and sustainable for water quality, which is one of the biggest challenges with lake activity on Monona.
209	Sasaki seems to be the least destructive to the existing downtown buildings. I scored the James Corner Filed plan the lowest because its design encourages too much plant growth which would be too expensive to maintain.
210	Love the proposals. JCFO naturally integrates the existing waterfront in a gorgeous way.
211	Connecting the itshmus with the lake is key. The Monona hill concept seems best, followed by Sasaki's expansion to Monona Terrace. James corner doesn't really solve the problem, leaving lots of concrete and inaccessible level changes between the Capitol and the lakeshore.
212	Best plan recognizes current uses and maximes natural environment - placement of beaches, sailing pier, waterski show, walking and biking.
213	<p>The Agency Landscape + Planning best prioritizes the most amount of greenspace for the environment and for people to spread out who are typically overcrowded on the capital square.</p> <p>Sasaki is last because of the lack of greenspace surrounding the Monona Terrace.</p>
214	I feel that Sasaki connects us with nature the best of the three
215	The Sasaki design makes so much sense. Bringing in the historic lake front and making it the centerpiece of the lake. I've lived in Madison/ Monona my entire life and I have always wanted the lake to be more
216	I support the designs, except for development within Olin Park. Olin Park is a bird sanctuary, and converting that land into community space would destroy habitat.



217	I live in Sun Prairie so coming into Madison with my young kids for an activity needs to be convenient. The James Corner design seems more accessible from John Nolen Drive and I really like the wooden playground and Law Park beach areas. However, it seems like the Sasaki design took into account the operations of intersections on John Nolen along with making the North Shore and other crossings safer for bikes. It just seemed like that was a little more accessible from above at Monona Terrace where parking might not be as convenient. If parking isn't an issue, then I think both designs are about the same for me. I just prefer the feel of James Corner.
218	Liked the separate walkways for pedestrians and access to the lake.
219	Best understanding of the need to connect people to the lake.
220	Sasaki has a design that serves so many different people of the Madison community. I can see that the students and Madison residents would use this as an amazing way to experience nature in the midst of a beautiful city!
221	While I do really like all three, I feel it's most important to protect nature as we are a city in between waterways and that makes it our responsibility
222	I like the Sasaki design the best, but I really like the trees along John Nolen from Corner.
223	I like how inclusive the Sasaki plan is.
224	Safety connecting community to green space. Loved the elevated boardwalk and attention to water health.
225	I love how Sasaki and James Corner Field prioritized accessibility to the lake, which to me is one of the greatest underutilized assets of Madison. I also love Sasaki's incorporation of a lakeside restaurant — Madison is seriously lacking in lakefront restaurants, and this could be the beginning of shifting towards that trend.
226	I find the beach to be a difficult fit. Tons of sand would need to be brought in and that seems ecological wrong.
227	Sasaki's ideas seem the most creative. Looking to the future, it seems the best. Back in the day I remember seeing Quentin Peters ideas about covering John Nolen Dr with a Park and although it would cost a mint, I do think it's the most forward looking idea as the city is growing
228	Sasaki has the largest vision and scope, encompassing more needs from different communities while more clearly and definitively articulating how it will support ecological and water management needs. More things for more people & creatures!
229	I think Madisonian are sick of ugly development and crave more greenspace and involvement with nature.
230	1, 3, 2 in that order. Agency Landscape Plan expansive and makes great use of natural shoreline and encouraging lots of movement. Sasaki plan attractive in its gathering areas for smaller pockets of people
231	All the plans address the concerns of Madison residents. I like the JCFO plan for the attention paid to separate paths for different modes of travel.
232	Sasaki emphasizes the John Nolan Corridor which needs focus when compared to Olin Park

233	One concerns how do you slow bikers in the agency landscape design where there is tall vegetation along path and lots of people in part that need to cross bike path?
234	While the concept of returning to the natural environment is appealing, I am unconvinced it will be sustainable or successful given the difficulty of managing the very urbanized yahara riverchain as it is. As such a focus on practical human use should be made.
235	I think the James Corners looks like a Madison native made it. I think its simple yet really beautiful.
236	Just has an overall better feel to it, as I am not a fan of urban design and creating more concrete landscapes. And because of winter, it would be better to have food carts than a permanent structure.
237	I love the idea for a boathouse inspired by FLW's designs and the overall vision of SASAKI.
238	Most innovative. Best consideration for local Madison population, the environment, and indigenous voices
239	Having a place for running and hanging out is the way to go
240	I like how the Agency proposal highlights lakefront access from both the south side and downtown.  I like how all of them mention more green space and improving storm water management.  I liked that the agency and Sasaki proposals embraced the Monona terrace, the water ski team and year round fishing access
241	Most variety and options with Sasaki. Can appeal to the masses while looking beautiful.
242	James Corner truly captures the spirit and need of a natural shoreline to encourage recreation in and along Lake Monona.
243	Bike and running lane must be paved and go along lake (not behind the terrace per Agency). John Nolen should not reduce its lanes (per James Corner); it's already awful during rush hour, so we need more lanes, not fewer.
244	Clean water, robust greenspace for the downtown neighborhoods, and superior concealment of the parking monstrosity that dwarfs the beautiful convention center and monona shore. The plan of Agency Landscape + Planning has the capacity to give beyond excellent programmatic space and connectivity to downtown which sorely needs it. This plan also has a very significant amount of natural planting and landscaping that will help clean the water of Lake Monona. Absolutely crucial.
245	They all look good. I liked Sasaki's focus on the traffic calming for JND, although I wished it had plans for a bridge over JND at Northshore and/or Broom for bikes and peds. A life was lost at an intersection there recently and I think that should be a focus. The plan seems to think about the health of the water more than the others.

246	Increasing green space access for all residents, prioritizing water quality, and creating new community gathering spaces are top priorities in my understanding, and I think this ranking best reflects plans that focus on those priorities.
247	#1 and #2 emphasize improving the shoreline through better storm water filtering and other techniques...a wild shoreline. There is also more greenspace, and fewer structures than #3. Madison residents want multiple and diverse places along the lake to access the lake. #1 and #2 do this.
248	I chose Sasaki because I'm most interested in beach/swimming, live entertainment, and places for food. It also feels "connected" more than the others. I rather not see small areas that are disconnected from each other. I'm looking for large and dramatic areas. With Sasaki I want to see a large beach and a larger Amphitheater and Stage. I want to have places were many people can meet at once. If we have small little areas where there is one bench or one small pier, it won't really be useful or exciting. I also love the area over the road that Sasaki created. This is such a wonerful open area. We need this as well.
249	Important to keep the bike trail on long the water. Great use of walkway and outside activities. Need pier access. Even more. Should create gateway to downtown.
250	all need a pier to dock boats to access downtown Madison
251	The focus of amenities near the square is appealing to me. While Olin Park is an area I would love to see expanded, I think in the more immediate future the Sasaki design plan would help revitalize downtown. Additionally, with the announcement of state buildings leaving the square, the sasaki plan could help fill in that gap or bring new business to the area.
252	Sasaki and Agency Landscape and planning seemed to really accommodate all users in Madison. Also, being part of the ski team, these two choices allowed access for continued use by the Mad-City Water Ski Team
253	1 and 2 seem to have the most sophisticated green plans.
254	All videos were great; very exciting!
255	Sasaki's plan did a great job responding to and incorporating the indigenous roots of this land while addressing residents' primary priority of access & places to gather. James Corner Field Operations' plan's simple solution to improving anglers' access and experience in front of the Monona Terrace makes it a close second to Sasaki. Meanwhile, although Agency Landscape + Planning's ideas for bolstering the physical connections and access points between the Lakeside neighborhood and Olin park address a current pain point, many of the rest of their ideas felt ignorant, not the least of which being the creation of artificial effigy mounds in Olin Park.
256	Agency Focuses too much on Olin Park.
257	James Corner looks like it had the most diverse options.
258	Seems to provide the most options to include the largest segment of the population (age, interest, etc.) for all four seasons.
259	I love the "green hill" of the agency submission, its enhancement of wetlands, enhancing bike accessibility with Olin park, and and I think overall the design fits more seamlessly into the city

260	I love the restoration of all the plans. The agency plan looked like it got rid of John Noland drive and as someone who has to cross the isthmus every day this doesn't seem feasible for the city.
261	Love the James Corner proposal- the environmental restoration, the variety of ways for people to enjoy all these beautiful aspects to the lake
262	It's nice
263	I enjoyed all the green space of the Sasaki presentation and the coming together of the community.
264	I especially like the canopy walk feature that is featured in Sasaki offering.
265	I think Agency's option best captures the elegance of simplicity in its design, and fits the vibe of Madison. If green spaces and boardwalks are created for people to enjoy nature (with minimal manmade structures) Madisonians will best be able to reconnect with the outdoors. Sasaki has similar ideas about the waterfront, but includes some structures that I think would become obtrusive as Madisonians enjoy the outdoors. James Corner was ranked lowest because it seems most out of touch with how Madison has traditionally interacted with nature.
266	The James Corner plan best utilizes the relationship between the Capitol, food and nightlife culture, and Lake Monona with the extension of King Street into a pier. This would essentially act as an extension from the university, to the Capitol, to the lake. I think this would be a very pleasing option for Madison residents looking to make a whole loop of the city's downtown culture, whether it is at the farmer's market or at Monona Terrace events. The Sasaki plan also includes a pier, but places it in the northwest corner of Lake Monona, which already has high quality parks, like Brittingham, in Monona Bay. The Sasaki plan does take into account a potential spectator area for Madison's ski teams, which I think was a great touch.
267	Agency landscaping really takes into account all ages and needs
268	Sasaki did a great job of opening with respect and acknowledgment of the Ho-Chunk people and the history of Lake Monona. They also most embraced the natural elements, as well as the winter options.
269	I like the restored marsh at Olin Park. The more we can do to restore our wetlands for the health of our lakes, the better.
270	Agency is GRAND and natural, and the kind of big thinking we need. Sasaki is also great, a close 2nd. James Corner feels too small.
271	I like the emphasis on green space along the lake and rewilding the area.
272	I didn't rank these. I don't know if I can speak for everyone. However, I will say that I think James Corner Field Operations imagery/ some of the design felt like it missed the mark on Madison's culture. Their design was more cosmopolitan than I think Madison feels. That may attract visitors, but it doesn't feel authentic to us.
273	All are great options that I am excited about.
274	I'm guessing most Madisonians will love the idea of rewilding this area. But I would disagree. We need this area to function within the urban context. Rewild the Ice Age Trail, not downtown Madison.

275	Agency's plan solves the problem most thoroughly and integrates the existing nature with the city.
276	I like Agency's plans for the Hill as a connection between downtown and the lakefront.
277	With the Agency, liked the balance of paths and green spaces with the balance of Monona Terrace. With the Sasaki, didn't like the angular bridge where S Hamilton Street meets the lake.
278	Sasaki: Lookout tower is cool for an unobstructed view of downtown. Doesn't impinge on Monona Terrace.
279	The spirit of outdoor gathering feels a similar vibe to the Memorial Union Terrace, and brings the fun in all seasons.
280	Like the 1 option far better than 2&3. In 1, both parks and their inclusivity to walkers, drivers, bikers, beach goers. Hoping there's space to accommodate beach volleyball (like Bradford beach, MKE), live music, and food trucks. Thanks
281	Provided info on actual feedback from residents
282	All presentations address traffic flow, environmental improvements, and increased access. Sasaki presentation is bold and beautiful
283	I really like the design and innovation of the Agency + Landscape + Planning. I am applying this through the lens of runner.
284	This one has the most access and variety of access.
285	Whichever plan is chosen, it absolutely should include focus on keeping our water systems clean and purified as best as possible.
286	I think Sasaki has the best design and a lot of green implementation - but Agency Landscape does a better job of modernizing the concrete jungle that is Monona Terrace.
287	<p>Agency appears to do the best job of expanding space and making use of existing space. They've also done the best job of connecting downtown to the lake in an organic way. The rest funnel pedestrians to bridges or worse, crosswalks.</p> <p>If the automobile infrastructure in this corridor isn't going to be eliminated entirely, a design that doesn't force pedestrians to kowtow to automobiles is preferable. The hill and many grade-separated crossings of the Agency design do the best job of allowing the two to coexist.</p> <p>Centering the Terrace within a new massive greenspace while doing away with ugly parking lots/garages really allows the architecture to stand out and truly transforms the skyline and movement from downtown to the lake. Connecting the Capitol to the Terrace by closing MLK to traffic and creating a linear park would take this over the top!</p> <p>The Sasaki design has many fantastic ideas, but it needs to incorporate more grade-separated crossings to allow the park to truly become part of the city instead of remaining an island in a sea of cars. Bike traffic should be diverted away from the central flow of the park, as the current design negates the use of this as a transportation corridor.</p>

288	Agency plan seems to do the best job connecting downtown to the lake and adding park space. Also making a spectacular park for the south side at Olin. James Corner has plan for improving John Nolen aesthetically and for safety and pleasantness of biking and walking.
289	I felt there are a lot of moving pieces and priorities for this space and I ranked the designs based on how well I felt they balanced those priorities.
290	I love James Corner, it will give the Capital and Causeway a great look and help the ski team as well
291	Agency seemed to have the best pulse on the community and most creative ideas. Sasaki have a bit of an edge up in that they have Cloris Lowe as an advisor (correct?) which helps build connections with the Ho-Chunk community.
292	Develops waterfront area for the needs of all and adds more green and purposeful recreational space for all ages.
293	All three plans would be a big improvement! I like the Agency Landscape + Planning design best because I think it does the best job of keeping the waterfront on one level and making it feel integrated with the downtown.
294	The waterfront of James Conner looks great as well, it seems it is less slippery wood trail which can be challenging for bikers, runners and walkers. Thinking like the bridge/trail in McFarland
295	I liked the fact that the James Corner Field Operations plan reduces the lanes of John Nolan and adds trees to transform is into a green space, rewilds the shoreline, and separates the pedestrian and bike paths. Agency was the easiest to implement, though not as dramatic and did not include many amenities. Sasaki was imaginative but too busy and I did not like the elevated park.
296	Sasaki plan connects the lakefront to downtown very well. I wish the plan included Olin Park south of Lakeside but as it is it's my favorite. Love 'The Cove', changing John Nolen, and the kayak course. So fun. Wish it planned a beach at Olin Park. Big oversight. Current beach is unusable.
297	I really do like the focus on cleaning up the water quality with the Saski design, as well as the greenspace atop JND. The JC Field Operations is also functional and diverse. The last plan presented by Agency Landscape + Planning is the least appealing to me because they are using wooden/composite planks in some areas. Those are not a great option for anyone when wet or throughout the winter. Even if composite, they will get quite slippery for runners, riders and even walkers.
298	I like the Native American emphasis and seasonal landscape plans Sasaki included. I like that the lake water is considered for ecosystem - both plants and fish taken into account. I like the pathways that James Corner Field Operations and the area around Monona Terrace.
299	Don't want to reduce traffic lanes on John Nolen Drive
300	Sasaki serves the community in many ways and the needs of most of the groups already using the shoreline.
301	Like the Sasaki system for keeping our lakes healthy. Also liked the Corner Field wetlands boardwalk.

302	I really like the aesthetics and ideas for a "walk on water" section from Agency Landscape, but Sasaki's focus on nature and the story of the lake sold me. However I do hope some of the focus on city character and prestige from Agency's proposal is considered by Sasaki as well.
303	Sasaki felt more well-rounded in meeting all the needs; whereas, the other designs felt very focused in on a particular feedback. It also felt like Sasaki better utilized and maximized the available spaces.
304	The Agency Landscape + Planning proposal seems the most transformative, balancing the practical utility of the current infrastructure in place with a new liminal landscape accentuating Madison's siting on the Isthmus.
305	Agency's plan seems to best understand the true and urgent need for restoration of the lakeshore as a ecological space. It minimizes hardscape and maximizes a true lakeshore meaning long term health of the lake itself, which IMO is the most important part of this project now and for the long term future.
306	Sasaki's plan included the feedback they received from the community, and looks like an attainable goal. JCFO's plan looks amazing (a little pie in the sky, but go big or go home, am I right).
307	Jcfo plans add the most fresh, contemporary design that does the best job connecting the downtown to the lake and creating definable elements in law park. sasaki plan was preferred for Olin park over others. all similar on the causeway. jcfo had a nice mix of wild lakeshore and fresh spaces.
308	For Agency, I really like the walk on water concept and all the ways that nature is more inclusive in the design. I'm also a huge fan of the terraced seating and stage for the waterski team to perform. Sasaki also has an awesome plan and has a space for the waterski team to thrive. Both allow access for the waterski team to thrive and allow for a continued connection to the city of Madison
309	I liked the thought that Sasaki put into fish habitats below the structures, but not so much the overall design. Not a fan of an enclosed paddling area in Agency Landscape's designs. Enjoyed the varied and wild feel of JCFO's design
310	Agency project looks the least visually interesting as a formal water development. The Sasaki and James Corner proposals create a better linear space while still improving connectivity into the city center.
311	The JCFO design does the best job of creating a green shoreline and providing access for all to enjoy it without becoming fantastical in the vision like the ALP design.
312	Sasaki is the only proposal that preserves the Olin boat launch and sailing pier
313	It will be expensive, but the success of this project is dependent on how connected it is and how people perceive the ease of visiting this area. If it is not well connect, the success will then become dependent on constant programming which is a huge undertaking...
314	The "wild lakeshore" that James Corner Field Operations proposes does the best job of integrating the various needs of the community with an understanding of the importance of restoring and maintaining ecological balance.
315	Places to pull up a boat are important, elevated areas are attractive.
316	It seems to add more usage.

317	I appreciate the emphasis on natural waterfront. However, With both James Corner and Agency Landscaping, having clean large beaches seems very impractical given the geese population and the state of the lakes.
318	As far as connections with the lake, restoration/creation of natural spaces, and a whole host of amenities for "slower" traffic like pedestrians and cyclists, all three projects achieve that. However, I ranked Agency Landscape + Planning at the top not only for elements that put people out on the water (access), but also for its thoughtful acknowledgement of and educational aspects about the area's past.
319	This plan is best at tying downtown Madison to Lake Monona. Covering John Nolen Dr. from Broom to Hancock achieves this. Leaving JND open makes the lakeshore too noisy and less green.
320	I love the boardwalks that move pedestrians (and children walking) away from John nolen drive and into the lakes for more interaction with the lake.
321	very interesting concept with the loop and aesthetically pleasing
322	Agency landscape and planning design is just plain weird and ugly.
323	Overlay of John Nolan creates more green space; Inclusion of food trucks, amphitheater and story walk creates opportunities for many participants
324	Best combo of recreation and nature/environment
325	Sasaki and agency landscaping both utilize the space beautifully all while really listening to residents and giving them the much wanted water spaces downtown to enjoy
326	I like that the Sasaki plan is cleaning the lakes
327	The fantastic amount of green space added as "Monona Hill" in Agency's plan really speaks to Madison's blend of city and nature in a way the other plans do not. All three create great connections to the water, though, which is what this area needs most.
328	Lots of feedback was incorporated into the design.
329	I like Agency's focus on the a coherent sequence of connected experiences along the shoreline.
330	Saski's plan showcased the need to maintain a healthy lake as part of their plan.
331	The James Corner Field Operations really looks to offer something for everyone, from families to athletes to nature lovers. There's so many ways to engage and access the waters, which has felt lacking in the current design. This firm's design also provides safety between different modes of transportation, greenery, and views.
332	Lots of on the water engagement
333	all designs restore wetlands and shorelines, provide safer green paths and bikeways, I prefer the separated & slowed down car lanes the first two plans offer, as well as indigenous history and respect. I do like less filling of lake, tho.
334	This plan has a good balance of infrastructure improvements and recreation elements and connection to neighborhoods.
335	I like how James Corner incorporated so many uses for the various spaces and even thought about winter use as well.



336	I think adding trees and a greenway to John Nolen will help transform one of the more dangerous stretches of road into a pleasant and safe green space. This will help transform one of our main entrances to the city.
337	Sasaki seems to come the closest to prioritizing lake health and not creeping out of scope of the plan (i.e., not including the top of Monona Terrace as part of the plan).
338	aspirational and meeting the interests of many different people (boaters, walkers, partyers)
339	The Agency Landscape + Planning materials were most tangible to me, they were the ones that most clearly laid a vision for the future in my perspective. It appears to me they do the best job of balancing needs of nature and the desires of our people, while keeping in mind some fun / cool design concepts.
340	"The hill" concept provides a more passive parklike solution to the existing disconnect between downtown and the lake while beautifully integrating with Frank Lloyd Wright's Monona Terrace.
341	Lake edge restoration is the priority.
342	Agency Landscape has the best looking plan for laying out the separated bike and pedestrian paths which I think are a crucial aspect for the redesign. I also think that their bold design will stand the test of time and age well with the public.
343	Liked the diversity aspect incorporating sacred voices.
344	James Corner is hands down the best plan.
345	I like that Sasaki emphasized the the health of the lake and inhabited animals, not just pedestrian usage. They also seemed to offer a wide range of usage options.
346	I believe that it's important to see the Mad-City Ski Team have support of city and included in the planning. They are a phenomenal team, a great example of what people can bring to the community, and a staple to Law Park.
347	While I loved elements in all, JCFO seemed to have the best overall plan.
348	I really like the Law Park Layout in the Sasaki design. I love the idea of having aspects of the design that are able to be enjoyed by everyone and provide plenty of seating for the ski shows with the amphitheater. Also having buildings for bathrooms or places to fill water bottles for people using the running path is helpful too!
349	I feel Corner best grasped the feedback from the various public input events thus far. I do like elements from each of the firms however. I really like the Olin "circle" proposed by Agency, and love their "Monona Hill", but I'm not sure it's realistic. Corner did a great job with their Monona footbridge and pier, as well as the green space around Law Park. I do appreciate Sasaki's emphasis on water quality, but feel that the other elements were lacking. All three should consider including a "destination" restaurant, not just food stands.

350	I find it impossible to rank proposals with no information about what is required to do them: basic engineering about projects over the lake, massive widening of the causeway, property right condemnations, etc. that may be necessary. Has anyone done engineering concepts for a S. Hamilton bridge over the railroad tracks and John Nolen? Or do all the applicants think they simply had to put this in regardless of doability?
351	Space under question 1 does not allow ranking, which the questions seems to imply.  1 - Corner 2 - Sasaki 3 - Agency  None of the plans proposes doing what should have been done prior to the construction of Monona Terrace, namely, removing all the contaminated landfill material from the shoreline. None proposes evaluating whether contaminants are in the groundwater under the lake and isthmus, and whether levels pose a health threat to residents served by wells that draw from that area.
352	The Agency plan is the most attractive and least disruptive. I can't imagine shutting down John Nolen.
353	Love the idea of a hill for Monona Terrace to create more green space. BUT, I'm skeptical of whether this could be achieved. My top choice is James Corner plan given the balance with a huge improvement to the lake facing path, including fishing area, in front of Monona Terrace. That is the key design aspect which made this choice stand out.
354	I appreciate Sasaki planning on auto traffic, pedestrian and biking changes. The Agency Landscape design with extensive on the water walks looks like an expensive long upkeep issue which is a negative. I also liked the James Corner design.
355	The ski show is very important to Madison! Sasaki takes that into account.
356	I love how they talk about nature and city becoming one. The plan really incorporates city living with beautiful, natural opportunities.
357	Includes lots of paths and focusses on marsh restoration. It seems minimally "invasive" to the lake.
358	All of them discuss the need to clean the lakes and connect with nature- but the top 2 do it best.
359	This seems like a great combination of nature and people-friendly. I love the beach!!!
360	James Corner seems to have the community in mind.
361	priorities- beach, walking /biking ,restaurant with water view, botanical garden
362	This order reflects Madison becoming a better city for people.

363	I don't think the James Corner plan to reduce lanes and amount of traffic on John Nolan is at all realistic. Some of the natural elements they propose are nice, but Madison has many places like that. The Sasaki proposal is more visionary and more of a destination than a pass through.
364	Agency Landscape + Planning has the best plan for olin park
365	I love the Agency green hill concept. I also like the Corner idea of cutting way back on the traffic on John Nolan.
366	The Agency project understands the short and long term development needs of the community by immediately prioritizing in safety improvements and long term investment in ecological infrastructure. The sasaki project responds to madisonians needs today, but not more than a decade in the future.
367	Sasaki seems holistically what Madison needs - a bit of history and a bit of looking toward the future for better. James Corner Field seems like what many in Madison might want aesthetically; it's very modern and green. Agency is fine but just doesn't excite me.
368	I like the underground John Nolan road in Agency and also the walk and bike trails along the causeway
369	Agency's plan is most scenic and most attentive to traffic concerns; car, bike, and pedestrians.
370	The James Corner Plan presents excellent access to the lake, and separates lanes for walkers, bikers, and others. Water quality issues are dealt with and it is the most beautiful design of the 3 plans, which includes concepts from earlier plans of John Nolan and Frank Lloyd Wright. It would be a unique design for Madison, while drawing on Madison's earlier design proposals and would not only integrate well with the surrounding environment, but improve it immensely.
371	My selection is Agency Landscape + Planning. Best for restoration of habitat (and doesn't add in large beaches that did not previously exist). Best for setting up multi-use along the entire project--from Olin Park to intersection with Willy St.
372	All are exceptional plans but the Agency plan has a boardwalk spanning the length of Nolan, over the water platform at Olin, and it appears to cap John Nolan by the terrace which is very important since traffic needs to be separated from pedestrians as much as possible for any projoect.
373	I love the idea of the causeway threading through the entire redevelopment--a persistent theme tying it all together.
374	I think the Agency Landscape plan did the best job of restoring the lake shore, having a good balance of nature and developed areas to provide many opportunities for a variety of activities. I particularly liked the Monona Hill, assuming it is economically and technically feasible. I did like the James Corner design for John Nolan as a Green Boulevard. I thought the Saski design was too overdeveloped with structures.
375	Sasaki clearly did their homework into how to keep the lake healthy and focused on a natural landscape.
376	The JCFO has what the others don't. They are planning for a kayak course, fishing piers and other key stepping stones in bringing out community together.

377	Goal should be to make pedestrians and bike friendly place to gather. Not just a busy road with cars
378	The Corner plan was visually appealing and provided a variety of sustainable waterfront options. The Sasaki plan seemed to involve more indigenous people's comments and ideas. While visually attractive the Agency plan seemed less intuitive.
379	As a person with disabilities, the wooded, shaded and planted areas gave me the most opportunity to enjoy and get benefit from the park. That's one reason why Agency and Corner's plan ranked higher for me. They were more natural and had more areas to relax, commute, enjoy, without just being uncomfortable in the sun on concrete pavement. Agency and Corner plans also gave a variety of locations to transition into different zones for people to explore. Sasaki not so much... felt like an open fairground and not offering much more than surrounding neighborhoods with all the roads and sidewalks but not many trees. People in Madison want to enjoy nature without having to go far to do it. All plans helped accomplish this, but Agency and Corner did it better.
380	Sasaki's design report went into greater detail on providing voice to Madison residents (past and present). They also provided a lot more programming ideas that will appeal to a larger breadth of Madison residents.
381	My first two choices both seem to provide the most comprehensive re-imaginings of the Lake Monona waterfront, and if Madison is going to undertake a project of this scale, we should choose the ones that have the most complete vision, the most amenities, and the most complete integration between the city and the lake.
382	Primary emphasis for this project should be wetland reconstruction, ecological restoration and water quality for the lakes.
383	I like the layout of the Corner Field Operations plan better for all users. All of the plans need to provide much better access for sailboats and power boats into downtown - this is something Lake Monona is really lacking - there is absolutely nowhere to tie up a boat and get dinner, explore downtown, etc. Lake Monona has a long history of sailing and there is currently a small sailboat marina at Olin Park. I don't see this in any of the plans. Ideally this marina would remain and there would also be a marina downtown.
384	The James Corner Field Operations plans seem to be more fully realized than the others. It is connecting downtown to the water through multiple piers and maintains a large improvement to the lakefront.
385	Seems most wholistic....pays most attention to cultural aspects of future usage.
386	They all look great - it was very hard to decide but I like Sasaki's design the most!
387	I like the environmental impacts that the top two have. They also seem to have really worked hard to incorporate feedback from the community.
388	I ranked based on the balance of restoring nature and also have activity spaces.
389	Design provides maximum access for maximum people
390	Sasaki demonstrated the best outreach and feedback approach to their design.

391	Agency Landscape and Planning seemed to have the least user functions implimented. Sasaki, seems like the best with preserving biodiversity, as well as a nature center sounds awesome.
392	I love the boardwalk plan which brings people closer to the water and a safe place to walk away from fast-moving bicyclists.
393	I like that Sasaki highlights actual quotes and requests from Madison resident.
394	I believe they have the most well thought out, comprehensive plan
395	Lake access and community space by the square is most important.
396	Olin has lake access already. Focuses on Capitol and John Nolen area access to water. Foot traffic areas need lake access.
397	Agency's plan was the boldest for the downtown portion. This is exactly what Madison needs to bring more green space to downtown. JCF & Sasaki's plans still prioritize car traffic over fundamentally improving downtown's connection with Lake Monona. More people than ever want to live downtown. Bold steps like Agency's plan will keep that interest.
398	Monona Terrace feels too historical to just tear down though I love what could be done with that space.
399	#1 thing that stood out about both James Corner and Sasaki was the conversion of John Nolen into a urban parkland boulevard. Narrower viewshed and narrower lanes to help communicate to drivers to drive more slowly...speed limit signs don't do anything on a wide open highway like John Nolen is currently.
400	different trails for different uses, fishing, wetland (re)creation for improved water quality, slower traffic design
401	I believe that the Sasaki plan incorporates both what residents want and what the city would want for tourists. I believe that the plans from Sasaki solve the problems put forward as well as listen to what was asked by the populous.
402	Agency reflects Madison the best and the midwest aesthetic. and prioritizes lake health
403	Best vision and experience of team. Nice that they partner with companies in Madison
404	I appreciated their focus on respecting and honoring Indigenous peoples and culture, as well as ensuring that water quality and natural features were key parts of the plan.
405	The plans will change so I think the main thing right now is selecting a team with the patience and listening skills to work with the Madison Community on this complex project. From what I've seen, Sasaki is the best equipped.
406	We love how Sasaki's design is centered on the idea of amplifying voices of Madison, starting with the Ho-Chunk nation. We also love the focus on restoration, education, and multi-season functionality.
407	I like different parts of each of the three plans. I found the Sasaki presentation the most confusing to follow filled with their expression of how well they researched instead of focusing on the project itself. Their graphics were too small and too detailed. The Agency L+P was easiest to follow and the James Corner close behind Agency. However, Sasaki's plan seems to most closely follow the needs without going overboard with new exotic features.

408	James Corner's pier is a nightmare. Agency incorporated so many Madisonian elements!
409	Sasaki did a lot of research on the Madison area and included key items that are needed or wanted by those in the area. They also included the needs of local businesses (like Betty Lou Cruises) .
410	Living shoreline is more important than concrete along the lakeshore
411	Sasaki focused on Ho Chunk approach to symbiotic relationship with the lake
412	I like the focus on water management in Sasaki. We need to mitigate as much runoff from the surrounding areas as possible to improve water quality.
413	I can see this being a place for all. The designs make it possible for people of all ages to be here.
414	Green space for walking Separate vehicles from pedestrians
415	It's a tossup between Sasaki and James Corner - I like the Sasaki plans for preserving aquifers and wetlands, and the James Corner piers and event spaces. Maybe combine them>
416	John Nolen Drive can be modified but it cannot be removed because that link is the only direct access between the South and the Near East commercial districts.
417	I think Sasaki best in capsules the three things for me: historical, environmental and land management. The lake, like the waterfront, has been mismanaged and needs help. If you only focus on land management and not environmental impacts no one will want to visit the area because the water will not be pleasant to swim in, sit by or be on. I was recently in Florida and the algae was so bad that it made me cough. This was the Gulf so when I think of Lake Monona I realize just how fragile this water is and it really needs to be a large part of this plan. Yes, improve the lakeshore but don't forget about the water.
418	James Corner was most in harmony with the natural surroundings and I think was most practical given the timeframe and budget
419	I prefer covering Nolen as much as possible and felt Agency did a better job creating a vision.
420	Sasaki seemed to combine a lot of items that would appeal to a wide variety of madison residents while still prioritizing the ecosystem of the lake and improving size of green space. Agency is a close seconds as they created a lot more green space with the hill but I'm not sure if their bike path solution will truly have people wanting to bike up and over that hill?
421	Agency seemed to connect the lake to the capitol/downtown at more points than the other two proposals. I liked the size of the downtown hill and how it covered up the roadway entirely.
422	added greenery
423	Sasaki prioritizes lake health first, over human uses, but then successfully brings us back into the picture. This is hugely important!

424	<p>As a biker, AL+P has the best execution of bike/pedestrian paths, as it is the safest, most usable, and most dynamic of the three plans. They also hit the nail on the head when designing architectural features that genuinely enhances the experience of existing leisurely activities of madisonians like biking, fishing, nature viewing, picnicking, gardening, whereas some of the other designs think of the experience of these activities as an afterthought and doesn't actually enhance the activities. The only part I don't understand is how the bike underpass connects to the overpass in front of Monona terrace and how that's shared with pedestrians. Sasaki does a good job of thinking of the big little things like public bathrooms, but while it steps into the shoes of madisonians it doesn't walk the walk. In other words, the activities foregrounded in this plan are at times prescriptive (ice sculptures are cool, but I could've put an ice fishing hole there), and key details are not thought through (on page 11, it seems like the bike path opens into a slow pedestrian plaza with no guardrails). As for JCFO, they seemed to place their own vision over the needs of the community: piers should not be the architectural fixture driving community and culture because it is one-directional facing directly into the water rather than appreciating all that's around you; new development at the upland edge of Law Park should NOT line the waterfront because that cuts off public access for that stretch.</p>
425	<p>The only reason that I chose this one was because it was the least intrusive to moving around the city and keeping John Nolan Drive in tact because it is a major connection to the downtown. I don't really like any of these proposals.</p>
426	<p>It seems to be the design that would be inviting for more people to use the lake</p>
427	<p>I prefer the options that integrate the modern, metropolitan with the natural. I believe James option weaves the two together best and gives a variety of spaces with different options.</p>
428	<p>I liked the dedicated fishing pier and more space in front of Monona terrace. I am often biking on the path and think it would be safer to have a walking path and fishing pier in front for less congestion on the bike path. The connection with the rest of the city and piers seemed best addressed in the James Corner plan. The hill in the Agency plan seems cool, but unclear how feasible it is.</p>
429	<p>I appreciate the nature restoration in James Corner. Our animals have needs too.</p>
430	<p>Love all the nature in the James Corner and Sasaki. As a tax payer, I feel that the agency one just threw some floating walkways down and called it a day.</p>
431	<p>I really liked Sasaki's emphasis on water quality improvement and environmental focus. I really loved the natural playground in the James Corner Field design as well as all the thought put into recreation on and by the lake. I liked Agency's thoughts on connecting the city to the lakefront.</p>
432	<p>The Agency plan modifies the water portion of John Nolan Dr to make it inviting. Though the added land seems unrealistic, I love the symmetry in centering Monona Terrace in relation to the surrounding city. It seems to get people out onto the water more than the other plans.</p>

433	Like the spirit and how all encompassing and a lot of great features. And they had piers. I would welcome an opportunity to gain access to this via boats. Do not forget water access to these resources, not just land/walking. I think boating into this resource/downtown can really be special if there is water access to pier. hardly none now and it seems like a lost opportunity.
434	I think Sasaki
435	Preserving a beautiful natural area should be highest priority!
436	I like how Sasaki is all about nature!
437	I like the year round programming and the focus on environmental restoration of the Sasaki
438	They're all great run the detail in Sasaki and James Corner are more fleshed out and bring it to life. Sasaki checks all the boxes -taking into account the lake health, water activities, beach access, safer paths, fishing, etc. I also LOVE the adventure playground idea.
439	Sasaki took the time to demonstrate the redesign benefits for the lake's long term health, which should be center in all designs. Sasaki and James Corner both created designs that extend the path from the Capitol to the lakeshore, which I believe will do great things for community events and enjoying the Monona side of the Isthmus, in the way the Memorial Union does for Mendota. I also appreciate the diverse path options, for slow moving traffic like walkers, strollers, and pets, as well as the fast commuter paths for bikes and runners. I think this is crucial to support all needs of the population. I love the designs with elevated paths over the water, which would pull our beautiful lake into the scenery even more, highlighting what makes our Isthmus so special!
440	Sasaki put a big emphasis on keeping the lake clean and maintaining the habitat of the shoreline which is a high priority
441	Like Sasaki for the entertainment aspects - the amphitheater, restaurant, etc. Like Agency Landscape for separating bike path from pedestrian path. James Corner was too vague and lacked enough mock-ups to envision their plan
442	Saski's deliverables demonstrated the most well developed solutions for improving water quality while incorporating unique architectural elements.
443	Rankings are based on projects that allow for multiple uses. There's lots of talk about the causeway, but enhancements to Law Park might be the best component of the projects. Those designs that allow for the water ski show are of particular interest. Generations of families, including my own, have gone to water ski shows on Sunday nights at Law Park since the 1960's. Designs that purposefully include the champion ski team are preferred.
444	Nature is the most important. The other two had too many business things involved.



445	<p>The James Corner Field Operations (JCFO) wins due to the proposed transformation of John Nolan Drive from a highway to a green boulevard along with related "stitching" of the neighborhoods to the lakeshore. This elimination of the John Nolan wall is not as well addressed in other proposals. If major reconstruction of John Nolan is to happen in 2025, start this transformation NOW.</p> <p>I also like the Agency concept of Monona Hill. It was the clearest and pleasing plan to connect downtown to the lake. The Sasaki plan had more good ideas for park and near-park features and amenities, though I think it may be overbuilding in Olin Park. The Agency design for Olin was simpler and would be inviting.</p>
446	The green hill over John Nolan was what I liked
447	I like that the Sasaki plan included more explicit plans for food, food trucks, beer gardens, etc. Not just boating, fishing, and walking, but being able to eat on the lakeshore. For all our shoreline in Madison we only have one or two places to eat and drink and enjoy the view.
448	The agency plan seems to create more space around the Terrace for a variety of events
449	While it's difficult to compare the proposals, we think that our top priorities are improving the water quality and safe access. We liked the bike-friendly proposals, presence of water features, and prioritization of nature in the Sasaki proposal, followed by the Agency proposal.
450	Sasaki consulted a wide variety of Madison residents and local groups to ensure their design was meeting the needs of everyone in our city.
451	They all look amazing! That means I am just going to vote on all of them in sort of a random way.
452	Sasaki plan addresses environmental concerns and provides the most areas for activities. I really like Agency plan for making Monona Terrace green though.
453	I think the plan with the least amount of ongoing maintenance makes the most sense. The more elevated walkways and docks you create will increase maintenance costs over time. I'm not suggesting they should be eliminated, just suggesting that ongoing maintenance be considered.
454	More green space and trails, less concrete and no high maintenance elevated walkways that require more salt pollution in the winter and will look awful after a few years
455	My top priority is the environmental impact, I would love to see Madison's lake shores be re-wilded.
456	<p>Sasaki great clean water idea, winter planting, place for fishing green green green plants all great.</p> <p>James Corner Field Operations Big playgrounds, great sitting area near the water, Monona Fishing pier looks good.</p> <p>Agency Landscape + Planning nice boardwalk, nice Olin park circle.</p>
457	I liked the stronger environmental focus of the Sasaki and James Corner proposals.

458	We need a plan that connects people to wild places. Avoid concrete and the urban trappings. We need a plan that counters the extinction crisis. The lake is habitat and we are not the only species that depends on it.
459	First one is environmentally conscious, but all are good
460	All plans are excellent, but I liked the involvement of Hilary Dugan and Toole Design in the James Corner proposal, and the attention to the traditional voices and values as well as the contemporary ones in the Sasaki proposal.
461	It seems like it's a well thought out plan for all residents and tourists
462	Prefer Agency
463	I liked the reality of it.
464	Live the hill concept around monona terrace in Agency plan. Too much concrete/lawn in Corner plan.
465	Agency Landscape & planning provides both public access and attention to green space & health of the water shed.
466	I think all the firms did a great job responding to the priorities. None of them missed anything major. My vote is based on which design is most elegant
467	I appreciate that the Sasaki plan incorporates a huge beach in place of Law Park. There isn't a good central swimming area around that area of the lakefront.
468	I felt the design integrated well with the surrounding environment and prioritized the environment.
469	JFCO seemed to be the only plan that actually reduced the impact of John Nolen. As long as an area caters to car, other forms of public engagement will suffer. Meaning that how well we handle JND will ultimately determine how much of a success that reimagining the lakefront will be. However, I do not enjoy the overreliance that JFCO has on a beach due to the annual algae issue we face.
470	Overall, JCFO provides more greenery and car traffic reduction via JN Dr, as well as mid-century architectural inspiration, and re-wilding the lake for restoration which is fantastic. I love the AL&P idea of integrating a rail connection into Monona Terrace, which Madison will need to build out if we are to continue on our growth trajectory.
471	We need the social and recreational spaces at the waterfront!
472	I love the top 2 plans!
473	Agency captures the essence of restoring the lake's ecosystem. A very natural welcoming setting embracing past Ho-Chunk heritage cultural trail. Love the Green Space 8 acres on either side of Monona Terrace. Excellent design & use of space. Do like concept from James Corner of turning John Nolen into a Green Boulevard instead of speedy "highway"
474	I love the more native aspect, I assume means less mowing, pesticides, as long as it's still filters all stormwater
475	Sasaki seems to be much more tied to the natural world

476	I think Agency Landscape + Planning really takes the need of the people vs the needs of the environment into the best consideration. It has a really good combination of restoring the environment and making it useable for people. Sasaki I think focuses a little too much on the people aspect and not enough on the environment, and James Corner Field focuses too much on the environment and will likely make it less accessible for people
477	Sasaki's design encompasses everything needed to engage community. The story path would be an incredible addition to Madison!
478	sasaki and agency seemed to have the more ambitious and well thought out plans, but we're more drawn to sasaki's final design
479	I love the idea of a more wild friendly lake area.
480	More green space and trails, less concrete and no high maintenance elevated walkways that require more salt pollution in the winter and will look awful after a few years
481	I think the Sasaki plans dove into more details about how they are going to help to fix some of the issues. I do feel that the James Corner presentation was also very good and did hit on some of the issues as well.
482	The Sasaki model seems to be the only culturally competent model that takes indigenous land into consideration.
483	Sasaki is best
484	I like how Sasaki is prioritizing the health of the land, lakes, and wildlife first. I also like the increased green space that Agency L&P provides.
485	Because of parking issues the other two plans are cumbersome for many coming from out of the downtown area.
486	I particularly love the JCFO idea of boulevarding John Nolan Drive and better integrating the waterfront with the rest of the city. The emphasis on cycling, walking, and transit are great additions to Madison's ongoing effort to reduce our car dependency. I also love the nod to mid-century design which is synonymous with midwest architecture.
487	Sasaki's emphasis on an equitable approach and sensitivity to the historic native populations pulled them far ahead of the others.
488	Lake Monona needs a waterfront park, not a massive plaza, or just sidewalks without program
489	Sasaki and Agency were close. The emphasis placed on clean water is extremely important. The lakes aren't usable and this city will lose its greatest asset if its beaches are continually closed for cyanobacteria blooms. Often the open water in Monona looks uninviting with floating brown blobs scattered like stars in the night sky. Additionally, the James Corner design incorporated stone steps and what appeared to be concrete, stone, rocky or sandy gathering areas. This lake needs more green shoreline. BB Clarke has one such stone step area. I've never understood its need, and it's far less attractive than the green spaces and boardwalks proposed in the other two designs.
490	I liked aspects of each plan, really like the walk on water in Agency plan
491	Fro
492	N/a
493	I like the Agency Landscape plan

494	-
495	love the lakeside restaurant, the 2 connecting streets and lake piers, the beach, the extended parks at the 3 locations, the boating area at Olin.
496	They all were excellent, but the Sasaki was the most sensitive, green and encompassing the shoreline enhancing the FLW Monona Terrace. It is very Asian influenced. Imagine the original Imperial Hotel setting in Tokyo. Lake Geneva torn down there FLW Hotel, so do all you can to show case the FLW designed Terrace. Make it an international tourist destination like the Millennium Park in Chicago with an unique sculpture with an international competition. I grew up near Lake Geneva and lived in Chicago for 40 yrs and very active in preservation. Save the UW Humanities Building by Harry Weiss too. It is a very important architectural design right up there with the FLW Monona Terrace.
497	Responsive to community priorities.
498	Ranked based on personal preference and a "layered" approach. I.e., sasaki has more planned than agency, etc.
499	Agency Landscape seems to keep it more like it is, but updated nicely.
500	This question makes no sense because so many residents have wildly differing priorities.
501	Sasaki always has long term use in mind.
502	sasaki gets rid of all of the nature around the area and i really hate the idea. the other two are both great plans.
503	I believe that having a separate path for bikes and walkers that are separated from John Nolan would be a priority for residents. Restoration of the ecosystem along the lakefront is very important as well.
504	James Corner Field Operations, while not as exciting, is probably the most like Madison today -- but I would prefer something with more forethought
505	I think they are all relatively similar, but like the plan that is based on listening to community members- especially indigenous peoples.
506	I like that all three prioritize lake health, but having space for a waterfront restaurant and dedicated biking lanes wins out for me.
507	It's verry grafic and easy to understand for people Who dn't know about the landscape
508	Want more access and provides better traffic. Provides a place for people to connect and
509	Enjoyed Sazaki presentation and vision
510	Love the way the proposal took in community feedback
511	Good community feel and scale.
512	I personally do NOT support any of these conceptual plans for the Monona lakefront. A grandiose landscape next to Monona's waters beg the question, why is this needed? how will this project be paid for? How will visitors to the lakefront gain access? is there a need for parking garages for the visitors. The plans need to be tabled for a future date.
513	This firm is one of the best landscape architecture firms with successful and sustainable projects worldwide !

514	Field operations team design is both well thought out and uses principles of ecological design that will help aid in best implementing the project. Their team having a multitude of expertise in all fields concerning this project is a huge plus.
515	Sasaki's proposal is the most inspiring/best design ideas and is grounded on community feedback
516	Greening the space and improving runoff, water filtration, and decreasing heat in city are priorities. The design with the playground/more kid friendly activities is less persuasive as few kids live downtown, so I would prefer to see it catering to the folks who live downtown (students, young adults, professionals) rather than catering to people commuting into the city to use the space.
517	Each plan has good and bad parts. I like that most were designing a skinnier John Nolan drive from along the downtown section
518	As a general comment, this is a very complicated area and the Sasaki plan does the best job of accommodating all of the diverse needs (transportation, sustainability, lake access, culture/tourism).
519	The lake health idea from Sasaki really stood out to me. JCFO has a beach front idea which seems like it could become very messy.
520	Sasaki's design is very well researched!
521	Beaches are not a great idea here. Accessibility and open public spaces are.
522	The integration of multiple uses along the corridor and access to the lake at multiple points for a variety of uses - accessible, environmentally focused and multiple uses for a variety of constituents
523	JCFO best responded to the voiced concerns of the community, allowing the waterfront to continue to function as a transit corridor, while reducing the dominance of the road itself, and meshing well with the city.
524	Sasaki has the greatest variety of activity, including winter activities. JCFO seems very beach-heavy, which is limiting considering Lake Monona's water quality, not everyone likes swimming, and that it's too cold 8 months out of the year.
525	None of them are a good option. It seems the planners are not taking into consideration the environmental impacts. Clean up the lakes before doing anything else.
526	I would prefer to leave the waterfront unchanged. The focus should be on reducing property taxes for our residents.
527	This feels the most "Madison" in it's approach. A deep understanding of the lake, its culture, and it's ecology.
528	I really like the Monona hill feature, and the other areas have an elegant understated design which is perhaps less expensive.
529	Sasaki shows a good understanding of the connection to the waterfront, surrounded with indigenous plantings, while maintaining a safe environment for users to explore the outdoors within a beautiful design.
530	Appeared more involved with community input and less pie in the sky
531	Sasaki emphasized multimodal access throughout their waterfront vision, that is something the Madison residents want and need to activate the space
532	Protecting and caring for the lake

533	It is important to provide ways for madison residents to access the lakefront from downtown and surrounding neighborhoods
534	James Corner provides the best combination of an inviting and engaging Monona lakefront with calming of John Nolen, and practicality.
535	I think the top priorities are better bike and ped transportation through the area and more green space. I think the Agency plan responds to these priorities the most. I don't think most Madison residents prioritize a new nature center or a beach (We need to be honest about our water quality—I would never swim in Lake Monona and none of my friends would either. Obviously some people like to swim there, but we need to clean up our water before building a new beach.)
536	The team listened to the true voice of the lake and its people!
537	I think overall the JCFO proposal does the best job at all four zones: Olin, the causeway, the Terrace, and Law park. Not the best in each, but the best overall.
538	I like how agency's plan connects this space to the bike path and the capital. It also solves for the multi use nature of the existing path and increases safety by breaking off foot traffic from the bike/running traffic.
539	Sasski seemed to take into account resident feedback and about what makes Madison unique and what residents specifically like to do on the lakeshore.
540	The JCFO plan is by far the worst of the three. A huge beach on a lake that has frequent algae blooms/beach closures makes zero sense.
541	I voted based on what I think fits the best - and makes the best of what we already like.
542	Sasaki seems to have done a lot of research regarding our wildlife and environment. Agency is very similar to this while also having easier waterfront access for kayak or paddleboard
543	Loved the access to the lake and gardens.
544	Agency best addresses waterfront ecology, City connectivity, park programming opportunities, and Monona Terrace integration. Sasaki does to some degree but seems more scattershot. JCFO's proposal is not as fully developed as the other two. These comments apply to all your questions.
545	Sasaki did a great job recognizing the established users (like Camp Randall Rowing and Brittingham Boats) of the lake and ways to expand access.
546	I really liked how much attention Sasaki payed to not only summer plans but winter plans as well. I also thought they did the best job with combining both nature and community events, like including space for food carts, a beer stand, fire pits, etc. I think this is a design that will actually bring community members out to spend time on the Monona lakeshore beyond just including paths and fishing areas, it makes it much more of a community space akin to the Terrace.
547	Sasaki seems to have incorporated our voices the most. Corner seems most innovative. Do not like Agency.
548	Sasaki made a wonderful project that I would love to see built!
549	Sasaki plan is the most comprehensive and responsive to the site's history and reimagined future!
550	More fun, and realistic

551	I like the way the Sasaki plan is the most community and socially orientated which madison needs and loves.
552	Loved the high nature walkway
553	Agency's plan has more of a feeling of enhancing nature rather than building structures. James Corner addresses residents requests without trying to be everything to everyone which I feel is that fault of the Sasaki plan.
554	Most hollistic and realistic
555	Natural conservation shows long-term responsible decision making.
556	Waterfront restaurant, and boat dock acesss
557	I like the sense of unobstructed connection of the land and water, and the relaxed feeling of a traditional park
558	As a student at the department of landscape architecture at UW-Madison, I believe that Sasaki is truly reflecting the needs of our community to connect us to the beautiful waterfront of Monona.
559	Agency Landscape seems to have the best understanding of natures methods for keeping the lake healthy and allowing use of the lake. Second is Jame Comer. I almost wish the two could share some ideas. But the lake's health should be first!!
560	Rewilding parts of our lakeshore is the best long term investment possible for our lakes and the city. Must be an emphasis and is absolutely necessary as a cornerstone of any plan.
561	More beach area
562	Agency Landscape's plan is bold and beautiful -- cost a huge problem, though
563	I love equally James and Sasaki
564	Sasaki's prioritization of sustainability and the historical aspect stood out to me the most.
565	Really beautiful designs and still allows traffic flow-through the isthmus.
566	The first two seem to blend the best into the environment. They add lots of useful features, but don't stick out like a sore thumb.
567	The least disruption to the lake shore and important fish spawning habitat the better.
568	Please leave our beautiful, natural lake front alone. These designs are a waste of taxpayer money.
569	both 1 & 2 seem good
570	moat environmental
571	None of them. Soon, Madison will have the whole lake filled.
572	Sasaki is a holistic company that focuses on the people, the community, and the landscape.
573	Sasaki's plan seems realistic and achievable. The others do not.
574	The bulk of Agency's plan centers the terrace 8 acre green space which devotes too much space to destination places rather than transportation and activity places- which is the vibrancy and utility that Madison is actually looking for. The only edge that JCF Operations has on Sasaki in this category is that it more immediately prioritizes built infrastructure that residents would directly use which I think Madisonians will be more responsive to.

575	Yes, there is plenty of opportunity to improve the Lake Monona shoreline. However, any plan that reduces the John Nolen Drive access into the city should be rejected as it will kill Madison's struggling downtown.
576	More beautiful options with the James Corner layout
577	The James corner field is simplest and includes everyone. Fishermen and fisherwomen as well, not only that the design is simple and would not require more money than the other designs would in terms of upkeep. Additionally the James corner field option is more environmentally sound
578	Sasakis plan is well thought out. It's has a clear plan of sustainability and enviroinmental friendliness. It also gives the extremely important credit to the Ho Chunk nation and their place within Madison. This plan is well rounded, and leaves nothing to question.
579	Wow! All three teams really understand the total disconnect between the city and the lake, the issues with water quality, softening the lake's edge, and naming more opportunities along the whole lakefront to create opportunities for people to engage with the lake in a variety of ways. However, I think that the team at Agency has the biggest and boldest vision for creating the hill that REALLY connects the city to the lake, and hides the monstrous six lanes of traffic beneath the Monona Terrace. They have hidden the worst part of the ugliness of this part of town, and have responded to the community need to both improve water quality, make the whole causeway and lake front inviting, and inclusive.  I also believe that Field Operations and Sasaki have also listened to our community, and have heard that residents want more inclusivity of voices, more access at Olin Park, Monona Terrace, and in between, and a quieter, richer experience of moving between places using a variety of modes of transportation.
580	Lake health, protection, and restoration has to be priority #1. The pedestrian walkways above the lake and over Olin park would be a hit, but a greener Monona Terrace is going to embrace our community culture the most.
581	I liked the flow of the designs.
582	Sasaki's design is the next step up and is just what the community needs. The stage , event area, nature center and playground will really help the community socialize. As a teen growing up in the area we are get desperate for nice areas to be around in. And the canopy is just beautiful and totally worth it, I can't imagine anything better and I'm so excited for it if it comes to be. I put James last because I feel it doesn't promote the nature and community combo as well, I feel it is to crowed and overwhelming compared to the others.
583	Sasaki had me at improving the health of the lake. If the lakes remain green nobody will use the parks.
584	Agency Landscape focuses on safety and public accessibility, both of which are very important needs of the area.
585	The Agency plan provides the most additional greenspace. But I did like the dedicated Brittingham Pier fishing space in the JCFO, as well as the woodland playground at Olin. Very cool elements in all of them!



586	They are all really good. I especially like the ones that separate the biking and pedestrian traffic. Love that these are also taking the health of the lake into account. The Sasaski does the best job of outlining this, but their presentation was A LOT to take in (so busy!).
587	I liked the integration of different needs of people that Sasaki and Agency discussed in more depth. I thought Sasaki put a little more emphasis on including healthy lake water in the the conversation, which is important.
588	This is a tough question, as all three address resident priorities. I think JCFO's natural approach address the priorities of future Madison residents, and AL+P has a wonderfully accessible plan.
589	Sasaki includes voices of those that have not been at the table.
590	James Corner field and it's not close
591	Lake health & a natural setting are very important.
592	Lot's of activities with the first one
593	Agency considered many recreational activities of interest
594	James corner considers water usage and family accessibility the best in my perspective  Sasaki is overly commercial  I loved the nature concepts in the agency design but didn't see community living as much as in the James corner design. If that was more clear I would have gone with agency because it's beautiful
595	James corner thought of city residents, nature/environmental factors and making the monona lakeside as attractive as lake Mendota lakeshore.
596	The Agency design is the most cohesive and I love the idea of the path where you can "walk on water."
597	Sasaki appears to have the most depth regarding stakeholder views incorporated. James Corner seemed next with the specific comments regarding what's great and challenging about the lakefront spaces.
598	I like that Agency goes back to the cultural heritage of the Ho Chunk for inspiration. It is the most sensitive to the actual experience of the lakefront. The other proposals have expensive monolithic structures that do not relate to the lakefront.
599	Sasaki and Agency both consider the natural history of the Isthmus and lake. I think it's important to use that as inspiration for the new landscape. They are also working to restore natural features.
600	I like that the water treatment is priority 1.
601	Agency utilizes local knowledge to respond best to local concerns/aspirations.
602	Love the paths in the water and the park expansion over mono terrace.
603	Sasaki addresses lake health and cultural history first. The first nations should have a voice in this project, which this showcases. And, since fishing is a very strong sport here, the engineering to promote better water quality makes sense. The James corner is a good idea in theory but condenses too much vegetation - would block the view and would quickly get out of control for plant growth maintenance. It's too costly with too many facets. That's why I ranked it last.

604	They are all beautiful-but I like the potential of #1 the best.
605	James Corner looks the most natural and consistent with the rest of the area. It seems the most accessible and comfortable for individuals and families.
606	I liked that the recognized the importance of native Americans.
607	I like the emphasis on green infrastructure, much needed to be prepared for more flooding due to climate change. I do not like the idea of a nature center; we have no need for that. We need more parks and green spaces and park shelters, not another building or patio to pave over paradise.
608	I liked the flow and more natural feel of Agency. However, I think Sasaki had a better sense of our area, and the issues of creating by a living lake. James Corner seemed to cluttered for me.
609	Agency and Landscape is realistic as a sustainable and eco friendly, inviting land-use throughout all seasons. The other two will require compromises on these important Madison values.
610	Love the green spaces, overlooks and Beach concepts. My favorite concept is one that connects the capital to the park.
611	I like the story that Sasaki tells to weave their vision together. Agency Landscape was also well thought out. James Corner's plan seemed less specific to Madison.
612	Very modern and inviting...
613	Agency Landscape & Planning separates the commuter bike path from pedestrian and 'play' areas making it more dual use for all kinds of citizens. It also really makes the lake accessible from the capital at MANY avenues, which is currently unavailable. Also for both Agency & James Corner ecologically love the idea of restoring marsh areas of the lake and improving water quality and habitat.
614	All of the plans focus on the right things and bringing back more nature to the shoreline, change the impact of John Nolan being so close to the recreational areas, and ensuring year-round activities for everyone.
615	I like the fishing spots, the modern feel, and the green spaces.
616	I love the idea of filtering rain water and having historical info along the lake
617	Really loved the ideas of having piers and more beach areas on Lake Monona. Madison has two great lakes that I feel are underutilized by the city. The piers connect with downtown with the walkways. I think it would be a great move for the city.
618	This plan has a good mix of activities for each season as well as year-round activities and includes two waterfront dining venues.
619	Adds tons of park space and safe ways to walk to the lakefront from many points in the city
620	The Sasaki Design includes the most people-oriented design, which will increase the sense of community in these new spaces. JCFO looks to be the most conservation-oriented plan.
621	Love the varied options and creativity
622	Sasaki's plan is amazing!!

623	Agency did a great job brainstorming ways to make the lakefront more safely accessible to all while also prioritizing sustainability and environmental improvements.
624	<p>In 30+ years of residency, I've seen that John Nolen lakeshore path heavily used by all sorts of people at all times of the year. Agency's proposal really enhances that path--allows for safer use by all speeds/ all modes of moving, and most importantly, connects it and us to the lake and the water--with marshy spots for birds and wildlife, spaces for paddlers, the boardwalk for leisurely contemplation, while still maintaining speedier paths for commuters. Secondly, the Agency proposal has provided the strongest vision for connecting Lake Monona's public shoreline to the vibrant downtown area. Currently it is a concrete island that is hard to get too, crowded, and has no spaces for anything other than running/cycling.</p> <p>JCFO's proposal is similar. The Sasaki one, however, leaves the shoreline too much like it currently is.</p>
625	Like the incorporation of outdoor seating.
626	I love the James Corner and Sasaki options not only for incorporating nature but also the playgrounds and opportunity for dining options
627	I think improving water quality while providing access to the water is needed for our city and people.
628	Love the walking path/boardwalk going out into the lake as part of the agency proposal. But really like the playground of the James corner proposal and the path that goes all the way around the lake. Having a separate walking path from the biking path is critical!
629	Meets all the needs of all people. Respects the past but understands the needs of current and the future.
630	I'm split between 1 and 2. 1 has great ideas in terms of functionality and the play that takes place on the lake, but 2 has the important ecological and cultural takes on the layout.
631	I like the idea of expanding law park and creating safe and slower connections around downtown. Sasaki also has good ideas with the interpretive lake story idea. Restoring natural processes to the lake and opening it up to all residents is very important and all three did a good job of it, but there are certainly problems with some of the designs that need to be addressed, especially the speed, danger, and noise associated with cars.
632	Improve water quality and restores shore and native plants
633	Agency best integrates Monona Ter and the lake back to the downtown area. Monona Hill frames the Terrace as a central gem. This one also honors the boating and canoeing traditions of Madison and provides a slow and safe waterway for paddlers. Sasaki has a great sense of community spaces. Openings that reflect the vibrancy happening elsewhere in Madison, such as at the UW terrace and the Square. Monona seems cut off from all of that now.

634	Agency ranked third due to lack of full winter vision. Field Operations / Sasaki both complete plans. Field Operations creativity from prior projects, namely Tongva Park in Santa Monica, is vital to experience incorporating native history and telling the story of Madison's first peoples.
635	I like Sasaki's inclusivity and ecological focus
636	Monona hill creates a the most seamless connection between the capital and the lakeshore. I like bike path separation and thoughtfulness about spaces for all
637	ALP's plan best aligns with the desire for flexible green space that can serve both leisure and active transportation needs. Sasaki and JCFO both seemed too engineered and structured, and the grander scope of those projects seems like it would be harder to fund and implement - Madison has a lot of competing priorities.
638	Love the environmentalism of the Sasaki and Agency Landscape plans
639	In my opinion, Agency did the best job of providing multiple ways to access and use the area. Particularly in fully utilizing the area on Monona Hill. I did find the fishing pier in from of the terrace on the James Corner plan to be a good idea. All plans focused on restoration in different ways, but I visually liked Agency and James Corner the best.
640	The Agency Plan I understood the most from the video
641	Agency landscape does a great job of totally revisioning both Olin park and the area around Monona terrace. They also had a lot of walking trails which is great for pedestrians.
642	Agency stands out here Sasaki's voices of the past is cool, but the idea could be incorporated into any of the designs.
643	We like the Monona Hill concept
644	I feel this plan provides the best balance
645	Sasaki is taking a more complete picture of its current use and appears to be enhancing.
646	I like the natural edges and the canopy walk would be wonderful!
647	Sasaki, looked the cleanest and explained the benefits to the environment. Agency looked really neat around the terrace, but didn't go into specifics on the other sections. Didn't understand the vision for James corner field.
648	Ranking is based on presentation of plans, and incorporating the needs of all. Emphasis on ecological considerations.
649	I like the idea of the steps for water to come up and people to sit on shore line like the bike bath just hope people do not throw trash into lakes .With having the steps there I hope you address the under tow of water around convention center

650	The Agency one is the most creative, bold vision and does the best job of linking the lake with the rest of the Downtown. I especially like the “walk the lake” feature and devoting more space around Monona Terrace to green, public usage. The Sasaki one as some good attributes as well. Like the boat house—if it will finally be the FLW boathouse. The James one has way too much hard space and is not very creative.
651	Opportunity for varied use among all ages, such as preservation, strolling, kayaking, picnics and safe gathering space.
652	James Corner Field Operations did the best job of naturalizing the waterfront for lake health while also incorporating community engagement - paths/green spaces but also restaurants/gathering spaces for all to use for passing through or lingering at the lakefront. Sasaki did a good job of this as well, but the lakefront did not seem as naturalized. Agency Landscape + Planning did a great job naturalizing, but didn't include the opportunities to gather at the lakefront at a restaurant or beach.
653	Agency Landscape seemed most comprehensive and encompassing of the entire picture. Lots of variety in activities that are being promoted. Meanwhile, James Corner Field Operations seemed to use a lot of concrete. Couldn't get the Sasaki video to work.
654	James corner field's plan doesn't seem to take into account commuters.
655	James Corner is attractive, functional, good for all users. It looks like a natural lake edge with a minimum of junk added. Sasaki is very cluttered, and looks high maintenance. Agency looks impractical and routes a very popular bike path away from the lake. Why? We bike there to see the lake!
656	We need to keep a thoroughfare with John Nolan but I like putting it in a tunnel and having a greenspace over it rather than getting rid of the critical connection between east and west sides.
657	Felt the most realistic and beautiful.
658	I like the raised elevation on each side of MononaTerrace.
659	I like the Agency Landscape + Planning and Sasaki MUCH more than the James Corner Field operations design. It appears much more thought was put into the flow of traffic and ability to connect different neighborhoods.
660	Sasaki seems like the best fit. The layout, environmentally conscious plan, connection to the Ho-Chunk nation and many different attributes including the walkway and train would be unbelievably exciting for the city of Madison.
661	Agency provides more nature space in Law Park & Olin Park - and more access to spots to sit near the water, walk by the water, do outdoor yoga, and more ways to bring in CrossFit Games, etc.
662	They all seem to do a good job of improving access and increasing opportunities for usage.
663	Residents treasure their lakes & Sasaki's theme of telling the story of the lake is what we need, starting with improving water quality - so the Lake can be enjoyed fully into the future.
664	Sasaki's design report communicated a respect for and understanding of the residents, the land, and the land history of Madison. This made me trust their design plan the most.

665	Love the voices idea!
666	I appreciate the consideration for wetlands restoration in JCFO and Sasaki's plans.
667	Sasaki seems the most comprehensive & I can deeply appreciate all the Ho Chunk nation input.
668	Walking on water seems weird and pointless
669	Agency ranking appears most natural.
670	Sasaki had a longer presentation with more details on their Monona lakefront planning map, but they did include a downtown Amtrak station in their proposal despite the area of town still being discussed. To be honest, I did not do the most in-depth analysis of the team submissions, but this ranking is based on my initial analysis.
671	The Sasaki plan is focused on health: healthy water, healthy land, healthy relationship between history and present, and healthy community.
672	Sasaki outlined who and how they engaged with Madison residents more clearly than either Agency or James Corner.
673	Less road/traffic and more nature/bikeped/recreation = good. I also like the idea of a rooftop amphitheater on Monona Terrace -- we need more "third space" outdoor areas in Madison.
674	Sasaki looks the coolest and had the most park like features. I like the hill from Agency landscape so maybe that could be combined with the sasaki design
675	I was moved by Sasaki's recognition of Madison's indigenous history and the focus on a healthy watershed. Ultimately, if we allow the lakes to become contaminated, it won't matter what the waterfront looks/feels like, no one will utilize the space.
676	I appreciated Sasaki's vision to uplift Native voices.
677	I love how John Nolan drive is tunneled to make more useful space above
678	Get us into the water! (Also, those canoe shaped floating things are really ugly) more beach areas please ♿ ADA accessible!
679	VERY hard to rank, as all three contain great ideas. Agency seems most "natural" and Sasaki most "developed/urban," but all have merit
680	I am THRILLED by these visions! I love the intentional indigenous and cultural history by Sasaki, as well as their environmental sustainability approach. Agency's gorgeous and new modern designs were so eye-catching.
681	The Agency plan creates new access while maintaining old access points as well. It creates an opportunity for greater safety for non-motorized transportation while also maintaining greater access for motorized transportation.
682	Most useable community spaces
683	I liked the variety of spaces incorporated in the James Corner Plan and thoughtful roll out of design. I would inquire about the accessibility for children with disabilities in their Woodland park design.
684	The first two plans seems to respect the ecosystem and character of lake monona more than the third. Either of the first two would be acceptable, although the first (Sasaki) seems the most responsive to the site and the community.

685	Sasaki, though not as flashy as JCFO, seemed like the most considered and responsive approach to our community and presented a comprehensive, secular vision for the future of the waterfront.
686	Sasaki has great holistic concept and focus on ecology
687	It feels like James Corner most closely captures all the ways that Madisonians like to use our lakes and expands access for doing so, integrating it well so no activity is really left out. Sasaki is a very close second to me. It was hard to pick between these two.
688	I was torn between Agency Landscape + Planning and Sasaki because they both have done a wonderful job in trying to implement and incorporate the history of the area and the current residents into their plans.
689	Sasaki really prioritizes cleaning up the lakes and making sure the wildlife is taken care of first. And has all the aspects added as to why I love living in Madison.
690	I imagined a small percentage of litterers. It seemed the Agency plan would be the easiest to keep clean. The Corner proposal would wind up with litter floating in the marsh and sunken beverage containers under the walkway.
691	Love the preservation of wildlife in the first two! Hate the addition of chairs in the last one, gives an opportunity for lots of littering. The first two have a nice balance.
692	Included input of city officials and residents in their design pamphlet
693	The Sasaki plan provides an opportunity for residents to interact and also enjoy the natural environment of the lakes.
694	Priority on lake cleanliness and water management. Love the Amtrak station in the heart of the city.
695	The Sasaki is the most modern and shows opportunity for every weather season for Wisconsin
696	I like the combination of bike/running separation and lots of green space + beer garden
697	I like the idea of being able to swim, walk the trails and learn about the past including HoChunk Nation history
698	The top two firms honored a bike and ped path along the lake rather than shunting bikes along the street/tunnel. I'm not convinced that water access is best placed along the busy Cap City Trail.
699	Really enjoy the kayaking waterways, places to sit along the lake, and hammock opportunities
700	James Corner Field Operations really brings combines preserving the lake with the urban surroundings.
701	This plan best reflects the importance of connecting land and water
702	Speaking as a Madison resident, my priorities are met best with AL&P and Sasaki. Multi-use, multi-speed trails along John Nolen are badly in need of an upgrade and expansion.

703	I like the terraced approach under Monona terrace of the James corner plan. That will allow for multi use where currently there is not space for multi use!! I also really like the natural beach in the James corner. I like the vision of the Sasaki plan. It is very future facing. And I think it will look nice for many years to come.
704	Sasaki seems to offer more capacity for people to come and buoy the space.
705	Access to boaters in the form of a boathouse. Sailing/boating is a huge part of Madison's past and this plan welcomes lake access to the park.
706	Seems most responsive to on the ground (and water) conditions and realities
707	Sasaki wove together the history of our lakes and waterfront, how we use the lakes today, and our future, with a focus on preserving this important resource.
708	All the plans improve the outdoor activity and environmental potential of the area. But the one very important matter that they all differed on is the improvement of the intersections in the area. Sasaki's plan intentionally improves the intersections, whereas the James Corner Field Operations plan actively makes them worse, with added traffic at the Broom intersection, and vision-obstructing trees added all along the accident-prone North Shore Drive intersection.
709	broad activity
710	Love the plan
711	I think the focus on lake health responds to a resident priority.
712	Best team
713	Impressive and actually buildable!!!
714	Most green space downtown. Monona hill hides roads and parking. Good connection to Southside neighborhoods. Slows and narrows John Nolen drive car traffic. Piers and boardwalk encourage healthy lake wildlife.
715	Really like the Monona Hill idea of Agency Landscape & Planning, so simple yet brilliant way to connect the lake to the Capitol. Can't believe no one's ever thought of this idea before. I like that the hill itself would be an actively used space too, so the Hill kind of provides a 2-for-1 benefit. I also like how the plan restores habitat, separates bikes and pedestrians and treats stormwater.
716	Sasaki's design seems to be the only design that takes Camp Randall Rowing Club in consideration.
717	In the words of John Nolen "Dream no small dreams" Agency provides a grand vision of what the waterfront can be as well as sufficient details to demonstrate feasibility. Agency also separates uses more effectively, in particular pedestrian and bike movements.  Corner provides some intriguing concepts on a more detailed level such as; a vehicle drop off area at Broom St., a possible ped/bike connection from Northshore Dr to the lake under the bridges.  Sasaki's strong point is the attention and detail of the water quality component.



718	I like the idea of covering John Nolen Dr near the Monona Terrace and overpasses to access the lake, the bike path up/down the hill is nice but would also like a lake level path for bikes. I like the James corner addition of a community beach downtown.
719	Do not believe this project should be undertaken.
720	I think the Wild Lakeshore concept and design best represents the connection to nature and lake rehabilitation that people want. The Sasaki presentation builds up the lakeshore with non-natural elements.
721	Each of these plans presents a dynamic vision for the Lake Monona waterfront, but I feel James Corner has the best schematic designs and renderings of each of the three plan sets.
722	The community thought gathering and engagement work that Sasaki put in prior to design thinking was extraordinary.
723	The Sasaki plan incorporates facilities for lake users. All plans consider covering much of John Nolan Drive which is a wonderful idea.
724	Sasaki has done the most work to understand residents by focusing on Madison's many voices. I like the connection to people that have lived in this area for 1000s of years and connecting that to present day.
725	I loved the overall vision and preparedness of the agency and Sasaki teams, and I felt they both took the time to understand the space and do their best to improve and accent these spaces to give Madison the flagship lakefront space it deserves. In particular, I felt Agency's plan best balances the need for improved green spaces, transport infrastructure and connection of downtown and the lakefront, as well as integration of the current stakeholders in the area (i.e. watersports enthusiasts, fishermen, casual explorers of the space). The Monona hill feature was by far my favorite feature of all of the plans, I think it would truly transform the lakefront and make Madison a true gem of a city.
726	I think all understand the main points: better access, more usable space, and ecological restoration. They were all pretty close in that way. I thought that Sasaki had perhaps the best proposal ecologically, and LOVED their Olin Overlook, as it feel like it was the best blend of good habitat while offering a way for people to engage/view without intruding, however their Law Park areas seemed like a carnival and were not particularly sightly from the lake, sort of the opposite of their design for Olin Park . I liked how James Corner identified that the city foolishly faces away from the lake, and that the design is good from both the perspective of the lake and while you are in the park spaces. However the James Corner park proposal was a bit busy, I'd be happy with it, but I thought Agency Landscape was the best of them all. I though Agency Landscape's designs showcased the Monona Terrace, and did so in the correct way: blending it into a natural landscape. I have to imagine that is what Wright would have designed; the landscape is the most natural in Agency Landscape's design, its the most picturesque, there appeared to be lots of open spaces for people to just spend time, throw a frisbee, eat, instead of curated corners for single uses that don't feel natural.
727	Sasaki's in-depth research is really impressive and very convincing. Never seen another landscape architecture company doing this kind of great job.

728	Very important to tie in the existing fabric of downtown to the new. Also, vital to have dedicated bike path through the whole route - any shared path is highly dangerous like the current situation. I wish all the plans used the FL Wright designed Lake Monona boathouse (never completed here) as anchor at the end of John Nolen at Blair Street. The Sasaki design meets most of this. Would be improved with more separation of bike path and with the FL Wright Boathouse at the east end instead of contemporary design.
729	like the vision Sasaki created
730	The Agency Landscape + Planning concept has the best connection between the capitol square and the lakefront for both walking and biking. I especially like the focus on connecting MLK boulevard down to the lakefront. It also adds tons of park space right near downtown that can be used for all sorts of activities and events.
731	I like the detail and drawings from Field Operations best. They seem to capture the image I was thinking of.
732	The natural feel of agency allows the lake to look and feel less disturbed. Same goes for the Sasaki design. James corner looks to industrial and to much like the union terrace.
733	All plans respond well to Madison's priorities, but Agency Landscape and JCF have more ambitious goals to create signature new waterfront spaces.
734	JCFO ranked low for the lack of greenery near the Terrace. Though I loved the floating wetlands concept. Monona Hill is brilliant, but the seasonal design and thoughtful inclusion of indigenous voices in planning rose to the top for me.
735	#2 provides more park area overall, with multiple access points.
736	Sasaki is a clear #1. The other two are beautiful and interesting but not as strong, overall.
737	Saski's proposal connects well to the land's history and to ensuring integration with the environment, however the reliance of skybridges would cut off the project. JCFO provides amenities that are more flexible. Agency's large grassy fields will be empty most of the time.
738	Madison needs to focus less on vehicle traffic, and bike commuting is central to that. I think improving commuting and recreational bike and pedestrian trail use along John Nolen is paramount. This means either one very wide single path or separate bike and pedestrian paths. Don't make it harder for faster-moving bikes to pass through to downtown or home from downtown! Keep the commuting path relatively straight and direct.
739	The Hill is the most creative and innovative solution for adding green-space. Vehicle strategy and ped/bike strategy is also preferable to the other proposals.
740	I feel that Agency Landscape had the most realistic design plan and took a fun twist in their presentation with the futuristic perspective
741	The plan should focus on natural, green landscape while staying as simple as possible
742	Sasaki has the most diverse types of areas for different activities and seasons, then Field Operations and Aency is the most homogenous.

743	I like how the Sasaki design begins by prioritizing lake health as a restoration strategy. However, I think the JCFO plan does a better job of connecting the downtown with the waterfront. While there are opportunities for kayaking, none of the plans do an adequate job of providing infrastructure for sailing and rowing, both of which required dedicated infrastructure to store, launch and maintain/repair boats.
744	I love parts of 1 and 2 (agency and James corner). The water walkway gets my vote, but the playground and community area in the second would be welcome. I don't think we need a beach there - but the amphitheater seating looked cool.
745	Really liked the Agency one. Best trails. Don't think a beach is right for this area. Don't think a boulevard with trees is a good idea - want to protect that stunning beach. A really cool playground with zip lines would be cool and work with a lot of age groups
746	Generally I like all the plans. I rated the ALP plan the lowest because I don't like the Monona bike path options (tunnel with cars and over the top 5% grade up/down) .
747	All of the plan presenters indicated that their group understood the need to create better access to the lakefront for Madison residents. But only the AL+P plan seemed to recognize that for that to happen the lakefront needs to be reclaimed from vehicular traffic, and to achieve that the volume of traffic on John Nolen Drive needs to be dramatically reduced and the lakefront itself needs to be pedestrian only.
748	JCFO has the best plan to make the causeway safer, a dedicated fishing pier to make foot traffic and biking easier, the best wetlands plan, and the most versatile common spaces.
749	I think JCFO's causeway redesign is essential in traffic and safety management on the causeway. I think its fishing pier is great for reducing pedestrian/bike traffic on the terrace. I like the Sasaki plans use of an Amtrak station, but don't think they have enough on creating a better John Nolen.
750	I think that JCFO provides the most improved environmental planning re: John Nolan, and Sasaki's activity plan makes it second in terms of helping madison residents.
751	I absolutely love the Agency Landscape + Planning concept for residents and people visiting.
752	A priority to me is not making traffic even worse along one of the most congested parts of the city. Creating a four-way intersection at Broom is a TERRIBLE idea. We should be focusing on improving traffic flow and alternative methods for commuting. Not making driving WORSE.
753	Madison needs to preserve its lakes with best environmental practices. The Sasaki design integrates technology to enhance a better vision and unification of Madisonians to be connected to downtown, the lake, activities, and nature that surrounds it. It enhances the beauty of Madison that just seems to fit naturally.
754	The Sasaki plan seems like the most well rounded plan that will be best for all the people of madison.

755	Agency and James Corner best incorporated the biking and active transportation culture of Madison as well as the diversity of uses people currently seek such as fishing access, boating access, and hammock spots.
756	Agency and James Corner best incorporated the importance of the bike path - I liked their separate bike/walk (fast/slow) lanes.
757	The first feels weird and like Madison.
758	<p>Agency Proposal - I like the beach at olin, LOVE the slow/fast lanes (#1 priority for me would be bike/walk lanes); "walk on water" is amazing; the john nolan underpass would be #2 priority for me.</p> <p>James Corner Proposal - the King st pier is cool, so is the learn to canoe course. I like that is has multiple playgrounds. On the downside, it doesn't seem to improve street crossings as much, especially by Olin and doesn't provide enough greenspace. I do like the event &amp; performance lawn - more opportunities for community events, as well as the cafes.</p> <p>Sasaki proposal - I like the amphitheater/event space/performance lawn and the playgrounds &amp; cafes. I like the floating wetlands and the biergarten. I appreciate the ADA accessible &amp; the ecological thoughtfulness. The canopy walk is cool, and I like that there's at least some fast/slow parts, but am concerned - where's the bike path? It has a lots of good green things, but still a lot of concrete. Nice kayak center. Love that they mentioned restrooms! The storywalk idea is neat</p>
759	The top two projects include all aspects that I care about most: a marsh boardwalk, a nature center, dividing the bike path into walkers and bikers, and expanding the green space around John Nolen. I prefer Sasaki because they address how they plan to change the roads and intersections to control flow and speed and as someone who loves nature but often crosses through John Nolen with my car, this is very important and I feel they heard the concerns of the people. They also mentioned a potential space for an Amtrack station and that is REALLY important to the people of Madison.
760	Love the time they took to collect community input from a wide variety of stakeholders. The design is exciting and accessible and weaves together the rich history of Madison with a modern take.
761	Honestly, I would rank them tied on this one. If I had to pick...From the materials, I appreciate Sasaki's communicating their plan to include multiple languages, providing historical context. In the end, however, the design fell short (it feels too busy, though I love how John Nolen drives disappears in the tree canopy).
762	I really like the "Monona Hill" idea and converting all of that concrete to green space to connect the Capitol area with the Terrace
763	Sasaki has taken into consideration various voices including Ho Chunk people, community organizations, and residents. They clearly listened to the perspectives of the people who will benefit most from this project.
764	I enjoy that James Corner Field Ops feels like it embodies an enhanced version of Madison and its culture today. I could see myself utilizing the space more than the other two options. Of the three options, it achieves the idea of "daily use" to me the most.

765	The most important aspect to me is bike infrastructure and the ease of bike and pedestrian access over John Nolen (I prefer a bridge the most but an underpass works great as well). Green infrastructure and the integration of nature, trees, reduced traffic flow, and waterfront revitalization is also extremely important.
766	<p>I loved them all.</p> <p>Whatever is chosen, I would ask that they keep the design from being too complicated.</p> <p>Right now any and every one that uses that space is MOVING, either in a car, a bike or walking.</p> <p>Having some space to just stop, sit and enjoy the lake is missing.</p> <p>Please optimize that in what ever design is chosen.</p> <p>Think of Memorial Union.</p> <p>There needs to be lots of space to do that.</p> <p>Any maybe some food/drink/ice cream vendors.</p> <p>My one concern is what impact any of these designs will have on the lake itself.</p> <p>It seems that they all "intrude" on to the lake as it now exists.</p>
767	James Corner Field Operations completely envisioned the idea of Madison's Lake Front neighborhood. A place that all citizens of Madison can enjoy all year long
768	Sasaki clearly understands the history and evolution of Madison and Lake Monona. Their vision encompasses both the heritage of the area and the environmental priorities. I feel that James Corner presented a more sterile, generic vision that could be dropped into any city with a lake.
769	Whilst not as dramatic or stunning in their design as Agency or Sasaki, I think this will make the project more feasible + practical for Madison residents whilst still providing a lot of the design goals. My biggest reason in JCFOs ranking as first is their proposed reduction in traffic lanes and intersection redesigns; the others have provided either smaller less usable solutions or ones that simply attempt to still prioritize car infrastructure above all else. We don't need fancy overpasses or throughways. We need less lanes and higher traffic calming. If you ask me, we need to put roundabouts in at Broom and North Shore. THAT will significantly reduce the speeds and hectic traffic flow that plagues intersection designs, and with the added benefit of better access for pedestrians and bicyclists, alongside more green space to accompany the revitalized boulevard.
770	my largest concern is providing efficient and safe separated bike/ped access that prioritizes getting people places while avoiding dangerous intersecting traffic, making the pathways easier to keep clear in the winter, and providing separation ( physical, sound, and sight) from vehicular traffic. the JCFO seems to strike the best compromise of being scenic, offering accessibility, and limiting dangerous interaction between traffic modes
771	I greatly appreciate the connection to Ho Chunk land and the connection to nature. This plan is thoughtfully environmentally friendly and socially conscious

772	I think the design of James Corner Field Operations can provide things for all ages and truly felt like Madison. Only adding to the already beautiful city.
773	Maybe they all heard our voices, but Sasaki was the best at feeding that back in their presentations. It's important to look all the way back to our original inhabitants...the lakes, flora and fauna, and then Ho Chunk and forward.
774	The James Corner Field Operations plan seems the most usable by many different ages & abilities of people
775	Sasaki's renderings looked the most realistic and inclusive. It has a bit of everything. I liked how the showed a view of the law park shoreline with people gathering at sunset on green spaces, viewing areas, and boathouse. People love to view the lake in the afternoon while the water ski team practices or when they have shows.
776	Sasaki was the only one that talked to Madison people and understood the needs. It is building up the existing space and seems very reasonable.
777	Sasaki is more environmentally conscious and incorporates established Madison traditions
778	I really appreciate all the new activities that come with the "Sasaki" plan. As well as the habitat improvements made along the shoreline for wildlife and fish alike. We may be a city, but having nature in arms reach is what makes this city a magical place at times. This plan seems to amplify Madison's notes of nature as well as cater to the needs of social spaces such as their "food truck" space, amongst other things. Not to mention the plans idea of wetland areas in order to lessen the amount of storm water runoff. All in all i feel this plan was the most beneficial not only to the local wildlife and ecosystem but also to the people of this city.
779	The ecological impact of Sasaki's plan is excellent. The connection to the past and honoring the history of Madison will only improve city pride, awareness, and advocacy.
780	Putting businesses and attraction most realizes making the riverfront a destination, rather than just a simple decoration.
781	Putting the ecological concerns of the lake first and the financial feasibility of each plan is how I determined my ranking.
782	Sasaki's is the most thorough, complete design. It's beautiful. Love the creation of a living green edge. As well as, providing year round appeal with an event lawn, winter garden, and ice skating...
783	I don't see any parking for the increase of people. I would like as little as possible interaction between car traffic and bike, pedestrian traffic. Do intersections have bridges for peds and bikes. Cars SHOULD NOT be penalized for having to work downtown.
784	I think that James Corner Field Operations design gives Madison a stronger connection with nature while opening up the park and Monona bay to everyone. I love Agency landscape + planning's incorporation of the native burial grounds in the area and I think the water walk is a good concept. However both Agency and Sasaki lack the incorporation of nature into the city and the blend between the waterfront area and downtown that James Corner provides.
785	The James Corner Field Operations design is the best of the 3 IMO

786	They ALL represent a lot of the priorities of Madison so this was hard but I think the ecological rebuilding and sustainably supporting the lake most stood out by the order I listed.
787	James Croner Fielding Operations' design has the experience and track record of success. I have served on the City Planning Commission and worked eight years in many levels for the building of Monona Terrace and have some understanding of some of the challenges of the doing complicated coalitions and partnerships with projects like this. I respect the James Corner staff in being able to build the High Line in New York City. When I experienced it and saw its success and saw the joy it brought to those using the highline, I wondered who and how coordinated the many civic and city departments.
788	I'm most invested in the plans that include natural environment awareness as well as cultural (specifically First Nations) awareness.
789	Making the waterfront, especially behind Monona Terrace a welcoming and inviting space is extremely important in order to connect the two separate sides of the isthmus. The Agency plan definitely takes this into consideration.
790	I think we need something truly bold, and only one option offers that.
791	Sasaki provides the most connection to the lake.
792	Agency Landscape and Planning offers a wide variety of options for all residents, throughout the seasons. They carefully thought through the painpoints like transportation into the downtown area, and linked the locations in various methods.
793	I feel one aspect that I love about Madison is the nature. I love that everywhere I've lived downtown is seconds to walk or run to the water, and I can put in a kayak or a canoe or go ice skating easily. I think that both Agency and Sasaki's designs value too highly man-made designs with their boardwalks and cement, whereas James Corner's tree-laden boulevard, wetlands and more subtle paths seem to evoke more of what I love about Madison, it's understated and "simple" nature lifestyle.
794	Like the changes to John Nolan and direct pedestrian access to the lake
795	The inclusivity of the Sasaki design really resonates with what the Dejope/Madison area has always been: a gathering place, a remembering place, a living space.
796	All are very close in this and show deep understanding.
797	Will best accomodate crowds for the water ski show
798	Agency Landscaping is best
799	James Corner is far and away the best choice. Anything else is a poor decision
800	All three proposals were strong, but the intentional design and thought that went into the Sasaki proposal was in a tier of its own. Everything from understanding debris collection, rain water run off, etc etc. Very impressed.
801	The ribbon design connects the entire waterfront and not just an emphasis on either side. Also the waterfront restaurant is a big plus

802	<p>Sasaki emphasizes lake health and seems to have a strong plan. It best takes advantage of the entire space along the causeway.</p> <p>James Corner did the best job of bringing downtown Madison access to the lakefront.</p>
803	<p>I like how they cut off John Nolan Dr and give the area back to the parks.</p>
804	<p>While I only slightly preferred Agency Landscape over James Corner Field, the more creative presentation format gave me a stronger faith in their potential to complete the project with a creative and thoughtful outlook.</p>
805	<p>First, I found the Agency Landscape video to be the clearest in terms of vision, and affected changes. I also loved the idea of a big green space around the Monona Terrace rather than the sea of concrete. The multiple uses in all seasons of the park had the greatest overall appeal. While I liked that the Sasaki presentation brought in a variety of voices, especially of our Ho Chunk original people, and I thought the green bridge was a nice idea, their vision seemed far less clear. In effect it seemed that they were paying attention to the diversity of 'voices' but not moving these forward. The Agency Landscape plan not only pays attention to who might be future users of these areas, but rather than paying lip service to these diverse voices, they bring an actual plan to create green spaces into the South side neighborhoods, which have been neglected heretofore.</p>
806	<p>Saski's design is beautiful and will help to liven that area of Madison.</p>
807	<p>Agency Landscaping provides the highest amount of access from the capitol square to the lakefront by capping a longer stretch of road.</p>



808	<p>additional pavement in park areas. Not enough shade vegetation for peds and bikes along John Nolen. Too much like Summerfest grounds in Milwaukee... lots of pavement with patches of green here and there. Not as good of a refuge from city life as the other plans have.</p> <p>2) James Corner = better than Sasaki. Green boulevard, evergreen tree plantings for winter greenery, nice landscaping along John Nolen for cars, but not enough shade for peds and bikers or people sitting to enjoy. Too much pavement and cement paths in Olin park. Boardwalk is nice... But Pier and boat launch area (stairs to water) is one of those places that nobody will sit in the sun and bake on cement stairs to look at the lake.</p> <p>3) Agency Landscape = best of all proposals! Really put deep thought into planting areas with shade and native species, bringing a natural feeling back to the heart of the city for people to enjoy without having to drive to the country. I'd suggest reducing the man-made impacts of new features (beach, community green, parking area) and make sure that the boardwalk is sustainably sourced and will last a long lifetime so it doesn't have to be rebuilt in 40 years. Add shade to boardwalk and seating along edge (for people to sit and relax under a canopy... something to block the harsh sun when you sit and gaze from the boardwalk). Also, reduce the Plaza area's impact... make more tree-filled. People can congregate under the tree canopy. Larger trees along John Nolen to shade the paths would be preferable. Some shrub layer to buffer sound and wind and add habitat. Trees between car lanes would help reduce dominant feeling of adjacent roadway. "Living shoreline" is very nice feature! Lots of natural space to enjoy community in is great! Good job at mixing uses... like how Olbrich Gardens mixes gardens with cultural needs... giving people both a refuge, as well as a fun, interesting place to congregate... well done integration of uses. "Monona Hill" overlooks and overpasses are cool ideas... and park space well designed... interesting... would be great addition to Monona Terrace complimenting architecture with beautiful plantings. Just make sure that the bike path has one area for commuters who want a quick route to work... not just a recreational meandering path for fun. Agency Landscape did an impressively</p>
809	<p>Sasaki's proposal seemed to have taken so much of the community's feedback to heart. I've lived in Madison for over 20 years and think this interpretation is the best way for all of Madison to enjoy the lake.</p>
810	<p>Agency's design befits the design legacy of Wisconsin while putting its natural history and character at the forefront of the site. The design by JCFO prioritizes nature but the program doesn't take the climate into account. The SASAKI design is uninspired.</p>
811	<p>I love how Sasaki brought in all 4 communities on the lake and has more event and restaurant space</p>
812	<p>I liked their cultural sensitivity.</p>
813	<p>active, connective, attractive, welcoming, accessible</p>
814	<p>Sasaki is the only plan that acknowledged the Ho-Chunk Nation. It specifically acknowledged all ages and all abilities. It put nature first.</p>
815	<p>All three teams did well in this category. I rank JCFO and Sasaki higher because they brought a more complete vision to their designs. JCFO dealt best with connections to the rest of the city, and with the fundamental infrastructure which is needed to make their design a reality.</p>

816	I think AL+P's vision did the best job of creating a complete waterfront park fully connected to downtown. JCFO did a great job of creating a series of special places and spaces
817	Sasaki and Agency Landscape + Planning were very responsive to the needs of the Madison community. They responded to meetings with the Mad City Ski team and listened to their needs, while James Corner did not.
818	Greater focus on recreation, place building in addition to focus on nature.
819	The plan presented by James Corner Field Operations has the ability to comprehend and react to the preferences of residents.
820	Sasaki's ecological design and cohesive landscape framework are highly commendable for the site, while JCFO has made significant efforts in creating a pleasant and livable environment.
821	I like the transition from the busy downtown area to the natural lake front. shapes of design tie in well with the shape of the lake and the FLW convention center design.
822	I think the JCFO plan better addresses the dangerous high traffic and lakefront access issues. I also believe their plan provides substantially more usable space dedicated to the Madison lifestyle, including beaches, safe kayak zones, and relaxing park space. Please note that I did not provide a ranking for Sasaki as their plan and presentation is too confusing and muddled to understand easily. This chaotic presentation would not bode well for implementation.
823	I like the Agency Landscape plus planning, because of the way it is laid out.
824	thoughtful integration with the existing city edge
825	Agency has a more cohesive plan that makes the Monona Terrace feel as part of the lakeshore instead of now where it feels it was built on the lakeshore.
826	Madison residents want access to waterfront all times of the year from all points of the city. Sasaki has most intimately thought through how to connect the waterfront to the city rather than just independantly develop it into a better place.
827	James Corner Field Operations provided the best Vision for the City and integrated great renderings and video to explain this Vision.
828	i really like the idea of the walking paths and the beach.
829	I think the idea of the beach can provide more welcomeness for residents
830	the James Corner Field Operation has a beach but the Sasaki has a beach and more.
831	Sasaki uses systems to help Monona lake, the health of the lake and its inhabitants are super important to my family and many others in our community.
832	Sasaki provides more activities for residents to do.
833	I really like the Sasaki Idea because I like how it doesn't only focus on human benefits but also nature benefits like greenery and habitat for under water animals. I also like how it reminds people who this land first belonged to and the history of it too.
834	I like that it cleans up the lake and keeps everything safe.
835	I like number three it's very innovative.
836	I like the Sasaki because of all the walkways and travel places.

837	it would provide walkways to madison
838	it is greener and looks nicer
839	i like the 2nd one because it seems the best but i also like 3 with the elevated walk higher up
840	I like how there is a beach and lots of walkways
841	sasaki is best
842	Saski was the only only presentation (video) to give more than passing acknowledgement to the enduring presence of Ho-Chunk peoples and ancestral land claims to the Four Lakes, and Third Lake in particular. I appreciate that and would like to see that presence be MORE than simply a "land acknowledgement" statement.
843	The Agency plan envisions multi-modal use of the lakefront for year-round use, without being overbuilt. Creating usable space, while respecting the natural habitat and minimizing concrete use will create a fabulous, natural space of the local residents to enjoy.
844	James Corner not only addresses current concerns but goes above and beyond making amazing spaces throughout the whole lake! I want to eat at that lake side restaurant, wade through the new shallow beach, and stroll along the slow walkway. I love the new infrastructure they propose connecting the city to the waterfront
845	I love the canopy walk of option three but the improvements to the terrace would be great from option one
846	I like the canopy walk in the Sasaki design. I think it'll bring more people together and is friendly to all ages.
847	Sasaki's plan most thoughtfully caters to the varied needs of Madison residents. Inclusions like the expansion to the Monona terrace and elevated footbridge connection to the Capitol area show its vision for emphasizing the urban strengths of downtown Madison. Their vision for the causeway and Olin park + surrounding intersections is thoughtful and realistic. Their design is the most nuanced in terms of the spatial awareness it shows for the existing strengths of different locations along the lakeshore.  The James Corner proposal feels underwhelming. It doesn't maximize the potential of the Monona Terrace area.
848	None
849	I appreciate the designs that best incorporate elements which accommodate all users, and allow access for continued use by the ski show.
850	Sasaki incorporates past ideas as well as new innovation to brighten the shoreline and nurture a welcoming space for Madison residents as well as local nature.
851	i like the large addition of greenspace near the terrace, i like the prioritization over cars, good to get rid of parking, i think there is a good balance of opportunities for all communities and activities
852	Agency Landscape appears to realize the outdoor/recreational spirit more than the others with increased green areas.

853	The agency landscape and planning design features dynamic paths that go across the water which is very pretty
854	Love having a say in this!
855	The Agency Landscape + Planning team maximized nature and public areas with less physical buildings and man-made obstructions.
856	They're all great but James Corner's seems to provide the best integration with the rest of the city.
857	The sasaki plan demonstrates Madisonian's connection and appreciation for nature and culture
858	Love the connection to indigenous wisdom and to environmental conservation and synergy. Please include Olin Park in any final design!!
859	I like the year round activities with Sasaki JCFO is great also for its habitat restorations
860	I like how James Corner Field Operations designed Olin Park and the road but I like how Agency Landscape + Planning designed Monona terrace
861	Sasaki is the best option. good for the environment and for the people.
862	All plans have a focus on improved wetland areas to help clean the lake, and improved transportation. I think the JCFO best fills every Madison resident's desire to "Have a drink by the lake" that has made Memorial Union so crowded every nice day.
863	Sasaki proposal was most attuned to sustainability
864	I picked Sasaki first because it gives Madison an opportunity to build a stronger community. Sasaki offers many different activities to do while also having places to sit down and enjoy the nature madison offers. I really appreciate the idea of forrest restoration, and not only that but it's accessible for people with wheelchairs. It offers a beach for the summer, a playground for the children, and beautiful vegetation that we don't get to see much in this area since it's consumed by automobiles. I think Sasaki can benefit multiple demographics and I feel like what Madison stands for is intersectionality because we pride that in all of our communities and I believe sasaki demonstrates that within their design.
865	1 seems to provide the most options for everyone. Another memorial union, but without the all the college students. Has a lot more flexibility
866	Corner: too much hardscape at Olin, misunderstands local ecology (tamarack swamp, tall grass prairie), another boat landing/launch (especially for kayaks and SUPs) isn't needed so close to Brittingham. Sasaki preserving oaks at Olin is great, but jungle-inspired canopy walk destroys savanna openness; if there's a nature center in the park, use space in the existing building.
867	The Agency plan fits in best with the natural landscape. Provides more green space. Best bike accommodations near Terrace choke point.
868	i think the agency's plan best responds to the needs of residents, as it provides both a dock space and a good area for swimming that can be super usable. however, i do think that the james corner field operations does an excellent job with the lakeshore framework, and would love to see a collaboration of agency water/dock + corner shore work.

869	Turning the area around the Monona Terrance into a land bridge absolutely sold me. I think it's a great way to prioritize the needs of the lake and the nature around it while also providing Madison with beautiful outside areas that are so so needed
870	I think Madison residents want to bring more green space into the city and do what we can to better help the health of our lakes
871	The James Corner Field one just seems like a slab of concrete. It would be boarderline too hot to use in the summer and would just be covered in snow in the winter.I want more nature that is also appealing to the eye. The Agency one allows for further improvement in the nature build of the area and the Sasaki one is a good idea as long as the public treats it well.
872	I liked the design of sasaki
873	Agency focus the most on restoring the greenery, lake-life, and ecosystem. I value this the most.
874	Overall, I believe that the Agency plan best incorporates the priorities of Madison residents.
875	Sasaki has more things to do and more educational opportunities. It also has more cheap activities for college students.
876	Honestly, all three are incredible, all focus on the health of the environment.
877	Sasaki's design provides much more interconnectivity, which caters better to the cyclists and pedestrians that frequent the area, and it does so without sacrificing connectivity for those traveling by road
878	Efficient and beautiful. Similar to Chicago.
879	Jim Corner is sick dude
880	I really like the Sasaki and James Corner Field the best. One of my greatest memories and pride is having access to the beaches and being able to grab hot dogs, chips, ice cream, water or a soda. I love the new ideas and concepts. I hope you all make the beaches a beautiful popular destination. That will economically foster a new generation of beach visitors from our area as well incorporate open green space.
881	I really appreciated the focus on nature and restoring the lakes over recreation. I think that marshes and wildlife should be the main focus of this project along with trying to elite the speed and noise of traffic along John Nolen.
882	The most important factor is opening up King St. like the founding Fathers had wanted. Next we need to cover up as much of John Nolen as possible as well as create as much space as possible.
883	Sasaki's design most prioritized nature and environmental concerns. I really like their Olin Park proposal.
884	Sasaki was the most comprehensive and thorough plan. I like to see that lake health was prioritized along with amplifying indigenous histories.
885	This design seems to best understand Madison's residents of the past, present and future.

886	I appreciate that all three plans center water and greenspace near the lakeshore so that all people can enjoy what is perhaps this city's greatest asset. However, I think Agency's plans are a bit underbaked and do not take seriously enough the reality that the lakefront will continue to serve as a busy mutli-modal transportation route. Sasaki, in my opinion, best integrates the non-transportation recreational uses with the transportation uses
887	The Sasaki plan feel the most like Madison. Embracing the local communities, culture, natures, and indigenous history.
888	All of these are a win- I appreciate having separate paths for different uses. This will be a big safety improvement.
889	James Corner Field Operations, The Wild Lakeshore by far best understand and responds to the priorities of Madison. I say this because going through each of the plans, Field Operations brings these plans to life. From reviewing these plans, I feel like I am alive in these plans, I see myself in the kayak, at the beach, restaurant, boardwalk. And these plans touch every season in Wisconsin, which is critical. I truly enjoyed these plans and all I see are positives. I love this plan.
890	This plan lists exactly how it will solve the environmental issues and includes many recreational plans
891	I really like how Sasaki prioritized the native voice and history into their plans. The focus on the eco effects seem best for the lakes and land.
892	I like the wild shore, more recreation opportunities, and cultural integration.
893	Agency has a good balance of eco vs usage by all. Love the Olin circle walk into the lake and the natural hill near the Terrace.
894	Agency proposes the most aggressive capping/covering of John Nolen, along with two overpasses to provide even more connections. They also propose a grade separated path parallel to John Nolen to allow pedestrian crossing.  Sasaki's plan is the most ambitious in terms of environmental quality, but it seems not to go far enough in returning the waterfront to people instead of cars.
895	Provides the most focus on accessibility from South Side neighborhoods, which need to be the priority of any city planning.
896	JCFO's plan creates a sense of place by focusing most heavily on the timeless beauty Wisconsin's native plants and landscape, and not focusing so much on contemporary architecture trends which are already beginning to feel dated
897	It would be great to have a space to pull up kayaks easily!
898	Agency simply provides the most walking space along the shoreline, as well as shoreline restoration.
899	Flow from the neighborhood was prioritized in video 1
900	James Corner Proposal is stunning! I love the trees and all the green that they want to introduce. I picked them over Agency because I think their road design for John Nolan is the best to reduce the car noise pollution and allow bikers and walkers to enjoy the amazing view. Sasaki fell short for me due to the lack of new additions to the west of the terrace.
901	Ecological understanding and engagement with community

902	My sense is that in community meetings, the list of priorities was long including shoreline protection, safe bike and walking paths, quiet areas, activity areas, performance areas. I think that Sasaki tried to satisfy everyone, which I found overwhelming and too "urban" (as in more concrete than I like). Personally, I like the Agency Landscape proposal best, but I think that James Corner did a better job of addressing more of the items on the list of priorities.
903	Agency Landscapes monona hills are exactly what the city needs
904	Agency Landscape has a design that is perfect for the city. It's realistic and not overly complicated, and focuses on what Madison is all about: being an active city and having beautiful landscape.
905	I love that they all have a boardwalk or increase water access points, and I love the addition of the community gathering areas. What I love the most about the Sasaki design is the direct access to the lake waterfront from the downtown capital area.
906	Field Operations provides a natural shoreline that will collect sediment prior to draining into Lake Monona. They are using Olin/Turnville to offset fill in the Lake at Law Park.
907	I appreciate that all three plans want to improve the ecology of the lake, but the reality is that it is always going to be an artificial environment in the middle of a densely populated downtown and the Agency proposal seems to best recognize that reality and has the strongest sense of place making.
908	All of these reports are beautiful, but haven't really gotten into the nuts and bolts of how this is going to happen. They feel great advertisements, with little detail about how they will actually pull it off. for example: how will it feel to be one of the >1,000 bicyclists per day that use the path for transportation to work, access to entertainment or east side businesses? How will it feel to be someone who is trying to go to work in the area? I didn't see any maps in their plans that explained new bus, bike or car transportation routes. Agency Landscape & planning cherry picked from a few reports and meetings for their report, Sasaki interviewed a sad total of 4 Madison Residents, and the James Corner group only gave 6 random people (with no names or affiliation) 1-line quotes. To me, none of them really got to know what Madison's priorities are beyond what the city told them. None of them did very thorough research. I appreciate that Sasaki did talk to the original people and made gestures to include native voices in the design.
909	JCFO's approach to wilderness is a concept that holds true to the greater lakes region. Instead of including it allows nature to take the center stage and inform how users activate the site.
910	I think the Sasaki plan includes elements important to most Madisonians: an emphasis on the natural history of the local lakes and an emphasis on education. It also did the best at proposing year-round activities for the area.
911	Improve lakefront access and celebrate the lake.
912	I like the James Corner one the best, but the environmental focus of the Sasaki plan makes it a close second. I liked them all.
913	Environmentally, Sansaki takes the cake and I think that's a huge priority here along with recognizing the Ho chunk people

914	he Sasaki presentation demonstrated the strongest approach on public engagement.
915	Sasaki's submission clearly connects community feedback to design. Agency also did well. JCFO's design completely misses the mark. The causeway is transitory dead space to the community and turning a known speeding corridor into a boulevard with fewer lanes is dangerous. This will reduce pedestrian and cyclist visibility while increasing traffic strain on the corridor.
916	<p>Priorities include making Lake Monona more useable especially for people without cars, boats, or water toys. Also, safer biking and walking areas on John Nolen. Also, continued access of John Nolen for vehicle travel, prioritizing people living downtown who need alternative routes to leave/enter the city, rather than people traveling through Madison and avoiding the Beltline.</p> <p>JCFO has the most cohesive idea for the different spaces. Sasaki is all over the place with random structures in different places, a variety of architectural designs, and not totally cohesive plans. Their plan for Olin Park was interesting and the best part of their plan. However, removing all vehicle access on John Nolen apart from emergency vehicles locks people living downtown into only two routes of getting off the isthmus; University Ave or E Wash. The two main thoroughfares already struggle to support the needs of the growing downtown population. If E Wash &amp; University had rapid transit trains (like the Minneapolis light rail or Chicago's L Train), it would be more feasible to only have two main ways to get off the isthmus. But Sasaki's plan ignores the reality of Madison's urban issues.</p> <p>Agency Landscape + Planning design was more similar to JCFO, but it felt less imaginative than JCFO. I particularly liked the transformation of John Nolen Dr into a green boulevard. It better fits with downtown Madison's unique style of living, and keeps some vehicle access while making biking and walking much safer than it is presently.</p>
917	I LIKE THIS DESIGN THE BEST OF THE 3 BUT AM NOT SURE WHAT MORE SHOULD BE DONE WITH THE MONONA TERRACE. DON'T SPEND MORE TAX PAYER \$\$\$ ON THIS PART!
918	Like Monona hill, broom street beach, and the bike path from James corner field operations
919	Rewilding of the area sounds good to me.
920	I appreciate that the Field Operations and Agency plans consider phasing. They contain realistic, short-term ideas like improving road safety and access. Even if the more visionary ideas are not implemented, Madison residents will still be able to enjoy the lakefront more than before.
921	I believe the prioritizing the health of the lake is the best use of money in the long term. The attractions that come with this redesign won't be popular if the lake smells or isn't appealing to swim and recreate in. My rankings I think reflect who had the best ideas for reintroducing wetlands



922	James Corner has good separation between bike trail and pedestrian trails, and Agency is close.
923	<p>I love pretty much everything about James Corner Field Operations' proposal. I especially like that they are clearly separating the bike and walking/wheelchair paths. And there are so many ways to access the lakefront and variety of ways to enjoy it.</p> <p>From Agency, I like the Monona Hill concept. It appears to add more greenspace than JCFO's starting point.</p> <p>From Sasaki, I like the emphasis on green infrastructure/fringe gardens/floating wetlands and especially the canopy walk in Olin Park (but I don't think the nature center part is needed--don't put another building in the park that takes up space!). I love to swim, my dog loves to swim, I'm surrounded by lakes -- and yet I have to drive to Devils Lake to swim safely. It makes me want to scream.</p>
924	Understanding winter conditions, sometimes up to six months of the year, would respond to priorities of Madison residents.
925	I love Sasaki's emphasis on community and public good. I like Agency's design, especially for Olin Park. Could we combine?
926	All quite equal in considering our needs. I don't like ranking them because they all have strengths and weaknesses.
927	Really they all look good so at some point potential cost probably determines what can be done
928	bringing in more green spaces and focusing on the memorial union would be great to bring people in. also the parkside dining in the summer would slap
929	All three plans show an emphasis on reducing traffic speed and making the waterfront safer and more pleasant for cyclists and pedestrians. I think this is very important, because our lakeshores should be preserved for people's enjoyment rather than car travel.
930	Green space to interact with is imperative. Gardens, outdoor gathering spaces, areas to play and learn new things is important to Madisonian's and our culture. Additionally, clean lakes would be nice. I don't even fish here anymore which is a large bummer.
931	The James Corner plan does a great job of combining the beautiful natural scenery of Lake Monona with vibrant entertainment of downtown Madison.
932	Sasaki structured their design around what they heard from the people, but JCFO clearly understands the importance of connecting the Downtown area on top of the bluff over the RR tracks and JND to the water's edge. Agency has pushed that high priority goal off into the future some time.
933	Put high value on building green space over John Nolen Drive, but I appreciate many of the design elements from James Corner, especially Olin Park and shrinking lanes on John Nolen Drive

934	Sasaki's plan is very cool and will likely draw visitors while providing more lake access, cleaning up the lake and improving access to it - it excites me. Agency's plan is ok, but lacks the excitement and coolness factor. I like the walking paths out in the water but there are no other cool structures like Sasaki's boat house, elevated waterfront park, etc. And JCFO's plan I think focuses too much on creating marsh - leaves little desirable direct access to the water and it's not an attractive plan overall.
935	I think that all three plans are exceptional, but I think that James Corner Field Operations' plan exceeded in delivering a plan that emphasized both social connectedness as well as improvement to the ecological health to the lake and lakeshore. This plan above the other two provides plans to create discreet, unique experiences all along the John Nolen causeway and lakefront while also creating unified access along this entire 3-ish mile stretch.
936	We are in desperate need of a large, prominent public beach. Something that can be the "gem" of our lakes and a spot for people to swim, relax, and do things that you would normally think you can easily do in a city located on an isthmus! Our beaches have somewhat fallen by the wayside with staffing challenges, and other issues such as litter etc. Having a large, prominent sand beach that prioritizes swimming would be an accessible and FREE activity that demonstrates the the values of our city. We strive to be inclusive and accessible for all- lets put our money where our mouth is and create something that doesn't require paying for a rental, riding a fancy bike, or having the luxury of being able to pay a premium to dine on the lakefront. Swimming is a free activity that promotes wellness. Having a prominent and large sand beach would be a point of pride for our city and someday or decedents would wonder how we ever lived in Madison without (yet to be named) Beach. Our city needs this more than anything. Cleanliness wouldn't be an issue, since so many people would use it on a daily basis. Think of having something similar to Bradford Beach here in Madison. Easy to keep clean and a point of pride and gathering for the community.
937	Agency plan has more green space, including the hill on either side of the FLW convention center. It has the most separation of cars, pedestrian and bike traffic.
938	I like how easily accessible Saski's design is from the capital and the park over the highway is a great entry point
939	I chose Sasaki as #1 because it *centers* (vs. just a token nod) ecology and indigenous beliefs about honoring and respecting the land and water vs. owning and dominating.
940	James Corner Field meets all the priorities
941	I like that there are several ways to get to the water and be active or quiet in the Field master plan. I like that there is acknowledgement in the Field plan for food concessions and plenty of fishing.
942	Sasaki was the only plan that gave thoughtful consideration to traffic flow as well as ecological conservation. It also demonstrates knowledge of current and historic local interests. It far outranks the other two.

943	Agency offered the best plan to balance the multiple needs (transportation, environmentalism, access, entertainment, etc.) of the area.
944	I like the Sasaki design because it is more environmentally friendly. I like the plan for Olin Park the most. I also feel this plan is more realistic for the traffic flow on John Nolen Drive. I like the James Corner Field Operations design but financially I don't think it would be feasible. I do like their presentation includes their experience and would have liked to know the other presenters their experience.
945	Field Operations brilliantly illustrates the power and beauty of excellent shorefront park design that reinvigorates a city prepared to step forward on the national and international stage. The design clearly illustrates the new opportunities for improved health and wellbeing for residents through safe and inviting open space and programmed design for recreational use.
946	I liked the included survey responses.
947	As a Madison resident who used this area by bike, on foot, by car, and on the water and as someone who is deeply concerned by the water quality and environmental aspects of this redevelopment the plan I ranked first spoke most to my hopes for the new plan. Prioritizing public hot spots as in the plans I ranked second and third is not what I think is most important with this project. Giving lake access to all users, safety, and protecting our beautiful chain of lakes is a complex equation I feel is most satisfied by the plans offered by agency landscape + planning. Thanks for considering these comments.
948	<p>I liked the fact that all designs used the waterfront to grow out for recreational users. I donot want to see Olin Park changed since its vaolue is as a NATURAL Park, not a developed Park, developing the waterfront woudl be OK, but retain the trees and green space, I did NOT liek the Treetop Walkway since this isnt a tropicla rianforest and we need to preserve the trees as is, we don;t need a a tree tramway, I enjoyed the concepts and big picture scope of the Agency Landscape &amp; Planning, especially the park over the road and railroad, but doubt these grandiose ideas are really feasible financially, but it sure woudl allow access to the lakefront which is now blocked by Monona Terrace. I liked all the waterfront development in all the plans but question whether they would be allowed to do that in the lake (infill, etc.)</p> <p>I like the James Corner plans because they seemed less grandiose, i although I did NOT like was the tree top tramway through Olin Park, it would disrupt the green oases of the Park. I did not care for Sassaki, it seemed too complicated and included lots of unnecessary develpment.</p>
949	The Sasaki proposal addresses cleaning of the lakes at the shoreline and provides the kind of amenities that will draw people downtown. I like that it pays tribute to Madison's Indigenous culture and history. The James Corner proposal does a great job of ecological restoration - I love the kayak areas - but doesn't create the kind of amenities I'd want to see downtown, like a musical performance space. Also, none of these projects highlights parking - if you don't live downtown, where will you park?

950	Only Agency deals well with access to Olin Turville, which is now cut off by a 6 lane highway. It also has the most unstructured greenspace and shore habitat which locals will appreciate over and over. J Corner and Sasaki try too hard to be all things to all activities and are WAY overbuilt.
951	The park spaces and plan/reasoning for reshaping the shore line.
952	Appears that a lot more thought was given in attempts to embrace natural habitat into the long range plan and use was evident in submission 1. More adaptable it changes moving into the future.
953	I think Agency's plan contains more positive and creative elements than the other two. I really like Corner's vision for shrinking John Nolen Drive. But Agency gets the most "points." I also thought their display and explanations were the most comprehensible.
954	I feel as though Sasaki and Agency Landscapes designs do the best about incorporating environmental and watershed preservation.
955	Agency understands the need to connect the lakefront to downtown better than any of the other bidders.
956	I dont support any proposal that reduces the capacity of John Nolen Dr. and for the love of god dont let the bikies be the driving force in any proposal that is selected. In addition any tunnel is going to be outrageously expensive to construct and maintain. The Fire Depts requirements for fire control tunnel are so expensive that it is a fatal flaw.
957	I like the wild lakeshore approach and the multiple prominent piers.
958	Really like the idea of softening the lake edge around a big stretch of shoreline.
959	The James corner just seems like it will provide more options for the citizens. There are tons of parks, paths and event areas
960	I appreciated the different resident voices. Clearly they did a lot of listening and the gentleman talking about some people bike, some run, some fish is also what I love most about the space now.
961	The increased water recreation is desired
962	I love the idea of transforming John Nolan into a Greenway. The road is currently stressful to travel. The bike path is great, but it needs to be divided for walkers/runners, bikers, and e-bikers.
963	Having graduated from the university in landscape architecture and lived in WI for 22 years, it uses the key axis points of the capitol, picnic point (directional), and the Memorial union to centralize and convene together. These pieces are often separate in use, but are key drivers of the community.
964	N/a
965	The James corner field operations design is amazing! It considers the health of the lake and also creates many recreational opportunities for people with all kinds of interests! It is beautiful and thoughtful.

966	All teams improved access by addressing the need for various modes of transportation, prioritizing fewer and narrower traffic lanes, separate biking and walking paths, and additional crossings over John Noland. I especially like JCFO's analysis recognizing additional loops of access, as I live in the Eastmorland neighborhood and a primary deterrent to traveling downtown is the lack of additional safe, dedicated routes of transit outside the use of a motor vehicle. I gave Agency the slight edge as they were the only team which integrated the North and South Law parks as one via the use of the hill design. It creates a fully green public waterfront and reduces navigation headaches around the Terrace via additional bike and pedestrian access to the city grid, as well as a second bike path.
967	Both James Corner Field and the Sasaki were both excellent, I love how both of those spaces plan to use Olin Park area.
968	Agency has best overall plan; blending interesting spaces with nature.
969	The James Corner Field Operations proposal is far and away my favorite option. The emphasis it places on usable green-space, traffic calming and safety, and connecting neighborhoods to the monona shoreline is admirable. Right now, John Nolan cuts like a scar in the city - it's impossible to cross unless you're in a car.
970	I love the look and feel of the Agency geometry and silhoutte, but I also love the rain gardens, swales, floating wetlands and water quality structures of the Sasaki design. A mix incorporating both would be ideal.
971	The trees in James Corner will be an obstruction for viewing the terrace and capitol when arrive on john nolan drive. The floating bridge in Agency will collect eurasian milfoil weeds and seems short term in terms of stability.
972	JCFO and Sasaki both seem to have a strong footing. Agency seems superficial overall.
973	Sasaki prioritizes residents who will actually live near the lakefront while also providing space for attractions for people coming from farther away.
974	To be honest, I found the designs mostly to look very much alike. My responses are more about how much I could glean from their presentations.
975	The Agency Landscape + Planning and the Sasaki submissions are tied for me - they are both excellent visions of how to better utilize this space. The JCFO plan really misses the mark - transforming John Nolen Drive into a parkway is great in theory but, with Madison's ever growing population, JND is a necessary thoroughfare. Rerouting the traffic that currently uses JND would wreak havoc on Madison's downtown traffic.
976	Sasaki has best balance of ecological and recreation concerns in mind.
977	ALP plan of integrating the top of Monona Terrace to the waterfront, adding greenspace, and sequestering the noisy road away seems best. JCFO making mixed use space with commercial opportunities built in also seems like a good idea. All 3 are indistinguishable south of the North Shore intersection.

978	The Sasaki proposal addresses cleaning of the lakes at the shoreline and provides the kind of amenities that will draw people downtown. I like that it pays tribute to Madison's Indigenous culture and history. The James Corner proposal does a great job of ecological restoration - I love the kayak areas - but doesn't create the kind of amenities I'd want to see downtown, like a musical performance space. Also, none of these projects highlights parking - if you don't live downtown, where will you park?
979	The agency plan provides separate spaces for all modes of transportation while not reducing the ability of the roadway to move the significant traffic load that passes through each day x2. The fact of the matter is that the amount of people driving through this corridor is exponentially higher than the amount of people who bike/walk/run (and I'm a bike commuter who uses this route on a daily basis). Taking away turn lanes seems like a terrible idea - this will only magnify the already existing congestion. I'm ok with reducing the lane size slightly
980	1: (ALP) inclusiveness, water walkways; 2: (JCFO) rewilding; 3: (S) nice, but maybe tries to do too much
981	Prioritizing the protection of the lake and water quality and providing additional park spaces besides the Monona Terrace are important
982	Agency appeared the most inclusive and expansive, which I liked best. Sasaki includes the culture though, which is a nice touch.
983	Sasaki's is the only plan that sticks to enhancing what's already there. It also honors the former Ho-Chunk inhabitants. Building out into the lake or over the lake is not right, in my opinion.
984	None
985	I believe the Sasaki plan best understands the needs of Madison residents, because interaction with the shoreline while also prioritizing indigenous voices and their connection with the landscape are extremely important.
986	Something that is important to me as a downtown resident is connectivity to the Lake Monona. I love riding my bike around Lake Monona but it is very frustrating that the easiest way to get there is using the Monona Terrace. I liked that the Agency prioritized pedestrian and cyclist needs over those of drivers.
987	I love Sasaki's concern about lake health and connecting with the place-based history of Madison.
988	Sasaki seemed to gather the most data from the community, but this may have contributed to their proposal seeming a little disjointed or trying to do too much to try to please everyone. Agency Landscape seemed to be very knowledgeable about the local experience.
989	Dasani seemed most integrated with the land and thorough.

990	Agency Landscape's design does the best job integrating the natural landscape into the city - I love the Monona hill concept and the way it feels a community space is prioritized. I love the connections to the city in his design as well. In the Sasaki design, I like this as well, but there are more car-human interactions, which take away from the vibrant park aesthetic. The James Corner design has too much prime real estate dedicated to surface parking & I don't like the overpasses connecting to the city - it feels too much like an afterthought for pedestrians.
991	Sasaki puts ecology and restoration at the forefront, also a beautiful design with nature as the ultimate inspiration.
992	none. they all give far too much space to cars.
993	Agency Planning lacks the detail of the other proposals. Sasaki looks amazing and professional, but the scope seems extraordinary.
994	James Corner Field Operations provides access, greenspace and beautiful design
995	Sasaki, AL+P, James Corner
996	Sasaki's design is wonderful and I hope this is the final plan selected!
997	Sasaki explicitly takes the disabled community into account with their design while the other two made no comment on how accessible their designs were.  Sasaki also had actual comments from real people supporting their vision.
998	Both JCFO and Sasaki both emphasize connecting residents to the lake with opportunity for activities, events, and being near the water. Their proposals for new development seemed aimed towards giving people greater reason to visit these parks.
999	Sasaki nailed it.. the other two are good but just not on the same level.
1000	Found the Sasaki proposal a bit lacking. I liked the focus of the JCF proposal on water quality and natural connection to the lake. The reason it made it over the Agency L+P was that it had better bike options (don't really want to have to go through a tunnel or over a giant hill). JCF also had the best connections from the capitol to the lakefront
1001	Loved the connections to the lakeshore and connecting the streets to the lake
1002	Most impressive in terms of scope and overall design
1003	I love Agency Landscape's reclamation of the hill and addition of green space from the waterfront back up toward the capitol. I also really like the James Corner Field proposal for a Tamarack swamp and a playground in Olin park -- and the treeing of John Nolen Drive. All three offered paths at different mobility velocities, which is great. And JC and ALP both extended Hamilton street to or over the water. I like ALP's use of piers as fish and wildlife habitat as well.
1004	honestly all are excellent and far superior to what we have now - please just do any of these!!!
1005	Sasaki's plan presented very clearly and effectively the feedback they'd received from residents. This information was present at the very beginning of the proposal and made it clear that Sasaki put a high value on these public comments.

1006	JCFO plan really balances recreation, natural restoration, and new cultural/arts experiences. LOVE the James Corner plan.
1007	Sasaki feels more interactive, allowing the community to enjoy the waterfront at all times of year.
1008	<p>AL+P is the best plan because it most effectively prioritizes people and nature first, in line with the needs of the city. All plans are an improvement from the current car-centric approach that prioritizes speeding folks across the city rather than the residents who spend time in the area. However, vestiges of that history remain prominent in the other two plans: from Sasaki's plan which has a car pull-off directly abutting a pedestrian path &amp; a parking like pressed up against a beach, to JCFO dedicating vast swaths of the city's most valuable waterfront land to surface parking.</p> <p>AL+P instead makes this whole area a destination. A place to pass time. And it makes it accessible to everyone, with an expansive park that allows numerous connection points from downtown to the water. Instead of the other plans which often shunt bikes/walkers to a small number of overpasses. These overpasses ensure that pedestrians &amp; bikes still feel like an afterthought to the prioritized car traffic. Furthermore, experience tells me that folks will just cross the street in more dangerous places rather than walking far out of their way to connect to the overpass. The AL+P design does a great job of giving numerous, easy connection points towards the downtown from a great park.</p>
1009	Corner most effectively took into account the many needs of people who would use the park. It maintained event access to the lake, sustainability and more.
1010	I think all of the plans listened to the Madison community well. Tying in more soft shoreline protection made me favor the JCFO design. I like the canoe motifs in the Sasaki proposal, but think the offshore breakwaters may be difficult to maintain and would like to see more natural shoreline designs.
1011	Sasaki has thought of everything! Esthetics, science, purpose, integrative design and respect for culture, history and environment
1012	Prioritizing wild and plantlife and integrating more opportunities for that into the downtown space is key. And integrating educational opportunities wherever possible is a job creator and community sustainer.
1013	The Sasaki plan seems to incorporate both the beautiful nature aesthetic of the area, while also offering a modern community-based gathering area
1014	JCFO looks very impressive, love the kayak course and integration with nature.
1015	I think the Sasaki plan is presented in a way in which the phasing of the plan makes sense and combines the balance between connecting the things that make Madison special and the preservation and restoration of our ecosystems.
1016	I think Sasaki's design offers something that would be different from what Madison's waterfront parks currently offer



1017	<p>I think Olin Park on the Agency Landscape + Planning is great, but seems like they expect people to commute over there to do most of the water activities but it's far to walk over there from down town.</p> <p>James Corner Field Operations does a better job of distributing where people can do things, ex: having a pier and boat house on the other side of the park means people don't need to go all the way to Olin Park.</p> <p>Sasaki does the best job of ensuring everything is there but spacing things out to minimize walking distance needed.</p>
1018	Loved the bike/walk/stopping areas and the expanded make edge zones. Most importantly, I felt the Agency Landscape design focused most on the health of the ecology of the lake and lake edge.
1019	I love the environmental focus of Sasaki along with the lake's history. The raised footbridge reminds me of The High Bridge in NYC and would be a really cool Madison addition.
1020	A road diet to 4 lanes instead of 6 (2 each way) is essential to the new waterfront. JCFO's idea of "stitching" together the capitol area with greenways will help transform the area to enable better transportation for all users. I do not like the idea of putting the lower bike lane in the tunnel with the cars, this can make users feel unsafe at night, worsens the noise and air pollution for users, and doesn't align with the future that Madison should strive for. The plan should also include provisions for a potential future train station or LRT station at the terrace considering there is already a railway there and the upcoming Amtrak and S Wisconsin railways, it would be a huge economic boon to the area. The plan should also consider the possibility of rerouting 151 to further the road diet in the area.
1021	I think a combination of #1( James) and #2(sasaki) would be the best. I like the openness of #2 and the overall paths of #1.
1022	Both of the plans from James Corner Field Operations and Sasaki amplify the potential of the Monona Lake waterfront and give a sense of access to the city center.
1023	Honestly they all address local needs and priorities well - water quality, public access, variety of uses, community feel.
1024	I like the way Agency's plan separates the needs of bicycle commuters needing to pass through from those of pedestrians wanting to enjoy the shoreline.
1025	Sasaki seemed to do the most research surrounding what residents want. And I love the idea of the Story Walk
1026	Re-wilding and lake restoration is highest priority and communal spaces for nature enjoyment and recreation is are the two most important things for Madison and it's residents for the future.
1027	The more green space interlaced with the beautiful lakes the better for everyone

1028	<p>I've ranked the concepts while trying to equally weight transportation &amp; recreation. JFCO seems to blend both concepts together quite well. Agency lacks a beach addition near the terrace but separates the bike traffic from the shoreline foot traffic which I think is beneficial &amp; added safety for everyone. Sasaki has a footpath and a bike path that seem to constantly cross over each other which doesn't seem ideal when you are mixing a major bicycle commuting lane with people on a leisurely walk. Sasaki also has the Bike Path running right next to a beach/swimming area (next to the Monana Boathouse) which seems like a recipe for disaster.</p> <p>In my mind what I see the area currently used for is bicycle commuting, running, fishing, and sitting to stare over the lake and relax. I think this order reflects the ways Madisonians like to interact with the lake.</p>
1029	We need a bold design that supports people (not cars) spending time in restored nature.
1030	I love James Corner's approach to the natural space, utilizing the wildness of the water versus only putting walkover over top of it.
1031	Provides the largest new developed area along the lake to the sides of the Monona Terrace and easy access to greater number of people.
1032	Sasaki seems to have a bit more of an urban park feel, whereas Agency brings a little more nature into the city.
1033	As a previous madisonian and someone who still visits on a weekly basis, I truly believe that Agency captures priorities of madison residents. I think their proposal heavily focuses on restoration and prioritizes on creating a relaxing spaces for madison residence.
1034	This presentation was far the best, had the best plan.
1035	I think the Sasaki presentation seemed to center water quality and lake stewardship, which is the primary concern for Madison residents.
1036	I want that land bridge park over John Nolen. I think the path redesigns from all the firms are great, but the land bridge gets to the heart of what I want.
1037	I like the natural lakeshore Corner field provides, however the fact that Sasaki has filtration of water as part of it's plan is also important. I wish those could be combined!
1038	Agency seems to have really thought through immersing community members into the restored lake edge, and prioritizing community and lake health above all else. Their plan also seems the most realistically implementable.
1039	The design offered so many places for people to sit/gather and admire the lake and not just a plain pier, something aesthetically pleasing
1040	Bold and exciting expansions from James Corner. Overall it might be a little too 'much' for some folks, but a big single vision would be preferred over incremental improvements.

1041	<p>In my opinion the best features from each submission are as follows-</p> <p>James Corner:          -More expansive and natural paddling/kayak courses</p> <p>-Beach</p> <p>-Public Steps/ampitheater style seating near Monona Terrace</p> <p>Agency:          -Monona Hill, which does the best job of integrating and connecting Monona Terrace and Law Park into Capitol Square and the surrounding area while also being the most aesthetically pleasing of the three proposals to improve connectivity around the Terrace.</p> <p>-Dedicated walkway extending into lake for pedestrians only along John Nolen Drive</p> <p>Sasaki:          -canoe-shaped piers, walkways, and "floating Wetlands" near law park extending out into water.</p>
1042	Agency takes into consideration all seasons and works with the strengths unique to each.
1043	I love the design and way that the Agency really incorporates the lake so well -- it feels like classic MADison
1044	Love the King Street and Hamilton Street Pier concepts in JCFO's presentation. Like the hillside concept on either side of the Monona Terrace in Agency Landscape + Planning's presentation. A boardwalk in addition to the bike path along John Nolan Dr. seems like overkill. Like the concept of additional developed park space at Olin Park with city views.
1045	Sasaki's design to prioritize lake health and the heritage of the ho-chunk is important to me. I appreciate how much thought they put into transitioning the space to winter activities
1046	Love the creativity of the Agency Landscape. It's really unique!
1047	JFCO's Hamilton and King Street Piers were the best representation of tying the waterfront to the rest of walkable downtown. I enjoyed the Monona Hill of ALP, but it lacked the same prioritization of connection to downtown.

1048	I really like Sasaki's story and idea, but I don't think it goes far enough in revitalizing and reshaping the area around Monona Terrace and splitting walk and bike paths.
1049	I like the covered roadway ideas best, especially the idea of connecting the capitol area to the lake.
1050	Didn't notice price tag. But whichever one can provide as much environmental restoration for as little \$ has my vote. No need for ampitheater. Just some trees and less concrete. And something to soak up the phosphorus.
1051	I like the green and natural spaces all three plans create, but I like the James Connor Field design the best because it incorporates beach space and swimming.
1052	I do not support taking lanes away from John Nolen Drive. The lack of a north beltline and difficulty accessing downtown are already significant issues, this would make accessibility much worse.
1053	The James Corner Field Operations has a track record for shoreline redesign in major cities. They were also the only group that talking about funding and doing the plan in phases.
1054	I believe that Sasaki provided the most resolved scheme and they took the lake quality into account in a more complete way.
1055	Sad Ali acknowledges the significance of Native Americans
1056	The plans for eliminating/changing John Nolan Drive in the James Corner Field plan was amazing. I hate that our city wastes so much precious lakefront space to a highway.
1057	Agency Landscape supports bicycling access the most, and Sasaki the least.
1058	incorporating the city to the water through easily accessible green spaces is a critical need of the Law Park.
1059	I feel like Agency played lip service to community engagement and honoring indigenous cultures while sasaki's plan had more grounded, designed ideas about how they would honor indigenous people and weave together many cultures and program ideas. I like the beach in James Corner's drawings
1060	They seemed to spend a great deal of time researching and listening to what the residents of Madison want.
1061	JCFO struck the best balance of rewilding the lakeshore while still increasing the diversity of opportunities for people to enjoy the waterfront
1062	Really like Sasaki's plan and vision.
1063	All 3 are great, I thought James Corner was most complete and they appear to be the most capable of completing the project.
1064	Sasaki seems to place more emphasis on the impacts of the change to the lakes. The overall health of the lake is my priority as a madison resident.
1065	I believe the Agency vision appears the most family friendly without significant work and adjustments in its phased approach
1066	All three Designs lack access from the lake. Would like to see a Marina and restaurants
1067	I love all three, and I live that they are bring the space back to nature. I cannot see Madison without the causeway.
1068	Great focus on ancestors and restoration

1069	All three plans look very similar to me. Agency Landscape + Planning & Sasaki did a good job of including Native perspectives. While Frank Lloyd Wright's designs are visually pleasing, his architecture plans tended to be impractical. Thus, the rankings.
1070	The James Corner Field Operations plan looks and feels more Madison, and pleasantly reminds one of the Mendota waterfront redesign at the Memorial Union.
1071	Sasaki's plan makes the most innovative, exciting use of the space as a destination for tourism and commercial activity. I worry it doesn't add sufficient pier space to support that activity--I liked that aspect of Corner's proposal
1072	Agency really hit all cylinders: ecology, connections, and new spaces. Monona Hill is the signature park that Madison needs in its downtown
1073	Both agency and JCFO made it central to their plan to make these areas more accessible from the city which I think is key.
1074	Agency plan has the best "nature-scape" setting on either side of Monona Terrace. We need that kind of sloping greenspace more than more formal urban landscape design as shown in the other two plans.
1075	I prefer the option that specifically mentions turning John Nolen Drive into a slower, parkway type street.
1076	I strongly dislike the James corner field one because of the high amount of marsh they want to put in without making clear quality drainage and matter removal ability. This would probably increase the number of mosquitoes Madison already gets by the water in the summer. My options 1 and 2 also include elevated walkways, doubling space, and still add extra green space while attempting to keep it cleaner and less static water. 1 and 2 are very close for me, but one edges out just because I think the concept maps look a little for natural.
1077	like the historical pieces that Sasaki proposal weaves in
1078	N/A
1079	James Connor plan seems to provide access to the lakes while providing community building opportunities through the venues, outdoor spaces and added recreation opportunities. They do a great job of keeping the lakeshore accessible and in tact naturally.
1080	I found all of them very vague without very many specifics particularly as to costs. I did like the idea of adding wetlands and a walkway.
1081	I believe that the Agency plan responds best to the priorities of the Madison community. Their team is made up of many members that have personal connections to Madison, whether they have lived in the area or worked there previously. They are truly passionate about the community and understand the midwest mentality. The firm is exceptional with community engagement strategies and designing with a detailed sense of place. They are great listeners, which was evident during the open house periods before and after the final presentations. The Agency team was actively approaching Madison residents and sparking meaningful conversations about their ideas. I was truly impressed by their compassionate approach and ability to relate to residents throughout the evening.

1082	Sasaki's design includes Madison's history with the Hochunk tribe and Frank Lloyd Wright. It's ecologically focused and adds a lot of beautiful, modern design and greenery. I appreciate the design also includes seasonal programs and options if additional funding is procured.
1083	Agency's forward thinking plan considers all the community needs
1084	Agency landscape + was incredible
1085	I didn't like the agency landscape group as the presentation/design report doesn't really go into specifics, such as how they plan on adding to the health of the lake itself. It felt more like an advertising agency than an actual design plan. While the James corner group did go into more details, I didn't like how conservative the area around John Nolin Drive design was (between monona terrace and olin park during the long stretch). It felt like they tried too hard to imitate their previous design projects and did not feel like they would add much to the current existing structures. Sasaki was my favorite; the overall plan design put improvements to the lake's health first and foremost, and I thought it was the most ambitious and friendly of all the designs. I especially liked how all of their designs met ADA requirements so that everyone could enjoy the re-designed parks and trails.
1086	I think the Agency plan is the most cohesive. I was not impressed with the other two as they seemed much more limited in their approach.
1087	All amazing! Boardwalks, multi use paths, more trees, restoring the marsh!  Sasaki - I'm particularly drawn to the Sasaki mixed use plan in general, but especially the section NE of the Monona Terrace, with Waterfront Restaurant, amphitheater, playground, gardens, lawns, cafes. Then if you continue SW of Mon Ter you have the potential Beer Gardens, food carts, and anchored by a beer garden and Olin Park. Would give people a reason to stroll the entirety of the board walk on summer evenings. Like the Chicago Riverwalk. The people watching alone would be a draw.  JCFO - They have some similar mixed use ideas for the N Law Park section. Nice beech sections.  AL+P - Initially drawn to Monona Hill park concept, but think other designs that play up mixed use would benefit community more, economically, culturally, and just bringing lots of people together.
1088	The Sasaki teams plan feels the most like Madison by far! It's funky, creative, timeless and respectful all at the same time. It's also seems like by far the most feasible and easiest to do in segments. The James corner plan is nice but seems very generic and also very expensive. The agency plan is terrible.
1089	Corner prioritizes improved lake quality and easy access to the lake and its amenities.
1090	I like the idea of turning Nolen Drive into a green boulevard. Currently traffic is too fast and takes up almost all available space.
1091	Sasaki plan provides easy access to Olin Park for pedestrians.
1092	They all did a great job of prioritizing residents.

1093	Bringing nature back to the waterfront is my number 1 priority!
1094	Agency Landscaping is the best
1095	Like Agency Landscape water walk
1096	JCFO's proposal makes beautiful, functional spaces all along law park and around Monona Terrace, while also bringing forth the best ecological plans. These are my two priorities, and it does best at both. Agency in 2nd place for that beautiful Monona Hill concept. I dislike Sasaki's hard water edges; it's not for the 2020's. Whatever is chosen, John Nolen needs to slim down 2 lanes.
1097	Agency Landscape addressed great connections to Lakeside Neighborhood, and its Monona Hill park extends beyond the other two to provide a unique space....Sasaki responded well in its design to comments received... James Corner addressed phasing in its project, an important layering
1098	The James Corner plan integrates civic life and natural ecosystems -- Madison's strengths as a city -- better than any other, especially the Sasaki plan.
1099	1.Clear, visionary and grounded transformation of the waterfront.
1100	Agency's plan to make elevated green space seems to provide the easiest pedestrian connections to downtown and the paths create more highly used space without removing road space.
1101	I like the idea of maintaining the most natural plan to enhance what is there.
1102	Sasaki best showcases Monona Terrace.
1103	The Monona Hill idea is amazing. I love the Olin Avenue park designs of the others more & their emphasis on nature and water quality (the Olin playground is very good, too) - but the Monona Hill idea has me sold.
1104	Earthy, marshy, and user friendly !!!
1105	All excellent! Thoughtfulness about year round programming and diversity of options and input was great for Sasaki
1106	Love the terracing green spaces on monona hill area. Also like the beach area to access the lake
1107	I like JCFO's plans for John Nolan Drive. Something must be done with it to improve access and provide a park-like feel.
1108	The sasaki is far and away the best. Love the voices.
1109	I did not like agency, just seemed boring. Sasaki was good, but the boardwalk going from Olin park to the terrace is just unnecessary. That's why I picked James
1110	This one was tough for me. I feel like Sasaki made community feedback the centerpiece of their plan, but it was by far my least favorite. It just doesn't feel like it fits Madison. The JCFO plan was by far my favorite, and I felt like they presented a bold but realistic vision.
1111	Love the skywalk at olin park in Sasaki's, great use of space. Agency's design is unrealistic and will not be successfully implemented. JCF's ruins Olin park's natural landscape.
1112	Very environmentally focused. Best crossings from the lakefront to the downtown area.
1113	The direct response of Sasaki's design documents clearly recognize the priorities of Madison residents.

1114	Sasaki seems most integrated to bike and pedestrian culture while considering community wants ( amphitheater, ice fishing, playground) also love female led venture
1115	James Corner would provide the most usable community space.
1116	Sasaki's plans have the most detail about making the changes in a way that represent Madison's value of green processes and helping to rebuild the environment.
1117	Circle design that provides symmetry to Frank Lloyd Wright Design, like poetry in motion
1118	Creating usable space while working with the lakefront and not against it is vital to the lake's survival
1119	Each provides very similar ideas. The proposals are so vague and downright wrong (compared to reality), however, that I cannot say one is best/worst. They propose running a continuous line from the Square down King Street to the lake as though the street does not dead-head at EastWilson and Union Transfer and Rubins don't exist! They propose a north "Monona Hill" so high that the first floor level promenade of the Quad Partners beautiful 121 East Wilson and the first floors of 137 and 151 East Wilson will be underground and valueless.
1120	JCFO seems to be the dream, a large comprehensive design that attends to summer and winter usage. I see it as a great compliment to the Terrace at UW across town. Agency feels the most connected to nature. If the intent is recreating a space for events and activities it may not be the best, but the design attends to an aesthetic of nature which may just be what this area needs.
1121	Sasaki has talked to the most people and understands their priorities, but the others do a slightly better job of responding.
1122	All three had well thought out plans to connect to the capital to the south side lakeshore through the Monona shoreline & Olin Causeway. The top hit multiple aspects of economy, culture, ecology, & history while planning for a growing Madison city.
1123	The Agency Landscape design creates the largest green spaces and on-water views, plus the best connections to the downtown, Monona Terrace, and nearby neighborhoods.
1124	James Corner Field Operations had clear priorities that responded directly to residents.
1125	I think the size of available space in the park and on the shoreline is the biggest priority so I have ranked them by how much space I think it would be creating in that area.
1126	Let's celebrate the way the land was before we arrived and bring it back to the freshness it once held. All while incorporating ecological ways to enjoy and safely "use" the shorelands.
1127	None of these plans show priorities for Madison residents. They all have too many lanes per direction and make this plan more of a place for cars to drive fast and drive through.
1128	The emphasis on the voices and story of the waterfront is what appealed to me in the Sasaki presentation. I also love that nature walk that has both an accessible overpass and a ground-level path.



1129	Just leave well enough alone. It is a waste of money.
1130	Sasaki appears to be the most environmentally thought out plan, while the Agency Landscape offered the most attractive renderings. Actually all three were terrific-maybe they could create a partnership and all work on the development.
1131	I really think Sasaki knocked it out of the park with the attention to the history, ecology, and use of the area. James Corner seemed to get a lot of the usages right, but did not have the same level of ecological or historical care.
1132	I like the idea of marsh and beach and park the best
1133	This proposal seems to be equally focused on all parts of the project, without a heavier focus on downtown.
1134	Impressed that James Corner had three Madisonian as partners in this project including a limnologist
1135	The Sasaki plan integrates environmental stewardship the best along with creating natural environments for gathering.
1136	Sasaki talks about the preservation of the lake and keeping it clean while also making it accessible and fun for everyone
1137	Sasaki's plan seems the most sensitive to environmental issues, with rain gardens and wetlands. I have a deep concern about us trying to reform the landscape by moving large amounts of earth. Perhaps the design teams had environmental experts work with them but I would request that you get someone knowledgeable about the environment, perhaps someone from UW, to envision the consequences of reforming the landscape. Also, climate change is happening.
1138	I really like the idea of "The Wild Lakeshore." Putting back some natural aspects of the lake environment, while still adding to recreational opportunities for residents.
1139	Dasani was the only one to effectively provide year round activities
1140	Love the design and visualization of JCFO plan but it seems too cramped. That tiny beach would be packed on a warm day. The sasaki plan has SO many exciting elements to it - love the idea of a lakeside amphitheater and soooo much room to leisurely enjoy the lake views.
1141	Sasaki is more comprehensive, innovative and in tune with what Madisonians are asking for
1142	I like that the JCFO and Sasaki keeps the parking at MT. They are also more respectful of current usage and the community that currently uses the area. Both improve without discarding, recognizing that current users are as important as the new ones we want to attract.
1143	The more greenspace around Monona Terrace and downtown, the better. The lakefront is virtually inaccessible from downtown, unless you go through Monona Terrace. John Nolen drive is a barrier to foot and bike traffic, and makes the narrow strip of lakefront unpleasant and loud. The hillside park that envelops Monona Terrace and covers John Nolen in the Agency Landscape design actually accomplishes the intended vision of Monona Terrace - to connect the city to the lake. It also provides a large central park for city events.

1144	The James Corner team's plan understands the need to provide connections to the water and allow safer pedestrian traffic.
1145	The Sasaki plan seems to balance ecology with urban development, which I feel like would be most appealing to most Madisonians. The James Corner Field plan is too urban and also feels too disconnected from the realities of how a more natural lake should look.
1146	I really like what JCFO has for the area in front of Monona terrace as it helps give space for people who wish to fish there while still allowing normal traffic to move unimpeded. I also really like the greenery in Sasaki's design and the canopy boardwalk.
1147	JCFO and Sasaki are very close and the clear number 1 and 2 for me, with Agency Landscape finishing a somewhat distant 3rd.
1148	Agency & Corner best address the need to reshape the Monona Terrace lakeshore on both sides of the Terrace. The Agency plan best accomplishes this by obscuring and limiting traffic & pedestrian interaction by placing traffic below the proposed Monona Hill. It should be noted the none of the plans go far enough in minimizing the visibility of John Nolen Drive North of Hancock St. which should also be incorporated into park space.
1149	The design is beautiful but practical. And the team is very professional!
1150	While all the plans talk about safety, none of them address the safety concerns around crime prevention.
1151	Agency is most natural with the least amount of man-made structures. Sasaki has at least incorporated parking into their model
1152	I think they all did a great job, but Sasaki seems most directed toward improving the environment as well as honoring the history of the land
1153	the focus on wetlands and multi-use was a focus in all 3, but #1 felt better
1154	Sasaki clearly did a lot of stakeholder engagement and includes activities for all seasons, recognizes historical context, and prioritizes the sustainability of the land and habitats.
1155	James corner field operations have more comprehensive design but still all three have good parts that should be combined in one for ideal outcome.
1156	The complete redesign of the Monona Terrace/Hill area gives much more character to the lakefront than just dressing up existing hardscape areas.
1157	brings people to the lake front not just traverse the paths, please keep the Monona water ski slalom course
1158	JCFO has many options that have appeal to different age groups, activity levels while keeping the natural aspect of the waterfront.
1159	Sasaki gives Madison the modern feel of some of the lakefronts in Chicago and just what we need for downtown. I absolutely love the design and the focus on what makes our city great!
1160	James Corner looks ugly and seems to be too large of a project
1161	I like burying John Nolen the most. The state won't like dividing the road into a boulevard since it's an interstate
1162	Emphasis on improving water quality and wild life habitat, namely the incredible fishery of lake monona is imperative to any plan! Beautiful renderings all around.
1163	Sasaki idea is way cooler

1164	LOVED the green park around Monona Terrace in the Agency presentation, but the water-level boardwalks trouble me. The Sasaki presentation STARTS with the health of the lake, and just grows (literally) to show off the lakefront with elevated boardwalks, the Lakelounge, and the Olin Overlook.
1165	love elevated walkways and multimodal paths
1166	Aesthetically appealing, versatile
1167	Lake health is the priority for the future of Monona, and Sasaki's plan prioritizes that using nature and modern engineering.
1168	The Sasaki team seemed to focus the most on talking to residents about priorities. They seemed to best understand Madison's desires for improved water quality in Lake Monona, access to the lake, more green space, year round activities, and focus on alternate transportation. And of course our love of beer gardens.
1169	Sasaki provides a clearer vision of the space mouth a mind to mixing nature and usage. It's the one I think can support the most diverse range of activities and has a beautiful flow with the shoreline.
1170	Blown away by Sasaki
1171	James Corner's plan emphasized creating a natural experience with native plants and soft edges.
1172	JCFO has the most natural fit to the existing and possible uses of Lake Monona and the John Nolan corridor (I would prefer the corridor be closed to motor traffic but acknowledge that is unlikely)
1173	Trees down John Nolen & expansion at Olin Park
1174	James Corner has both clarity of vision and appropriate experience to make it happen. Not a missed beat in their plan or their reassuring delivery and approach tonight 1/26/23.
1175	The James Corner Field submission is far superior to all other submissions. It is the best solution for that area and I like the option of being able to walk down to the water on the King & Hamilton St. piers.
1176	JCFO seems to understand how people actually use the lake, what the deficiencies are with the current causeway and lake front, and can clearly communicate a vision worth advancing. Sasaki seems like more fluff than substance, and featured some unnecessary constructed elements, particularly in Olin Park.
1177	Agency Landscape's Olin Park proposal is AMAZING, and provides the best engagement and space on the south shore. Agency Landscape also does a better job with Monona Terrace. James Corner has a better engagement and community space at South Hamilton and King Streets, with more community seating and space. Sasaki is too narrow and uninspired.
1178	All three teams have presented wonderful visions that would greatly improve Madison's livability, sustainability, and sense of place. Each vision has unique strengths!
1179	James Corner Field Operations blew the others away. By far the best overall and would be great as-is.
1180	It prioritizes people and nature.
1181	The Agency Landscape presentation was the only one i really liked.

1182	James Corner has the most green space. SASKI separates walkers and runners, reducing green area with more paths. The Agency plan has the weird circular in park walk that looks odd.
1183	The Agency plan provides more greenspace bringing the shoreline back to what it should be. It focuses on the different hobbies Madison has to offer and keeps the lake looking clean. The Sasaki plan also includes a lot of green space and emphasizes the hobbies of Madison. I didn't like all the new structures with this plan.
1184	Spend the money on cleaning the water and put in the true lake front water color. There are no pristine waters in the Madison lakes that look aqua blue.
1185	<p>Capping John Nolen Drive seems like the best, though most expensive, way to really connect downtown/Cap square with the shoreline. It would create a ton of green space. James Corner's plan to make John Nolen a green boulevard is practical, but I'm worried it would just increase the number of pedestrian and car interactions - lots of people coming to the new shoreline, lots of interactions at intersections. The fatality at a bike crossing near John Nolen this winter is a good reason to avoid the street completely - with a greenspace cap.</p> <p>I liked the winding bath of the Agency Landscape design - it reminded me of the Beltline in Atlanta or the Highline in NYC, something that would really draw people in, be used by tourists.</p> <p>Overall, I really like ideas of increased access points to the waterfront and Olin park with pedestrian bridges that go over the traffic. I also like the designs that really increased the amount of acreage near the water. The James Corner design seemed to really enhance the spaces but didn't necessarily create a ton of new areas. As it is, the path along John Nolen Drive gets pretty congested (runners, walkers, fishers).</p> <p>All were really good, and not easy to decide. Ultimately, I love the idea of capping John Nolen Drive.</p> <p>Now, I just went back and saw that the James Corner plan calls for capping John Nolen on the East side of terrace...I have no idea which plan to choose.</p>
1186	<p>James - Design felt well thought out and encouraged engagement in each major area.</p> <p>Sasaki - Similar to James but less creativity in plan and access points</p> <p>Agency - most basic of plans although had similar elements to others</p>
1187	Corner and Sasaki most clearly delineated my view of the intersection of urban and wild that defined Madison. I liked them both a lot, though give Corner the nod for the design's contours with the land.
1188	I like Sasaki's the most because it makes the most use of what Madison needs. I especially like the train in this picture and if somehow a train could run through that part of Lake Monona I would be all for it.

1189	I appreciate how Sasaki prioritized environmental benefits with very clear priorities and objectives while validating the Ho-Chunk communities and honoring their heritage.
1190	Corner field operations plan seems to have the most variety/options for lakefront use for greatest number of people
1191	More variety of options for the area and structures / landscaping that blends in better with the current area
1192	looks like Agency would be filling in the lake the least.
1193	It's a beautiful blend of modern and natural, would be a unique destination in Madison.
1194	Like how the top two include different paths for walking/running and biking and are separate. Not having to share the space with walkers is safer for both recreational users of the paths. Also like where the beaches of the top two are places compared to the last. For placement of beaches, need to be mindful what sides of lakes/wind patterns for where algae and trash collect more. Also like the idea of more focus on water front more near downtown than Olin park end.
1195	James Corner Field Operations has the most innovative, ambitious design. I particularly like its use of varying landscapes and large beach areas in the design, things that are sorely missing from most of Madison's lakefronts.
1196	I like the Walk on Water boardwalk from Agency Landscape. It feels more natural and welcoming. The other plans appear to include more concrete elements that make it feel more manmade.
1197	Make the big investment now. Everything will keep getting more expensive the longer we wait to add a little at a time. This will be a game changer and will make Madison incredible.
1198	The Agency plan seems to beset address the linking/connecting of the city to the lakefront.
1199	I liked Sasaki's coverage on stakeholder and local input but I'm not sold on the elevated waterfront paths, I think the terrace provides the vertical element along the lakeshore which I why I like how JCFO ties into the existing structure. I also liked Agency's direction with the boardwalks along John Nolen Drive.
1200	Although all have clearly done their homework, Sasaki appears to have better addressed the concerns of Madison in terms of environmental impact, historical recognition & respect for Ho Chunk and encouraging usage of the park.
1201	JCFO has the best design that could be possibly implemented into the future with the needs of Madison residents and visitors in mind.
1202	We are looking to bring everyone today and Sasaki had multiple different spots to spend a full day on the lake and bring Madison residents together to enjoy the beauty of the lake.
1203	ALP has the best design IMO. The James Corner Field option includes a beach. With so many beach closings in the Madison area each summer I cannot imagine that would get much use.
1204	I love all the family centered thinking with the Sasaki design

1205	Agency's plan is thorough and does the best job to address the lack of connection between the capital areal and the riverfront. Adding an 8 acre park that seamlessly connects the city to the waterfront would be a HUGE and long dreamed of asset to the city and the people.
1206	Keep it natural!
1207	<p>If feasible, the concept of re-establishing a marsh shoreline is fantastic. More than any other concept, this would create a distinct design and experience that you can't experience in many other places. All the other concepts (and many of the other elements in the JCFO proposal) are typical (over-designed) urban signature parks. A marshy riparian zone would be truly unique, and beautiful. That said I think all submitted proposals are vastly over-designed, with over-prescribed walkways and "pathway experiences". In short, they're too stuffed full of monuments to urban park design and landscape plantings, and don't provide enough of what Madisonians and our visitors really love about our city: open space where we can enjoy our surroundings and do our activities on our own terms. These proposals remind me of what UW-Madison has done with the terrace and Alumni Park. They're beautiful, yes, but after a while they feel like expensive conference centers--designed to be impressive and to be the object of your attention in and of themselves. Alumni Park could have been a great addition of open space with minimal design elements. Instead it's a pass through "experience." Let's not do the same to the Lake Monona shoreline. Let's beautify the shoreline itself, create more and better thoroughfares for bike and pedestrian traffic (not a maze of noodles as many of these proposals show), create more open space that people can enjoy on their own terms. Brittingham Park comes to mind as a template--I don't think we need to do so much with the park spaces themselves, as long as we figure out a way to make John Nolen such an obstacle to enjoying these spaces. Also, no matter what any of these designs do to reduce localized runoff, none of them is going to solve agricultural runoff, so let's please not create beaches, basins, or other over-built elements that are just going to become festering algae traps. In short, more green, more pedestrianways, more marsh grass, less John Nolen! Thanks to everyone working on this.</p>
1208	James Corner feels far more natural and offers a retreat from the urban jungle of downtown Madison. Agency just looks dumb and offers a fancy boardwalk. If anything, it just wastes time and space with little extra.
1209	<p>I could really see myself enjoying James' design and I liked how it really incorporated Olin Park, and Hamilton and King Street and I felt the hardscapes would stand the test of time.</p> <p>I also felt there was truly something for everyone in every season of the year. Something tells me James' would be the most expensive plans. I guess long term maintenance costs should be considered. Overall, I am very pleased with all of these designs and am very happy the City is moving forward with this project.</p>
1210	Agency Landscape really seems to capture true environment and cultural concerns. Restoring lake edges to more natural habits is great.

1211	Deprioritizing car traffic by removing/decking over John Nolen is vital. Agency Landscape 's concept to regrade and create a "hill" is very exciting. They also separate bike and pedestrian traffic and restore done marshland.
1212	Love the 2 parks on either side of the Monona Terrace and the various amenities eg dog park, restaurants... that the residents would utilize!
1213	I believe the most important aspects of this design are introducing green space to the front door of our city while maximizing lake health and public utilization opportunities.

<b>Q4</b>	<b>Which plan has the greatest potential to improve Lake Monona for you and the greater Madison community? Please provide comments on your ranking.</b>
Q4 Responses	
1	They were the only ones that mentioned ADA pathways and parking lots.
2	Most natural shoreline that would clean stormwater before returning to the lake
3	I feel restoring the trust and making amends to our indigenous community is gravely needed and I wish for them to be completely part of that process.
4	Developing in Olin Park would be an ecological tragedy.
5	I really appreciate that the first phase of their project is just to add infrastructure to improve the overall water quality as that's very very high on my list of priorities and that the ways in which they propose such changes rely heavily on increasing the number of native plants, wetlands and rain gardens (always a fan of adding more greenery).
6	Doesn't disturb the lake
7	n/a, feel like a scientist should answer this!
8	Voices of the Lake - the Cultural Dialogue, Starting With the Sacred Voices; the Story Walk; restoration strategy, habitat for amphibious life; community causeway for bikers, walkers & runners
9	This project has the greatest potential to improve Lake Monona for myself and the greater Madison community. The charted land use in this presentation is most agreeable to me because it understands the needs for launch points for kayaks and sailboats. The construction and location of these neighborhood events are well designed and allow for improved efficiency and are ecologically responsible of Lake Monona.
10	Sasaki has a clear outline for maintaining and restoring natural resources while providing accessible activities for all during all seasons. The James Corner plan also provides a lot of this with much to offer as far as education and family opportunities.
11	There are aspects of the James Corner plan that don't make sense, including: building green space out into what is now open water, creating a tamarack marsh (not an ecosystem that can just be built), redoing much of the Monona Terrace, especially the roof top, building a long ramp and a moat on the rooftop, etc. All seems very costly, unnecessary and the attempts to build vegetated areas in the lake (by Monona Terrace and Olin park) seem doomed to fail. The Sasaki plan seems much more logical from an ecological perspective. They have clearly prioritized the long term health of the lake. Sasaki seem to have a better understanding of how when they plan on paper will actually work on the ground. Both the Agency and James Corner plans have extensive building of structures, paths and other things out into the lake. This should be minimized regardless of the plan chosen.
12	Sasaki has the best variety, but James Corner is also a good design.
13	See above. I wrote all my thoughts out.
14	I really like the increased green space connected to the Monona Terrace. It will soften the harshness of looking at the Monona Terrace.



15	Sasaki had a lot of focus on the green infrastructure and cleaning of the lake, which is the most beneficial aspect of what we should be doing for the lake itself.
16	I would rank Sasaki 2nd because of all their proposed green infrastructure but their focus on all the events suggests a "party atmosphere" which makes people forget it's a park and invites trash. We don't need more party space on the shoreline.
17	Liked the way they would integrated wild places for birds and animals. Do like the idea of elevated walkways that were shown in some other presentations. I think there should be entry and exit places along any elevated walkways so that you don't have to walk the whole distance and can only get off at the other end. Hope this is clear.
18	I live near the lakefront a few blocks from the site, but rarely visit it currently. If the James Corner Field Operations project were built, it would be a more attractive and accessible site, and I would be much more inclined to spend more time there.
19	Connections to the South Side neighborhoods are a high priority for me in this redevelopment. The lakeshore should be a place for everyone.
20	Sasaki is a solution that would grow with Madison's needs and wants for years to come. Families, young adults, and senior citizens can all use the space for different intentions.
21	I really like the lack of straight, harsh lines of the Agency Landscape plan, which I feel improves our grid-like isthmus.
22	I love the idea of a connected downtown that bridges over the rd. and allows the city to spill to the shores of Monona. For me and for the city I believe strongly we need multiple activation nodes with permanite programing/vending etc.
23	The agency landscape and planning along with the James Conner field operation plans both match lake monona's vibes and enhance the scenery well.
24	Agency does the best job and smoothing the transition between city and lake, and will bring people to the waterfront the most. All the plans are nice though.
25	see above
26	I prefer the Sasaki design over the others because of its clear initiative to support biodiversity and environmental health in specific affective ways. The examples of permeable surfaces, wetland restoration, and amphibian habitat were all very exciting to me. I also appreciated the way recreation will be center around nature and used as a way to connect people to the planet. The inclusion of the. The inclusion of the history and heritage of people who have enjoyed Lake Monona ensures me that this design group has done their research and is thoughtful in their decision making. The Sasaki design seems like it is the most holistic approach.
27	we liked their handling of stormwater runoff and waterfront protection & habitat
28	I think James Corner best meets social, environmental, and health needs. Agency Landscape does a nice job. Sasaki falls flat.
29	Same reasoning as above.
30	same as #2.

31	Agency's plan is focused on the big picture: reclaiming space, restoring ecology, and creating access, and doesn't get as much into the details of what should go in various spaces, which would make sense for further down the planning process. JCFO's plan also would reclaim some land from car infrastructure but focuses somewhat more on moving land and creating new spaces. Sasaki's plan seems too hung up on details, and while it contains some appealing ideas the overall vision is less inspiring than the concept of reclaiming the lakeshore for the people of Madison to reimagine.
32	This would add so much community space. Madison could add so many events and activities.
33	We like that this plan has an outdoor classrom and nature center. Encourages people to interact with nature. The kayak trail is brilliant. The way the land around Monona Terrace is reenvisioned is great. Also the bistro and beach. This gives something for the urbanites to enjoy too. We beleive these plans will bring nature into areas where it isn't so its a plan not just for people but for fish and birds and water and frogs.
34	Maintaining the quality of the ecosystem will keep the lake in a state where people want to be near/on it.
35	<p>Sasaki's vision for land in front of Marina Condos, Union Terrace, 151 E Wilson, Union Transfer Condos, and the proposed Wilson Street Hotel (old Rubin's) is completely unrealistic. The land is shown rising to block lower floor windows. There's no way that will realistically happen. Yet they put that rendering on the cover of their report, showing it's a key element of their plan. That makes their plan's potential the lowest of the three.</p> <p>Agency's plan adds green space above John Nolen but tapers down more quickly to the east, so it doesn't block residences' windows. This is much more practical. Monona Hill is a visionary idea that not only would improve access to the lake and the Monona Terrace rooftop from downtown, it would improve views *of* downtown. Agency's proposal also adds the most green space of the proposals.</p> <p>JCFO – Rendering on the report page under “Law Park North and King Street Pier” shows a “new development” building (#7) where Union Transfer Condos are! They also don't show the current Rubin's building. These are huge mistakes, and indicate they didn't do their homework, thus reducing the plan's potential to come to fruition.</p>
36	Sasaki seemed to be most connected to the people and land desires of the Madison community integrating seamlessly into the lake. I can see this being there and fitting in well with my beloved downtown and adored favorite view of the city.
37	The Agency plan is cool, and it could make Madison stand out.
38	All three plans are excellent, but I feel that the Corner design strikes the best balance between creating green spaces and "re-wilding" the lake and providing new public amenities that Madison residents will actually use.
39	I think the JFCO has the best design and creates a unique, trademark look for the lakefront.

40	I found little to separate the first two - they were both visionary in terms of adding green space, access and enhancing the beauty of the lakeshore.
41	These felt more Madisony
42	This design seems to offer the most space and welcoming options for Madisonians to frequent the waterfront.
43	Same answer, because I think we need to consider the options realistically and what can be implemented in a reasonable budget.
44	We live near James Madison and often walk or bike along John Nolen. This plan offers routes for bikes, joggers and walkers
45	Ranked by access, access, access (or lack thereof)!
46	The James Corner Field Lake Shore places seemed to provide the most opportunities for people to get in/on/near the water. I liked the different piers.
47	Sasaki this plan allows access to downtown from the water front which would boost business to those in the area.
48	The James Corner plan makes best use of existing structures by converting James Nolen Drive into a green space. It also appears to have more immediate access to the water along the length of the parkway.
49	All 3 would be a huge improvement, but Sasaki' submission stood out to me as the most comprehensive.
50	enhancing nature is important and will draw citizens
51	Again, all 3 have great ideas for lakeshore but access to it with no close parking and roads for elderly and handicapped is not visible. Once you're there it's beautiful but I'd need to park close by to utilize it.
52	James Comer seems to offer more uniform benefits across the whole design west to east. Agency's concept will most improve the ambiance Downtown, where most people live. Sasaki had some good ideas, like trails supported on pilings over the water, but wasn't as nuanced as the others.
53	Again, as my comments above, the City of Madison's vision is very short sighted. We have problems with homeless people and should be addressing that before adding a "pretty" lake front. I think the money could better spent on important issues instead of always spend, spend, spend and not on big issues.
54	Pathways for walking, biking and jogging plus usage of the lake itself, and many family-friendly picnic and outdoor activity areas
55	There is a large culture of Madison boaters that has been ignored for a long time. We provide greatly financially to the Madison parks through fees and licensing and support the seasonal lake front business more than any other community.
56	Family activities, nature concentration, diversity of activities seems best in the Sasaki plan.

57	This ranking is close but I think the Sasaki plan has the most concrete, actionable details about its shoreline plan and improving the lake itself. I personally do not agree with any of the plans that involve the creation of a beach, as I believe keeping such grounds maintained and safe will be a chore, not to mention safe swimming conditions not being guaranteed even with water restoration efforts in place. The Agency Landscape + Planning model for a boardwalk that runs the length of the shoreline, while nice in theory, I think is a particularly short-sighted idea. Such a structure would require ongoing and costly maintenance and I think would risk sliding into a state of disrepair in the future.
58	Clearly the Agency design brings in the southern areas better than the other two. I am not sure though that any of them really recognize the real likelihood that the shores of Monona will flood, and therefore make all of their shoreline people space unusable.
59	JCFO takes a more holistic approach, giving multiple users opportunities to interact with the lake
60	All plans include safer biking, JCFO appears to focus the most on removing a car centered causeway and improving safety of alternative means of transport.
61	I like the Monona Hill. The street racing that occurs at night on John Nolen is very loud and it echos under the terrace tunnel, I think the hill would quiet that down, but at the same time it has a lot of the benefits of the other designs.
62	Sasaki had the best connection to nature and really made the area feel special. I think any one of the options would improve the lakefront but Sasaki focused on what makes that area special and accentuating that the best
63	A healthy lake will improve everything else for the residents so must be the first priority
64	same as above
65	Greater phasing opportunities provides early wins
66	The Sasaki plan highlights the ecological impacts to the lake itself and makes the people aspect secondary. All plans are trying to be sensitive to the lake.
67	I love all the walk areas plus the beach.
68	I think the James Corner Field Operation has the perfect blend of design out of the 3 design proposed for the greater Madison community. They create ample space for all members and their respective activities while still looking for ways to add nature features to all parts of the lakefront.
69	Same answer as above
70	The options seem to fit with the vibe that Madison currently has, as well as to provide new & expanded options for future use
71	As a bike commuter, I like the separation between the thru-bikers and park visitors. I also really like that they have considered the need to provide opportunities for urban fishing in their proposal.
72	See above comments. I like how Agency Landscape + Planning prioritizes green space and outdoor activities along the entire causeway and not in isolated areas.
73	Best for environment

74	I love that Sasaki directly addresses traffic calming. This whole lakefront area should be for people, not cars! We need more pedestrian protection, and I appreciate their dedication to working with the City of Madison to do that.
75	I often bike and kayak along this stretch of the lake, so ranked based on how much those activities will be improved for me.
76	Having more access to green spaces, the waterfront, and walking trails is very important to me and something that is difficult to access, especially near downtown. I do think accessibility and safety of paths and trails is important regardless of the design chosen. As someone who commutes on John Nolan Dr regularly, a plan that improves the ecology of the area without significantly impacting my commute is important.
77	The Sasaki project appears to have more piers, which will allow boaters such as myself and my crews gain access to downtown Madison, including its restaurants.
78	Trying to do wetland restoration in deep water in the lake sounds crazy. Agency has some good ideas for breakwater-boardwalks to do it closer to shore.
79	Improvement of the lake's water quality with nature restoration will help to encourage more residents to visit Lake Monona for recreation.
80	I have zero reason to really hang out in this area currently. Agency's plan for massive boardwalks and huge expanses of green space would change that.
81	Same comments as above- 1st provides most benefit, but love how 2nd is bringing in the thoughtful nature preservation too. 3rd is meh.
82	Again , I like broad scope off the Sasaki project.
83	More access to the lake would provide the best improvement. It feels like there is a huge disconnect from Lake Monona because there is little to no ability to enjoy it.
84	JCFO designates spaces for public-private partnership through locations for both permanent and temporary business locations along the lakefront.
85	Agency plan seems most ecologically inviting, but the massive wood decking would need constant maintenance.
86	JCFO provides the greatest breadth of leisure and sporting activities along the lakeshore.
87	Really appreciate the strength of the Olin Park portion of Sasaki
88	Sasaki plan is too crowded
89	Sasaki shows how different pieces will improve the lake but JCFO also does a very nice job of showing it can be done in each phase.
90	Love the adventure playground, canopy walk, incorporated ski team venue
91	JCFO seems to have a great plan for improving the lake/marsh environment and I think it will create a nice space to view no matter where they are. I especially like their treatment of the roadway which I think will help reduce noise pollution. The other two firms are a tie for me.
92	Gives access to Monona terrace / Law Park area by boat.
93	I think the Sasaki project utilizes the waterfront the best with p Options for every season
94	I think both #1 and #2 offer better access and opportunities to use and enjoy the lakefront area than #3, but it is a decent option as well.

95	Realistic wilderness rehabilitation with multiple access and enjoyment points. Flow is essential to the success of the design.
96	The above choices are not mine. I want to choose "None of the proposed plans but you do not give me that choice!!!!
97	I love the downtown greenspace + functional use aspect of James Corner. I love that it provides a specific dog reference, something sorely missing from Madison in general. I love the added piers and trails as well as the restaurant idea - one could happily spend all day enjoying Madison's greatest assets.
98	More ways to access lake, beach, boat, kayak, etc
99	The Sasaki team really listened to community input.
100	I think they would all improve the community. I especially appreciate seeing trains in some of the video presentations. I would love to see better public transportation to and from various areas of Madison, especially these beautiful future spaces.
101	Again I found agency in sasaki to be very close to what I would consider a perfect plan. I'm giving agency a slight lead because of the way they created a seamless integration of the whole lakefront.
102	Sasaki is proposing improvements to an area with the most potential foot traffic and density
103	Sasaki and James Corner both have a huge focus on environment and creating a space that benefits both our community and the landscape we're set around.
104	See my comments above
105	Sasaki and Agency show promising ideas for accessibility and ecosystem care in the long term. The lake IS part of the community, as are all the plants and creatures who live in and around it, and those who stop here on their migrations. The lake is so much bigger than Madison, in more than a few ways.
106	I love what it adds.
107	None, these plans are far too invasive and destructive to the health and natural habitat of Lake Monona. These plans are a new a destructive dimension of the ongoing spoilage of the real beautiful traditional Madison that I have lived in for 60 plus years. You will destroy an irreplaceable fish habitat with any one of these extravagant and costly plans.
108	Nobody is ever at olin unless they line there cars up to see the xmas lights. Dig it up.
109	I'm really at a toss up between these two designs. I believe they both provide a design that will be able to satisfy every Madisonian's itch. Either it being reading by the water, doing outside yoga, having dinner by the water, etc.
110	Providing a natural edge to the majority of the waterfront with smaller viewing platforms/docks is preferred.
111	Again, I can't seem to get the buttons to work.
112	I would like to walk or bike along the lake and end in a park near the Capitol rather than a highway.
113	Sasaki seemed to put the most thought into how to protect our lakes and improve their health - I think this is hugely important
114	Both 1 and 2 provide options no matter the seasons.
115	Love the variety of paths and meeting areas in James Corner Field's plan.

116	Love the concept.
117	The James corner design wild lake edge seems to best improve water quality and habitat. The Agency landscape design has the best pathways along john nolen - I like that some of the paths are out on the water.
118	I am a member of the Mad-City Ski Team, and we are at the park nearly every night in summer and see residents enjoying the seating we provide all the time. Viewing areas provide a great connection to the lake. Sasaki and Agency provide more concrete plans to enhance that experience for me and for our community.
119	I liked that Sasaki had plans that coordinated with street design, rerouting, and safety especially John Nolan Drive.
120	Similar to the above (the James plan probably adds the most to the community with the outdoor performance spaces and the natural/scenic area for kayaking)
121	We must do whatever possible to repair our lakeshore and honor Indigenous history. I would prefer to just completely re-wild the lakeshore and remove piers and parks altogether until we have reckoned with water quality and littering but the closest option seems to be this one.
122	This would show the biggest improvement and ease of use for people living and visiting downtown Madison.
123	All of these submissions are well thought out and designed. But I think the community will "bear the fruits of their labor" sooner with the Sasaki design than the other designs.
124	I am a nature lover and the city has much to offer in natural beauty. The Agency design maintains that. While I think all of the designs take into account water quality, preservation of wetland ecosystems, and native plantings I really dislike the canopy walk and the many "built" aspects of the Corner and Sasaki designs. I also like the separate paths for biking and walking - I like to do both but sometimes multiuser paths aren't always conducive to pedestrians.
125	# 3 is more open for different people and uses. Looks to the past and future.
126	It has many options for multi uses
127	The Corner plan is wholistic in its solutions and has the best long term environmental impact while meeting human needs.
128	I think because JCF addresses the priorities of the city, it will therefore be most helpful.
129	Would use the walking and bike paths more since there would be more space. Like paths connecting the downtown to the lake
130	The agency boardwalk is weird, the natural vibe of James corner feels very madison but again, the beach is just gonna get filled with goose poop. Sasaki is best fit
131	See comments above
132	Really appreciate having great access to the water, a restaurant, expanded Monona Terrace, law park fun, prioritizing the environment.
133	Agency also created more green space, burying more of the traffic and impervious spaces that inhibit access to the lake and contribute to unhealthy run-off as part of the watershed.

134	Most plans appear to be roughly similar, so ranking is based primarily on professional presentation and aesthetic differences in the design.
135	I can enjoy the lake the best without having to fight off monumental clouds of attacking mosquitoes (such as what the James Corner Field encourages).
136	See question 2
137	Plan that maximizes natural functions (fringe wetlands, natural shoreline) with the least amount of infrastructure and maintenance needs while recognizing the forces of waves and ice.
138	I think James Corner Field Operations' amount of marshland re-introduced to Madison would be the healthiest improvement to Lake Monona.
139	Again, the Sasaki design. Improving the walkways, the boat house, and the lake front restaurant will bring so many people together
140	Same comments as above.
141	Agency has a separate paths for bikes and walkers along the causeway on John Nolan Dr. I didn't see that with the other plans
142	Reorienting the development will draw more attention to the lakes's current condition and enable change.
143	Sasaki's design includes so many different forms of interacting with the lake that serve a wide variety of people's interests.
144	If we want to continue to have a beautiful city we need to take care of what makes it beautiful
145	Greater gather space near capital and keeping Olin Park more woodland. A good combination and enhancement on current use.
146	I love how Sasaki and James Corner Field prioritized accessibility to the lake, which to me is one of the greatest underutilized assets of Madison. I also love Sasaki's incorporation of a lakeside restaurant — Madison is seriously lacking in lakefront restaurants, and this could be the beginning of shifting towards that trend.
147	I like the law park addition. Seems to help add pizazz to an overlooked park.
148	I was a little turned off by James Corner mentioning how the plan connects the lake to "city hall" - they do know we're the capital city, right? and that it's the state capitol building, right? I think that makes a difference - it has different connotations and gives this project statewide significance, especially when it comes to tourism
149	It is a tough choice between James Corner and Sasaki, but the nature center and canopy walk will appeal to a lot of residents. Also those are the only two proposals that seem to want to involve the Ho-Chunk Nation.
150	again 1,3, 2
151	All of the plans are interesting; however, the extensive looping paths into the lake in the Agency plan seem as though they would be more expensive and high maintenance than the other plans. I like the natural beach and green boulevard approach of the JCFO plan.
152	More variety,,,more natural area close to the water...
153	Desire waterfront space that can be used and is accessible to all



154	I like the James Corners ones mostly because the illustrations were the most realistic, and the most in line with what a resident would need opposed to a tourist.
155	I am not fond of the 2 mile boardwalk concept, which could be crowded on good weather days and would need a lot of upkeep. Too much opportunity to litter. Also not fond of the elevated boardwalk.
156	Agency has taken into account green space in a better way. Incorporating a walkway into the water will allow viewing of water birds, and we will have the feeling of being in nature better than the rest.
157	Sasaki restores ecological and historical integrity to the whole sweep of lakeshore, factoring in all types of movement, including vehicular. It creates a beautiful and clever integration of the urban and the natural. Love the canopy boardwalk. I like the shoreline to privilege everyday travel-through, commuter needs plus recreation and exercise in nature, with small gathering areas and paths and nooks for ecological and historic exploration, rather than a big fireworks-viewing hill for stupid fireworks that fills up once a year.
158	Increasing access and designing for multi-use.  None of these seemed to embrace mass transit though. I also wonder if there is opportunity to encourage bike commuting. I used to commute downtown and use the public bike commuter locker rooms in downtown Chicago. They were great!
159	Would give us more of a reason to come to that area more frequently.
160	Same response as above
161	Agency Landscape provides more park space and better access to the lake from downtown.
162	I don't like the expanded shoreline with James Corner; it reduces usable lake area and will be an impediment to swimmers and boaters. I don't like the floating habitat of Sasaki; it will be a hazardous impediment to swimmers and boaters. We need more dredging to improve water quality. Maybe a fountain to keep water moving.
163	Great additions of wetlands and marshes. Also having experienced the schuykill river trail, I believe that if Madison can build something similar and better, it would be transformative for how citizens experience and feel new emotions for their city and landscape.
164	Same comments as above and I liked the Sasaki had a beer garden option for Olin T Park. Seems like such a good opportunity to have a beer garden there.
165	I'm not sure how all the boardwalks of #3 will improve the quality of Lake Monona...inserting all that infrastructure in to the lakebed may make it worse.
166	Both the James Corner Field Operations and Agency Landscape + Planning designs feature a large, open green space near Lake Monona which I envision becoming vital community spaces. All plans seem to actively engage improved water quality which is critical for the long term health of the lake.

167	We need a place that brings in people. We need something dramatic and we need entertainment. Sasaki's plan seems to fit the best for this. The more people interested in visiting this area the more the community will want to continue to support it. In the end, I don't want to have an area that is "nice" but few people want to go out of their way to go to.
168	Same as above
169	The Mad-City Water Ski Team is at Law Park almost every night in the summer and fall. We see residents enjoying the seating we provide all the time. Viewing areas also provide a great connection to the lake.
170	Distinct separation of biking, running, and walking areas is most important to me. When I walk, I don't want to be passed by people going fast. When I run, I don't want to navigate around bikes are people walking. When I bike, I don't want to worry about braking or bumping into others.
171	Sasaki's plan ranks first because of its seeming ability to support a healthier lake (and the synergies and beneficial symbiosis that will come from that), its unique approach to bringing an immersive experience in Nature that is accessible to all to Olin Park and the South Side of the city. However, the facilities proposed in James Corner Field Operations' plan make it a close second if not tie with Sasaki, especially those for Law Park, Broom St, and Monona Terrace.
172	I like to ride my bike and Onewheel. I like this option because there is a separate walking path (to keep them separate).
173	More greenspace is better
174	The myriad of ways to enjoy Olin Park and the lakefront are fantastic. Improving the water quality would also make the lake more versatile
175	It's nice
176	Sasaki seemed as though they will really consider the future and the past and what will be best for this land.
177	I think Sasaki plan includes a greater portion of the immediate downtown and Isthmus area.
178	Agency Landscape's Olin Park improvements and the large green space at Monona Terrace would both be the most welcome adjustments in any of the three plans. The rewilding of the lake shore in both Agency and Sasaki is also important for water quality and for residents to enjoy the lakeshore.
179	The importance of the health of the lake is something very important to madisonians and is emphasized in this project by sasaki
180	Madison is a child-friendly city, and this is the most child-friendly design.
181	I think improving the water quality is the first priority (from a sustainability perspective; so that it doesn't smell in the summer; so that we actually want to swim in it), but the teams all seem to be doing similar solutions to make sure that happens. Sasaki seemed to have thought through this aspect the most, which is good. However, I couldn't get a good sense from Sasaki's presentation how they will connect downtown to Law Park. I thought the other two gave better descriptions and imagery/design for this part of the plan, which is the second most important priority to me.

182	The Agency Landscape + Planning design is best in its generalities and specifically Monona Hill. But it does need more of the green infrastructure details of the other two (just not a tamarack marsh).
183	Sasaki and Agency seem to have a good sense of how the lakeshore can be revitalized. James Corner's treatment of the lake and the shore seem to be less realistic.
184	With the Agency, liked the pathways to connect to the lake without crossing John Nolan.
185	As a cyclist, safety on the bike path is big for me. Many designs add destinations in the area, and with the limited parking of the isthmus, I would be biking there frequently.
186	Sasaki seems like the best design. James Corner seems like it has too much concrete and will contain underutilized items like stairways to nowhere and amphitheatres. The raised bike path presented by Sasaki is magnificent.  I think Agency Landscape best represents what locals want/need.  I think it's important to take the fisher-people into account as they are there constantly - allowing them a safe space away from the path (I'm not one of these people).
187	For all the reasons mentioned above, I believe Agency has done the best job of balancing this as a place to visit without diminishing its usefulness as a transportation corridor.  All designs incorporate some fantastic ideas, but the massive hill connecting the city and park is my absolute favorite.
188	Creating more marshlike edges for the lake is a high priority for water quality, and also for lakeshore enjoyment. The plan that adds the most marsh and the most space between the road and the lake, even if it must be fill, is a high priority for me. From drawings I think that is Agency followed by Corner, but it's a bit hard for me to read and compare the drawings. I like the wetlands and water quality features of the Sasaki plan for water quality, though it appears to be less overall wetland/marsh edges from the drawings.
189	As a cyclist and frequent enjoyer of the Dane County Park System I feel the designs best reflect common Madisonian needs and interests.
190	Just feel this would give the best look for Madison and our local communities
191	While the Sasaki plan seems more connected to the community, it also doesn't seem as bold or forward looking in creating stormwater facilities having a great impact on Lake Monona. The Agency plan has more opportunity for community activities and a much broader reach into the community.
192	I am a triathlete so want a space where athletes and families can coexist.
193	With the Agency Landscape + Planning design, I can imagine taking regularly taking the walking and biking paths all the way from Olin Park past the Terrace. The other designs seem to break up the waterfront a bit more, with different elevations, which is part of the current issue we're trying to fix.

194	All three projects negatively impact our home. We live at Union Transfer Condominiums. Sasaki leaves the building intact but cuts off several floors. I think the Corner plan tries to bring the city to the lake with additional routes to the shoreline. I also liked the beaches and piers, and the expanse of the fishing pier.
195	Same as above. I personally feel that this is not going to be enough... the blue green algae is really poor in this area. I would suggest additional dredging and keep water moving, ESPECIALLY in the far southwest part of the area that is being improved. I swim out there regularly and it's quite terrible after early June... additionally, the city really should ban fertilizer for all properties within a certain radius of our lakes, as well as finish the removal of sediment from Door Creek.
196	For the same reasons as I wrote in #2, but also the design of places over the lake. Some want sun some don't. The options for learning skills and or peaceful settings were greatest with Sasaki.
197	ALP is the most realistic. JCFO feels disconnected to what Madison wants and needs.
198	Same reasoning
199	The Agency Landscape plan has a focus on other similar projects and the character of the city. I think this will improve tourism and the perception of the city which will benefit local business.
200	The Agency Landscape + Planning proposal appears to place great emphasis on making the natural landscape more accessible while promoting its stewardship.
201	Again, the health of the lake and lakeshore is the MOST important issue, especially in light of the ongoing threat of global warming and eco damage.
202	same as above
203	I think Agency has an awesome and very expansive plan that I think has the most potential. I am very curious to see how much of it is actually doable, or if we have the budget for it. For me personally, being on the waterski team, I am at the park nearly every single night from May to October, and am a part of one of the highest users of law park especially. Both Agency and Sasaki have given us the opportunity to continue to work with them and give us a home that we love, which is why they would improve my experience the most.
204	JCFO's plan results in the most natural looking waterfront, creates a "softness" that is currently missing.
205	While the Sasaki plan has good ideas about how to improve water quality, the JCFO plan does as well and offers multiple ways for residents to enjoy the beauty of the lake.
206	As noted, connections is what I believe is most important. How do you make this stretch more than just a pathway for those going from point A to point B. How do you make it a destination like the BEAN in Chicago? How do you make it a learning opportunity for ecological awareness and lake health? You can incorporate amazing elements, but if someone feels it is a challenge to get to, it will fail....
207	The "wild lakeshore" concept creates an inviting destination across the lakefront that promises to benefit Madison residents for generations to come.

208	The natural lakeshore of separated paths for bikers, walkers should be the priorities.
209	All three plans focus on improving Lake Monona, which signals the teams have listened to our needs.
210	Putting larger green spaces next to Lake Monona will be a big improvement.
211	Love the board walks for pedestrian access to the lakes in agency design. i like that their design creates a beach at Olin park rather than monona terrace, a quieter spot for children to play.  But i also love the woodland playground in James design. My children and I would love to play there!
212	Sasaki is more focused on the lake with outdoor venues and activities. the other designs are too abstract.
213	The James Conner provided the most transformational access from the capital building area.
214	All three stress creation of more multi use spaces along shoreline.,as well as safer linkages to the rest of the city.
215	Love the reimagining of Olin and lake access from central madison
216	I am a community member that is at Law Park very frequently in the summer. Residents are always enjoying that space, even with zero amenities. Creating more green space directly downtown will benefit everyone.
217	Great access and activities all while improving the water health.
218	Sasaki has the most well developed strategy for improving and enhancing water quality.
219	Landscape diversity and multiple uses
220	for me, not sure trading soil in two places is good idea, nor so many activities in quieter spaces. I like offering more connection to south and south east madison , with greenspace and community gatherings, not fancy or pricey places to eat and rest.
221	The Sasaki Plan's approach to inclusion is really well done, however it lacks some of the infrastructure and green space improvements of the other plans. The corner Field plan seems more focused on flashy design which while beautiful seems less important than clean lakes overall.
222	I feel like this one really focused on nature and creating a place for people and wildlife.
223	I think Madison's Signature Waterfront Park MONONA HILL of the Agency Landscape + Planning proposal would significantly improve the Monona terrace area and make it more of community hub.
224	Sasaki prioritized the health of the eco-system, and that has to be the base of any improvement to the lakes.
225	I like everything about the Agency's plan, especially the full green area around the Monona terrace - that would be so cool to see, not only from the nature perspective but from the perspective of people coming together and celebrating events there. I also love the different walking paths along John Nolen

226	I love the Monona Hill concept and how it connects to downtown. Paths are prioritized, open space can be easily programmed for many uses. Lots of green space.
227	I like the emphasis of Agency Landscape on SAFELY connecting more people to the lakefront amenities with more overpasses and elevated bike/ped paths.
228	The Sasaki plan takes into account many of the organizations and people who utilize this area.
229	I loved Agency Landscape's idea around Monona Terrace, but from a practical and bike-ability standpoint I find it lacking.
230	Having a place to sit and relax on the running path is something that I would benefit the most from. Currently the bleachers at law park work well but something more permanent would be nicer since there is no water or bathrooms there either. During ski shows they are often packed full and there is no where to go then besides sitting on the ground.
231	I feel Corner best grasped the feedback from the various public input events thus far. I do like elements from each of the firms however. I really like the Olin "circle" proposed by Agency, and love their "Monona Hill", but I'm not sure it's realistic. Corner did a great job with their Monona footbridge and pier, as well as the green space around Law Park. I do appreciate Sasaki's emphasis on water quality, but feel that the other elements were lacking. All three should consider including a "destination" restaurant, not just food stands.
232	Greater improvements begin with healthy ecosystem
233	The best plan is the one that separates the walkers/runners from the bicyclists so walkers/runners can enjoy being along the lake rather than lining up like ducklings to be with others. Then achieving a high quality park at Olin/Turville. Then having attractive lakeshore space without auto noise near Monona Terrace.
234	Same ranking
235	The Agency plan has the most green space and the least construction of structures.
236	Hill plan (Agency) has the most potential
237	Water quality improvement is a key issue. Both Sasaki and James Corner address this and it is hard for me to know which would be better. I like that Sasaki specifically addresses access from South Madison. I also like the James Corner design for Olin Park.
238	This plan offers something for everyone. I love how there is beach front, wildlife viewing, trails, kayaking and so much more.
239	Looks beautiful on paper.
240	Sasaki does the most to provide a variety of features reflecting the diverse interests of Madisonians. Agency Landscape is mainly for nature lovers.
241	I love that this one feels like Wisconsin. It has ideas for winter and summer fun.
242	restaurant, walking trail, no dog run please!
243	I think this order will have the best long term impact on Madison as a whole.
244	The Sasaki proposal is more imaginative than the others. It truly transforms the corridor and the lakefront and creates destination spaces.

245	We walk, bike, and kayak here. The first two plans offer more for those activities. I also like that all the plans take care to make it welcoming for fishing.
246	The agency plan prioritizes the ecological infrastructure needed for long term development. It connects communities to each other and nature. The James corner proposal attempts to meet community needs, but does not have the knowledge of how the community currently and in the future will access the spaces. The Sasaki plan is not ambitious.
247	Sasaki seems to unite people and nature in a healthy way. James seems like it will be beautiful but difficult to maintain. Agency seems to focus too much on the water and less of everything else.
248	Please see # 2 comments.
249	Agency Landscape + Planning presentation minimizes beach in the proposal. It best represents a multi-use shoreline. Does not overdo structures like other proposals (very high off the water or land viewing platforms). I like the comparisons to and borrowing ideas from waterway/lake developments happening in other cities across the world. I like the portrayal of places to sit to hang out that are not beaches.
250	All are exceptional plans but the Agency plan has a boardwalk spanning the length of Nolen, over the water platform at Olin, and it appears to cap John Nolen by the terrace which is very important since traffic needs to be separated from pedestrians as much as possible for any project.
251	This one, however, has the most comprehensive design features.
252	same comments as above.
253	JFCO seems to balance the lake's needs and the "user" experience of the lake well. Access to the lake is easy and there is a focus on lake edge restoration.
254	I don't like the Sasaki plan for all speeds on the multi-use path. I believe the other 2 plans better accommodate use by all users by having what look like separate paths for walking / biking.
255	Goal should be to make pedestrians and bike friendly place to gather. Not just a busy road with cars
256	In addition to the above, the Corner plan did the most to reduce and isolate traffic on John Nolan Drive making the park settings quieter and more user friendly.

257	<p>Agency did best job at diverse layout, considering 3 distinct regions. It was nicest feeling and most welcoming to want to go and enjoy. Lots of good potential with Monona Hill idea and how road would be under park. Most shady areas in Agency plan which is important for me (handicapped and can't sit in sun on bright cement). James Corner plan second best. Did great job on John Nolen as boulevard with tons of trees. Maybe James Corner should work for Madison Streets and Forestry dept's since our roads need more street tree plantings like his John Nolen idea. Madison would be much more desirable if we planted more like Corner shows. But Corner had too much open concrete in park and pier areas... nobody ever goes somewhere to stand on open concrete... most people look for shady edges to avoid the hot sun. People in wheelchairs like me do at least. Corner's was nice if you reduce the pier/Broom Street zone... make more woodsy. And let kids play in a more natural setting than just another playground. Kids love playing in real nature... they don't need jungle gyms everywhere to have fun. We loved his wild marsh and natural ideas, too.</p> <p>Sasaki was last for us because John Nolen still so prominent and not improved like Corner's plan did. Sasaki felt a little gimmicky for me. Who's going to use the water slide and fair-ground features in fall and winter? They will just need repairs in 10 years time. Everyone can use and enjoy natural park setting in city to escape and relax, fish, learn, have fun, whatever. Parks and nature give that. Leave fairground slides and colored concrete walkways for state fairs. "living edge" is good idea though.</p>
258	<p>They all seem pretty equal in this regard, though I put Agency first in this category because I think their focus on environmental renewal would likely be the best for Lake Monona.</p>
259	<p>I live in Bay Creek Neighborhood and I appreciate their addressing some of the safety concerns at the Lakeside/John Nolen intersection.</p> <p>I appreciate that all concepts are improving the pedestrian experience along the lakefront trail between Lakeside and Broom. Physical and auditory separation from vehicle traffic will make the path a more inviting place to experience, rather than pass through as fast as possible.</p> <p>I also appreciate the improvements to stormwater discharge, and building up of wetlands and fish habitat to improve the water quality.</p>
260	<p>I like the layout of the Corner Field Operations plan better for all users.</p> <p>All of the plans need to provide much better access for sailboats and power boats into downtown - this is something Lake Monona is really lacking - there is absolutely nowhere to tie up a boat and get dinner, explore downtown, etc.</p> <p>Lake Monona has a long history of sailing and there is currently a small sailboat marina at Olin Park. I don't see this in any of the plans. Ideally this marina would remain and there would also be a marina downtown.</p>
261	<p>They all look great - it was very hard to decide but I like Sasaki's design the most!</p>
262	<p>I like the plans with the most nature with paths throughout. I prioritized plans where the paths and gathering spaces seemed to be more integrated with plants.</p>



263	James Corner seems to bring in more shore line usage, with more people there could be more pollutants which is why I put it under agency landscape.
264	Adding a beachfront and refreshment options is great!
265	I really like the look and feel of the Agency Landscape + Planning designs, and I generally feel more inclined to use facilities that feel beautiful and peaceful. Sasaki's proposal is a close second. They all have amenities that I would enjoy, but I don't particular enjoy the look and feel of the James Corner Field Operations designs.
266	More space by the square.
267	Olin has lake access already. Focuses on Capitol and John Nolen area access to water. Foot traffic areas need lake access.
268	I love all the family friendly elements and how accessible it is to get to
269	Particularly the King St pier, overpass / continuation / pedestrianization of King St down to the lake. Not sure how they're going to handle the "hairball" intersection with that plan.
270	Improving Olin park area without being too 'obnoxious', less parking and instead more walking to it. Love the pier. Love multiuse trail. Consider putting in a running trail that does not meander, improved access to law park. I'm concerned about placement of beaches for James Corner plan- Lake Monona is very deep near the terrace and 'south law park', wouldn't want to modify lake depth to create a beach where it shouldn't be. It seems to be shallower at Law park, beach there is ok, or just keep boat house idea. There's a beach down the road at BB clark. Love the piers for fishing- lots of anglers in town. All plans focus on redeveloping wetlands, love that. Really like Sasaki plan but would like them to add a walking pier for city/capitol views/fishing to Olin park.
271	I would love to go to the proposed nature center, see the revived natural landscape of the lakeshore, and walk, run, or bike along the great lake.
272	Same as above
273	They all seem to have good ideas for improving water quality. I honestly feel like that can't go wrong.
274	The features I think would be most enjoyable from all the plans are the kayak course, nature center, and storybook walk with education opportunities at Olin. The Walk on Water circle area into the marsh is really cool too. I think there should be a visitors center - either at Olin or east of Monona Terrace - or both. The amphitheater and stage island is cool. Monona Hill expanding the lakefront between the Monona Terrace and the lake for public use would be great. I like the sandy beach with kayak rentals and a playground would be nice. I like enhancing the Frank Lloyd Wright's creation and John Nolen's initial vision is commendable. Easier and amble parking with safer access to the lakefront is critical. Using the causeway to connect Monona Hill and Olin Park by walking, cycling, driving, public transportation safely and pleasantly with adding more appropriate vegetation are all important!
275	Sasaki and James Corner Field and very close on this one. I feel like both plans provide a lot for Madison.
276	The Agency plan is subtle and fantastic, however, the Sasaki plan has potential to create community similar to what you might find on Union Terrace.

277	Building out community spaces for leisure and exercise for all seasons is important.
278	There is so much to do with this plan. You can walk, relax, or be on the water.
279	I like the focus on water quality and environment in the second and third proposals
280	Like the focus on ecological stuff in Sasaki.
281	See above
282	I liked the ambition of the James Corner design, especially the green roof of the monona terrace! However I believe that is out of scope of the project. I also thought this design offered the best understanding of foot and bike traffic through the city. I loved the concept of the foot traffic bypass bridge from the capitol to the terrace!
283	The Agency plan just seems to do this better - but there is so much information to absorb I am concerned I missed things.
284	Good flow for bike commuting through the isthmus is priority for me as I bike to work from monona to campus most days and go for bike rides on the weekend. I go past monona terrace about 12 times a week! JCFO seems to have a good idea to keep that somewhat untouched and still flat. I think the Hill concept of Agency is really cool as they mostly cover a huge section of John Nolan and turn it into multiuse green space. I would change my answer depending on how steep the gathering spaces are.
285	Taking cars and moving them away from bike and pedestrians as much as possible is a big win. Agency seemed to do that the best.
286	current space is lacking trees and places to hang
287	The wild lakeshore of the James Corner Field plan is very appealing, but I still think Sasaki has the best overall plan for all uses.
288	I really like the idea of covering the road around the terrace with usable green park space. Connecting around the lake with a large bicycle path sounds nice too.
289	I found that all 3 had great elements of design. I really liked what Sasaki and Agency did with Olin Park and liked Sasaki's boardwalk from the capitol level, but overall I liked JCFO's whole presentation the best.
290	AL+P's Monona terrace park will be a game-changer in providing an open multi-use community space at the heart of downtown. Right now, there isn't a place on the Monona shoreline that encourages lounging for extended periods of time like James Madison park, so this move could help retain people in the area long enough to bolster patronage to nearby businesses. I ranked Sasaki second because I liked their suggestion of a dedicated event space, and having a stage on water would be pretty neat. They should double-check that the position of the sun would give the stage good lighting.
291	I love the sand bar in James Corner.
292	I think environmental considerations need to be at the forefront of the design especially given all the algae blooms from too much salt and leaves in the gutters ending up in our lakes making it a space that you can't swim in and the fishing is poor.

293	The James Corner plan integrates wetlands and seems to give more attention to the ecological reparations we need to make.
294	I like Sasaki the best because it seemed to be the most well thought out approach to consider the environment and how people will want to use the space. I loved Agency's boardwalks. James Corner Field Operations seemed boring and not much different than what we already have
295	I believe this works provide the most opportunity for people
296	The nature aspect will help everyone's mental health and still provides accessibility for bikers, runners, and walkers
297	Same comments as above. Sasaki I think makes it a lake for everyone.
298	In addition to my earlier comments, I like the lounging/community space in the designs that would be at Law Park and next to Monona Terrace. As a downtown resident, we always need more of this space and we currently do not have that on Lake Monona.
299	The hidden green space in the sasaki plan is a beautiful idea and I believe it will make urban living feel more connected to the land which is an excellent thing for the mental health of everyone living in and visiting our city
300	The multitude of uses is really important. As mentioned above, our family loves the water ski shows. We also love lake front dining. We are avid lake users and anything that increases the access and use and preserves the water ski show gets my vote. The seating areas provided by the ski team are used ever single night by residents and passersby. More seating and viewable lake areas is needed.
301	See comments above. I think the Sasaki plan better emphasized water quality structures. That is good. However, the other plans also considered this. I think this is an important issue and suggest having an expert look at the designs to see if there are real differences.
302	Their vision included more tourist destinations along with improving nature where as the other ones focused on nature as the primary attraction
303	Both Agency and Sasaki improve pedestrian and biking infra but I like the hill idea the most
304	I think the JCFO design offers the widest variety of different activities, and I'm particularly excited by the idea of a kayak course through the wetland area.
305	Sasaki has the most space devoted to activities.
306	I don't like that all of the designs focus so heavily on plants and greenery. Madison is only green for 5 months of the year. Unless evergreens are being planted, none of these plans will reflect how this space will look the majority of the time.
307	I think the goal should be marrying nature and recreation, I appreciate the design of different paths for different activities
308	Sasaki great clean water idea, winter planting, place for fishing green green green plants all great. James Corner Field Operations Big playgrounds, great sitting area near the water, Monona Fishing pier looks good. Agency Landscape + Planning nice boardwalk, nice Olin park circle.

309	Getting in the lake is unrealistic until we counter the pollution that is ruining water quality. Plans that show people swimming don't reflect the current crisis and are wasted infrastructure when contact with the water could be a health hazard. The plan needs to multiple ways to clean water and avoid impervious surfaces which we already have in excess.
310	James Corner is the most realistic, I think, and the one most sustainable.
311	The main asset for Madison is the lake and my ranking brings out the best the lake
312	Seems realistic
313	For same reasons as above
314	I think James Corner had the most compelling reimagining of the king street area
315	As part of the Mad City Ski team we are at Law Park nearly every night in summer and we see residents enjoying the seating we provide all the time. Viewing areas provide a great connection to the lake. Sasaki and Agency plans incorporate that best. James Corner seems to involve more of a marshy area to spectate the lake from far away. There are beaches incorporated but they are small and not usable for the ski team.
316	The spacing and sizing felt right.
317	Again, JFCO is the only plan to really change JND, and that to me is a huge issue with lake monona in general.
318	Re-wilding much of the lakefront is a fantastic concept.
319	I love the top 2 plans!
320	Field Operations is the most cost-effective project I believe and addresses local and ecological needs.
321	Agency really embraced bringing usable, interactive nature to the entire shoreline!
322	Both Agency and James Corner focus crowds into smaller access areas
323	Once again as stated earlier Agency really takes into consideration the needs of both the people and the environment the best balanced out of all the groups which is really important for Madison
324	Sasaki's design is world-class! This would be one of the best lakefront designs in the world in my opinion. Agency is definitely the next best choice.
325	more green space and opportunities for walking and biking are beneficial for everyone
326	I really liked the design and ideas from the James Corner presentation. I liked the plans to add more green into John Nolen and just the overall flow of the plans. I also really like the Sasaki plans as well but I thought the James Corner highlighted just a little bit better.
327	kayak course would be dope
328	Knowing that there's no immediate funding for these designs, I think the JCFO design has the most benefit to the lake and community in the early proposed phases of their design.
329	Nice innovative plan
330	Increased access to Monona waterfront from downtown - it's currently very difficult to cross John Nolan

331	Simple gesture is stronger design approach.
332	As above, Sasaki and Agency were close. Sasaki's transition from a green, natural space emphasis at Olin transitioning to a more urban, usable space towards Law Park with its boathouse makes sense. It's in tune with the likely uses of the spaces, and it fits the use gradient of the lake.
333	All focus on improving natural waterfront. Loved the elevated walkway in Sasaki plan
334	N/a
335	It makes the lakefront a destination.
336	See above
337	Most creative and natural solutions.
338	Same as above.
339	Sasaki looks like the only plan, due to water circulation in wetland areas, that wont turn into a mucky blue green algae cesspool. There is major water quality issues on this lake, that need to be addressed. Spending 3-5 days on the water from ice off until ice-up, since 1996 I have seen the water quality rapidly decline. To think these new wetland areas will be clear water perfect areas, like tropical waters is a fools errand without addressing water quality as top priority. It will be nasty and swampy otherwise. Circulation of water in these wetland areas is one possibility of hope to keeping it a nice enjoyable space. Why Sasaki gets my vote.
340	None of the plans fully address the main problem of the waterfront which is John Nolen Drive basically being a highway and blocking access. I think the Agency plan does the best job reducing ped/bike interactions with JND and Sasaki's does the worst (crossings are still at-grade). I do like the water quality changes from Sasaki's plan.
341	same reasoning
342	Adding event spaces and green space to the area surrounding the Manona terrace would help improve the city.
343	It is less of a metropolitan concept and more natural -- which I think is an asset in that area
344	The agency design seems to have more practical transportation options.
345	use the environment to help with wetlands and rain gardens. Access to the water. view points and a path that allows for traffic to flow. forrest restoration and gorgeous canopy walk leading to a nature center
346	Better concept for accessibility
347	I'd visit this many times.
348	They all were nice designs
349	The James Corner team was bold enough to realize that eliminating John Nolen lanes is both necessary and plain old nice. We must encourage mode shift away from largely single occupancy cars to transit, bikes and feet.
350	I love the ideas Agency has planned and their graphics are so powerful. They definitely understand the context/needs of the community for this design.
351	Agency has a walking path and running path which stands out for me as a runner.

352	The variety of access points to the lake and variety of uses shown are what appeals to me
353	My priority for the space is as that of a commuter, cyclist, and runner. I feel it still must function well in that role. JCFO has provided a practical plan that will positively impact me. Agency calls for phantastic green spaces, which I would love, but falls short in functionality.
354	All designs are beautiful and vast improvements over the current layout.
355	None of the above. Clean up the Lakes before doing anything else.
356	I would prefer to leave the waterfront unchanged. The focus should be on reducing property taxes for our residents.
357	Sasaki seemed to be the only team that truly engaged the local community in their process, and also had an appreciation for the indigenous cultures that influenced the land and how it should be cared for going forward.
358	See above
359	A friend of mine was killed riding his bike on the crazy busy streets around the UW-Madison campus awhile back, and I applaud the efforts to provide safe riding zones, mixed with nature and a beautiful, sustainable design to appreciate and connect with the waterfront.
360	The attention to culture, people, and history was seen holistically in Sasaki's design
361	The green hill might be an attraction
362	Providing a way for the lake to remain healthy and prevent or clean run off into the lake is important
363	Time and budget is still important and James Corner is the most realistic and practical of the plans.
364	People in the greater community will see the most improvement from improved bike and ped pathways that make car commuting easier (less likely to kill a biker and less traffic because other people will be able to opt out of more car trips) and make it easier to bike into Madison. I think the Agency plan would improve this the most.
365	This question is essentially identical to the first one.
366	I like how Sasaki's proposal has 4 seasons of projected use.
367	I liked Agency's presentation best, but I think Sasaki focused on the wider community a bit better as well as the environment and wildlife.
368	James Corner Field Operations has provided a wealth of different ways to interact with the lake from king street to Olin Turville park- the plans seem less segregated into activity areas and more "choose your own adventure"
369	Love Sasaki's restaurant idea. I want to go already.
370	Sasaki did great!
371	Feels more personal
372	The agency design is very cool but I fear the circle may be a tad impractical. The other two seem to be more community building in the way they are set up and I like the different elevation levels used.
373	Best plans for the area.
374	Again I think Agency and then James Corner provide plans that offer the most to those of us living here and using the area year round.

375	As a Lake Monona resident, a natural shoreline benefits the entire lake.
376	Waterfront restaurant, and boat dock access
377	As above
378	We non native people have a difficult time taking our pleasures out of the picture. The lake health is first and then we figure out how to enjoy a constantly healthy lake.
379	The greatest improvement to the community is through amenities. While James Corner field has some great suggested amenities, Sasaki's are more thorough and expansive.
380	To me, all that matters is that fishing and fish spawning areas are preserved
381	They all suck.
382	None will improve Lake Monona
383	Sasaki's plan includes a protected shoreline, safe bike lanes, multi-use trails, and restored habitat for wildlife to flourish.
384	Sasaki's plan has the most diverse recreational things. The others are just green space
385	Sasaki's team and plan has the best understanding of ecological processes and the necessary changes to reduce pollution and improve resilience which is the most key for improving the lake for its residents long term. All the other aspects of the plan are important but can adapt to the ecological requirements of the space.
386	For me it is a toss-up between Agency and Field Operations because I want the Hill from Agency, but also appreciate the wild edge concept, and the integration of unique activities all along the lake front that Field Operations has shown.
387	Sasaki's focus on lake health is ideal, but Agency's goals of improved access to the lake for pedestrians and their plan for a greener Olin Park and Monona Terrace is fantastic for our community.
388	Sasaki's gorgeous idea of being surrounded by nature and nature center really gives us a peice of mind on why we she admire and care for our environment, I also can agree the the filtration and marsh run off is important and helpful as well including Agencies design. I feel like theirs will promote gardening aswell, a big step to sustainably unlike James.
389	I liked the area around the Monona terrace.
390	I liked Agency Landscape the best. It had good ideas for pedestrian and bike safety, and it focused on the ecological points of view. I like the activity plans too.
391	I love the idea of transforming the Monona terrace area into a grassy hill. The pedestrian connections to downtown seem more straightforward in this plan as well.
392	Honestly they are all fantastic and I can't wait until it's a reality!
393	Sasaki seemed the most integrative and inclusive of all the stakeholders.
394	The Wild Lakeshore spoke to me as a way to help heal Lake Monona, which is an incredibly important priority. And Sasaki's Olin Overlook and beautiful piers really look like destination pieces for the City.
395	Same.

396	They are all very similar but the first 2 put the lake & nature first.
397	lot's of activities
398	The Agency design provides plenty of green space for people to walk and bike in.
399	Sasaki info seemed most ambitions with the variety of spaces and year round activities and events. Agency and James Corner were also good and comparable with the access and use of spaces.
400	Agency by far. I want to get in their images and paddle!!
401	Sasaki aims to provide education on the Isthmus's history and that is important for residents and visitors. The elevated features of the Sasaki report, in addition to the Monona Terrace expansion, really puts it over the top (no pun intended).
402	Wetland restoration (and expansion with floating elements) is a dynamic contribution to lake health, wildlife habitat, and visitor experience. Greening Monona Hill, and letting MTCC be the "shining brow" is a brilliant idea that will help diminish the heat island effect and improve connectivity for pedestrians in the downtown. These additions will enhance the visitor and resident experience.
403	I only changed the order here from my first answer because I think water quality and lake health is the most important aspect to be changed. The agency landscaping plan doesn't really address that.
404	Sasaki was the one I liked the best although all of them have good points.
405	Same as above
406	Agency and Landscape is realistic as a sustainable and eco friendly, inviting land-use throughout all seasons. The other two will require compromises on these important Madison values.
407	Super excited about the true transformation shown in BOTH Agency & James corner. Expanded use near Monona Terrace, more beaches, and room for events in what is a very popular, but poorly organized area of town will drastically change the amount of time we spend there. At this moment, we consider it a necessary (but unfortunate) pass through area and it is exciting to see that it could become a true destination.
408	I like the idea of beaches at both Olin and Monona Terrace, and it seemed that James Corner Field Operations did the most to beautify and change John Nolan Drive.
409	Same
410	I like that Agency's proposal changes the terrace from concrete to grass while also prioritizing restoration of the lake shores
411	The re-wilding of Olin Park in the JCFO design looks to vastly improve the lake community by reintroducing the natural state of the lake without being too invasive with the construction.
412	All three designs are wonderful improvement ideas but the Sasaki plan is the most "vibrant" with so many different ways to utilize the newly built structures that will yield many activities beyond just cycling and nature strolling



413	For some of the same reasons I mention above: 1) more equitable access, 2) stronger connection for individuals to the wetlands and nature in our city, and 3) better spaces for civic gathering. Currently events in that area make use of the Monona Terrace rooftop (Ironman, Dane Dances), or people are forced into a narrow bike path area (ski shows, Shake the Lake). The Monona Hill idea not only cradles the Monona Terrace and shows it off like a gem in a bracelet, but offers wonderful green spaces for gathering and for connecting downtown with the lakeshore. JCFO does this to a somewhat lesser degree, and I don't think the Sasaki one goes far enough with it.
414	I think the design in Sasaki project incorporates all ages. Kids need space just the same as adults.
415	Boardwalk/slower walking spaces to provide areas for all. Restroom availability and serious consideration for boat rentals and knowledge about the lake and feasibility for swim activities.
416	I'm split between 1 and 2. 1 has great ideas in terms of functionality and the play that takes place on the lake, but 2 has the important ecological and cultural takes on the layout. I really like the storm draining systems of 2, but the lifestyle and look of 1.
417	The ambitious plan of Sasaki has the biggest potential to make Monona into a nice and beautiful place, but the plan can't stop just at the lakefront. The idea needs to extend throughout all of downtown, focusing on taking room from cars and giving it back to people and bikes, through protected on street bike paths and smarter intersections
418	Additional considerations would need to be made to reduce traffic congestion with less lanes on John Nowlan Dr
419	ranked in order of natural structure
420	The top two best reflect the ways Madison has used the shorelines and opens it back up to everyone, adds more green space, allows us to gather again, preserves views, and makes Lake Monona a destination for everyone, workdays and weekends, year round.
421	As a cyclist, I appreciate the vision to provide more separation between cyclists and other shoreline users. This helps improve safety for all.
422	I like JC's design best. I strongly dislike having elements built out into the lake. I feel the water is a sacred space. JC's design makes the shoreline accessible and inclusive without building out large areas into the lake.
423	Love the concept for Olin Park and think it is the best location for the beach and strolling circle and marshland
424	All of the plans did a great job of considering necessary ecological and water quality improvements. Again, the ALP plan was very focused on opening up green space and facilitating active transportation by improving safety and comfort for multiple types of users, which are the other two major areas in need of improvement.
425	Fun and responsible
426	I liked the bike routes that Agency presented in the recorded January presentation. In addition, I missed that the James Corner did not have an Olin Park beach area.

427	The agency plan addressed rainwater/ runoff.
428	Agency's "Southside lakefront" and "Monona Hill" are amazing.
429	I feel the James Corner is over achieving with the greater plan.
430	Better accessibility for all.
431	See above
432	Liked the steps liked bike path idea
433	Agency one seems to do the best at offering multiple uses for varied ages and in linking the Downtown to the lake.
434	As a long-time resident, first I am concerned about the lake's water quality - in order to want to use the lake, the water needs to be clean. Second, I use the bicycle path to cross the isthmus, so path access for biking and walking is important, and third, I would spend more time at the lakefront if there were inviting spaces to gather - we have very few lakefront restaurants so this along with food trucks would be a great addition,
435	Agency is my vote.
436	Corner provides greater access to lake activities, and more spaces to sit, view the lake, meet with friends, picnic.
437	I liked the green edge concept for integrating the wetland and natural features of the lake with the walkways. As a non-motorized boat user, the kayak areas are appealing and beachfront is nice.
438	The best non hard scale connection to the lake from the downtown.
439	Sasaki seems like they want to build a more inclusive and attractive layout plan for the community. People on all sorts of transportation can use these trails, walkways, and look out points.
440	Agency's is gorgeous and provides great land space.
441	I like both the Sasaki and JCFO plans for their emphasis on lake health and improving water quality. People aren't going to be thrilled about all the improved access to the lake if it's a green cesspool for most of the summer.
442	Sasaki's priorities and design elements seem most achievable, again starting with water quality.
443	Our lakes desperately need to be cared for, and Sasaki and James Corner Field's plans seemed to take that into account the most. The lakes are not only vital to the health of our community, but also the recreation and beauty. I feel strongly that madison residents would want what's best for the health of the lakes.
444	Very clear on lake conservation and preservation
445	The wetland and lake edge restoration will help reduce the polluted nature of Lake Mendota, providing a healthier swimming and waterspouts environment.

446	<p>James Corner doesn't seem as lofty/not as much wow factor. But maybe most economical?</p> <p>Really love all the walk on water boardwalks--but what are the chances they'd just be filled with goose poop?</p> <p>Sasaki seems to address water quality/ecosystem the best, which is key in improving Lake Monona--poor ecosystem and quality of water would likely mean people wouldn't want to swim/be active there.</p>
447	Sasaki and Agency Landscape are equal in this category. Sasaki fleshed out their thoughts more comprehensively.
448	Again, I think Sasaki's plan perfectly combines the historical understanding with a future vision.
449	As someone who has spent years picking up trash along the shoreline, I appreciated Sasaki's acknowledgment of the need for debris collection. There has to be a way to get plastic and litter out of the proposed swamp areas. There is a fierce group of volunteers who keep the shoreline clean, but if litter is inaccessible, we have a problem.
450	Agency seems to utilize the water most but the added beach areas in the sasaki are great.
451	The sustainability now and the phases to grow and expand the activities and connection to the rest of downtown is really exceptional. Madison will feel so much more intertwined, honoring nature, and replenishing.
452	Decreasing the lanes of traffic and taking away the dedicated turn lane at N Shore would greatly reduce access. The dedicated turn lane is not unnecessary as that intersection gives access to Brittingham Park which gives access to the bay and the lake. Agency Landscape appears to be the only plan recognizing the need for a variety of access.
453	Same
454	Their plan creates many family centered spaces.
455	Same comments as above, more or less. While the "boardwalk spectacular" of the Agency Landscape and Planning proposal is exotic, it doesn't really respect the character of Olin park and the lakeshore, nor the recreational needs of park users.
456	Sasaki presented a vision that accounts for all seasons, all visitors, and genuinely connected with me on how best to use the waterfront's edge.
457	See above.
458	Improving the health of the lake was obviously a priority for all 3 designs, although I felt like the Sasaki design put it slightly ahead of other aspects than the other 2. James Corner was a close second on this, and perhaps better at "improving the greater Madison community" because it seems like there's more access to the water in their design - the kayak course was pretty dang cool. Again, it was hard to pick between these two.
459	I think Sasaki's emphasis on wildlife and nature will have positive implications for years to come.

460	Cleaning up the lake is a priority. Currently conditions I do not want to swim in it personally and I love being in the water. Also making better use of Olin Park land for the community is wonderful.
461	see earlier comment
462	The first still gives opportunity to people to fish in the summer, with equality for bikes and walkers. The second seems to cater to swimming- an activity not widely done here, anyway. The third is just an extension of the arboretum and makes me feel- then why change it?
463	I enjoy the sky walks and covered areas where people can enjoy the lake away from the sun
464	The Sasaki plan would provide the best opportunities for residents and visitors to socialize and also enjoy nature.
465	Water quality focus, year round usability, pedestrian safety, traffic flow design
466	Sasaki shows more affordable options
467	same as above
468	Had a nice presentation- like the water front plan
469	I like the idea of an elevated nature center and three path options designed by Sasaki.
470	Seems to value preservation and education the most. Love the acknowledgement of the Ho Chunk.
471	The James Corner plan seems the most successful as an extension of downtown. The entire area can be a real destination.
472	I don't like the agency landscape plan. I don't think it will last. This is an urban lake. I love the idea of restoring the wetlands etc but it's not going to work. The lake is in the middle of a growing city.
473	Greenifying - morphing the concrete jungle that is the current lakefront - into a green walking park is very ambitious and also very exciting.
474	Most aspirational plan
475	I appreciate how Sasaki started with the health of the lake; everything starts there.
476	more of an intergenerational place
477	Na
478	The multiple tracks for use are important to increasing potential.
479	Very good track record
480	Healthy wetland shoreline
481	Agency Landscape & Planning because of the Monona Hill concept
482	The attention of each of the plans to shoreline restoration, wetland development and water quality are important for improving water quality of Lake Monona. Softening the shoreline will improve the lake access and perception for visitors.
483	Improves access and pedestrian safety, improves ecology, provides habitat, connects the city
484	See above
485	I think the biggest problem for this part of Madison is John Nolen Drive. The James Corner Field Operations presentation has the best plan for John Nolen Drive.

486	Agency Landscape does a great job of laying out a pedestrian trail away from the automobile traffic on John Nolen, while JC makes even more use of the space between the waterfront and the currently existing infrastructure.
487	Sasaki plan has opportunities to create a waterfront facility at Olin park. I like the boardwalks and overhangs along the causeway.
488	It was a very close call between Sasaki and James Corner. Sasaki seems to have more specific green infrastructure ideas to incorporate into the design. I also think this could be a great example for other communities along waterways. What helped James Corner come up first for me is that they creating more green space on Monona Terrace. Sasaki has nothing in their plan about the Terrace. I think this is very important, because this is one of the largest impermeable surfaces along the lake. Agency honestly had the best idea for transforming Monona Terrace into a green, accessible space.
489	I think Agency again did a good job of balancing the needs of the people. The waterski team will still be able to stay (with some slight alterations to design to accommodate a landing area for skiers and docks to ski from), the fishermen and paddlers have infrastructure far better than before, transport thru the terrace is leagues better than before, and overall access to tie downtown with the lakefront is addressed (which in my opinion, is one of the biggest issues we currently have today). I loved the diagonal bridges that multiple teams incorporated though as well, I think it's another great way to tie downtown to the lakefront for pedestrians and bikers.
490	I like that Agency Landscape's design was very natural, it has spaces for people to use in the way that they want and is ecologically smart. The other proposals were nice, but they were so busy and were like fair grounds, like a Maggie Daley park for Madison. Maggie Daley is fine for Chicago, but I don't think it is natural or fits the vibe of Madison, its artificial. Agency Landscape is usable (as they all seem to be) ecological (as they all seemed to be, more or less), but preserves Madison the best.
491	Very important to tie in the existing fabric of downtown to the new. Also, vital to have dedicated bike path through the whole route - any shared path is highly dangerous like the current situation. I wish all the plans used the FL Wright designed Lake Monona boathouse (never completed here) as anchor at the end of John Nolen at Blair Street. The Sasaki design meets most of this. Would be improved with more separation of bike path and with the FL Wright Boathouse at the east end instead of contemporary design.
492	James Corner Field Operations has the best picture showing the concepts.
493	JCF has the potential to create two large new park spaces with its Law Park North and South plans. However, Agency Landscape has the most ambitious design with Monona Hill. Some aspects of these two plans should be combined.
494	Sasaki seemed to have great connection of the space to the rest of the city - making John Nolen seem like less of an obstacle.
495	The top one provides multiple types of areas, so non athletes like me can enjoy the lake, too

496	I really like the Monona Terrace park shown in the Agency design. This adds a lot of needed greenspace on the lakefront for downtown. Jame Corner just puts a few grids of trees over the concrete, which helps a little, but would be pretty bland and not as beautiful or as functional.
497	They all would be incredible!
498	Their design looks to bring in people of all ages and activities for everyone that might visit the area.
499	I liked Sasaki's interpretive trail, but also all the different ways to add ecology like the floating wetlands. I liked that Field Operation had the largest ecological area.
500	My number one priority is connecting the downtown to the lake. I like how the JCFO plan connects the lake with the city thru the two axial piers, which would provide nice vistas. I also liked the land hill *(and the HoChunk Living Legacy Trail) proposed by Agency Landscape and Planning. However, I do not know how viable that is from a cost perspective.
501	The plans have many similarities but the AL+P plan is the only one that will develop a park in the space above and behind Monona Terrace better connecting Capitol Square and the lakefront, have a realistic chance of calming and reducing vehicular traffic, and (most critically) reroute the bike path to more safely separate it from pedestrian and recreation space at the edge of the lake.
502	All three have improvements to wetlands but I think the best plan is JCFO's. The canopy walk from Sasaki is an element id love to see implemented regardless of the eventual plan.
503	All three help improve Lake Monona, but I will rank Agency Landscape as #1
504	Sasaki appears to have the least impact. I don't want to see Monona Terrace altered, though an expansion is okay.
505	Closer connection to the parks, downtown, nature, lake and activities. All central things anyone can feel connected with, no matter what season.
506	Agency and James Corner strengthen the safety of use for Madisonians with separate slow and fast modes of transportation paths as well as access to activities. Although I think fishing locations should be not concentrated to just one area of the waterfront, so that everyone regardless of where they stay can access that activity.
507	I like how Agency and James Corner narrowed John Nolen for vehicles and added more trees in between the car lanes.
508	Sasaki seems like they have covered all of their bases in terms of people's interest, but I question the likelihood they can follow through on this project that has many more moving parts than the other proposed and as an ecologist I question if they have considered how the public will receive some of those ecologically sound practices (i.e. floating dead wood) that aren't as pretty and often cause controversy in cities especially when they are within a project that can be considered "beautifying" the city.
509	They've given a lot of thought to sustainability and the lake through all the seasons.

510	I love the balance of accessibility, multi-use, play and relaxation for all ages. The pragmatist also sees the James Corner and Agency Landscape designs as more affordable (fewer built structures) and a greater attentiveness to wetlands and stormwater.
511	Sasaki discussed a need for increased access to the waterfront, which will improve people's ability to utilize the area. The Mad City ski team has experienced a huge lack of recognition from the community for years, and the Sasaki project took into consideration the influence of the ski team. They have won many national titles, Guinness World Records, and provide free family entertainment for the community. There's so much potential for them to grow and draw larger crowds to Law Park if only they had the infrastructure.
512	In my opinion, the Sasaki plan had a very clear description of how it would improve the water quality and flora/fauna of the lakeshore, which I think has far-reaching benefits for everyone and everything. the Agency plan plays into the desire for interconnectedness between the different regions of the city.
513	All of the plans are extremely ambitious and would be wonderful to have. However, I believe that JCFO has the best plans for bike infrastructure, though I'm not strongly biased against or in favor of one over the other. JCFO and Sasaki have the best nature revitalization schemes imo.
514	By their description, they talk about improving the water quality. Is that really true?
515	All citizens of Madison can enjoy the James Corner Field Operations idea/development. It provides for lake health, lake usage, and lake potential by citizens
516	There is SO MUCH MOWED GRASS in the James Corner plan. Madison Parks is so particular about mowed grass areas...we definitely do not need more of them.
517	All of them would greatly improve accessibility and quality of the space for Lake Monona, but JCFO still provides the most practical and hopefully effective planning for the lakeshore. Of great interest is the new marshy waterfront, which will be massive in helping improve water quality for the lake and also support the local ecosystem, one that has been struggling for decades due to disruptive development, invasive species, and algae blooms that impact both the quality of the lake and residents' health. Improved water management especially with runoff and stormwater is imperative to protecting the lakeshore from erosion and protecting our diverse and unique habitat.
518	improving safe access to the waterfront for all, and making the transit through the area as efficient as possible are the keys. JCFO is the best at those priorities
519	Sasaki and Agency have similar visions, but the execution will be different. The way Sasaki honors the land and history is vital.
520	I think the James Corner Field Operations design provides the most ways to use the area in all seasons to improve the lake monona area and greater community
521	I am in Bay Creek and Sasaki was the only one that had a pathway across John Nolen Drive from Lakeside St.
522	The elevated canopy walk connecting to the Capitol square would be very helpful for commuting or accessing downtown

523	I think the community needs easy places to relax and enjoy being at the park on the water front. Having plenty of viewing area and restrooms would be important. Also, the rock shoreline and limited docks make it hard to interact with the water. Perhaps a beach or wider concrete area would allow for more people to feel the water and for the ski team to operate better.
524	Our chain of lakes and the yahara water basin NEED to be treated with respect and caution. Storm runoff and pesticides are still a big problem for many of our lakes on the chain. This plan includes ideas of catching storm runoff using wetlands. I find that brilliant and extremely beneficial for lake monona's ecosystem.
525	Providing ample seating, restaurants, destination attractions is accomplished through these. I appreciate Sasaki's intent in paying homage to the people's land we live on.
526	I think that James Corner Field Operations design gives Madison a stronger connection with nature while opening up the park and Monona bay to everyone. I love Agency landscape + planning's incorporation of the native burial grounds in the area and I think the water walk is a good concept. However both Agency and Sasaki lack the incorporation of nature into the city and the blend between the waterfront area and downtown that James Corner provides.
527	Again they ALL represent this category with all the parks, all the different paths for each type of individual, making space. I think with the different sitting areas, viewing places, beach, the first one is why its listed but definitely a hard choice.
528	James Croner Fielding Operations' design provides a number of opportunities for the people to actually use Lake Monona, not just look at it. The canoe/kayak launching on the south side, going to the northeast side beach area and enhancing the bike path and pedestrian path in between. The improved bike path acknowledges Madison commitment to this very popular sport.. By adding more native tall grasses on the south shoreline, add new and more "in nature" feeling that compliments the canoe and kayak area.
529	Increasing waterfront access and mixed use space without relying heavily on paved spaces is key.
530	Best vision for Olin park area.
531	I feel like there are already so many restaurant options and various other options that Sasaki and Agency propose. We don't need "waterfront" sanctioned restaurants/beer gardens/coffee houses in these designs. I'd much rather see the full value of Madison's lakes be brought out.
532	Ecological health is paramount to health of the community.
533	Corner has the most comprehensive plan for improving the access to the lakeshore, and this is the most important and the most imminent part of the overall project.
534	We are at the park nearly every night in summer and we see residents enjoying the seating we provide all the time. Viewing areas provide a great connection to the lake.
535	Agency is cool



536	james corner makes the lake a public asset, not just reserved for those with boats and million dollar lake homes
537	The sasaki proposal does the best job at adding in nature, diverse species, and nature walks that would beneficially impact the community and larger ecosystem. That is why I ranked it first.
538	I like that the Agency & JCFO plans expand greenspace without overly expanding development, but I also think the community would get a lot of value out of the event/gathering spaces in the Sasaki plan. I value greenspace & honoring native voices more since the Union Terrace is already a great gathering space on the lake, but both plans bring a lot of value.
539	Really can't go wrong with any of the designs. The more green space the better
540	Sasaki seems to have the best plan to provide access points to the lake front.
541	For reasons stated above: i think they took the clearest approach to meeting the needs of all Madison residents, and I love the 'greenification' of the area around the Monona Terrace. I think this will offer local benefits as well as be a draw for tourists.
542	The most space to connect the square to the lakefront is most important.
543	Agency Landscape would give Madison area the best overall experience in the city that we don't already have and desperately need added to the area. We already have many nearby gathering spaces that provide certain functions (the Capitol square, State Street, Campus, beaches, etc.) What Madison area needs is an addition like Agency Landscape's plan where people who live and work in the city can have a refuge and natural experience right here in the city. We can enjoy cultural events, eat lunch, exercise, learn and play all in this plan, without having to flee to the country polluting and wasting energy. Agency's plan doesn't duplicate what Madison already offers nearby... It gives us what we asked for in the meetings... good plan all around... so thorough.
544	See comment above
545	JFCO seems to be the submission with lake health most at the top of mind.
546	would like more attention to winter activities, sledding, skating, ice fishing, xc skiing. Opportunity for food vendors. Connection for community to the lake. Easy of travel through the area.
547	JCFO redefines and restores the natural shoreline better than the others, in my opinion.
548	The 8-acre park in AL+P I think has the greatest potential for improvement. Sasaki gave the most thought to ecological restoration. I felt JCFO's boulevard concept for John Nolen and the causeway unrealistically envisioned large trees and medians that took away space for ped/bike paths.
549	The two ranked at the top have plans for a viewing area for the ski team which is an important aspect that will connect all the community to the lake.
550	Most attractive design and improvement to space.
551	Among them, The approach proposed by James Corner Field Operations has a higher chance to improve the water quality.
552	Sasaki's ecological design and cohesive landscape framework are highly commendable for the site, while JCFO has made significant efforts in creating a pleasant and livable environment."

553	I like how the design continues to progress from the urban area to the wild, natural area of Olin Park. Hoping this design continues around the southern portion of the Lake.
554	We desperately need a safe pedestrian access from downtown to the lakefront. I find the Hamilton St pedestrian walkway an excellent option that incorporates the original city plan of extending Hamilton St to the lake. I also believe the proposed beach and boardwalk will be an excellent addition for both downtown residents and tourists.
555	I like the Agency Landscaping the best, because of the research they have done
556	The additional gathering spaces created by the Agency plan and the multiple additional pedestrian overpasses increases the draw of the area.
557	Sasaki's plan shows the greatest improvement in both ecological stewardship and human use. It has goals to protect Madison natural resources and improve the year round use of the area for more people than just cars.
558	James Corner provided the best functional space for user groups.
559	I think the bach would let more people enjoy the waters.
560	This will improve becuase it s more fun and beautiful.
561	NO COMMENT
562	It is a good green space.
563	it would make the water healthier and not green
564	it has a lot of marshes and good walking trails and would help our ecosystem
565	3 probably because its gonna improve the water
566	liked the video
567	making new stuff for lake
568	Prioritizing lake health is a must, given that much of the pollutants flow into the lake from upstream agriculture (excess nitrogen) and industrial sources (airport PFAS).
569	Increased bike and pedestrian access to the lake has to be a priority. The Agency plan for no at-grade crossings for John Nolan Dr. will dramatically improve this.
570	Sasaki didn't come up with new actual improvements they just want to add more signs and tell a story when you walk - that's a nice to have one the infrastructure is in place. We have a big opportunity here to make big changes and use the waterfront in a whole new way! James Corner Field proposed a plan that will make Madison the best city in the whole country. I want to use it all right now!.
571	N/A
572	I think the Agency landscape + Planning design brings in more nature and provides more space for Madison citizens.
573	Above comments.
574	none
575	The ski team members are at the park nearly every night in summer and we see residents enjoying the seating we provide all the time. Viewing areas provide a great connection to the lake. I appreciate all of the green space.
576	Same reasoning, I believe the sasaki approach to be most visually pleasing as well as incorporates functionality to their design.

577	The Sasaki project really emphasizes Madison's history, which I think would improve the community and public's understanding of the history (including native american history) here, as well as not do too much change to the landscape that would encroach on the water (being more green).
578	There are protected paddling spaces in the agency landscape
579	Better green spaces will improve the cleanliness of the lake and the utilization of water activities.
580	The JCFO plan has great ideas for "reasons to go to Lake Monona", with the restaurants, beach, and large sitting areas. It looks like the best plan for meeting friends for lunch or bringing visiting family to show off the city.
581	I was especially impressed by the floating islands
582	Like I said in my prior ranking Sasaki shows what the community needs and there isn't just one specific focus, there are multiple. It is a diverse design and that is what Madison stands for, diversity. We have many different groups of people that enjoy doing different things and I believe Sasaki's design truly highlights that.
583	Gives options to folks with keeping nature in mind
584	Wetland restoration (and expansion with floating elements) is a dynamic contribution to lake health, wildlife habitat, and visitor experience. Greening Monona Hill, and letting MTCC be the "shining brow" is a brilliant idea that will help diminish the heat island effect and improve connectivity for pedestrians in the downtown. These additions will enhance the visitor and resident experience.
585	Like the minimal use of hardscape and maximizing native plantings.
586	see comments above
587	I just love the design and intent from agency and cannot get over the idea of a land bridge. Sasaki though really take the wildlife into consideration with their design though
588	I would love to see more park and green space on the lake front rather than concrete. Also it's important to me to improve the water quality of the lakes here because as of now they're kind of gross
589	I think the Agency one makes the lake the most useable whereas James Corner makes it busy and potentially less environmentally well.
590	I like the different forms of trails offered in the agency plan, i enjoy biking and feel that this will benefit my access to the lake
591	Sasaki did the most for underserved communities and best amplifies minority voices.
592	Again, I think any one of the three will improve the lakefront and make it a real showpiece as well as so much better for the environment.
593	I feel the large amount of added green space in Agency's design has the greatest potential, especially in adding community space for involvement with events along the shorefront
594	Easily accessible to do things or hang out
595	All three have wonderful potential to improve access to Lake Monona and the lake itself. Agency's plan, however, seems least likely to be fully implemented, and thus would have a greatly reduced impact. Sasaki's best balances lake health and a large number of different access types.

596	James and Sasaki are a tie for 2nd.
597	<p>I love Agency Landscape + Planning's idea for Monona Hill. I think it is by far the best option for incorporating Lake Monona into Madison and would connect residents with the Lake. However, I don't like how built up Olin Park is in their proposal.</p> <p>I hated the number of beaches James Corner Field Operations proposed in their design, and the proposed "LEARN-TO-KAYAK/ CANOE COURSE" in Olin Park. Beaches are regularly closed in Madison due to poor water quality, and I don't think they would be a draw (where would families park??).</p>
598	The restoration of the "Wild Lakeshore" is the most ecological while also maintaining and improving the human use of the lakefront.
599	Same comments as the first ranking
600	I think the James Corner proposal will optimize access to the water with the Broom St beach and removing the wall immediately in front of Monona Terrace.
601	I think the plan from Field Operations will best improve Lake Monona because of the pure nature it amplifies. All of the greenery right in the city.
602	Sasaki targets the water and wildlife health, which overall will benefit the lake actives the most.
603	The multiple spaces to gather and enjoy the land and lakes in a variety of ways, in all seasons, make Sasaki's plan most appealing.
604	Multiple pathways and marshlands restoring the historic ecosystem seem well planning.
605	Agency's plan is the most ambitious in creating a pedestrian and non-motorized waterfront
606	Reconstruction of habitat, especially along the railroad causeway, can improve the biodiversity of the lake in a way that traditional manicured parks could never. Additionally, planting trees along the entire length of John Nolen enhances the appearance of the road even at a great distance.
607	Connection to ho Chunk nation
608	Sustainability features and better understanding of opportunity

609	<p>I like how both Agency Landscape and Sasaki propose building a park over John Nolan and the train tracks. The bolder connection between the shoreline and the city would really improve the experience.</p> <p>All three plans address shoreline protection, but it seems like Sasaki hides what it is doing by putting it under piers or a raised boardwalk. I like how both the Agency and James Corner plans emphasize providing marsh and wetland shoreline where people can see them and go out into them either on boardwalk or by boat. While there is a lot of activity today at Law Park, some people go to other parts of the Monona shore for quiet and to be with nature. Agency and James Corner do a better job of fostering that kind of experience. I especially like the Tamarack Marsh that James Corner proposes and the "Walk on Water" boardwalk that the Agency proposes, which has walkers at water level rather than above the water. I also like that Sasaki has forest restoration in its plan for Olin Park, and the canopy walk could be a cool experience, but I really like the visible presence of wetlands on the shore.</p> <p>Of the three plans, I think that Agency does the best job of creating a unique park/water interface open park area, and park and plaza elements.</p>
610	<p>Safe paths are so critical, I love that that is an importance of Agency's plan. The themes of "vital and active" will also greatly benefit the wellbeing of the community.</p>
611	<p>Sasaki's vision is the most comprehensive plan I've seen to restore the shoreline not just as a community space, but as an area that encourages wildlife and vegetation to return.</p>
612	<p>I like the addition of the nature preserve areas.</p>
613	<p>The focus on improving water quality is very important. I would like to swim in the lake and fish in the summer, however, i do not because of water quality.</p>
614	<p>Field Operations and Agency focus on collecting contaminants prior to entering the lake. Sasaki's floating islands do create an aquatic habitat but will be hard to establish.</p>
615	<p>If you create a sense of place, people will visit. Simply creating more environmentally friendly outdoor recreation space is unlikely to make much of a change in who uses the space and how they use it.</p>
616	<p>honestly, none of them. They do not address how are you going to get to this great new park unless you live right there downtown, which as we all know is either student housing governed by slumlords, or too expensive for most people. With the gentrification happening in bram's edition even those houses are not affordable for normal people. Great you will have a beautiful new park, but how do you get there? I feel that the explanation of car parking, bus routes/stops, bike routes or parking is lacking in the videos and presentation plans. Also, its already hard enough to load-in and access the service elevator when you work at the Monona Terrace now, I can't see how any of these will actually help that situation out.</p>
617	<p>Sasaki emphasizes the measures they would take to improve lake quality. They also did the best at proposing year-round activities for the area.</p>

618	Great connection to downtown Madison, Atwood/Willy Street, and communities across Lake Monona.
619	Similar reasons as the first response.
620	Sasaki is great environmentally, but I think aesthetically it completely clashes with the Madison appeal and the monona terrace. Agency landscape is boring. James corner is very diverse, allows a lot of interesting builds, and is aestheically appealing.
621	The Sasaki proposal goes into better detail on handling storm water run-off and includes a sailing dock, which is a use of Lake Monona that is spectacular.
622	Increasing continuous green space around the terrace is vital to making it a destination instead of the thin connective path it is today. Improving the value of the causeway as a destination is also essential to decreasing the isolation of Bay Creek & Olin Park from downtown Madison. Agency's plan best reflects these priorities. Sasaki's greenspace is too disjointed around the terrace and their plan does not connect the areas well but rather maintains the isolated pockets we have today. JCFO's plan will increase traffic and further separates the downtown and south Madison without adding meaningful experiences for pedestrians along the causeway. Additionally, adding marsh to the small triangle bay areas will negatively impact boaters and the anglers and ice fishers who use the spaces today. While adding wetland is great for the ecosystem, a mosquito-filled and marshy park in a lake struggling with algal blooms does not make an enticing destination.
623	Sasaki's plan of removing all vehicle access on John Nolen apart from emergency vehicles locks people living downtown into only two routes of getting off the isthmus; University Ave or E Wash. The two main thoroughfares already struggle to support the needs of the growing downtown population. If E Wash & University had rapid transit trains (like the Minneapolis light rail or Chicago's L Train), it would be more feasible to only have two main ways to get off the isthmus. But Sasaki's plan ignores the reality of Madison's urban issues. Both of the other plans make biking and pedestrians safer while still allowing vehicle access. They all address the need to use the lakeshore without access to water equipment, though JCFO and Agency Landscape's plan do it more cohesively, better fitting in with the existing Madison landscape.
624	Plan #1 would help the lake become more healthy.
625	I really like Agency's vision for Monona Hill. It would dramatically increase access to the lake from downtown.
626	I'm skeptical of a restaurant at the locations proposed
627	All plans will improve lake water quality using buffers between shore and lake, but James Corner also offers the best kayak/canoe opportunities, and nice areas for pedestrians.
628	Pretty much the same as in Question #2. I would hope JCFO's plans include extensive green infrastructure but just didn't speak about it prominently as Sasaki did.
629	It looks like all of the plans have potential, but a Monona Bay access trail on the West side of the tracks is excluded.

630	I think they are all pretty equal. I ranked James Corner lowest because I do not like the idea of reducing lanes on John Nolen Drive. We need that to remain a thoroughfare for the Isthmus. Traffic will be a nightmare if that is narrowed and slowed.
631	All three plans provide beautiful visions for the future of Lake Monona's waterfront. I particularly like the Monona Hill park idea and the boardwalk from the Agency Landscape + Planning proposal, and the ideas from the Sasaki proposal to create a living edge along the shoreline. The Canopy Walk in Olin Park and the elevated waterfront park in the Sasaki plan also look great, as long as they are accessible to disabled members of the community.
632	The Sasaki plan is very ambitious but I do not feel confident in its execution. I feel like it is building too much into Lake Monona instead of building around it and connecting the lake with the city.
633	I love the clarity of design goals and the way JCFO's design ideas manifested themselves with exciting things to do along the waterfront.
634	Connecting the waterfront directly to downtown and Broom St. provide huge potential for connectivity
635	I echo my comments above. I think the plan presented by James Corner Field Operations finely balances improving the environmental quality of Lake Monona and its lakefront while also delivering development plans for exciting community spaces that are equitable and accessible to all.
636	James provides the most prominent beach and prioritizes access to the water
637	As more and more people live downtown we need more and more green space in this part of the city.
638	James Corner Field has most potential
639	Sasaki demonstrated knowledge of actual ecological practices for Lakeshore restoration.
640	Agency Landscape submitted the best overall plan. It offered detailed plans each of the three identified areas. I liked that it had substantial fishing and strolling opportunities in Olin park, a great update to Monona Terrace, and ecological restoration to the Causeway.
641	more opportunities to seek healthy outdoor recreational activities by the shore
642	Love the beach! Additional green space was unique
643	As a Madison resident who used this area by bike, on foot, by car, and on the water and as someone who is deeply concerned by the water quality and environmental aspects of this redevelopment the plan I ranked first spoke most to my hopes for the new plan. Prioritizing public hot spots as in the plans I ranked second and third is not what I think is most important with this project. Giving lake access to all users, safety, and protecting our beautiful chain of lakes is a complex equation I feel is most satisfied by the plans offered by agency landscape + planning. Thanks for considering these comments.
644	They all will improve Monona habitat.
645	Love the thought of being able to quietly kayak or canoe in and along the shoreline; Biking, walking and gathering spaces seem to be more natural, nature friendly.

646	See above. (To critique Sasaki's a bit -- I appreciate the historical and cultural nod to the Ho Chunk but something seems incongruous, even a bit arch, about that invocation amidst the heavy development of a lakeshore.)
647	Wild lakeshore offers the best opportunity to improve water quality.
648	the JCFO plan seems to be most aggressive about restoring a natural lakeshore and its associated ecological functions
649	The Sasaki has a large priority on the health of the lake, but so does the James Corner one.
650	The concept of connecting multiple streets to the waterfront through an 8 acre park that covers current asphalt areas is excellent.
651	more activities that will draw more people into the city
652	A greenway would be a huge benefit for water quality and wildlife in the area, which the lakes desperately need.
653	N/a
654	I truly believe this design will transform how people all over Madison can interface with Lake Monona. I frequently bike along this section of the lake but have never stopped there. This will create an extensive area for people to recreate along one of the best features in our city! It gives multiple ways to access the lake for all and considers the interests of lots of different residents.
655	All teams did well to provide solutions to protecting the lake and broader lake system. I ranked these primarily on how much the construction of the parks will clash with the shoreline. I especially liked JCFO's concept of a naturalistic "wild waterfront".
656	I love the development of the lake front especially on the Sasaki design.
657	see above
658	The Agency plan offers more variation in human access. The Sasaki plan includes more features beneficial to animals and wildlife.
659	Sasaki has the most drastic change, however similar to other cities. A design to coincide with the Monona Terrace would be best, with curves rather than sharp geometric angles.
660	JCFO described their track record with similar projects. Agency noted other projects they studied but did not indicate they actually had anything to do with those projects. Their video presentation emphasized style over substance, relying on gimmickry for their presentation. (Fake news show, identifying fauna as though their plan will be responsible for their appearance, using Latin names to seem scientific.)
661	Sasaki balances environmental concerns with recreation on the lakefront.
662	Close between Sasaki and James Corner. Both plans offer greater access for Madisonians and visitors, and do an excellent job of linking downtown with Olin Park.
663	Floating habitat sounds unsustainable since it would require maintenance. Reclaiming space for marsh habitat seems good.



664	The agency plan provides separated crossings of John Nolen for bikers and pedestrians which IMO is the biggest issue. I also like the inclusion of an amphitheater in several of the plans, would be nice to have a more dedicated live music space here. Also, large children's playgrounds in the James Corner alternative are a major positive for families.
665	Agency's plans are ambitious, but Sasaki's accomplish similar goals in a more realistic manner
666	Agency was the most expansive and inclusive.
667	I like Agency's idea for surrounding Monona Terrace with parkland offering a variety of summer and winter opportunities along the shore.
668	They all were similar but I liked Sasaki's design best
669	Providing dedicated fishing docks, pedestrian trails, and more green space will greatly benefit the community.
670	I like the park design that increases opportunities for play and gathering.
671	Agency Landscape & Planning's integrates Olin Park into its surrounding neighborhood better, and seems most nature-friendly. Also Agency's created a very green concept for the area around Montana Terrace, unlike James Corner and Sasaki's, which still have a lot of pavement.
672	Agency does a great job creating thoughtful community space, prioritizing pedestrians and limiting visible car infrastructure. This will make the space a true draw for pedestrians and bikers. They also have a great integration with the city and will make it easy for folks to interact with shops and businesses. I love how Sasaki has prioritized providing similar amenities to both north and south madison.
673	none
674	Again, James Corner Field Operations seems to have the greatest improvement on the current layout. They add so much greenspace and social activities.
675	Sasaki, AL+P, James Corner
676	The proposal by JCFO seems to offer the most in terms of expanding green spaces but also creating spaces for residents to be near the lake. Sasaki seems to propose more new infrastructure, while ALP proposes mostly wetland restoration.
677	Better habitat for aquatic species / fishing and incorporating a restaurant - home run!
678	Water quality improvements in JCF look the best. It also looks like it has less infill.
679	Reducing runoff and creating natural habit is important
680	It's very hard to decide between ALP and JCFO. They are really tied. JCFO's presentation was more professional, and I've seen their work in New York, but I like AIP's greater expansion of green.
681	Best year-round plan
682	honestly all are excellent and far superior to what we have now - please just do any of these!!!
683	I like the Aspirational Projects in Agency Landscape + Planning's presentation report.

684	Sasaki's plans would greatly improve my experience of Lake Monona as a runner and biker along the lakeshore.
685	James Corner plans has so many access points no matter who you are. Easy access = maximum use.
686	See comments above. As someone who does not own a car and walks/bikes this area frequently, I want a place I feel safe, that I can easily navigate, with plenty of nature to shield me from limited cars. And I want to feel like the area is there for me to enjoy the time I spend in it. AL+P does this. Sasaki does (to some extent), though the parking/turnabouts right in people's faces kind of interrupts that vibe. JCFO seems like a continuation of the current setup with slightly fewer cars. AL+P is by far the best, JCFO is by far the worst.
687	I think all of the plans provide a lot of opportunity to improve our lakefront. I especially like the JCFO green shorelines, and I think the Sasaki design is lacking those. The Agency design has a lot of boardwalk that I think would be difficult to maintain and/or would get limited use during most of the winter.
688	This question is similar to the above, and so my answer is the same.
689	The Sasaki plan seems to be the new big place for everyone to go
690	I would be excited to visit the Sasaki design and spend the day visiting the park multiple times per year. I think an eBike station would also be essential.
691	Agency and Saskai plans created new public spaces, and each plan draws visitors to a new section of the lake front, as well as the connectivity to the city.
692	Separated bike infrastructure, pedestrian overpasses on King and Broom are essential. JCFO I think also best connects and uses the current Crowley Station Community Garden. It also centers park use, as well as transportation uses along the entire causeway. With many bikes and pedestrians mixing today, reclaiming the area on JND for space that protects uses of the path and creating more space for the already cramped route will help countless people commute and use the space for recreation.
693	This is very much based on a layman's understanding of the project. I felt Sasaki was most thorough in the explanation of how their changes would affect the environment. Agency had the smallest footprint but wasn't as inviting as the other two, IMO.
694	It seems like the Sasaki design provides more opportunities for the community including spaces for events and businesses.
695	I love the green space from sasaki and that would best suit me and my lifestyle.
696	The Wild Lakeshore plan was the most visually striking/exciting to me. I loved the mix of native landscape/wetlands and futuristic piers and trails plus different areas/variety of uses. The Sasaki plan had many of the same elements - green infrastructure, etc. - and I also really liked the pedestrian bridge over John Nolen from that plan.
697	Agency Landscape + Planning has the best plan for re-wilding and restoring Lake Monona, and their plans for community development are excellent.
698	The more green space interlaced with the beautiful lakes the better for everyone

699	<p>The unfortunate truth is that more people will be commuting/traveling through this area than recreating just based on the time commitments of the working class. Therefore I'd weight transportation safety over recreation and that moves Agency to first place and JCFO to 2nd.</p> <p>Also, recreating is way more fun when you don't have to worry about an eBike going 20 mph.</p>
700	James seems to have the most going on for it regarding attracting tourism and having a more dynamic waterfront. However, Sasaki's emphasis on the environment is quite nice.
701	AL & JC seem like they could pull off the project- they presented more technical details and seem component.
702	The James Corner looks very user friendly and it looks layer out nicely so that all can enjoy the lakefront.
703	Several new venues can be built with nice view to the lake.
704	I appreciate all the natural green space and softer natural edges that Agency has employed. Sasaki may have put more thought into materials and filtration though?
705	I think agency's plan will contribute to bettering the water quality of Lake Monona. The more natural aspect of the design (compared to other firms) will contribute to environmental stewardship and sustainability which are important to the Madison community.
706	Loved what they want to do to clean the Lake.
707	I really think the land bridge park is transformative, and would completely change the dynamic of the area. I appreciate Olin park and path designs, but the lakefront would be dramatically changed for the better by the land bridge.
708	I think that Sasaki was concerned about water quality was vital to their presentation. The water in the lake has been horrible, filled with blue/green algae, and we can't appreciate nor use a lake that is full of poison!
709	Lake Monona is gross right now. I won't even dip a toe in it. I love the "wild lakefront" the Sasaki presentation included.
710	I would love to experience the Cove and Loop Trails firsthand. The kayak marsh would be an excellent destination for the more adventurous kayakers. Tie that with a marsh area at Olbrich! More than a couple of people would love to paddle that.
711	Agency's aesthetic balance of nature and man-made brings a harmony for those who are both socially extroverted as well as introverts needing solitude. The others designs which are focused on social group interaction would not be appreciated by the more contemplative in our family. However, Agency's design intrigued all of us.
712	JCFO delivered on a functional waterfront that prioritized built places for folks to meet and mingle - well developed to change with Madison's changing climates. I especially liked the stepped Hamilton street pier - reminds me of great public parks like Seattle's Sculpture Garden or Lisbon's water walkway.
713	Again, I think Sasaki goes the furthest in lake and water treatment, but at the detriment of the community.

714	Hard to tell from the plans, there's too much going on in all of them. Whichever costs the city the least and can restore the shoreline is best. The recreation shouldn't be prioritized until the lake is less eutrophic.
715	My hope is that the wildflower plantings in the James Connor Field design would soak up urban runoff to help make Lake Monona cleaner.
716	The James Corner Field Operations had the best design to incorporate other parts of the downtown to the lake.
717	Sausalito does the most to restore nature
718	I primarily visit the area by bike, therefore the rankings are the same as previous.
719	Madison is driven by green spaces. Agency captured that need best incorporating multi-use park space on the same grade level of the terrace. It's essential that the city and water are accessed from several points, not only 3 entry points.
720	Sasaki and James corner had the most interesting, thoughtful program ideas. I like how sasaki is thinking about ecology and how to improve water quality and make the lake ecology more visible. But doing it in a way that I'd educational and providing lots of interesting spaces to engaged with the water. I like James' hammock. Agency's plan feels a little out of scale?
721	I liked their plan to provide function Eco Systems for Lake Monona. The lakes restored marsh edge offers so much restored marsh with fishing, bird watching and meditation.
722	I'm most excited about the new parks/beaches locations provided by JCFO.
723	There plan covers the most important aspects of the project.
724	Looks the best in terms of activities offered and overall flow of pedestrian traffic. Boardwalks of the agency landscape + planning look hard to maintain through ice on the lake.
725	I like the prioritization of adding to Olin park that Agency proposes.
726	Same logic as above.
727	I really liked James Corner's, but Monona Hill is just going to be so hard to beat. It's impact will by far be the greatest.
728	Agency's plan to add walking space does nothing for me. I live on Lake Monona and having boat access to downtown with the addition of piers would be huge. Adding an amphitheater where we could hold outdoor Shakespeare or musical productions would do wonders for cultural offerings here
729	JCFO has made the area somewhat similar to memorial terrace, with its piers and communal spaces, which is a huge hit with the community and serves all.
730	All look great, but I prefer Corner.
731	Same idea as the above comments, my third choice would be actively bad, but 1 and 2 are similarly positive
732	N/A
733	As I said above since the city has very little money for extras so the cost of each project would make a big difference to me and that was not included in the plans.
734	Multiple ways for transportation, environmental concerns, design that fits with the city, and plenty of public space

735	Agency all day every day
736	To me, first and foremost I want any design to help make the lake healthier for animals and people. Sasaki's designs, which centered around building wetlands and mini-environments, allow people to continue to enjoy the lake while actively seeking to help the environment. While the James Corner group did mention some of the same, they did not focus as intensely on the environmental aspects as did sasaki, and I felt that their presentation was conservative over-all (it felt like alot of small changes than an actual redesign). Agency landscape I felt did not go into enough details on any aspect of their designs, so I can't really support them.
737	I am a commuter and touring cyclist. I commute from the south side to the north side daily and something I really enjoy is being able to spend time with the lake. I really like the James Corner proposal because it keeps cyclists close to the lake.
738	The Sasaki plan has the most programming and things to do and seems like it offers the best opportunity for business to engage. The other plans seem like just a bunch of passive free space for no reason
739	In addition to greening the lakefront, creating public spaces, and improving multi-modal transportation separation, Corner identifies opportunities for economic development, equitable housing, and linkages to AEC and a city-wide park system.
740	Same as above
741	According my rating
742	JCFO's proposal makes beautiful, functional spaces all along law park and around Monona Terrace, while also bringing forth the best ecological plans. These are my two priorities, and it does best at both. Agency in 2nd place for that beautiful Monona Hill concept. I dislike Sasaki's hard water edges; it's not for the 2020's. Whatever is chosen, John Nolen needs to slim down 2 lanes.
743	Really, same reasons as above.
744	There's so much to do in the James Corner Plan
745	Incorporation of the city grid, road diet and thickening of the waterfront open space.
746	I love the Monona Hill design linking the lakefront with downtown Madison.
747	Sasaki's plan adds the most potential with the amphitheater, waterfront restaurant, boathouse and nature center.
748	I like JCFO's plan for the causeway boulevard although, I'm not sure how that would impact the flow of traffic at busy key times as it is heavily used! I like all the areas they have thought through to provide the learning areas like the nature center open classroom, which would make a great area for painting classes, which I'm interested in. I like the kayak learning area they provide. The viewing areas they have in their plan are very nice!
749	They are all great, really.
750	Again, many fantastic options. Monona Hill stood out as most inspired transformation from Agency.

751	The walk on water is spectacular and provides a look back at our unique native history
752	JCFO's plan seemed easier to maintain and to provide the most realistic access.
753	James and Sasaki just provide the best mixture of benefits
754	I think all of the plans would be a net positive improvement for Lake Monona. I think the JCFO plan did the best job connecting the isthmus to the water. The Sasaki plan seemed to focus more on the way they could improve the health of the lake, but I really didn't like their concepts for the area between Machinery Row and Monona Terrace - it felt like more of a status quo, tight paths along the water.
755	Best Monona Terrace area redesign. Path redesign is great.
756	The emphasis on restoring waterfront balance and clean water in the Sasaki and Agency proposals is strong.
757	more community space is better
758	The Agency Landscape layout is the most appealing in terms of making the lakefront area a very enticing place to visit and spend time, as well as improving the facade of the city from lake monona.
759	Circle design that provides symmetry to Frank Lloyd Wright Design, like poetry in motion
760	The idea of telling the lakes story appeals to me and it feels less intrusive and more inviting.
761	see above--anyone of the plans would improve things but how does one make meaningful sense of them? If they are this poorly thought-out on such fundamentals, what can one conclude at all? These are just vague concepts.
762	This is tough as it comes down to what type of improvement we're looking for. With JCFO I love the idea of year-round usage, I like that there are many different places to enjoy new activities on the lakefront. However, I also see issues with things like increasing pollution and rubbish collecting in the wetlands. Agency is great, and increases the usability for current activities and honors the beauty of the nature we are lucky enough to see everyday.
763	The most common requests seem to be green space, lake access, and easy transport through the space. JCFO does this best, and I personally appreciate the thought they put into bicycle paths.
764	Access, access, access! I think being able to connect the capital down to the lake shore is amazing. Sasaki seemed to go above and beyond with the eutrophication issues, habitat, & tamarack swamp
765	With the most green space, the Agency Landscape design will best reduce runoff, noise, and related impacts from traffic on John Nolden Dr. Like Sasaki's use of green infrastructure practices and working wetlands.
766	They had so many wonderful spaces to draw people to the water.
767	I think the size of available space in the park and on the shoreline is the biggest priority so I have ranked them by how much space I think it would be creating in that area.
768	Too many lanes per direction. Too many slip lanes. Get rid of the Law Park surface parking lot. There's plenty parking in monona terrace parking garage. Looks like a car sewer.

769	They're all rank
770	Same as above comments.
771	To be honest I think all of them get the basics right for the area.
772	The amenities in JCFO plans would give someone like me the most opportunity for more use of the space. I walk this area during lunch multiple times a week and would welcome the changes.
773	Would be happy with either James Corner or Sasaki
774	Sasaki talks about the preservation of the lake and keeping it clean while also making it accessible and fun for everyone
775	Again, the aspect of re-wilding the lakeshore has the greatest appeal.
776	Effectively removes the highway
777	Sasaki addresses all the concerns of Madison residents
778	As before the Agency Landscape + Planning entry is the worst for current and existing community members. I like the boardwalk improvements of the Sasaki plan and it also more realistically talks in phases and charts what I am likely to see and not just some final grand plan that may be decades into the future.
779	same reasons as above
780	I think the James Corner plan has the most potential because it is the most realistic. Their firm has experience in projects of this magnitude and had a more practical presentation including potential funding sources and an explanation of where the fill for constructed wetlands and shoreline expansion is coming from.
781	focus on environmental restoration
782	I like the plans make the area around the existing bike path more like a real park and a place to hang out, enjoy the scenery, and maybe have a picnic.
783	I feel Sasaki and JCFO did a great job prioritizing environmental impacts of the project and the public impacts of the project. The lakeshore is criminally underused as it is, and prioritizing beaches, event venues, an amphitheater, walking areas, and spaces for mass public use needs to be of the utmost concern. I feel JCFO and Sasaki both did that well, but slightly prefer JCFO's proposals.
784	Agency & Corner provide the best potential for a dramatic improvement. The Corner plan at Broom St and John Nolen Dr. is unfortunately poorly designed and could easily result in many traffic and pedestrian accidents. There should be limited interaction between JND and the waterfront. The Agency plan creates an additional link at Bedford which would be a distinct improvement and would allow for traffic to more naturally disperse into downtown.
785	The plan is the most practical plan. The program and spatial design is charming.
786	None of the plans address crime prevention through environmental design
787	Seek most natural environment. Parking needs to be addressed. More benching and seating to view the lake and activities needs to be better addressed.
788	While I am voting for Field as #2, I am concerned that their plan creates a tremendous amount of maintenance and is far too expansive for what is needed. Sasaki is a good blend of the other two.
789	seeing the light rail in the Sasaki presentation, told me that this is for the community as a whole, I live in Stoughton

790	Additional walking trails, learning opportunities, entertainment, food and drink availability, and activities for all ages, and additional green space, would have great potential to improve the community. I do appreciate the kids space rendering in JCFO's presentation.
791	One of the important features of this plan that makes it more enjoyable as overall concept is ease of access to downtown as well as nice board walk around the lake from Olin park to Monona Terrace. Why not take advantage of these highly desirable features that many residents would love to have, as well as attraction for visitors.
792	I'd be much more likely to visit Monona Terrace if it didn't feel like such a parking garage.
793	breaking up the hard scape of the monona terrace north. this will help be more friendly. also having fun side parks will be good, not just a paved path.
794	Field Operations has the experience and pragmatism to design something that is buildable and strategically designed to the project budget.
795	The area is easily accessible to people from other areas of WI. People who enjoy Madison but have difficulty navigating the downtown areas.
796	Right now, I would love to have more options to enjoy the lake on a summer evening or during the winter months, but what we have now is limited to Lake Mendota and the UW-Madison campus. For those of us who are from other parts of Dane County, it'd be great to have our own community aside from campus to enjoy.
797	The emphasis on improving water quality and the in water habitat is a great need!
798	I appreciate the holistic approach of the Saskai presentation. From the health of the lake to the appreciation of it for its beauty and utility, they seem to have the best interests of all concerned in mind.
799	like the focus on restoring and protecting the lake as a natural habitat for animals
800	A pedestrian bridge over John Nolan would be a very beneficial solution to attracting residents and tourists to the Monona lane front.
801	Sasaki focused the most on water quality improvement and ecological restoration. This plan seems best suited to improving the cleanliness of Lake Monona.
802	James Corner Field Operations plan is the most natural fit. Sakasi idea just feels out of place - trying to change the natural shoreline without any added value.
803	Expanding Olin Park will allow for new events to be held. Parking expansion is much more realistic with this.



804	<p>ALP has the best plans for Monona Terrace with their "Monona Hill" proposal. It is the closest to John Nolan's proposal, which is timeless and classic. I really like making a big, gentle hill of that whole area. Its connection to the State Capitol building also looks great. Its plan for the "Causeway" seems to lack what the other two had, which called for reduced speeds and even possible lane reduction. The additional buffer between the road and the paths is good, but traffic speeds should still be reduced.</p> <p>JCFO is correct that John Nolen drive should become a green boulevard. They also have a great idea in having a new path around the entirety of Lake Monona.</p>
805	James Corner has both clarity of vision and appropriate experience to make it happen. Not a missed beat in their plan or their reassuring delivery and approach tonight 1/26/23.
806	JCFO has a plan the nicely transitions from city to lake and avoids some of the awkward elements of other plans. A nice mix of green space, gathering spots, etc. The Agency plan seemed less practical, and Sasaki was a squidgy vague, buzzword-filled mess.
807	Monona Hill is next level in improving access and engagement with downtown, and represents a longer term vision. James Corner seemed more technical and maybe a bit better chance of being implemented, its a tough choice.
808	A fusion of all three plans would be my preferred choice. Great ideas: rewilding the lake edge, forest restoration, new fish habitat under the esplanade.
809	It's visionary and creative.
810	I think the Agency Landscape presentation best fit Madison best. I think the other two missed the mark and thought more of entertainment, rather than nature as the real focal point.
811	James Corner makes sense and fits with our city and culture
812	Saskai provides accessibility for all in the plan. This is important for me as I have a disabled child, and I believe everyone should be able to enjoy the activities on the shoreline. I think that it does this without adding too many more industrialized elements. Agency provides beautiful greenspace for all ages. James corner field operations has a lot of new industrialized elements and the least green space.
813	No such thing as aqua blue water in Madison.
814	Sasaki and AL+P could really turn the lakefront into nationally recognized space.
815	James - by far the most engaging of the plans and had the best pedestrian access point and pedestrian activity point in the three major area developments. Sas - Similar but less unique venue and activity spots Agency - best greenery but the improvements seem to fizzle out from there
816	Corner gets my nod, as I found elements of Sasaki to likely be unrealistic due to construction costs.
817	I appreciate how Sasaki connects the capitol to the lakeshore; inviting pedestrians to walk and explore the beauty of the isthmus while restoring the lakeshore.

818	Based on details and realistic expectations
819	I see nothing in any of the plans that would improve Monona for me personally. Agency seems like the least impact on the lake.
820	Need to ensure plan includes actions to keep lakes clean. Also want public access pier added with kayak ramp.
821	James Corner Field Operations contains a bounty of varying landscapes (meadows, wetlands, forests) that will ecologically enhance the lake and make it a beautiful setting for everyone to enjoy. Sasaki's design is also impressive in its dedication to ecological improvement.
822	The boardwalks on the Agency plan have the greatest potential for lake improvement.
823	Sasaki's plan is detailed and it is clear they have done a lot of work to meet current needs while also respecting the history of this area.
824	JFCO's natural approach to the project will support lake water health and native plant communities.
825	It will bring a lot more attraction to the lake. When people think of Madison, they think of Lake Mendota because of the terrace and the events but with implementing another stage and more activities to the area. As John Nolen being a "main" drag into the city it would be inviting and make guests hopeful to get over there to check it out.
826	Agency's plan is by far the best for the community and provides so many opportunities to activate the space and create amazing public spaces.
827	Just like the second plan best.
828	Agency looks sweet and offers more useable, familiar aspects compared to the others. Sasaki isn't bad but it feels like Jurassic World in the renders. Agency again just wastes space and offers little
829	See comments made in number 2 above.
830	Nature and recreation can go hand in hand and Agency Landscape best reflects that.
831	The Monona Hill concept is a striking proposal and one that I believe both Ho-Chunk elders and Frank Lloyd Wright would support and appreciate. It frames Monona Terrace beautifully.

Q6	Which plan provides the most positive impact on the quality of life for residents? Please provide comments on your ranking.
Comment #	Responses
1	I think the James Corner and Sasaki proposals are a pretty even tie in terms of creating a wild shoreline and cleaning stormwater before it goes into the lake. Each has different benefits – whether creating gathering places or scenic walking/biking/etc. routes.
2	The number one quality of life improvement that can be made with this project is turning John Nolen Drive from a highway into a slower, road-dieted boulevard. No matter what other frills get added to the lakefront, it will simply not be pleasant if you're next to loud, fast traffic. Likewise, the intersections won't be as safe for bikes and pedestrians as they should be if you don't slow down traffic.
3	Making sure our aquatic life is preserved and healthy , I feel Sasaki gets it.
4	Developing in Olin Park would be an ecological tragedy.
5	again, healthy lakes are great for everyone longterm, but other than that, making the lakeshore more accessible to everyone and improving/expanding the paths for pedestrians/bikers/et all along the causeway especially is super duper appealing for connecting across the shore
6	Most non invasive plan
7	Felt like James Corner provided very usable spaces, and connective tissue through the trails.
8	Walk on Water, Nature engaged causeway, fishing overlook
9	This project provides the most positive impact on the quality of life for residents because new programming will keep the community active through the year. I believe that downtown neighborhoods deserve a connected lake front that reduces traffic and improves travel between neighborhoods.
10	Both James Corner and Sasaki have an emphasis on community connection that will create some amazing opportunities for residents to gather, explore, and grow. James Corner does have more of a sense of awareness of the growing needs of our city.
11	Sasaki's pedestrian bridge comes in at an angle that may make it unusable for most people and should be redesigned. There is no need to build it out so high and over the water.

12	<p>I like some of the changes to Olin park in the plans, especially the Sasaki plan that is focused on nature rather than building a lot of structions. It isn't necessary to make large, expensive changes to a park that doesn't get extensive use. The "Walk on Water" structure in the Agency plan seems surplus and not very usable. Piers for fishing are along the causeway are good, but should be added up by Monona Terrace where anglers currently have to be careful when casting due to the pedestrians and bikers. They need spaces just for fishing where the fish tend to congregate. JCF's plan for Olin park has kayak rentals far from the 'learn to kayak' area. Seems a bit illogical. Is Olin Park a good place for an outdoor classroom and nature center? Maybe, but I didn't see it in the city priorities.</p> <p>James Corners plans to have "meadows" with trees throughout create a management nightmare. The meadows won't be able to be burned or mowed due to the trees and will become brushy and weedy. It appears that neither Agency or James Corner had a staff ecologist involved in their planning process as many of their design features are not feasible for creation or long term management. JCF's tree planting along John Nolen Drive is way too formal and doesn't fit with the rest of the plan.</p> <p>Sasaki's pedestrian bridge comes in at an angle that may make it unusable for most people and should be redesigned. There is no need to build it out so high and over the water.</p> <p>I did not see solar panals in any of the plans. The open parking lots are perfect for raised solar panels above the parking spots.</p>
13	Improved quality of life includes keeping all lanes of traffic on John Nolen Drive.
14	See above.
15	We need a plan that is good for the residents and good for tourism. Madison has many redeeming features. We don't need to sacrifice Lake Monona for the sake of tourism dollars.
16	Like the Monona Hill concept that Agency Landscape and Planning proposes
17	This depends on your definition of quality of life. Do we need a bunch of things going on here, or do we need to improve the thoroughfare for all modes + lake/lakeshore enviro restoration? My order is based on the latter.
18	ALP - best walk and bike design, best space to enjoy the PARK (let's not forget it's a park not an event space) JCFO and Sasaki - too many beaches - the water is too gross for more beaches.
19	It's a well thought out approach.
20	By bringing more people to the lakeshore, people have a greater opportunity to connect with the natural surroundings of the city, without having to inconvenience themselves.
21	To me the more nature is incorporated, the more quality of life is improved.
22	People over vehicles
23	Saski had resident voices of all Madisonians and people who use the lake, making wxpkicit that they value all voices.
24	Sasaki and the agency's plans are both beautiful urban parks.

25	Agency will open up the waterfront to people the best. I like how much green space there will be around Monona Terrace.
26	I think Sasaki will improve the quality of life for Madison residence, as it is providing safer, and more sustainable ways to travel, unique recreational opportunities such as the tree canopy walk, and promoting the local businesses.
27	best plans for inclusion, especially for the southern edge of the area and Olin Park plans
28	Please see other comments.
29	Same reasoning as above.
30	same as #2
31	Reduction of lanes on John Nolan and additional lakefront housing would go far in improving the quality of life.
32	Agency's plan would create safe, convenient access points to the waterfront, which would hugely benefit residents' quality of life. By creating new green space connecting Law Park with Monona Terrace and downtown Madison, the plan gives residents a great destination with lots of space for both everyday use and events. The other plans don't have the same level of access and connection, meaning that the lakefront would stay somewhat narrow and disconnected from the city center by the traffic and noise of John Nolen Drive. All of the plans seem to have good ideas about ecological conservation and restoration, another important factor for quality of life.
33	I'd be proud of a space like this.
34	Union South has steps for people & I liked the outdoor bleachers idea of James Corner.
35	JCFO has a good trail design which will increase access to these new developments.
36	There is a lightness to the Field Ops plan that will help bring people together. It is accessible while being stylish at the same time! All the components mesh together.
37	Keeping the lake clean and making it a pleasant place in all seasons will see long-term impact.
38	Agency's plan to have multiple downtown streets lead to the new Monona Hill, as opposed to one point of access at MLK, would make access to the new amenities so much easier for residents living in different parts of downtown, and would spread out the areas that would be considered convenient for parking to get to the amenities, for those who live elsewhere. Their addition of Monona Hill with all its green space would make downtown healthier for all. Their overlook in Olin Park would add a fantastic spot for many folks to easily exercise.
39	James Corner Field Operations seemed to me to be the most "cold" and less welcoming and inviting than the other presentations
40	It's a pretty heavily travelled road, and I think this plan would make for a nice commute without being worried about lots of people.
41	The top 2 do the most to redevelop Olin park area as well as the Convention center area which will impact residents more than just redeveloping the waterfront around the convention center.
42	They all appear to have a positive impact.

43	Again, hard to separate the first 2.
44	Because it is most likely to be implemented in a coherent form.
45	As stated above, brings Madisonians outdoors and gives them an opportunity to add vibrancy to the community.
46	We want to use our lake and have a vibrant place outside in the downtown area to enjoy.
47	Agency has the most reach or at least seems to appreciate the needed connection to the south side.
48	Water access and access to businesses from the water is a win win
49	James Corner and Sasaki both have great potential for positive impact. I put James Corner on top because of its conversion of existing space versus terraforming.
50	All 3 would be a huge improvement, but Sasaki' submission stood out to me as the most comprehensive.
51	less cement and more nature.
52	Same reasons as #4
53	Making the Monona Terrace area a park will improve how Downtown residents and tourists enjoy the lake.
54	None. Again we have too many people who are homeless and no resources. Money needs to be used to help with that problem. Plus it is hard enough to get around Madison. Not everyone rides a bike or bus. The City needs to look forward as how the alleviate all the traffic issues.
55	Green and long-term Sustainable
56	There is a large culture of Madison boater that has been ignored for a long time. We provide a large portion financially to the Madison parks through fees and licensing and support the seasonal lake front business more than any other community.
57	Are city officials really going to locate a proposed Amtrak station where the Sasaki plan identified???????
58	This ranking is also close but Sasaki's plan has the clearest vision for improved accessibility from the lakeshore to downtown, and from what I can tell is the only plan that considers rail travel access a possibility in the future. Amenities like amphitheaters and performance spaces would improve quality of life only as far as the quality of performances offered is concerned.
59	Liked the idea of Monona Hill, though concerned of practicality and expense.
60	Again, JCFO gives the most opportunities to a wide range of people. Again, they understand the FULL extent of the lakeshore
61	Sasaki's design felt like it best provided an oasis from the hustle and bustle of downtown while finding ways to connect back into the city
62	I like maintaining the health of the lake and also providing great lakefront venues.
63	Again- year round use and amenities that enhance user comfort

64	I like the Corner plan how it creates distinct Hamilton and King street destinations at the lake. They can become separate spaces but still connected. The Monona fishing is great idea. Today, Monona Terrace is "at" the lake but not "with" the lake. There's building and lake and a speedy bike corridor separating them. The fishing bridge will allow people in the building to be closer to the lake in a calmer environment.
65	Sasaki is beautiful and adds a lot of usable space to the Madison waterfront.
66	I really liked the James Corner Field Operation proposal because it creates spaces at both sides of Monona Terrace for the community to enjoy. What I like the most is that there are 2 boardwalks straight into the water that lead to the Capitol.
67	JCFO - for all the reasons noted above. However, the Agency proposal does a much better job along the causeway. I really like the separation they built in between bike/ped and vehicular traffic. The proximity of traffic currently makes this an unpleasant area to bike/walk. Incorporating the causeway plans from Agency into the JCFO proposal would be awesome.
68	I think all the plans positively impact the quality of life. They are all visually appealing and all have similar visions of increasing pedestrian access to the lakes and creating a balance of lake and land activity.
69	I hope the amenities in the proposals are FREE to provide accessible entertainment for all.
70	Some combination of these would be best in my opinion, the trails/boardwalks of Agency and the Olin park of JCFO.
71	Removing roads in downtown madison near monona terrace could make the already terribly dense traffic much worse.
72	The Sasaki project appears to have more piers which could not only provide space to get upon the lake, but for boaters to use it to allow access to downtown Madison.
73	Toxic algae blooms have been a growing concern for residents. The balance or new recreation opportunities and nature restoration will bring more visitors to the area.
74	James Corner would screw up traffic so badly that it would negate any other benefits that it might create.  I think Sasaki and Agency are about even, for different reasons. Sasaki seems to have a better vision for transit, which is very important for residents. Agency has a better vision for green spaces, which is important, but in a different way.
75	Same as above.
76	The plan seems to provide year long use for the waterfront for a wide range of activities and access.
77	JCFO provides a range of unique, diverse places for people to gather for different purposes
78	Agency has green space by the monona terrace, but the other two options gives residents more things to do/places for events to happen.

79	JCFO provides the best plan for traffic calming and pedestrianization of John Nolen Drive, without which meaningful improvement to the lakeshore is impossible.
80	Agency would be monumental for downtown access - undeniably. Think Sasaki is more limited in options especially between Terrace and Olin
81	I would see this plan getting most active use
82	Variety of options for all ages
83	The JCFO plan seems to give residents many options for gathering, socializing, enjoying nature and just being on the lakefront. The AL+P plan seems like there's more of a walking path with less places to have a gathering.
84	I like JCFO's incorporation of spaces for parents to take their kids, the kayak course, sledding hill, and the access to two sandy beaches. I especially like the roadway design because it helps provide a visual and sound barrier between where people will be and traffic as well as more visual interest for drivers. Sasaki's plan also does a nice job of providing some amenities for people and I like the nature trails and hiking opportunities that allow people to get away from each other.
85	Sasaki's overlook through Ohlin park is friendly to all people, access to lake is increased to all.
86	Sasaki surveyed many people for input and listened to their wants and needs. Making the waterfront more accessible for pedestrians will draw more people
87	Same order and answers as above.
88	Easily walkable and accessible to residents and a draw for visitors. Bringing back the wild lakeshore is a win for water quality, recreation and health, and beautification.
89	The above choices are not mine. I want to choose "None of the proposed plans but you do not give me that choice!!! The construction and ongoing maintenance costs of many of the plan concepts will plague taxpayers of Madison for years to come. These planners need to come out of the clouds and provide ideas that are conceptually and financially feasible.
90	MORE PUBLIC OFFERINGS
91	For all the same reasons listed above.
92	I can't wait to bring my kids to see the Sasaki's vision take shape!
93	Providing greater separation from the road for the water through swale systems and filtration and for the pedestrians allows for the possibility of sharing that space without the fume inhalation that currently occurs while biking or running along John Nolen. Planting trees and grasses would help a lot. I would also love to see the public transportation improvements like electric intra-city trains involved in the plans.
94	Agency landscape seems like more of the same.
95	Ability to cross John Nolan safely is an extremely important feature
96	James Corner and Sasaki create the greatest variety of activity options.
97	See comments above
98	Quality of life starts with basic needs being met. Clean water, clean air, food and shelter. It is obvious which designs cater more to human entertainment and consumption and which ones consider deeper community relations and needs.



99	Sasaki plan provides what feels like a best of both worlds aspect of the other two.
100	Because it's gorgeous and plenty to explore.
101	If people just want to "hang" this provides ample opportunity. I like the Sasaki one because it seems to encourage movement.
102	None.
103	Looks like it integrates the streets best.
104	I'm not sure if residents will like the heavier traffic that it might bring, but I think more people could overlook that, being that everyone enjoys hanging by the lake in the summer time.
105	Natural edges are vital.
106	Can't get the buttons to work.
107	Though I like the restoration elements of the James Corner Field Operations plan, the City cannot control much of the nutrient input to the lakes and the water quality with increasing temperatures, invasive species, and even current nutrient levels make some of the human use unrealistic in my opinion. I wouldn't want my children in that water. The other plans seem to support more urban use of the area while also incorporating natural elements.
108	JCFO seems to have the most community gathering spaces. I think Sasaki's plans were a close second. I love Sasaki's suggestion to put colorful winter plants on the bike paths.
109	Option 1 and 2 serve multi-generational. Options 3 limited
110	Usable, safe, clean community space is important for residents.
111	Same as the above
112	I'm concerned about traffic calming along John Nolan and was intrigued by the idea of bolstering bus systems and transportation. I believe a railway system throughout Madison would be the best bet, but this seemed to be the closest of the options.
113	Again, ease of access to the lakeshore is a big improvement over what we have now.
114	Again, all of these are well thought out designs but I think the Sasaki design minimized damage to the existing environment, while creating a safer walkway on the causeway, while also establishing places for the community to gather.
115	Same as my previous comments. My second 2 rankings are 2nd because there is too much building that detracts from the natural beauty of the waterfront.
116	3. 1. 2.
117	As we move into non-fossil fuel transportation solutions to the climate crisis this is the best transition ready plan to accommodate rapid transit, biking and walking as the primary modalities.
118	Continue to get people to keep moving
119	Sasaki looks like best communal place to gather and hang out
120	They are all too close to call on this aspect.

121	Sasaki and JCFO do a really good job creating a green boulevard along John Nolan which I think will really improve the quality of the city. Although it needs to be done carefully to not wreck the views coming in which is probably what Agency was going for
122	Most plans appear to be roughly similar, so ranking is based primarily on professional presentation and aesthetic differences in the design.
123	Sasaki doesn't destroy existing downtown buildings the way the James Corner plan does.
124	See question 2
125	Plan that recognizes biking, walking, fishing, and connecting with nature.
126	Agency Landscape + Planning will give more greenspace for residents which positively and holistically helps care for the health and wellbeing of residents.
127	The Agency plan. I believe the way they designed to have to storm water be filtered before going into the lake it huge! The new habitats for aquatic life and much more
128	Same as above
129	Both the Agency and James Corner had great improvements
130	Need to minimize the motor vehicle and emphasis the bike and ped movements. Great concept to hide John Nolan near downtown.
131	When I were a student here, I would have been at this park at least once a week. This is the kind of thing I was looking for when I was a student. Somewhere that was a safe haven to go to and be reflective in nature, but also engage with my community and my friends doing different activities.
132	Healthy environments equal healthy people
133	I love how Sasaki and James Corner Field prioritized accessibility to the lake, which to me is one of the greatest underutilized assets of Madison. I also love Sasaki's incorporation of a lakeside restaurant — Madison is seriously lacking in lakefront restaurants, and this could be the beginning of shifting towards that trend.
134	More focused on a variety of activities, enabling more communities to benefit. The others are too fishing and canoe focused.
135	I think we just want a simple place to hang by the lake
136	Restoring the lake and lakeshore is the most essential part of the plan IMO.
137	Agency has the least amount of urban development, and more with an environmental aspect. Just feels more calming. Sasaki is very urban looking.
138	Agency is the least disruptive to the current layout so would seem the best option for residents.
139	James Corner provides actual access to the shoreline for the community to use
140	Wooden boardwalks are bad; they are uneven and very slippery when wet. They are hazardous and not functional for walkers, runners, and cyclists. We need wide, paved (blacktop) paths, with few curves.
141	The immense addition of green space and the concealment of the massive car infrastructure along the shore of Monona is crucial to the wellbeing of Madison's residents.

142	I think water quality will have the most impact on residents and the Sasaki plan seems to focus on that. I also think making JND less scary for all non-cars would have a huge impact.
143	I think greening and slowing traffic on John Nolan Drive is important for supporting the success of other parts of this plan, ultimately being a key to greater impact. James Corner Field Operations does that most directly.
144	#1 ensures the most green space across the 2 mile lakefront, esp in downtown Madison. There is also greatly increased access to the lake through this design.
145	Again, Sasaki provides a very connected plan with entertainment and restaurants that people will want to be. The winter garden/waterfront restaurant/event lawn seems like an amazing are for gathering.
146	I love the varied outdoor activities in all of the plans but especially the Saski plan. People focus on the drinking culture in wisconsin but we have a huge recreation culture too, for a lot of people they just need access to those activities to find out they really enjoy doing x or y. I think these plans would encourage an active and community culture in the city.
147	Sasaki and Agency Landscape and planning allow for a great connection between the water, land and creatures using the nature surrounding us. They both allow for us to connect to everything that was here before us, while being able to make new connections. Again with the Mad-City Water Ski Team, we have done so much to connect to the land and water in Madison, and we would really enjoy being able to continue connecting much of Madison to everything the land and lakes offer.
148	James Corner Field Operations' plan stands out among the others here simply because it took the most audacious approach to traffic engineering on the John Nolen Causeway and one that could set a good example for the city for years to come; if we commit to fewer lanes for cars we will increase the demand for more efficient and cost-effective transportation infrastructure (buses, light rail, etc.) which, when realized will make our city more connected and commuter friendly, and cleaner for generations to come. Nevertheless, both Sasaki's and Agency's plans will likely have fantastic positive impact on residents' quality of life as well.
149	It's nice
150	I felt that the Sasaki plan would incorporate things that would improve the area for all residents and all hobbies.
151	I grew up smack dab in the middle of this plan (lakeshore court) anything aside from the Sasaki plan would be an atrocity to what that neighborhood, history and natural space represent.
152	A focus on winter activities, and plantings(!) is a great way to bring us outside.
153	Quality of life would be improved most by Agency's plan because of the incredible emphasis it put on enjoying the shore itself, instead of erecting new structures to attempt to supplement the lakes.
154	Agency also emphasized the importance of bridging the south side to the lake with paths and walkways. John Nolan would no longer be such a dangerous barrier for residents to make it to the lake.
155	Same as above

156	Connections from the capitol and surrounding neighborhood to the lakeshore would make a huge difference in quality of daily life. I really want there to be a walking path from the Capitol, down MLK blvd, to the Monona Terrace and then down to the lake from there and Agency is the only plan that seems to do that. I love the idea of a sloping hill leading you down to the waters edge and turning so much concrete into extra green space, as Agency has planned. I think James Corner Field Operations did a good job of designing and describing 'pockets' of places within their design such as the many playgrounds in Law Park and Olin park as well as the kayak 'school' in Olin Park, etc. I think all those distinct places with character and specific activities in mind will really help improve quality of life.
157	Again, Agency and Sasaki seem to have more realistic approaches.
158	Liked the comparison to how the Chicago River was returned to a less restrictive river bank and how that could be applied to Lake Monona.
159	The massive additional greenspace, shrinkage of roads/parking, and grade-separated crossings of the Agency design make it stand out as the best design for quality of life as it creates a stunning, easy to access park while maintaining its usefulness as a transportation corridor.
160	As a daily walker, the walk on water with living shoreline feature of the Agency Plan would improve my quality of life immensely. Also the spectacular walk of water feature at Olin park. The added parkland at "Monona Hill" seems like it would be amazing for quality of life, though I am not sure if it is actually feasible.
161	I feel emphasizing versatile park space and varying transportation routes is essential for Madison and the isthmus in particular.
162	So many activities around the capital such as the causeway, biking running, fishing and the ski team and people just hanging out!!
163	The Agency plan provides much more variety in event and program planning for a wide range of community members.
164	As mentioned above.
165	I think the James Corner Field and Sasaki designs create some cool looking public spaces for enjoying the waterfront. But overall, I like the more level and natural (less concrete) approach in the Agency Landscape design best.
166	I feel like the amenities in the James Corner plan and the increased routes to the waterfront will allow the residents to enjoy the lake and all that it offers.
167	The top two are equal here IMO.
168	Accessibility was duly noted on Sasaki.
169	ALP's causeway is great for how it greatly increases pedestrian access to the lake. Sasaki has many fun ideas, but not a focus on practical and necessary improvements.
170	The Agency Landscape + Planning proposal embraces all types of use by our community, bringing slower modes of movement closest to the lake and emphasizing its human connection and responsible use.
171	JCFO's plan is spectacular as in 'spectacle'; it looks like an incredible way to take advantage of our under-utilized lakefront.

172	I honestly think all three plans impact quality of life in a positive way. They all provide safer paths, better nature access, so really any plan improves quality of life.
173	I really like the inclusion of the two piers in the JCFO plan. I think we don't really need more "building" at the waterfront, but these will serve those who want to fish and view the waterfront the most.
174	While the Sasaki plan feels like it is just a version 1.1 of the current lakefront. The LCFO plan provides attractions, increases safety and included plans for water improvement. The ALP design focused too much on visual elements and ignored usability.
175	"Wild lakeshore" creates multiple ways to improve quality of life—not just the pedestrian and biking paths and the many green spaces, parks, and venues—transforming John Nolan into a green boulevard makes simply driving along the lakeshore more pleasurable than the current "racetrack."
176	All of the plans are beautiful, and while usually I'm an optimist, both the James Corner and especially the Agency plans seem very pie in the sky. I also am concerned about spending \$200M on this project when we have so many other needs in our city.
177	Again, each team did a stellar job of creating spaces to put people in touch with new natural spaces and connect them with our water and with our past.
178	Covering JND and train tracks really increase the quality of life for residents.
179	All plans were thoughtful. I liked the kayak path James designed
180	loop provides a natural turnaround for hikers and runners
181	James Conner and Sasaki included the most commercial components, which I think is critical to the city area. I think all three incorporated the natural components well.
182	Clusters of active areas and more natural areas seem to strike an attractive balance to engage residents and visitors
183	All will
184	This plan has so much for everyone. With dining, outdoor activity, event spaces, boating. It has something for everyone.
185	Efforts to improve water quality and access to the lake. Concerns with agency's plan that will make the water more swampy due to restricted flow near the shore.
186	Agency's proposal maximizes the connection of the city to Lake Monona and maximizes the creation of green space.
187	they all connect neighborhoods better, but some bring good things to neglected areas with less expensive cafes, food trucks, etc etc.
188	The ALP plan stands out for being very responsive to an array of communities.
189	#1 choice just really offered a ton of options. I did like the stage option of #2 as well to increase more live music in the city.
190	I love the additional trees along John Nolen as well as the green space of James Corner Field Operations. My only concern is having the Brittingham Dog Park is very important to member of the community and me. I hope these plans do not impact that dog park.

191	James Corner plan provided the most diversity of use for the park and the areas around it.
192	I like the communal gardening area and different walking paths proposed by the Agency. I also like the beaches planned for the north side of Olin Park.
193	All are great, but Agency provides the most usable open space, which is lacking in this part of Madison. There is room to enjoy the lake and be alone, not necessarily part of an event.
194	See previous two comments.
195	Providing areas that include all the activities that are currently taking place here and improving them is what I was looking for from running/biking, fishing, and watching the ski shows. Sasaki and ALP do a great job of improving these activities. However, while JCFO's design is nature centered, it cuts out lots of areas that people currently use to fish along the bike path by replacing them with marsh with few water access points.
196	I feel Corner best grasped the feedback from the various public input events thus far. I do like elements from each of the firms however. I really like the Olin "circle" proposed by Agency, and love their "Monona Hill", but I'm not sure it's realistic. Corner did a great job with their Monona footbridge and pier, as well as the green space around Law Park. I do appreciate Sasaki's emphasis on water quality, but feel that the other elements were lacking. All three should consider including a "destination" restaurant, not just food stands.
197	Focuses on many complaints of residents
198	It would be a plan that is achievable and that accomplishes good separation between walkers and bicyclists, a better Olin Turville park destination, and a pleasant place to be away from the highway by Monona Terrace.
199	Same ranking
200	The Agency plan deals with the tire scream and traffic noise of John Nolen without eliminating John Nolen. The Agency plan is the most natural with the least maintenance cost.
201	Increase of green space via Agency plan
202	See previous comments. Overall on all of the questions asked, it is a tie between Sasaki and James Corner in my mind.
203	James Corner Field and Sasaki both have a great plan that will positively impact all who use the lakeshore area.
204	Like all the paths.
205	Less concrete and the landbridge idea would help health outcomes and tourism!
206	all lakes should have a walk around them and glorious gardens
207	This order will greatly benefit those living in and near the Madison downtown area.
208	Agency Landscape + Planning is the best plan for the widest usage for all madison residents, bikers, runner, walkers

209	By extensively investing in the ecological infrastructure that colonialism demolished, the agency plan provides the most access to quality of life improvements. The James corner plan prioritizes commercial interests, which is not what the community is looking for. the sasaki plan will not improve quality of life for the price of the improvements.
210	Bringing health back to the water will improve everyone's quality of life, and I feel like Sasaki and Agency focus on that foremost. James seems more about large, pretty green spaces, which is great, too, but a clean lake is what will bring people outside and invite them to the shore/water.
211	Please see # 2 comments.
212	Large beaches are a bad idea. The lake is too stinky and algae-ridden to use for a beach. Where are residents supposed to park to access the beach? How would residents lug their beach gear from a far off parking lot to the shoreline?
213	All are exceptional plans but the Agency plan has a boardwalk spanning the length of Nolen, over the water platform at Olin, and it appears to cap John Nolen by the terrace which is very important since traffic needs to be separated from pedestrians as much as possible for any projoect.
214	I think the emphasis on accessibility puts this one over the top.
215	I really like how the JCFO plan brings people down to the lake level quickly and easily. I think it is a nice mid-way between the full hill from Agency and the too many bridges from Sasaki/
216	Goal should be to make pedestrians and bike friendly place to gather. Not just a busy road with cars
217	I slightly preferred Sasaki's inclusiveness in their plan development.
218	Long term maintenance costs and long term benefits are best seen in Agency and James Corner's plans (in order). Once implemented, they would cost the least to maintain, give the best long term benefits to high quality visits (peace, people watching, transport, shade, vistas) and draw more people to enjoy.
219	The more varied of experiences the design can offer, the more positive impact the plan will have for a greater number of residents. This means a mix of soft and hard lakeshore, natural and developed, unprogrammed and programmed spaces.
220	The James Corner Field Operations proposal seems to add the most amenities for Madison residents, and provides the most connections between existing city infrastructure and proposed infrastructure, such as the piers. I will say that I think too much of the infrastructure relies on automobiles, and while we need to acknowledge the waterfront as a regional destination, Madison should prioritize access via public transit, bicycle, and pedestrian modes.
221	I like the layout of the Corner Field Operations plan better for all users. All of the plans need to provide much better access for sailboats and power boats into downtown - this is something Lake Monona is really lacking - there is absolutely nowhere to tie up a boat and get dinner, explore downtown, etc. Lake Monona has a long history of sailing and there is currently a small sailboat marina at Olin Park. I don't see this in any of the plans. Ideally this marina would remain and there would also be a marina downtown.

222	Improved water quality efforts emphasized best in CORNER proposal. I realize the major effort here involves ALL of Dane County and the Yahara basin.
223	Agency seems to have the most integration with nature
224	Sasaki is prioritizing safety of pedestrians and cyclist in their design.
225	Same reasons as my first ranking. I think quality of life for having biodiversity, nature center, etc. Can enrich public community, knowledge, and activism.
226	Olin has lake access already. Focuses on Capitol and John Nolen area access to water. Foot traffic areas need lake access.
227	Agency's plan since it creates the most green space.
228	All plans are excellent at slowing traffic on John Nolan blvd. better trail access, equity, and safety, along with improving water quality, love the wetlands recreation. This is tough! James plan w/ two point access with green overpasses will cost a lot of \$\$, may need to rethink that.
229	For many of the same reasons, I think that the Sasaki plans can really improve the life residents and tourists. The environmental education along the waters edge along with the nature center can allow many elementary students the opportunity to see and experience the nature as well as have fun learning by directly interacting with it. Not only that, but the amphitheater allows for more exciting events and concerts that people of all ages can enjoy.
230	I love the idea of a natural playground and kayak course. Those would be amazing and well used by residents
231	I think Sasaki outlined more knowledge about how to restore the lake edge and how to use current restoration practices to enhance the collection and filtering of rain water back to the lake. With that more people close to the lake, it would be imperative that the trash that would naturally come with that many people wouldn't clutter or damage the lake. There would have to be strict rules about littering for picnickers and food cart consumers.
232	I feel that James Corner Field is the most actionable plan, though it needs much more development, because it follows a lot of the current layout. Sasaki has a lot of expensive projects included, which would be ideal but not always realistic for our city budget.
233	lots of community spaces and ability to safely access.
234	James Corner seems better for community and event space.
235	Again, I think having a park design that considers easy access to the lakefront is paramount. I also thought some of the other designs included impractically large boardwalks given the likely hood of ice damage we are well acquainted with on Mendota shores (and some areas of Mendota too).
236	The Agency plan just seems to do this better - but there is so much information to absorb I am concerned I missed things.
237	I think Sasaki did the best and having a little bit of everything in the design.
238	Cars make too much noise. I like that Agency is relegating them to a tunnel throughout the entire downtown corridor.
239	Lake health is still most important.
240	Agency did the best with connecting the BayCreek/south Madison neighborhood with Olin park.



241	Sasaki has done the most thorough job in thinking through the little things, like putting public restroom stops along the pedestrian path. AL+P comes in second because the architectural features in their proposal genuinely enhances the experience of leisurely activities—for example, the walk on water allows people to fish further from shore, and the proposed bike path is the safest of the three plans.
242	James Corner has the greatest variety of features, good for everyone.
243	The Agency plan offers diverse recreation areas including passive enjoyment.
244	See above
245	Both designs improve walking and commuting trails. Sasaki emphasizes the lake's long term health and growth of habitable species.
246	The green space and the train that made a brief appearance at the end of sasakis video would most improve quality of life
247	It's clear that Agency and Sasaki have gone out of their way to hear the community and include a variety of uses of the parks and waterways.
248	The boat house and added walking paths
249	This survey is too long. Just want to note that I love the Monona Hill idea
250	I think the work to improve intersections and John Nolen drive is important to making bikers and pedestrians feel safe. I also think that the focus on sustainability in this design will make the lakefront something that can be enjoyed by many generations to come.
251	Sasaki has the most space devoted to activities.
252	Given that this is a multi-decade project; one has to consider the construction impact to residents. Obviously the plan with the least construction will have the least quality of life impact. That being said, I think the Agent Landscape plan has the best quality of life plan.
253	It is important to connect all of Madison to it's lakes and wildlife, I appreciate how this plan will do so.
254	Sasaki great clean water idea, winter planting, place for fishing green green green plants all great. James Corner Field Operations Big playgrounds, great sitting area near the water, Monona Fishing pier looks good. Agency Landscape + Planning nice boardwalk, nice Olin park circle.
255	We need wild places. Avoid these manufactured "nature" systems. I see non-native plants in some of these schemes. Let's avoid that and instead embrace the beings that have historically called the lake home.
256	Quality of life is brought out by the sasaki team
257	More innovative ideas
258	Provides the most use
259	I honestly don't think anyone could really differentiate the potential impact between three very nice proposals like these, so again, basing my ranking on what I think looks most interesting.
260	Sasaki and Agency plan allow for the most easy recreational access to the lake. James Corner seems to focus on marsh and forest to make the area feel more like a botanical garden.

261	The green infrastructure makes me hopeful for the future of Wisconsin.
262	We need the ecological wetland for habitat restoration.
263	I love the top 2 plans!
264	Same reasons as stated above. Agency really thought about this and Madison residents by bringing nature to the urban center instead of concrete structure or enhancements.
265	Sasaki offers a range of uses without having to create events to get people to the lakefront
266	I think Agency is my #1 and James and Sasaki are tied for #2. Agency combines nature aspects of the park and then the more city aspects of Monona terrace while James and Sasaki both focus on one of those aspects
267	Sasaki gives the best impact on Madison life, making the lake more accessible to those who don't currently use it.
268	Sasaki has such a focus on the environmental aspect of this project and I feel that overall that means a better quality of life for residents. I do think if both the Sasaki plans and James Corner plans could be combined a little it would be amazing.
269	residents (such as myself) really like kayaking
270	JCFO balanced nicely the incorporation of green infrastructure and recreational use of the space. I see physical and mental health benefits to both having access to green spaces and having a healthier lake.
271	I appreciate the emphasis that Sasaki put on seasonal and year-round activities, and ADA access.
272	Again, easiest access for those not living downtown
273	Transition from an emphasis on restoring natural green space to usability combined with an emphasis on cleaning the water.
274	N/a
275	See above
276	Allows greatest access and solves the problem of bridging the isthmus to the water over the tracks and highway.
277	Same as above.
278	These questions suck. They're way too general and vague. I didn't order for this question.
279	same reasoning
280	Sasaki would draw people, tourism
281	Looks like the best option to improve lake health and create access to nature.
282	It will provide wild life to gown again
283	biking, walking, ability to get out to the water. not to mention the nature center to learn. Better environment for kids with playground an amphitheater. views for ski shows. great use of space
284	Loved the canopy walkway
285	Good human scale.

286	A major concern I have is that this is a major artery into the city. As someone who doesn't live downtown but is dependent on the downtown/willy street neighborhoods for socializing, I think maintaining traffic flow is essential, and needs to be prioritized to not alienate folks who don't live outside of downtown. I would rather have the plan focus on existing residents vs. tourism.
287	The lake health will increase the quality of the parks overall.
288	Again - multiple points of access and variety of uses make this plan most interesting to me
289	More importantly than anything, JCFO calls for a reduction in the impact of John Nolen Drive on the space. The plan is best integrated into the context of the city.
290	Sasaki offers the greatest variety of activities that can be enjoyed over 4 seasons. The agency plan to have a wrapping boardwalk is also a huge boost for walkers and joggers. The JCFO plan is nice, but too summer-focused.
291	None
292	I would prefer to leave the waterfront unchanged. The focus should be on reducing property taxes for our residents.
293	The Sasaki plan mixed an interesting design solution with what felt like a genuine understanding of the unique needs of Madisonians.
294	Monona Hill.
295	Having a good sustainable approach to our natural ecosystem and enhancement of the natural environment within a dense urban center. I used to live in the Lakeshore Dorms on campus and loved going out on the path along Lake Mendota. Expanding that pathway to Lake Monona would be great!
296	Good water quality will enhance quality of life
297	Giving good paths and removing the road barriers to our lakes is important for our quality of life.
298	Agency is ambitious, and if it were to be completed, would provide the best environment mainly due to its vast swaths of greenspace
299	See Q2—I don't see this as a different question.
300	I don't see how this question is different either.
301	Agency and Sasaki incorporate a lot of nature into their projects and agency has better bike path logistics.
302	I felt Agency really focused on how the neighboring communities would utilize the spaces, like an extension of their backyards.
303	JCFO seems to prioritize finding the most flexible shoreline for residents
304	Having beach access to the lake is needed. I worry that adding so much re-wilding will limit boat access, especially for large rowing boats to turn in the bay.
305	Sasakis film was so moving, I loved it and really thought it represented us
306	realistic for implementation
307	Again. More community orientated
308	provides a lot the environment.
309	My top two again allow for many different activities without crowding the shoreline with imposed structures.
310	Access to the lake that includes easy access to the capitol increases access to both of the desirable public spaces by residents who live outside of these areas.

311	Waterfront restaurant, and boat dock access
312	As above
313	I keep saying it but without a healthy environment there will be no earth to enjoy.
314	Sasaki's provides the greatest quality of life by offering the best amenities. The amphitheater and event lawn create great opportunity for community events and attractions.
315	If you're looking at it from a fishing perspective, I don't believe any of these plans with have a positive impact
316	both 1 & 2
317	All are negative
318	Sasaki's plan will help make the community more connected, walkable, and bikeable
319	NA
320	Prioritizing ecology immediately will have beneficial environment externalities to improve quality of life for residents. It's also more likely to actually happen if it's done first when there's more energy/public demand for projects like this. I can see a scenario in which once the paths are placed and amenities are added, where public interest could shift/wane to the point where ecological improvement no longer seems necessary if it's not already in place.
321	There are beautiful paths and the best assortment of lake activities with the James Corner Field Operations
322	<p>The winding walkways in each of the plans provide multi-model transportation options and separate the car traffic from the other forms of transportation, which is a MUST for this plan. Being able to GET TO the water's edge safely is the biggest problem with LAW Park, aside from the fact that there is nothing to do when you get there, and it is incredibly ugly and uninviting. I think Agency and Field Operations do the best job with addressing these challenges, and creating the most opportunities for people to really feel connected with nature, which for me is critically important.</p> <p>Sasaki also does a nice job of talking about the winding trail, however, I don't love the parts where the park areas but up right against existing buildings, and really wish there was a nicer buffer of vegetation.</p> <p>I want a new park that feels wild, that feels like Madison really is a city that embraces nature, and really, really connects residents with the lake in ways that are safe, beautiful, and tranquil.</p>
323	James Corner seems most likely to encourage pedestrian access to the shoreline, and softening the shoreline seems ideal for lake health as well.
324	Sasaki had too much paved space.

325	Again Sasaki's stage, playground, nature center and event area will gives us a chance to socialize freely and creatively outside. A lot of my freinds wish for something like this to be more common. Also the design again is very pleasing, it's proven that nature helps our minds and I feel the canopy walk encourages us to get out there.
326	I think Agency's plan will give residents the most opportunities to be out and engage in nature and with the community.
327	The Walk on Water feature and the Monona Hill concepts will do the most to transform the area into an inviting living space for the most number of users. The Sasaki one looks too trendy and expensive (with the restaurant concept).
328	Sasaki had the best with the past and the health of the lake in mind (at least from what I saw).
329	Walking in healthy nature settings is essential for people's health and well being. This plan seemed most inclusive.
330	I think JCFO's plan to bring nature into the City will have the biggest positive impact.
331	I like the idea of rewinding the lake shore. I think natural areas & lake health should take precedent over 'activity' spaces.
332	Lot's of Nature and peaceful space
333	I like the Sasaki elevated walkway and nature center.
334	All have good focus on green space and the lake use, but Sasaki appeared to merge the water restoration and maintenance with the various uses of the space. Agency addressed good accessibility to the lakefront, while James Corner appeared to have a little more variety of potential activities.
335	Both the Agency and Sasaki plans will greatly improve quality of life by elevating greenspace above the dull and drab concrete roadway we have now. I thin Agency edges out Sasaki because of their focus on the bike trails.
336	Agency separates path uses, enhances them at every season, and connects the lakeshore to neighborhoods. Swimming beach (and potential for people-powered rentals from boathouse) at the Law Park end of the design area could be great downtown resource midway between Brittingham and BB Clarke.
337	James corner really showcased the positive outcomes from the changes and how community members would benefit from them. I liked the change for kayakers, walkers and boaters. The agency landscaping focuses on improving access to the lakefront in various ways, and the last one especially would benefit residents because of events (large size needed for crowds). Sasaki is more about lake health.
338	I thought they had the best ideas and liked that they recognnized the Native Americans who were here first.
339	Same as above
340	Same comments as above
341	By improved commuter/public space AND creating a new destination to enjoy outdoors.
342	The Monona Hill idea of the Agency Landscape plan and the full water walk would have the greatest benefit to Madison residents.

343	Agency Landscape + Planning focused most on improving the quality of life for the surrounding residents with the park improvements via so many paths to Olin from the nearby neighborhoods.
344	Same
345	Adds a lot of value - green space and easy access
346	Please refer to comments in #4 with the addition of making the waterfront more safely accessible to those without cars ie via the new over pass walkways etc
347	what does Lake Mendota have that Lake Monona doesn't? A great public gathering space (Memorial Union) connected by the Lake shore path to Picnic Point (a more natural contemplative area). Agency's plan--you can visualize how that boardwalk connects Olin with Monona Terrace and Law park, but rather than just as a commuter path to get from one place to another (as it is now), it connects us to the lake with its curves and spots for savoring nature, its spaces for fishing, sitting, paddling. Then Monona Hill is a large connected space that spans the Terrace and connects the Hamilton and King street areas--so it all seems part of a purposeful lakefront park experience. It also seems to offer the most variety for the most types of users (imagine young kids paddling in the area near Olin, before they get old enough to paddle the larger lake).
348	I'm split between 1 and 2. 1 has great ideas in terms of functionality and the play that takes place on the lake, but 2 has the important ecological and cultural takes on the layout. I really like the storm draining systems of 2, but the lifestyle and look of 1.
349	This one has a vision that extends downtown and will make everyone have a stronger connection to the lake and nature as well as Sasaki. Additionally, Agency has connection hubs to Amtrak going through Monona Terrace, increasing strength between neighboring cities
350	Improvement of water quality
351	I think either of the top two are more dramatic changes, providing more space for recreation and events. I see Sasaki as more civic event and downtown oriented with larger open event spaces. I see agency as more retro Madison , with that Monona Hill as the kind of leisure space for every individual and their chosen activities. I love the waterway component and the long walking boardwalk. And the safer and more continuous connections to downtown and the square. Either one really brings the Monona shoreline into harmony with the rest of Madison, which has so many other special destinations. As it is no, the Monona shoreline is hard to access, all concrete, and not connected to Olin that much. These are exciting possibilities and visions!
352	Quality of life: commuter use, year round access.
353	Same reasons
354	Same as above. Although I really liked Sasaki's hammock forest and Amphitheater and stage.
355	Any of these options could provide a positive impact on quality of life for residents. I think Sasaki's plan provides a nice balance of amenities, though I suspect ALP's would provide the most bang for the buck by focusing on the most critical needs.
356	Sasaki respects indigenous population

357	The Agency plan said it would make the park connected to local communities. Also I liked the elevated walkway from the Sasaki plan
358	Overall long term, ecology planning will be in our best interest
359	Believe they all have quality of life improvement in mind. I especially like the importance of taking into consideration the Ho Chunk heritage.
360	Had the best idea to let people come to the lake edge and sit on steps .The other two seem to have prolonged stay which could lead to a lot of trash being blown into the water.
361	See above
362	For residents, such as me, it is the health of the lake (water), safe access, and reasons to use the space that impact quality of life. This area is an important part of the city that is underused because unless you are using the bike path to get somewhere, there aren't many reasons to get off your bike and stay. It is congested at the Monona Terrace with fishing, walking/running, and cyclists all coming through, but this vibrancy could be spread out along with area creating space for all activities but also space where people want to be.
363	Agency.
364	More places to meet friends, easier biking and walking near lake in the James Corner plans.
365	See answer above
366	I live near the area, work near the area, walk the area every day in the summer and bring all my friends to the area. Agency keeps the current feel we love.
367	I like the Sasaki and JCFO plans almost equally, but I feel like the JCFO plan is more pie-in-the-sky and would be really expensive.
368	Clearly all ideas will greatly improve the Monona lakefront experience, but Sasaki's ideas seem more realistic.
369	Park is amazing
370	Again, wetlands restoration, but I also appreciate JCFO's plan to narrow and green John Nolan and create a more walkable environment.
371	they're ALL good.
372	Quality of life will be improved if the City actually builds something. Select a plan the City can afford to build on a meaningful timeline - not a 30 year plan.
373	James Corner's plan seems the most residential/pedestrian friendly. Access to the lake front from both the downtown area and south side was considered. I do appreciate the understanding that Olin park could be a gem for the residents on the south side, and increasing access across John Nolan for SS residents should be a priority.
374	With the intention for equitable access to come and go, such a variety of ways folks can enjoy the land, I liked Agency's approach
375	More green space. Different opportunities for all modes of transportation. Maintaining current waterfront boat access.
376	Same
377	I kind of feel like you're asking the same question over and over. Please see my answers above.

378	Sasaki gave multiple levels of considered use of space to address the natural landscape and historical plantings of Madison to directly better the lives of its citizens.
379	See above
380	James Corner was the solid winner for me here. It retains the main thoroughfare aspect of the major bike trail while also making space for all the pedestrian uses of a lakefront. The many parks and playspaces, the conversion of Monona Terrace into a greenspace while still preserving the qualities of the Terrace itself, the dedicated fishing pier was preserved, so that that community isn't marginalized.... Sasaki had many of these same qualities, but James Corner just conceptualized it better, in my opinion.
381	I think Agency Landscape + Planning's emphasis on community-building and year-round activities along the entire lakeshore will have a positive impact on the quality of life for residents.
382	Having easier access for all walks of life usability.
383	see earlier comment
384	Seems to be more green space (mental health) in the first, but the second gives more opportunity for physical wellbeing.
385	See above
386	Sasaki is the only one to consider "barrier islands" to manage weeds collecting on the shore. Also only one to offer performance space (floating stage)
387	The Sasaki plan would provide the best opportunities for residents and visitors to socialize and also enjoy nature.
388	Sasaki design take count of trails for walking, running, and bikers
389	Like the plans for amphitheater and interactive areas
390	Most residents may like the beach idea, but as someone who has been in the lake near this point, the water quality isn't that great for beach and swimming.
391	Both James Corner and Sasaki have the most opportunities to gather and be outside with the community
392	AP&L does the most to link existing neighborhoods to the lakefront - both from the west of John Nolen and from downtown.
393	Sasaki has options for all ages to enjoy the space and be active and enjoy beauty
394	I feel that the Sasaki design has the most diversity of opportunity, as well as strong connections to the local community.
395	i will use this all year
396	Na
397	All are positive.
398	A toss up. All good however.
399	More park space for Isthmus and Southside.
400	Monona Hill concept would really improve the lives of residents by connecting the lake to the Capitol and by providing additional recreation and park space
401	The Agency plan incorporates more area for parkland and uses this pace effectively. It also provided multiple locations for similar activities which both improves access from different directions and spreads out activity reducing congestion.



402	JC does a better job of providing recreational opportunities
403	See above
404	I think access to nature improves quality of life, and the Wild Lakeshore concept provides the most access to nature.
405	Boardwalks along John Nolan causeway soften that shoreline while providing access to the lake by pedestrians, bikers, etc.
406	I think Sasaki is proposing a plan that connects more people and wildlife to the lakeshore through a variety of ways. Although, James Corner is a close 2nd because they are incorporating nature into every aspect of their design that not only positively impacts Madison people, but Madison flora and fauna.
407	In addition to how the spaces can be used, think the health of the lake is really important in terms of quality of life. While Agency Landscape seemed the best of both, I though Sasaki was also good at really emphasizing ecological health in their designs.
408	Very important to tie in the existing fabric of downtown to the new. Also, vital to have dedicated bike path through the whole route - any shared path is highly dangerous like the current situation. I wish all the plans used the FL Wright designed Lake Monona boathouse (never completed here) as anchor at the end of John Nolen at Blair Street. The Sasaki design meets most of this. Would be improved with more separation of bike path and with the FL Wright Boathouse at the east end instead of contemporary design.
409	James Corner Field Operations seems to include all aspects of the city with the improvements.
410	JCF's focus on new public amenities and gathering spaces along the waterfront are the most promising. However, Agency Landscape's Monona Hill concept should be combined with JCF's Law Park North/South concept to truly improve residents' quality of life.
411	i like this one better
412	I like that the Agency and Sasaki designs include plenty of space for people to fish, especially the Agency design. The separated trails for bikes/joggers and slower pedestrians (all separated from John Nolen) is a good idea. I think the James Corner proposal to turn John Nolen into a parkway is a mistake. I think it would cause more congestion. Also, their plan does not seem to give as much thought to improving water quality and shoreline stabilization as the other two.
413	Sasaki more densely packs amenities for madison residents
414	The idea of filtering and cleaning the water was very interesting as our blue green algae issue is so severe.
415	I thought Sasaki's interpretive trail really connected everything to the community
416	I like the green shore line and the piers offered by JCFO. However, none of the plans adequately provide for sailing or rowing. Almost all of the photos include sailboats but sailboats need places for launching, docking, storage and maintenance. The same is true for rowing. Ideally the plans would incorporate an additional boathouse for rowing and a facility for sailboats.
417	Again the AL+P plan will be much more beneficial than the others for the reasons I already stated.

418	I like both JCFO and Sasaki but I think the Sasaki causeway walk space is the best. The driving on the causeway is best on the JCFO plan. If theres a way to combine the walk/bike/run of Sasaki with the tree lined lane of JCFO we would make it best for residents.
419	I like Agency Landscapes concept for parks, walkways and bike paths
420	A big pain point is biking from the capitol city trail to the capitol building itself. Right now you have to drag your bike onto an elevator in the parking garage or going to the corner of Williamson St, which is a mess. Anything that improves bike traffic into the city is a big win.
421	Sasaki provides ease of access all around for numerous activities in which would benefit all.
422	Agency and James Corner due to improved access, safety, and quality of a variety of activities. More green space!
423	Love the opportunities for recreation of the Agency proposal
424	This parallels how our concerns are addressed. If the valid concerns of the people of Madison are heard, then our quality of life should improve.
425	Clean water, stormwater retention and wetlands/boardwalks = increased quality of life for the entire city, even those not directly able to access the Monona waterfront. For this reason, Agency Landscape and a close second James Corner Field has my vote.
426	Again, I would visit the JCFO option frequently. There are a number of really unique and varied areas that are part of this plan, like the beaches and the Cove area.
427	Again, all designs are fairly equal in terms of the quality they can offer for Madison residents. I think Sasaki may be a little over-ambitious and over-crowd the Lake Front with all of the activities they are proposing.
428	Again, the James Corner Field Operations envisions the totality of the lake use, insures all citizens have a place they can enjoy all year long... making winter months enjoyable
429	Top-notch playgrounds in Olin Park and accessible from the capitol would be game-changers. So many kids frequent the capitol square but the closest playground is ... the small one in James Madison Park?

430	Practicality is still the biggest concern. If designs are too "magical" or renderite, the actual cost and process of construction will be forgotten, and the overruns or schedule changes could cost Madisonians dearly in both finances and general frustration. Keeping a simple, yet effective, and reasonable plan to genuinely help improve city conditions more than make a cool presentation is a very very big deal, and I think JCFO provides on that front. We will see how the contractors will deal with the actual construction, in time. Personally, this should really just be a public works project, but thats my two cents. A walkable and bike-friendly Madison is a good Madison, its what's made us so popular and known in the first place. Space and zoning relaxations to help spurn new development for housing and businesses are also extremely important. Denser, better scaled affordable housing has been a pipe dream for Madison for years and we might just be able to realize that dream now with better administration. Don't just skim through these comments, they CAN provide what I think could be invaluable insight. I'm no NIMBY. Thank you.
431	daily quality of life is driven by access to spaces, fast and convenient travel times between work, home, and errands, and safety. JCFO it best in my opinion on those issues
432	I like how the plan has a sitting step area by the lake, many areas to walk for different kinds of paths, a beach area, outdoor fire pit plus sitting area to use during the winter months that is open, great playground spaces, wide open spaces, discovery spaces, I just like the overall look of this deisgn and feel it feels the most like things in madison already
433	They are all about the same
434	The focus on clean water outa Sasaki at the top of this category
435	I think having plenty of green space and soft land features with safe access paths from the streets will be important. Right now we have to park a ways away to view a ski show or a sunset at the terrace so better accessibility would be nice.
436	Not only does this plan create a handful of social spaces, it also aims to amplify the nature of Madison. As a Madison local, I cherish most, the cities natural beauty. And I know many others that feel the same.
437	James corner definitely is the best, but I appreciate the work in agency landscape + planning looking at the actual water infrastructure.
438	Something for everyone, all ages, all year round
439	I think that James Corner Field Operations design gives Madison a stronger connection with nature while opening up the park and Monona bay to everyone. I love Agency landscape + planning's incorporation of the native burial grounds in the area and I think the water walk is a good concept. However both Agency and Sasaki lack the incorporation of nature into the city and the blend between the waterfront area and downtown that James Corner provides.
440	With all the parks and greenery settings in the Agency proposal, it really invites the residents to visit the lake and spend time there, whereas now its mainly along the bike path but not many places to sit and enjoy.

441	The Corner design includes many of the quality of life benefits for all ages, for disabled people and kids and our minority communities mainly for the easy accessibility and activities like fishing. In addition, the Corner design will also appeal to county residents and our visitors and
442	Providing a public green space that is uninterrupted and allows for discrete areas to encourage different activities will allow for the creation of new events and community building.
443	Agency, with their Monona Hill vision understands that building up will block views of the lake from existing residents/businesses. Others ignore the issue.
444	I think the beaches are a nice touch on James Corner's designs, as well as the wetlands. What I love about theirs is that it seems to be the most subtle. I view a central premise of these designs being about replacing the cement alongside the lake with greenery. James Corner's does that best.
445	Ecological health is paramount to health of the community.
446	The Corner plan, since it best addresses the most likely work to proceed soon, namely connectivity, which will likely be fairly conclusively addressed in the John Nolen rehab. There are other amenities in the other plans that would be nice, and might happen, but the differences among the plans in these amenities is marginal.
447	Provides the most open green space in the Law Park area
448	Monona Hill would be amazing
449	these questions are the same
450	The James corner field operations does have the most open community space, and likely would be a great meeting and hangout spot for Madison residents.
451	This is the order with the largest amount of green space
452	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- provides access to the lake for all activities</li> <li>- provides safe walkway, running, play and cycling routes</li> <li>- broadens the benefit of the lake across causeway</li> <li>- beautifies the city</li> </ul>
453	All 3 plans provide walking, biking, strolling trails, however I found the James Corner Field Operations to be lacking in innovative design (quite similar to other existing lakeshore designs).
454	Quality of life depends on variety of offerings. Madison already has the Capitol Square (for gatherings on pavement with little natural value), State Street (for shopping, cultural events, eating... with no natural value or refuge from noise and hectic urban life). But what downtown Madison lacks is what Agency Landscape's plan seems to offer: the sense of history, sense of place, sense of refuge, natural places to watch people and relax, learn, play, commute, enjoy events in a less pavement-heavy setting; areas to escape and have quiet and see the city go by as you relax and contemplate it all. It would add the dearly missing element of refuge to our quality of life in Madison's downtown.
455	I like the wide variety of amenities offered by JCFO.
456	All rank high on this issue. JCFO seems more comprehensive in its scope.

457	I think AL+P offered a lot of opportunities to engage with the waterfront both passive and active throughout the year. JCFO did also but not to the same extent.
458	These two top ranks seemed to value the community input more which will create a positive impact on the community.
459	It seems that James Corner Field Operation has the highest potential to improve the quality of the resident's life.
460	Sasaki's ecological design and cohesive landscape framework are highly commendable for the site, while JCFO has made significant efforts in creating a pleasant and livable environment."
461	By adding safe passage, dedicated water sports areas, beaches, and relaxation areas, downtown Madison residents will have more space to recreate. The improved living shoreline and water quality initiatives will greatly enhance the usability of the new lakefront amenities.
462	I like the James Corner Field Operations, the best because of the quality.
463	variety of uses
464	Currently car users are the primary group that can access the Monona waterfront facilities. Just developing them into a better space only benefits these users. Sasaki's plan goes beyond this to better democratize waterfront access for the entire city, integrating it into a transition away from car dependency that will be most beneficial for Madison in the long term.
465	The more greenery the city has the better because it helps with people's mental health, Agency Landscape + Planning doesn't give enough to be above 2nd.
466	NO COMMENT
467	Again, it keeps the lake clean.
468	with the cleaner water could provide less diseases to appear in the water
469	it seems safer
470	i like the idea
471	best for people
472	See response for #2
473	Agency landscape's plan is really good, but I feel that James Corner took it a step even further providing unique spaces across the entire lakefront. It addressed every single thing I've ever thought about the lakes. 1. Pedestrians are cut off from the lakefront by John Nolan and it's not safe 2. We don't use the lakefront enough with piers and more pedestrian walkways on the Southside 3. The city needs more beaches and waterfront dining 4. I want to see and use the lake everyday!
474	Above comments.
475	none
476	Quality of life is greatest with this plan as there is something for everyone and all peoples of Madison.
477	probably the most green (so better quality of life for decades to come), as well as good use of outdoor walkways and water areas - James Corner Field looks like fun, but I am concerned that it would contribute to increased pollution of the lakes with all the waterside use

478	The Agency plan has more day-to-day use of green space whereas the others are more tourist attractions.
479	I like the JCFO and Agency Landscape split in to three transportation paths along the causeway, so cyclists and runners can travel without having to worry about disturbing pleasure walkers.
480	The beaches, the elevated paths, and the piers!
481	If we're talking about quality of life it would be Sasaki's plan. I noticed that they added a playground, I believe our youth is the future of madison and having a playground in the middle of such a beautiful area is imperative to the development of our kids. There's also a beach that gives us a way to access our beautiful lake that I haven't seen prior to Sasaki's design. I see families playing in the water or giving our students an opportunity to take a nice break in the sand after a long day of school. There was a restaurant in there as well which gives our community the opportunity to go out and be social while eating delicious food. I saw a community center as well which I feel like is extremely important to our growth and development as citizens in Madison.
482	Agency separates path uses, enhances them at every season, and connects the lakeshore to neighborhoods. However, the most convenient (and already designated) space for community gardening is at Quann Park; not a good use of Olin Park space. Swimming beach (and potential for people-powered rentals from FLW boathouse) at the Law Park end of the design area could be great downtown resource midway between Brittingham and BB Clarke, but Pacific Northwest evergreen trees seemed out of place.
483	Olin park will actually be used by local residents. The Sasaki design is too formal in Olin park. The James Coroner beach is in a location difficult to access and the drop off would never work with no parking or even space to drop off people.
484	both the agency and james corner plans would have a positive impact- just in different aspects like i stated above. however, Agency stays #1
485	I think what the people of Madison need more than anything is outdoor space to connect with nature as well as more safe running, walking, and biking trails by lake monona
486	Madison residents are very active and love being outside year round
487	Sasaki is looking at the life of the residents as the top priority due to its wide usability
488	All of them benefit the lifes and safety of residents
489	Especially along John Nolen and the Lake Monona shorefront, Madison is really lacking in green space. Adding large amounts of useable green space would have huge impacts on quality of life and quality of use of that area
490	Relaxing
491	Sasaki has the most types of lake access and the most locations. Agency's plan is not implementable.
492	Again, I am looking at greatest accessibility from downtown.
493	I think Sasaki's plan in the most inclusive and balances the need for improved gathering places while still respecting nature and improving water quality.

494	For the same reasons stated in the comments to question 4.
495	These rankings are all the same
496	The boardwalks are nice features to get people near the water and improve the shoreline.
497	Hands down, the plan from James Corner Field Operations would most positively impact the quality of life for residents. Bringing 2 worlds together, city and nature. Beautiful.
498	The Sasaki plan seeks to restore and educate the community
499	The connected walkways and pedestrian overpasses, along with biking, fishing, running, and lounging, make the Sasaki plan the best for quality of life.
500	Agency's plan would go the furthest in terms of putting cars out of sight and out of the path of pedestrians and cyclists. Corner's does not go nearly far enough in terms of separating vehicle and human traffic, and Sasaki's in somewhere in between. To me, this is the single greatest obstacle preventing people from using the waterfront. People will go if they can, even without a ton of nice natural amenities. But having to cross fast, dangerous, and un-policed traffic will prevent people from going no matter how many amenities there are. Seriously, please stop speeding on John Nolen and running reds!
501	Agency's plan most fully 'lids' John Nolen, reducing noise and air pollution near the lake. Otherwise, JCFO's plan offers the most connection to the lakeshore and its ecosystem.
502	Emphasis on wildlife
503	See comments above. There are some yellow lines in one of the images from Agency that suggest that their proposal may include improving accessibility to Olin Park by the neighborhoods across John Nolan Road. And the James Corner plan explicitly states that they would create trails linking Olin Park to nearby areas.
504	Safe paths are so critical, I love that that is an importance of Agency's plan. The themes of "vital and active" will also greatly benefit the wellbeing of the community.
505	Like addition of the public community gathering areas.
506	Field Operations and Sasaki plans provide abundance of recreational opportunities for all residents. Agency plan is well balanced but not as much as the others.
507	All three proposals would be a marked improvement, but of the three, the Agency proposal has the most potential to create destinations that people would utilize.
508	Not clear. I am concerned about the new designs as far as safety is concerned. Just like the one person said in the Sasaki video - people are there walking/biking around the clock, and the fishermen are always there. That's the truth and in my experience, because of that, it feels safe. Having the 1 path makes a certain density that there are eyes on that space. If something happens, there are people around to see and get help. It is well-lit, you can see what is around you because it is open. If people are more spread out, its darker with more winding paths and more hiding places (bushes, bridges to hide under, trees covering from the road), I am worried about safety at night.

509	While all plans recommended an expanded multi-modal path, Sasaki put the most emphasis on having the path also be educational. This is a huge component for younger residents, who could benefit from understanding the history of the lakes.
510	Additional greenspace and active lakefront amenities.
511	Probably Sasaki, as the environment is so essential to our city's future.
512	I think it mostly goes with my answer to #4. I see James Corner to be multi functional and beautiful to be around, so people will enjoy looking at it and using the green spaces.
513	The trade-off of disrupting traffic by proposed reducing lanes for the benefits of improved lake access/use is not worth it because use of the lakefront is so seasonal while traffic occurs year round. I read the James Corner proposal statement that its been confirmed in early traffic feasibility studies. My understanding is there would be approval of that needed from 3 policy bodies -City of Madison, MPO, and WISDOT to change the roadway configuration. Sasaki at least mentions adding high occupancy bus service.
514	JCFO's plan crams ideas that do not reflect actual community needs. There are no fishing piers outside of Monona Terrace despite the year-round fishing use in the area. There's no beach in Olin Park. Adding wetlands to the small triangle bays would impede boating and access to Monona Bay. JCFO is overly focused on creating private enterprise opportunities instead of public spaces. Sasaki's suggestions for Olin are closest to residents' needs and Agency is a close second.
515	Cleaner lake is a positive.
516	The Field Operations plan has a lot of practical elements that will improve quality of life, including the John Nolen road diet and the expanded pier by the Monona Terrace.
517	Sasaki plan has too many boat launch ramps. Power boats are better on Lake Mendota.
518	I've already considered this in the above 2 sections.
519	Quality of life includes taking a walk, or walks, that include Monona Bay for many City of Madison residents. I don't see anything included to help that aspect for the positive impact on the quality of life for residents. Crossing a dangerous road and railroad tracks creates negative aspects.
520	All very equal. I love the kayaking from Olin in James Corner.
521	more green spaces are always welcome
522	Same as above. I think the Agency Landscaping design has
523	The Agency plan seems to be the most environmentally conscious of the plans, which I love. It also provides sufficient areas for entertainment besides its natural scenery.
524	Toss up between Sasaki and JCFO
525	More greenery, the better. Love Agency's inclusion of Marshland all across the causeway, and appreciate the redesign of Broom St. and North Shore Drive
526	See above comments.
527	again- james provides direct access to the water the easiest.
528	Great use of Olin park space with increased lake access.



529	Definitely the most appealing plan, James Corner Field
530	Sasaki actually provides transportation infrastructure at all levels - foot, bike, car, train.
531	The Agency Landscape does the best at balancing the multiple needs of park users.
532	clearly Field Operations illustrates the excellent opportunities for recreation along the shore in a beautiful and safe park
533	Again I feel that considering lake access for all types of users in a safe manner that also promotes the health of our lake ecosystem is the best way to promote quality of life for Madison residents. Emphasizing public hot spots like amphitheater or terrace-like retail spaces is not what I think will improve the QOL of all types of users of this spaces from all backgrounds in Madison. Walkers bikers fishers boaters drivers skaters runners birders encompasses all backgrounds. Attending concerts and bars does not.
534	I'm basing my rankings particularly on activities and amenities - the Olin Park playground would be huge for the south side neighborhoods and keep that area active to prevent crime.
535	Love the James Corner Field Operations submission and how it will positively impact the lives of residents and visitors. Like how Sasaki submission touched on the lake ecosystem cleanup & preservation
536	I love Agency's "Monona Hill" and their conception of Olin Park. I like Corner's vision for a pier at the foot of King St. I do NOT like Sasaki's "flying bridge" in Olin Park.
537	Realize that the idea of reducing traffic capacity of JND simply means that Park St and Willy St will carry additional traffic, also a fatal flaw that no one in your MDOT is considering.
538	Agency connects assets the community already has - the capital and downtown to the historic Monona Terrace and natural wonders of the lake - making these areas more accessible from downtown and increasing usage
539	Provides best access to the water
540	There's more space. In a growing city the ability to find a space - for you - at the park is going to be important.
541	Prefer the soft shorelines and nature centric walkways
542	There needs to be more green space in this part of town, which is a hot commodity due to available land. But having a place within walking distance of the capital would help decrease loads on other parks and provide a more relaxing environment to escape the "city" atmosphere of downtown.
543	I truly believe the James corner fields design will not only increase accessibility to the lake for all residents but will also become an activity that brings more people to Madison which will be great for our local economy and businesses!
544	JCFO's design provides a lot of interesting and unique experiences for residences. My favorites are the outdoor classroom and the kayak learning area, the three distinct play spaces, and the beaches added to the shoreline.
545	see above
546	The Agency plan offers more variation in human access. The Sasaki plan includes more features beneficial to animals and wildlife.

547	Sasaki proposes a "trail for all speeds", a terrible, unsafe, and unworkable idea for an area to be both a place to stroll and relax and a thoroughfare for commuters. Uses need to be separated.
548	Sasaki is the only company with a plan to incorporate the Amtrak station and provide pedestrian bridges over the hazardous roadway that separates people from the lake.
549	James Corner plan slightly edges out Sasaki in practicality of design, and sacrifices some flash for additional substance.
550	Extending the lakefront path at Monona terrace so people can fish away from the bike path is the most important thing to fix.
551	I'm basing my rankings particularly on activities and amenities - the Olin Park playground would be huge for the south side neighborhoods and keep that area active to prevent crime.
552	Madison residents would likely benefit from more, smaller improvement as opposed to bigger, attention-grabbing destinations. To some extent, the Monona Terrace already provides the latter.
553	Agency does this by their expansive plan.
554	Anything that improves waterfront access for south side Madison is a great idea.
555	James Corner Field Operations had a lot of options for all kinds of people
556	Improved accessibility is huge.
557	All three did do a good job of creating space for walkers, bikers, and cars along John Nolan drive.
558	The AL&P design will be the most positive for residents because it makes it easiest to be a pedestrian or biker in the city, integrates with the existing downtown businesses.
559	None. The most important issue is the speed and volume of car traffic on the isthmus must be drastically reduced.
560	JCFO does a great job providing connections to city and hiding the concrete jungle and giving more greenspace
561	I think balancing new infrastructure with expanded green space and opportunities to be outside while improve quality of life for residents, even if all they do is get to drive down John Nolen and have more greenery on the causeway.
562	Blending the past with the present is the perfect approach. Very inclusive.
563	JCF option kept as much of the existing bike path infrastructure as is, which I like. Moving the bike path up a hill near the capitol or through a noisy tunnel with cars in the ALP proposal, or seemingly forgetting about it in the Sasaki proposal, would be a hugely negative impact on the bike community that we are well known for.
564	Creating different types of spaces, play recreation and quiet places
565	This one is close - Sasaki was also great
566	Not really sure on this one.
567	honestly all are excellent and far superior to what we have now - please just do any of these!!!

568	I like that Agency Landscape + Planning incorporated Related Community Feedback into their presentation. They directly link community needs with their design.
569	The James Corner plan is absolutely beautiful. It certainly would get me off my couch and into the park!
570	Details like the Canopy Walk would encourage enjoyment and wonder at the natural world in a way that would greatly improve residents' quality of life. Details like separating the running and biking paths will improve safety.
571	Sasaki looks as though it would provide the opportunity for community events.
572	Sasaki's transportation bike paths are straighter and more direct through the project site, which I think is important for shifting modeshare to more sustainable options.  The JCFO and Agency designs have more interesting paths for recreational use, but I think they're lacking in terms of functionality for active transportation commuters. They either include a lot of weaving (JCFO) or elevation gain (Agency) compared to the Sasaki design.
573	This question is similar to the above, and so my answer is the same.
574	I do like the boat rental options for the James Corner design, but feel like its something that's already offered at other Madison parks and the kayak course seems like it would be prone to algae and overgrowth
575	I personally love the Sasaki's Olin Overlook, it looks like you could really feel lost in the wilderness, I do like the water walk from Agency Landscape + Planning to have a ring fashion in that park would be interesting and fun.
576	Agency plan adds more things I'd personally like to do - biking and nature walks around the shoreline.
577	Access to the waterfront is something that the city has been missing.
578	The different gathering areas proposed in the Wild Lakeshore plan look like places everyone would want to be and have access to - families, teens, older adults, athletes, etc.
579	Environmentally speaking, it has to be James Corner Field Operations, followed closely by Agency Landscape + Planning.
580	The more green space interlaced with the beautiful lakes the better for everyone
581	Beautiful places matter more when they are accessible & enjoyable to be at -- Agency Landscape has found a balance that is both practical and would be enjoyable to use for leisure. If they had included a beach front somewhere by the terrace it'd be a perfect 10/10 plan
582	JC would allow people to hang out at the lake in various ways.
583	The beautiful outlook will provide incentives for people to gather by the lake any day of the week.
584	Sasaki seems to have put a lot of thought into the kinds of activities folks may want to do.
585	I feel that with agency's vision, nature will become much more accessible for the residents in that area which will improve residents quality of life.
586	By far the best quality for live for residents. The family areas, the park, the water plan.

587	By making the quality of the water and all the nonhuman animals that rely on it a central part of the conversation about how to renovate the Monona shoreline, this has better long-term potential for Madison, both economic and ecological.
588	Land bridge would make enjoying the lake so much easier and pleasant as a place to spend time.
589	Sasaki's Plan does the best to preserve walking and riding along the lake, and considers all 4 seasons. Currently, in winter the lake is an underutilized resource.
590	The James Corner presentation showed more opportunities for the public to actually use and enjoy the lakefront.
591	Again, lovely bold ideas. Sasaki seems a bit better focused on water health (please incorporate more of those things with any winning design). I like Monona Lake, but it's kinda gross come mid summer.
592	Again. It's the aesthetic balance over commercialized trendy space.
593	Prioritize plans that bring people to the water. Sasaki's plans included too many elevated bridges and walkways - didn't allow people to interact/get down to the water line.
594	Agency's draw through the isthmus and widened areas through the entire scope of work to create spaces and access that welcome people to wander. James's plan creates places people will consider a daily destination. Sasaki is a place to explore as you explore the city.
595	As a biker wider lanes and smoother path would provide best. Can't tell which plan does that. It's not a great place to walk or bike RN and that should be prioritized.
596	I think all the plans would help draw visitors, but i just love the idea of a cleaner Lake Monona that people can enjoy swimming and paddling
597	James Corner Field group had the best vision for access to the lake from all parts of the city..
598	I already told you which one I like best. Stop asking.
599	Honoring people, improving water quality and biodiversity, and having lots of fun interesting programs and attractions for people. Sasaki's plan all the way. Love the reinterpretation of Frank Lloyd's Wright's buildings
600	Love the bike paths, water trails especially the traffic calming and pedestrian safety measures.
601	Sasaki's plan didn't seem to touch Monona Terrace, where the waterfront really needs work to be more accessible and enjoyable.
602	Public access, drawing people from street level through monona terrace and public spaces on either side of monona terrace.
603	For reasons listed above.
604	I enjoy that both Agency and James corner both grasp the desire or outdoor activities while retaining a classic appearance to the lakeshore
605	Focus on restoring lake quality
606	Same as above.
607	Sasaki's plan has the greatest focus on improving the connection between downtown and the lake

608	Same as above, I think James Corner and Agency will be amazing for residents - so many places to connect to water
609	voices of the lake really resonates with madisonians vision on life
610	I like the idea of separating facilities for bikes and pedestrians, and providing a dedicated fishing pier to keep the Monona Terrace wall cleaner, the bike path free of fish hooks and discarded fishing line and other trash. Hopefully the new plan will provide better options for trash disposal.
611	N/A
612	I found all the plans somewhat fuzzy though I am sure all have positive aspects.
613	Agency all day
614	Sasaki's plan it felt would be most equitable and providing positive impacts for all residents. They really focused on ADA compliance so all people can enjoy, and they spent a lot of time design to ensure that the plan designs would improve all seasons. While I liked James Corner's suggestions such as opening up the bottom part of monona terrace for fishing, it felt again like they were too conservative for a redesign that would transform the entire area over the next few decades. As for Agency landscaping, they were too much fluff and not enough pragmatic details to tell if their designs would improve everyone's lives or not.
615	I really like the loop trails.
616	Restoring nature and bringing people together... in all seasons and times of day. There's your winning plan. Sasaki seems to edge out others.
617	The Sasaki plan listen to our feedback. Pick them!
618	Corner emphasizes equitable access and notes historic disinvestment in Madison's Southside.
619	The Field Operations team addressed equity in urban development. I didn't here that from the other groups.
620	JCFO's proposal makes beautiful, functional spaces all along law park and around Monona Terrace, while also bringing forth the best ecological plans. These are my two priorities, and it does best at both. Agency in 2nd place for that beautiful Monona Hill concept. I dislike Sasaki's hard water edges; it's not for the 2020's. Whatever is chosen, John Nolen needs to slim down 2 lanes.
621	Agency appears to be the fullest expression of civic engagement
622	The Monona Hill design in the Agency plan would tuck car infrastructure furthest away from everyday life.
623	Access and recreation
624	Sasaki's plan to reduce runoff contamination for the lake probably makes the largest impact. Then Agency's plan provides the most access to the newly cleaner lake front but combining it with the activities of sasaki's plan is ideal.
625	I think JCFO captures the overall plan that provides something for everyone in the most natural way! The playground using natural wood structures is a great exam phone if fitting with the natural setting!
626	Our family would utilize the beach area for water sports snd the green terracing around monona center for relaxing and family time

627	Per above comments, better accessibility and less traffic would improve quality of life. Also a plan that requires less maintenance means an improved quality of life would be provided longer.
628	What they designed for Olin park is pretty cool, makes me actually want to go to that park
629	I've lived in Madison for 6 years and it blows my mind that the only halfway decent beach near the capitol is B.B. Clarke, maybe James Madison if it isn't too full of gunk. I really loved how the JCFO plan extended the diagonal streets with capitol views, and added beaches on both sides of Monona Terrace. I felt like the JCFO plan had the most realistic and impactful ideas for improving Monona Terrace - tearing down that awful wall where all the people fish, and adding room for anglers and people to sit by the lake. Their rendering of Monona Terrace with those changes looks so right. I've also always felt like Madison lacked good places to "mingle" by the lakes, aside from Memorial Union. The JCFO plan with the restaurant and beach with outside seating near Machinery Row would be amazing, and I loved the idea of planting evergreen trees as well.
630	Green space is huge
631	The most direct lake access and use of the terrace is found in the JCF proposal.
632	the more usable space there is the better. it is currently just a small path near the lake and more land there would be great
633	With a variety of usable spaces and taking into account all seasons and walks of life I fell Sasaki had the best interest of the residents in mind
634	None for us on the lake side first (and likely second floors) of several buildings as mentiond.
635	If the concerns about human impact on nature can be addressed, I think JCFO is providing the best plan for the shoreline plans.
636	Easy lake and park access seems like it would provide the most QoL for downtown residents, and the fishing pier off the Terrace would vastly improve the space for some of its most common users.
637	The cultural center, amphitheater, and large lawns I think will add a day-to-day & year round experience for madison residents
638	So important to improve and decrease the width of John Nolen Drive to give back space to residents.
639	I think the size of available space in the park and on the shoreline is the biggest priority so I have ranked them by how much space I think it would be creating in that area.
640	They all look like a car sewer with too many lanes per direction. Should be one lane per direction on the causeway.
641	All three would impact the quality of life for residents
642	I just really love Sasaki's plan. I liked Agency's emphasis on green spaces and think it's probably nicer than James Corner, but it's a close call.
643	na
644	Sasaki talks about the preservation of the lake and keeping it clean while also making it accessible and fun for everyone
645	I don't see a large difference on quality of life for these plans.

646	Visually the most interesting
647	Love Sasaki's design
648	JCFO is most respectful of current residents. Sasaki is a mid ground and the Agency Landscape + Planning is the worst and negatively impacts current users of the landscape.
649	same reasons as above. Also separation of bike and pedestrian traffic.
650	Changing John Nolen from a highway to a green boulevard will have a huge benefit for locals.
651	Similarly to my last comments, JCFO and Sasaki are very, very close. Again, this comes down to access to exciting public spaces and transportation for me. I like JCFO's and Sasaki's proposals for John Nolen drive a lot, although I am cautious about a boardwalk along John Nolen, as Sasaki proposes. While it would be very cool, I fear that it may be a hazard for some falling off of it.
652	The Corner plan provides the most dramatic impact, but could incorporate the Agency plan for the Monona Hill to more definitely define the area as a park space rather than adding park space between JND and the lakeshore.
653	Again, Field has the greatest amount of variety, but I foresee many issues with maintenance as well as the quantity of green space which may encourage unwelcome or inappropriate use which the city would have trouble regulating. I am also concerned about beach areas. Having lived in the Northeast near many towns that have public beaches, I understand what goes into maintaining them especially when it comes to enforcing regulations to keep them safe and clean. I think it is too grand a plan for the city to undertake.
654	access to the area seem to flow better from ALP
655	Beautifully designed, thoughtful and meaningful impact on the area from JCFO
656	I do not live in Madison but visit often. I do think my #3 option would be better for people in the immediate area due to it being less of an entertainment site than a "naturalist " site.
657	^^ see above comments.
658	Any opportunity to improve the native habitat and creating a buffer transition to the urbanization we should as a community support and pursue. A renewed focus on the natural landscape!
659	See previous...
660	Sasaki and Agency Landscape would both provide the most benefit to residents. The path and green space plans would bring a lot of activity.
661	Time in nature has shown to improve quality of life and the Agency Landscape and Planning plan seemed to focus mostly on providing more green space and walking in nature.
662	This is difficult to judge. The Lake Monona/John Nolan corridor needs improvement and all of the plans would make it more attractive to use and less of a remnant o catering to car culture.
663	Events for the entire family. Adds beauty to the entrance to the capital.
664	James Corner has both clarity of vision and appropriate experience to make it happen. Not a missed beat in their plan or their reassuring delivery and approach tonight 1/26/23.

665	JCFO improves access, adds green space, improves traffic flow, and seems to do it all without using flowery verbiage to get there.
666	James Corner's technical implementation means on the day-to-day it is a better fit for residents, while Agency Landscape is visionary, it looks pretty but is maybe less practical.
667	Again, each team offered great ideas. Love the green boulevard (Corner), ecological restoration (Sasaki & Corner), and Monona Hill (Agency).
668	James Corner Field Operations prioritizes people and spending time together.
669	James plan provides maximum green space.
670	James - this plan gave each surrounding neighborhood their own area to access and join then ease of movement between spots seems best Agency - The sheer green factor bumped to second Sas - had the right elements but seems for fantasy than actual
671	In this regard, I think all submissions were great. The multiple layers of landscaping of Corner's submission, though, draws me in.
672	Sasaki prioritizes the aquatic and natural environment which will directly and positively impact the quality of life for all residents - including plants and animals - not just humans!
673	Most efficient use of space
674	honestly all I see is adding to the huge tax burden we already face in Madison. Even if it was 'improved' where will people park? not everyone rides a bike. Yes theres parking at the facility but theres a cost to it plus it wouldn't be available when a event was held in the building.
675	James Corner Field Operations plan enhances the beauty, nature, and city qualities of the downtown Monona lakefront. It simultaneously provides a serene, natural environment with a bustling community space for events, tourists, and the bustle of downtown Madison.
676	I like how top two plans provide more space between lake front and cars on street. It is very loud currently in that area with the cars. Would need things to reduce noise of cars but also need to be mindful of not adding too many trees that car/biker/pedestrian visibility is negatively impacted.
677	The Agency plan puts greater emphasis on connecting the south side to Olin Park.
678	All have done a good job, but I believe that Madison residents will appreciate the final product that Sasaki proposes and will be happy to make use of this area.
679	JFCO's design encourages users to interact with the water, kayak, bike, run, etc. promoting healthy living ideals.
680	There are so many different activity areas that will bring everyone together from the kids area, to the stage, to bird watching tower and more.
681	I've always heard people taking about their desire for more on that lakefront and have always wished it were easier to get there, Agency's plan achieves all of it and more while cleaning the lake and making it habitable.
682	See above.



683	See comments made in number 2 above. I guess I will say that the visuals for the actual project weren't clear to me in Sasaki's plans, which is why I ranked it last. It's hardest for me to see how the community would use the space.
684	Considering what the lake life was like in its more natural state helps better improve the environment and sustaining quality of life longer term
685	I wish we could just snap our fingers and have this appear. I want to see a concert on Monona Hill!

Q8	Which plan is most likely to draw visitors to Madison? Please provide comments on your ranking.
Comment #	Q8 Responses
1	These two plans had more specific goals for visitor centers, community centers, nature centers, and an amphitheater. I think those elements would be likely to draw visitors.
2	I think the Sasaki plan has more potential in terms of drawing tourists w/ the performance stage, amphitheater, nature center and adventure playground. But I would rather see residents benefit before tourists.
3	Please remember that visitors to Madison is not the same thing as visitors THROUGH Madison. John Nolen' stole as a highway to bring lots of cars through the city should be relegated to the past; we should instead prioritize making the city pleasant and save for living IN, not driving through. People won't come to downtown/the lakefront from the suburbs because it's easy to drive there, people will come downtown/to the lakefront because it's pleasant to be there.
4	Developing in Olin Park would be an ecological tragedy.
5	aesthetically speaking I think either the first or second plan in my rank here would draw people in faster, but the last plan would maybe be better from a sustainability standpoint and longterm I think would draw more people here--the lakes are great to meander around all year, but it'd be a lot nicer and a bigger draw if you could also safely swim in them without getting a rash or being overwhelmed by the smell of dead fish
6	With the crime in the city non of the plans would attract people to this area. Once the algae blooms start people will avoid the area on the water because of the smell. Can't swim most days because of the algae
7	Seems like it considered the aesthetic appeal and things to do appeal of bringing in travelers
8	Activities all year round; picnic, food trucks, kayaking, art, lawn activities, overlook, access to capitol
9	I believe this project is most likely to draw visitors to Madison because the entertainment areas planned allow for greater venue space for annual events using Lake Monona.
10	Sasaki brings so many unique spaces to adventure and explore, lots of "wow" factor that will definitely attract visitors. James Corner has a lot of the same but not at the same level.
11	The Agency plan seems to eliminate the spiral parking garages currently in the Monona Terrace. Visitors and convention goers need on-site parking. One of the biggest visitor draws of the year is IronMan. They use those facilities as well.
12	We can only accommodate more visitors if traffic moves smoothly on John Nolen with more lanes of traffic at a higher speed.
13	Sasaki looks the most exciting
14	Honestly I don't want visitors to Madison. They should develop and beautify their areas.

15	Improving the connection between the streets around the square and the Lake Monona waterfront will increase the number of tourists that will be able to experience the lake.
16	All the increased water features in each plan will bring more visitors. But Monona Hill is best example to connect Capitol to lake
17	Is drawing visitors to Madison the most important aspect of this project? I think the priority should be cleaning and greening the land/shore/lake paired with effective multi-modal transportation across this part of the isthmus.
18	I generally disagree with the premise. I don't want the park to "draw visitors", I want the beautiful city and its downtown to draw visitors. I want the park to draw residents.
19	This one is hard. Any of the three plans would help draw visitors as any of them is such a great improvement over what is now there. They all take into account the place's history, Monona Terrace the the many problems with the current situation (these include lack of access, dangerous access, lack of a natural setting in a situation where you have a lake which is one of the most natural things you can be blessed with)
20	The James Corner Project has a strong formal gesture by extending the axes from the Capitol to the lake. This brings the lake and the Capitol, two of Madison's key attractions, into a greater unity. This design has a much stronger identity than the other two in my opinion, and one that is very much engaged with the existing context. That firm also has a strong history of creating notable and attractive parks.
21	The gathering spaces are great for events.
22	Lots of space for people to gather. Great access to our beautiful lake.
23	Integrating Monona terrace into a natural, explorable landscape
24	I think the greening of the shoreline of Agency and James C are incredibly attractive and would inspire and draw people to Madison.
25	The top two just look more impressive, therefore being more likely to draw in tourists.
26	Absolutely Agency is the boldest and will provide the best attraction. If the high speed rail happens, it is nice that Sasaki envisions that to be part of their plan, but that is a big if.
27	I believe that Sasaki will draw the most visitors to Madison as it is the most unique design and tells a story beyond a simple park or grassy area. If I were to visit Madison and spend time on the Monona lakefront designed by the Sasaki project I would want to make Madison my home.
28	I'm not necessarily a fan of bringing more visitors and traffic through this corridor, but the signature hill & connections to the city & Capitol in the Agency plan might draw more visitors
29	Please see other comments
30	Visitors are here. No need for encouragement.
31	I think the Sasaki plan allows visitors who come to see the capital/the downtown area and even greater reason to visit.

32	People aren't going to come to Madison to stroll along the lakeside, altho they may do that while they're here. On and In the lake activities will bring lots of people here for lake-based recreation, competition and individual fun stuff, eg. skating, snow-shoeing, kite flying, ice boating, ice fishing, etc.
33	The addition of a train/ rail stop under Monona terrace would be great stop for people visiting the city
34	By maximizing the area of the waterfront, Agency's plan focuses on the natural appeal of Madison's environment. Having easy access from downtown to a grand park by a lake, with great trails in both directions, would really accentuate our city's strengths. The organic shapes in Agency's plan are also very beautiful and striking and complement shapes like the lakeshore and Monona Terrace. This forward-looking design would really set Madison apart. JCFO's plan has many appealing concepts, but doesn't connect the city and lake as strongly as Agency. Sasaki's plan feels somewhat more like a theme park or boardwalk with attractions along the way. Many of these ideas are appealing and could be considered down the road, but it doesn't feel especially unique to Madison compared to the others.
35	For sure, Madison could host so many events. I hope there is limited parking in the area. Only handicap parking on sight. Address parking by use of public transit from parking ramps to Monona lake venue.
36	The fame of the Field Ops will certainly catalyze visits. I mean the Highline is brilliant and this can be too. The Monona hill and burying the highway really will be a model for people to come and see.
37	In particular, the idea of a downtown forest park on the lake is very interesting, and it will go a long way to making the entry from John Nolan spectacular both for the view of the Capitol and the natural beauty of the lakefront.
38	<p>Agency's symmetrical overlooks flanking the new Monona Hill will make our picture postcard skyline even more beautiful and attract visitors who see it. Their Olin Park circular overlook jutting over the water will draw visitors to walk and run on it. The easier access to the Monona Terrace rooftop, and easy to reach surrounding green space with fabulous lake views, will make Monona Terrace an even more attractive venue for visitors.</p> <p>Sasaki's dramatic overlook at the bottom of South Hamilton will draw attention and therefore visitors, even though I think it would be very unattractive and non-organic. Their Olin Park Canopy Walk and Nature Center would also be visitor draws.</p> <p>JCFO's vision for amenities would probably be the least used by visitors. People didn't even visit the Lake Vista Café on the top of Monona Terrace when it was open regularly, and it was a fantastic space with great food. People aren't going to trudge all the way down South Hamilton to a small patio restaurant next to a little beach that will be filled with seagulls.</p>
39	Sasaki and Agency align with things that already do well in Madison (festivals, street food, biking, water activities, beautiful views) and capitalized on currently wasted space

40	The Sasaki plan looked very cool and modern and it's design would add to Madison! culture.
41	Sasaki has the most dramatic changes around the convention center which will draw people in.
42	While they all will draw visitors. The "wild" plan has such a unique look that will be a draw for those looking to walk through or spend time.
43	Welcoming design, enriched spaces.
44	No way to evaluate.
45	Visually stunning
46	Gives many things to do.
47	All of them have huge potential to help enhance madison as a destination.
48	This plan is the most striking to the eye as well
49	The Agency plan has great potential -- if all of the infrastructure depicted is able to be realized. While the transportation inside of the hill would be a great addition, the result seems to move the lake away from Monona Terrace. However the large amount of terraforming concerns me from a cost perspective.
50	Some may enjoy the boardwalk
51	I feel like they focused on the area east of the terrace more and included the water skiing team, which could draw visitors.
52	any of them
53	They all are equally nice for visitors but I believe Corner and Sasaki have more options
54	See the #6 answer. Sasaki was more poorly conceptualized and nuanced than the others.
55	The only people who will be drawn to this will be people living in Madison. There are plenty of other areas this could be accomplished and not waste money on pretty parks.
56	Traffic patterns around the capitol/downtown area
57	Sasaki provides the most focus on lake quality and lake access for the general public and access for boaters to enjoy the parks, beaches and downtown businesses
58	I think the Sasaki plan has the strongest "look," with JCFO a close second. As previously stated I think the Agency plan would be appealing at the outset but the potential for deterioration is too significant a risk.
59	Im not sure any of these are a "destination" design. I think that it enhances what we already have. JCFO seems to embrace the one place why people come to Madison (Monona Terrace)
60	Available parking to access these areas for people that live outside of downtown or visitors will make any of these more accessible and likely to draw more people
61	the James Corner had the most appealing venues.
62	This feels like the most well rounded and breathtaking update to Madison's lakeshore. The addition of multiple restaurants and economic engines is a plus to me.

63	Sasaki plan has features for year round usage that will bring people to the site is all seasons.
64	Sasaki is first because it has significant bridges and walkways.
65	I think the James Corner Field Operation has the best mix to draw visitors to Madison. 2 Board walks, special areas for canoeing and kayaking, restaurants.
66	Sasaki and Agency are interested in working with current community events such as the Mad City ski team which brings 500-1000 spectators every Sunday evening to the area
67	No opinion.
68	I think they all would draw visitors to Madison. I can see JCFO or Sasaki doing well because of the footbridge connecting the Capitol to the lake and the proposed buildings in their plans (restaurants, community center, etc). That being said - Agency Landscape + Planning is more focused on outdoor recreational activities which probably will draw more to existing residents.
69	Agency's plan to make a "Monona Hill" and take advantage of Monona Terrace will likely allow for more large-scale events, bringing more people in.
70	more options for areas to gather with food and beer gardens
71	I think that the additional buildings, art features, and food opportunities make the Sasaki design more appealing for tourists. However, I know many bird watchers who travel specifically to see various birds that improved wetland ecology would draw to the area.
72	By far, the one which allows boaters to finally gain access to downtown Madison by use of piers. It appears that the Sasaki project has the most piers.
73	My top two selections offered the most variety and opportunities for recreational activities and events.
74	Sasaki has highest visual appeal and wow-factor. It creates another downtown destination. Olin park is too far out for walkability.
75	Agency hands down. Their vision is akin to a Central Park for Madison.
76	Same!
77	Plan has some arresting features to draw public interest.
78	The Monona Hill concept is a flagship concept that would give visitors a reason to visit the Monona waterfront and a place to gather.
79	more events and places for things to take place downtown with the corner and sasaki plans.
80	The plan which encourages the most vibrant and connected lakeshore will also be the one which draws the most visitors.
81	The overall beauty of the plan enhances every part of the shoreline. I also love the idea of a waterfront restaurant.
82	All are remarkable in their own right. I think Sasaki limits large event options.
83	Rooftop amphitheater could be a big hit
84	Unique attractions, variety
85	The JCFO plan provides many areas for nature exploration but also gathering places for those that don't need to be "in" nature.

86	I could see Sasaki's canoe shaped water features, amphitheater, and boathouse becoming checkbox items for tourists with the potential to become iconic features. I also like their winter garden idea. It has some nice features that could be a draw. JCFO's cove's circular feature is something I could see as a checkbox photograph for tourists too. With it's combination of sandy beach and eating space and overlook could also be a destination for tourists. The kayak training course is also a great draw for tourists looking to do a "WI" activity during their visit.
87	Features like the Ohlin Overlook, Boathouse, and multilevel greenspace are very striking.
88	I think the Sasaki project since they researched the history of madison
89	I think #1 offers more and different experiences to visitors, which likely will maximize the number of types of visitors to expect.
90	Due to the success of The Highline in dense NYC, I believe the design firm's reputation will draw visitors from all over. Ecotourists will appreciate the greening and safety of the multi-use lakefront corridor.
91	The above choices are not mine. I want to choose "None of the proposed plans but you do not give me that choice!!!!
92	I think the outdoor activity space complete with a nature center, rooftop amphitheater, waterfront restaurant, and boathouse screams, COME SEE ME. What's not to love about a beach, playground, multiple walking and biking trails, sledding hill, dog run and kayak course??
93	Sasaki's plan seemed to be the most authentically Madison, which is what I think will attract visitors.
94	Sasaki thinks the most about highlighting what these areas have to offer to visitors: dining, coffee shop integration, public transportation improvements, access to the waterfront, and the nature center.
95	Sasaki is a unique design that visitors would be sure to visit. Agency landscape could be as well but the downtown location of Sasaki's improvements makes it more of a priority
96	Sasaki has the most learning options; James Corner has a greater variety in landscape.
97	The wilderness aspect of the third plan and the drama of the walkway from Hamilton Street are both unique but if we went with the Agency plan , I think it was still bring lots of people in
98	Madison doesn't need to use this project to draw visitors. We have so many attractions especially in the more concrete-saturated areas. This space is already heavily traveled through and commuted through to attend these events by way of the beltline. What we don't need is more traffic and car parking encouraged along this stretch.
99	Most visually eyecatching
100	Because it's downtown!
101	Canopy walk if executed well could be a big pull to the area
102	For an experience Sasaki. I feel we have plenty of "terrace" activity in Madison for seating.

103	Sasaki has the potential to be an iconic part of Madison. The others are quite bland and ordinary.
104	None
105	More activities that are available, the more people that are willing to come check it out
106	Multiple venues is important.
107	Can't get the buttons to work.
108	The Agency plan is the best to hold events.
109	The event lawn space I think will bring in visitors.
110	Option 1 and 2 would create a large draw. Option 3 very limited.
111	Agency because of the monona terrace/hill redesign - incorporates more nature into an otherwise concrete heavy landscape. James corner with the ambitheater on top of monona terrace - which could draw people in.
112	The Mad-City ski shows are a big draw, regularly getting crowds of 500 - 1,000 to Law Park even though there are no city-provided amenities like public restrooms or comfortable, clean seating (we provide our own seating at cost). Improvements to the park that include a ski show will continue to bring people downtown and Law Park to enjoy local businesses and other Madison amenities, continuing to grow Madison as a destination for locals and visitors alike.
113	Idk (the city needs more industry, not recreational areas to draw people in (more industrial zoning?))
114	This plan goes into depth about different festivals and the history of Madison. Many people visiting would come for the events and stay to enjoy nature and learn about the area.
115	It's the most accessible to get to with foot traffic.
116	I think James and Agency designs have more of a "wow" factor, but all of these would draw visitors. Also, I'm less concerned with visitors it will draw as I am with local Madisonians that get to access this space regularly.
117	Preserving the natural beauty of the area that makes Madison a wonderful place to visit. I think there is beauty in simplicity - and that beauty is what attracts visitors. You can be almost in the middle of the city but feel like you are not in an urban area.
118	3. 1. 2
119	It's the most dynamic and interesting.
120	I think the Nature Center will draw visitors to Madison.
121	Because of the walk paths from downtown and the restaurant
122	Looks coolest
123	It is hard to determine what visitors may want. Healthy lakes and cultivated spaces for a variety of species, including humans, is important for our future.
124	Most plans appear to be roughly similar, so ranking is based primarily on professional presentation and aesthetic differences in the design.
125	Not sure visitor draw should be a major criterion.



126	The Hill design from Agency Landscape + Planning will be the most appealing part because of how effectively it compliments the Monona Terrace and brings the isthmus together.
127	Everyone loves elevated walkways
128	Sasaki. I believe this because of what I have stated above. The restaurant, the boat house, and the improved walkways will bring tourists from near and far to Madison. To appreciate our great city and our Great Lake
129	Sasaki really emphasized the amphitheatre aspect which I think could be a big draw.
130	I think both Agency corner plans would draw new visitors.
131	The lake front becomes more of a destination with this orientation and emphasis for downtown changes.
132	The skyline park is the biggest draw for me. It is such a simple yet powerful idea that amongst all of these building, there is still a landing spot for nature.
133	We could be the leaders of taking care of nature and adding value
134	Sasaki had more striking connected piers and walkways that connected to downtown making it more of a destination.
135	I love how Sasaki and James Corner Field prioritized accessibility to the lake, which to me is one of the greatest underutilized assets of Madison. I also love Sasaki's incorporation of a lakeside restaurant — Madison is seriously lacking in lakefront restaurants, and this could be the beginning of shifting towards that trend.
136	That canopy walk is pretty distinctive!
137	The extensive boardwalks into the lake of the Agency plan are visually stunning and would make for good marketing materials.
138	Most activity
139	Olin park elevated walk way would be a draw
140	Ranked by aesthetic appeal
141	Why do we need more people here
142	There are plenty of urban sight seeing aspects to Madison but not enough of nearby parks. Agency has a better flow to Olin Park.
143	All will draw and be utilized by visitors.
144	James corner is what the city needs
145	Sasaki would draw people year round, wholistic, urban nature crowd - the kind that sees the NYC Highline as a destination. The Agency design would attract a once a year fireworks crowd and be underutilized the rest of the year.
146	This seemed to have more open space
147	Impressive design, lots to do. Truly a space for residents and visitors.
148	I like how James Corner connects the Capitol to Law Park via King St. The paths of Agency are too winding, and they separate more people from the actual waterfront. The marsh at Olin per James Corner is unrealistic; it will be stinky and unused.
149	The symmetry of the plan and the programmatic thoughtfulness of Agency Landscape + Planning goes above and beyond. It also is the plan which most thoroughly follows a beautiful scheme like Nolen's, and in fact taking it up to the next level. This is a destination plan.

150	Hard to say for sure but James Corner Field seemed to have the most appeal for people visiting. Sasaki seemed to be the least flashy but maybe had the best plans for every day users of the area like us in Bay Creek.
151	Visitors to Madison mostly likely aren't coming to enjoy green spaces or overlooks. They are more apt to want places where activities can be observed or participated in. #1 seems to emphasize activity in its design.
152	I imagine that a land bridge connecting the Capitol to the lake would be a major attraction.
153	Per my comments above, it's Sasaki. We need to take into account the entertainment factor (music, food, beach). Also, the connect open concept is extremely important. The other plans feel like disconnected pieces put together.
154	Again, while Olin Park is a wonderful area, focusing the project near the square as shown in the Sasaki and James Corner plans would make accessing these areas very easy for people visiting downtown for events. I fear that situating the plan near Olin Park would draw less visitors.
155	The Mad-City ski shows are a big draw, regularly getting crowds of 500 - 1,000 to Law Park even though there are no City-provided amenities. Improvements to the park that include a ski show will continue to bring people downtown and Law Park to enjoy local businesses and other Madison amenities, continuing to grow Madison as a destination for locals and visitors alike.
156	James corner seems the most bold and eye opening.
157	Agency's "Monona Hill" concept could garner attention on the basis of its novelty and its perhaps controversial interplay with an FLW icon. James Corner Field Operations will draw in the landscape architecture geeks (however few they might be).
158	People come to Madison to go downtown, focusing effort by the terrace is utmost important.
159	The elevated walkway would definitely be a dresser.
160	The elevated path is so cool
161	The "green hill" is a big draw for me
162	It's nice
163	I think Madison already really draws people to Madison. There's nothing like a summer day, catching a water ski show, biking the bike path, pontoon boating or seeing the cross fit sign and knowing that the city is full of energy. I think the Sasaki plan will continue moving all these things we love forward.
164	So many accessible and inclusive features, including the canopy walk.
165	James field's concept seems the most flashy, and would therefore likely draw the biggest crowd. However, Madison's vibe is not that of a "big city" like a Milwaukee or Chicago; I think the big-city-like plans would be Madison trying to be something it's not.
166	James with the deck to view the lake and the Capitol would probably be the most interesting for tourism.
167	I really think all of them would be a draw. But the Field plan offers the most lake recreation
168	I don't know. I didn't rank this.
169	I dislike theme parks, so the Sasaki is less appealing.

170	I think any of the plans would serve this purpose.
171	Any park in a city is a draw.
172	Is this meant to serve residents, or attract tourists? I prefer to serve residents not tourists.
173	I don't think tourism \$ will come from land preservation. Option 1 is a healthy mix of urban design and ecology/environmental conservatism
174	<p>The massive amounts of greenspace provided by the Agency plan would allow for large events and would really make the skyline pop.</p> <p>Sasaki's design features more points of interest, which could theoretically be integrated into the Agency design. It's less naturally beautiful but would be very attractive to visitors.</p> <p>Incorporating more points of interest from the Sasaki design (amphitheater, stage, playground, pier, restaurant) into the Agency design would create a world-class park with potential to draw many visitors.</p>
175	Again the more spectacular features of the lake boardwalk, the Olin Park water walk and the Monona Hill would attract visitors, but are possibly too ambitious to actually happen? The Nature Center and Canopy walk of the Sasaki plan would be great for visitors and locals.
176	All three are flashy and attractive; in terms of a "draw" you can't really go wrong with any of them. But I feel I ranked them based on their level of aesthetic value and draw potential.
177	Because this will improve so many more activities and such
178	The Agency plans seem to provide much more opportunity for a variety of users and various events that range from personal contemplation and wellness to regional events hosting thousands of people.
179	Seems to make it more of a visitor venue and connections to the city.
180	I think the cool piers, beaches, and structures in the James Corner design could be a draw, as could those in the Sasaki design. But the Agency Landscape + Planning design may be the better draw for people who love to walk and bike.
181	I think the wild lakeshore combines nature and outdoor recreation with urban living. The beaches and pathways and the tree lined John Nolen will enhance the beauty of the city. The piers and parks, and event lawn will draw visitors to the city.
182	The top two are equal here IMO.
183	The Native American, learn how to kayak or canoe, boat rentals, 3 season options.
184	Sasaki has potential for vibrant entertainment. ALP is more local and sustainable for Madison residents.
185	The Agency Landscape + Planning proposal incorporates emphasizes access to cultural amenities & outdoor recreation which are a major component of Madison's identity and incorporates them in a landscape and transportation design package that would uniquely showcase Madison's cityscape, citizens, and community values.
186	Let's be a city of ecological advancement

187	same as above
188	jcfo for connecting downtown to lake effectively. sasaki for making Olin park more activated/accessible for broad groups.
189	Right now, the biggest draw to any of these parks in the project is Mad-city ski team shows. Every weekend in the summer, the team draws crowds of over 1,000 people, despite no city provided amenities. Improvements to that section of the park could bring even larger crowds, and would also funnel crowds to downtown area and to local Madison Businesses. Support for the ski show, the largest group of park users, could help grow Madison as a destination for people to enjoy.
190	Most well known "brand" for landscape work — puts us on par with other great cities in the US.
191	The look of any design would get people to check it out. but the JCFO design includes the most, and most varied, options for people to make memories along the lakefront. Those memories are what are going to get people to not only come once, but also come back.
192	The Monona Terrace is already a destination for events. The "wild lakeshore" plan integrates the greater lakeshore with this already desirable destination. Further, the improved access offers a fantastic opportunity for visitors to enjoy outings before and after events downtown and on campus.
193	For this question, I really like the sweeping lake access shown in the James Corner Field Operations plan.
194	So much easier access to the lake from Monona Terrace and Isthmus would be a big draw to visitors.
195	I think the boardwalk is a huge draw.
196	outdoor venues and lake focused
197	Greater variety of ways to engage the lake and the city
198	All will
199	Creating space for more events downtown will draw more events and people to experience Madison. The causeway paths will be more accessible to all users and the Olin-area improvements will be great for all visitors, including school trips, etc.
200	James Corner's proposal has the most well-developed range of activities and destinations on the waterfront.
201	nicer parks and improved monona terrace should help, with improved views to the lakes. not a big fan of entertainment parks without other opportunities for quiet strolls, but imagine chicago's lakeside parks with entertainment draw tourists.
202	This plan is gorgeous and will draw people.
203	I just think my #1 choice is going to bring people to just enjoy the natural space similar to the Union terrace.
204	I think Madison's Signature Waterfront Park MONONA HILL of the Agency Landscape + Planning proposal could be great resource and draw for the Madison community.

205	The James Corner seems to be the most welcoming and vibrant of the plans. However, part of this is the redesign of the top of Monona Terrace which is outside the scope of this project.
206	Agency because of better connections to downtown.
207	Again, Agency Landscape's bold plan would easily make Madison even more of a destination city by making this waterfront area a destination point and a draw for visitors all on its own.
208	I don't know the answer to that. It will depend on the final project and how we respect and share it with visitors to Madison.
209	The biggest events that I have seen at the waterfront are the ski shows. There are hundreds of people there each show. Having enough room to showcase and comfortably fit all the people for larger events such as the amphitheater or stadium like seating locations seen in the sasaki or JCFO would be beneficial.
210	I feel Corner best grasped the feedback from the various public input events thus far. I do like elements from each of the firms however. I really like the Olin "circle" proposed by Agency, and love their "Monona Hill", but I'm not sure it's realistic. Corner did a great job with their Monona footbridge and pier, as well as the green space around Law Park. I do appreciate Sasaki's emphasis on water quality, but feel that the other elements were lacking. All three should consider including a "destination" restaurant, not just food stands.
211	Unique infrastructure
212	An achievable plan as described above.
213	Same ranking
214	The Agency plan has the most attractive redesign of Olin Park which everyone passes on arrival via John Nolen. The Agency plan will be visible to the millions of people arriving by car to Madison on John Nolen.
215	James Corner - restaurant in plan
216	Agency Landscape, but it also looks like it would be very expensive. Also, it does not take into account traffic changes that will make the waterfront more accessible to residents from South Madison. I don't imagine visitors would care about that.
217	James Corner Field really has it all. I can see myself there, enjoying every season.
218	Seems really beautiful.
219	Visitors aren't going to want to contend with a plan that is all about marshes = bugs.
220	beach, restaurant, walk
221	Visitors will most likely see and care about what is right in downtown more, and this order reflects the impact they will have near capital square.
222	The Agency plan is the most ambitious, interesting, accessible plan that will set Madison apart from other cities. It pulls on extremely successful programs across the nation and places them in Madison, while respecting residents daily needs. The sasaki plan will not draw people to Madison.
223	Madison history and future all in one park seems like what Sasaki will do here.
224	Please see # 2 comments.

225	All are exceptional plans but the Agency plan has a boardwalk spanning the length of Nolen, over the water platform at Olin, and it appears to cap John Nolen by the terrace which is very important since traffic needs to be separated from pedestrians as much as possible for any project.
226	The variety of attractions provided
227	I think the Monona Hill from the Agency Landscape design would attract visitors.
228	While I was otherwise unimpressed with the Agency plan, I do think the monumental "hill" redesign would be a significantly interesting feature of the city that would draw people downtown.
229	Goal should be to make pedestrians and bike friendly place to gather. Not just a busy road with cars
230	Personally, the Corner plan seemed to offer the most visually striking plan with a wide variety of options for all people.
231	Monona Hill would bring the most people down from Capitol events to the park (Agency plan). James Corner's plan has best use of John Nolen as wooded boulevard... this would be a huge draw for visitors driving in to Madison off the highway... to be under a beautiful canopy of shade trees! Wow... that'd be special. But will the city keep up on planting and replanting trees (when they are hit)? Madison streets have way too few trees to attract people. They are barren and hard to walk along to get to the bus. Visitors like green, shady areas more than hot pavement. We have enough of that already (State St., Cap square, E. wash, union terrace all paved and hot and sunny so we can't stay and enjoy as much) That's why my rankings here.
232	I think a lot of people are familiar with Field Operations because of the success of the High Line, and may draw Design tourists. Sasaki is a legacy LA firm, their focus on green infrastructure may bring Madison to a precedent status equal to Portland or Seattle. I think Agency's Hill would be an amazing space, but lacks a waterfront restaurant and boathouse (economic drivers) and the tourism draw that would provide.
233	Expanding Monona Terrace to complete the original vision seems to be a great start toward making the waterfront a regional destination. Adding public venues like an amphitheater and waterfront restaurant spaces also ensure that it will be somewhere visitors to the city want to visit. Madison should future-proof this development to ensure access to the waterfront via the BRT and proposed Amtrak train line extensions.
234	I like the layout of the Corner Field Operations plan better for all users. All of the plans need to provide much better access for sailboats and power boats into downtown - this is something Lake Monona is really lacking - there is absolutely nowhere to tie up a boat and get dinner, explore downtown, etc. Lake Monona has a long history of sailing and there is currently a small sailboat marina at Olin Park. I don't see this in any of the plans. Ideally this marina would remain and there would also be a marina downtown.
235	Sasaki most realistic for activities. Others just throwing out ideas to see what might stick.

236	Agency had a lot of paths to walk on and the Olin Park pier looks really cool.
237	The water walk at Olin is unique.
238	Sasaki for sure, it seems to have a lot of "bells and whistles" per say, that can attract a lot of tourists.
239	More options for all to enjoy.
240	Olin has lake access already. Focuses on Capitol and John Nolen area access to water. Foot traffic areas need lake access.
241	Sasaki seems to be the least transformative of all the plans.
242	Sasaki and the large attractions of Olin Park..the canopy walk and the nature center, would be better for visitors but could also bring car traffic to the sensitive lake area. Would love to see the parking moved across Lakeside St to Sayle / Van Deusen
243	All of them do, but need to look cost/benefit. Some of the ideas in the plans are likely way too expensive to implement- or at least need further discussion. I appreciate Sasaki presentation addressing cost.
244	I believe the Sasaki plans are the most visually unique and appealing of the three plans. This looks like other similar venues in other cities I've visited that have drawn me to the city over others.
245	I have no idea.
246	I think the Walk on Water, the kayak course, the educational center at Olin Park would be big attractions to draw visitors to Madison especially for families with younger children. I think the amphitheatre and island stage could attract an older set, depending on the entertainers, but paired with the Convention Center nearby, it could be a nice space for day events and evening events.
247	Both Sasaki and Agency Landscape have very fun flashy plans with over water walk-ways that would be a draw for visitors.
248	year round activities as well as season specific.
249	It would be welcoming to families traveling with kids.
250	James Corner feels better as a tourist draw, but only barely.
251	I think this design is enduring and beautiful. I think all the designs were lovely in their own way, but this one spoke most directly to the natural surroundings without actually having to overly explain the design with a creative backstory that probably wouldn't end up translating meaningfully into the finished product.
252	I think the greater Nolen coverage makes it more appealing to a visitor. The aspect of the Corner plan that has an area for viewing events on the water is appealing.
253	Sasaki's design seems to have a lot of points of interest along with lakefront that would help provide visitors with things to do while on the lakeshore. The massive amount of new green space with Agency's design would be a draw.
254	I wish someone would have included the Frank LR Boathouse in their design. That would be a huge draw.
255	I think that most visitors are coming for other reasons and then get drawn to the lakeshore for rest, relaxation, recreation.
256	A large park connected to downtown with easy access makes for more fun for both residents and travelers.

257	AL+P's Monona Hill park is a show stopper and would be awesome if they could pull it off. I can only speak from my perspective as an urban design enthusiast but it would make a good case study on accommodating parking needs without sacrificing usable surface area. JCFO's meandering canoe course/island marsh would also be an incredibly cool landmark to have, although its biggest upsides would be limited to those on the water.
258	All of these fall the same for me.
259	The James Corner plan connects the waterfront with the Capitol building, which would be a great draw for visitors.
260	The more beaches the better! James Corner gives me Milwaukee vibes.
261	The Agency plan considers the experience of arriving along John Nolan Dr by converting the roadway into a boulevard, making a significant first-impression on visitors.
262	See above
263	Schools to take field trips to the nature center
264	I think definitely Sasaki with James Corner as a close second.
265	Both plans extend a street/walking path from the Capitol to the Lake Monona lakeshore, which would draw visitors to the lake similar to Memorial Union.
266	Beautiful green space and focus on the all season lake activities, keeping the lakes clean would draw more visitors to them
267	So many cool uses and design aspects to Law Park in particular.
268	This is easy as John Nolan Drive is such an eyesore now and the JCFO plan best fixes that.
269	I think the variety of different attractions created in the JCFO design will attract visitors.
270	Lots of things/activities in in the Sasaki plan. Has ideas for everyday things to do and areas for larger events to attract visitors.
271	The Sasaki plan is my least favorite from a cost/maintenance/construction point of view, but it has the most unique walkway that could draw tourists to the waterfront. I doubt anyone will travel specifically just to see a lake-front trail, though.
272	I think people in the younger generations care deeply about the environment and connecting with nature. Those that will enjoy this for decades to come will appreciate the ability to get up close with nature and know they are helping to combat climate change and flooding with the shoreline design.
273	Sasaki great clean water idea, winter planting, place for fishing green green green plants all great. James Corner Field Operations Big playgrounds, great sitting area near the water, Monona Fishing pier looks good. Agency Landscape + Planning nice boardwalk, nice Olin park circle.
274	None of these seem like destination landscapes. This seems like an opportunity to connect residents to each other and natural systems. That should be enough. Please don't try to capitalize on our lake when it needs healing. Our world is on fire. The extinction crisis is real.



275	I am unable to answer this question. All three plans have "magnet" features that would attract visitors.
276	As I mentioned before my choice brings out the huge asset of the lake for all tourists
277	All 3 equally
278	Uniqueness of raised canopy walk
279	They all have similar amenities, ranked agency last because they provided the least detail on the proposed amenities
280	The Mad-City ski shows are a big draw, regularly getting crowds of 500 - 1,000 to Law Park even though there are no City-provided amenities. Improvements to the park that include a ski show will continue to bring people downtown and Law Park to enjoy local businesses and other Madison amenities, continuing to grow Madison as a destination for locals and visitors alike.
281	While I dont think any will particularly draw visitors in, of the bunch Sasaki was the least offensive.
282	I don't really care about drawing visitors to Madison. I just want to live in a nice city.
283	The mid-century design inspiration is iconic and the boulevards are gorgeous.
284	I love the top 2 plans!
285	Field Operations is important for wetland and waterfront access.
286	I think they are all tied for this one, the park itself isn't going to draw visitors to Madison for very long. They will come to Madison for something else and visit the park while they are there. However, whenever the new parts of the park are being built, obviously the novelty will bring in nearby visitors
287	One again, Sasaki's design would make Madison a destination. The other two would encourage city residents, but are nothing to write home about.
288	sasaki is the most attractive design
289	The James Corner plans are just more interesting and creative. Not that the Sasaki plans aren't I just feel that the JC plans are a little better.
290	more kayaking would be a huge draw
291	I think Sasaki's designs for Law Park would be a huge pull to the area (however, if we're talking drawing visitors, they will likely come in cars and parking is not taken into consideration).
292	I think we should consider this metric the lowest priority.
293	Visitors to the Madison area would like this design
294	It's beautiful and accessible.
295	N/a
296	has many elements that the terrace/bascom have which resonates with users. open and inviting with many economic opportunities
297	Will attract folks for kayaking, lakeside restaurant, visiting outside of Monona Terrace.
298	See above
299	Any of them will likely help to draw more. But is more visitors a valid goal, or should it be draw more area residents to the planning area?

300	Larger beachfront, larger impacted area.
301	How would anyone know this at this point? I guess Agency's has the most touristy looking attractions with the lake walk.
302	same reasoning
303	Sasaki would draw tourism, visitors
304	Restaurant and canopy walk! Plus the story walk would be a great place to take visitors.
305	sasaki has a unique idea and a great way of showing off such a beautiful section of Madison. Allows for both exercise and education and still the most important being out in nature and enjoying all the lake and the land has to offer
306	Fits in well with the community but unique enough to attract visitors
307	Agency is more environmental and safety focused than the others that are tourism and activity-focused.
308	I think the design and the idea of improving lake health will really draw visitors in to learn more and see what it is about.
309	All of the plans would enhance the John Nolen gateway into the city as well as provide some "must do" and "must see" places along our waterfront
310	The "Monona Hill" would be an incredibly compelling visual element. That said, I do not believe it would be as pragmatic.
311	None. Stop trying to put lipstick on issues that need to be addressed 1st.
312	I would prefer to leave the waterfront unchanged. The focus should be on reducing property taxes for our residents.
313	Field Operations clearly has the "brand name" because of their work on the High Line - and that in and of itself may attract visitors. But I think the Sasaki solution is the most authentically Madison and will give visitors the best experience to understand the unique lakefront landscape of our city.
314	See above
315	All created a design which would draw visitors to Madison, but Sasaki's was the most welcoming one in my opinion
316	The green hill would be something special
317	Non residents already come downtown often and the Sasaki plan recognizes those events.
318	while agency is definitely the most attractive, it would take much longer to implement, leaving madison to miss out on tourists in that time span
319	I honestly don't see any project having more than a marginal impact on visitors from outside Dane County, that is ok. It is not like anyone will come here to go swim in Lake Monona, but it will make Monona Terrace a more compelling place to hold events and will make downtown more compelling in general if there is more green space and better transportation.
320	People won't come to Madison for Olin Park or the Causeway, but they will come to be downtown. The options that provide the most pedestrian friendly Terrace area will draw the most visitors, with focus on things like dining, playgrounds, and activities.
321	Agency's is the most striking visually and creates such a versatile space.
322	The Sasaki plan is showy and bold, no doubt. For visitors, it's incredible however I don't think it's the most livable plan.

323	I think JCFO is the most famous, so maybe it will be like the highline.
324	Sasaki's restaurant idea seems like something that will become a destination more than anything in the other plans.
325	Definitely sasaki
326	Fun and attention grabbing
327	Might be cool enough looking to bring in more people
328	offered winter and summer activities. Loved the walking and biking trails.
329	Agency is all about natural beauty and access and the walk on water is a unique feature that would draw visitors. Furthermore, we shouldn't try to replicate what is offered on Lake Mendota. It will be a bigger draw if the lake experiences are different.
330	Incorporate the capitol hill concept with the natural conservation spaces. Think arboretum.
331	Waterfront restaurant, and boat dock access
332	As above
333	If the lake isn't stinky and gross...people will come
334	The stage, amphitheater, and event lawn in Sasaki's plan will attract visitors through the wide variety of events and attractions that can now be held there.
335	Honestly, I don't believe any of the submissions will "draw" visitors to Madison. This whole project seems like a solution in search of a problem.
336	I doubt if any plan will really "draw" visitors
337	Sasaki not only is a large name company that takes pride in promoting its projects and work, but they also understand the value of creating a space that will welcome people for decades to come
338	Sasaki's plan is really the only plan that talks about tourist
339	The big feature hill is eye catching. I think Madison should prioritize resident needs over visitor whims, however.
340	Field Operations seems to have more things for people to do along the whole waterfront, but Agency has the most impressive vision of hiding the hideous traffic. I think of millennium park in Chicago, which is miraculous because all of that traffic and parking is hidden. This project could totally CHANGE the way we think about the lake if we created the hill and softened the waterway, and added destination points along the entire lakefront.
341	Sasaki's canopy walk is unique and pleasing. James is not that interesting, just concrete, a few trees and a beach to be honest.
342	I think Agency's plan will add a lot of public appeal. It will look very nice, and that will encourage people to come check it out.
343	The Sasaki one feels a lot more generic, not unique to Madison.
344	I think it's unique ability to integrate city life with wildlife will bring visitors to our area to experience the best of both.
345	Sasaki really showed some destination pieces that would draw visitors in. JCFO's vision is also unique and would be a draw.
346	An accessible, natural, beautiful lakefront would be most impressive
347	more unique things to do

348	The Agency walkways on the water are very striking and I think visitors will want to walk on them.
349	Sasaki seemed to have the most possible year round activities and event potential. Agency and James Corner also showed potential for various events, but seemed a little more like the current terrace and lakefront activities.
350	The Agency design celebrates the lake in a unique way!
351	The history and tree walks will draw people.
352	Any of these proposals will be a HUGE improvement and attraction to visitors, but Agency's seems the most tuned in to local values. This is no surprise, given its composition, but kudos to a nationally known waterfront designer for engaging local talent well-grounded in the community.
353	Same as my comments from the last question
354	Same as above
355	Noone has a waterfront like this...
356	None of them seem like they will make a big different in tourism. People may visit them while they are here but I cannot believe someone will choose to visit here, over other places, because of this update. This project seems like it really improves resident quality of life most.
357	Sasaki's design reminded me most of the bigger cities I've lived in (NYC and Chicago) and the waterfront renovations they've done, which really impress tourists. That being said, I worry about the upkeep for all the raised walkways both by Olin and Monona Terrace, along with the risk of the walkways and buildings looking dated sooner than the other designs, which draw more on nature-based improvements vs as many structural aspects.
358	Same
359	The piers will be an awesome addition and the ability to have more ways to get closer to the water. Allows for more entertainment. Madison is a great city that embraces being active and being outside. I think this plan caters the most to it.
360	Sasaki has kind of a weird pier jutting out and James Corner Field has a weird spiral, but Agency doesn't ruin the views with odd architectural elements
361	The Walk on Water, while over-ambitious and likely an eyesore for residents, will be a novelty that will definitely attract visitors.
362	The Sasaki plan I feel is the most aesthetically pleasing drawing tourists in

363	One of the things residents and visitors alike love about this area of the city is the sight of the city as you drive in on John Nolen. Agency's plan (and JCFO to some extent) really enhances that. It wraps Monona Terrace in a green bracelet, replacing the concrete congestion that is currently there. Imagine seeing small marshy sections and a winding boardwalk as you enter the city, and you see that winding the entire shoreline of downtown. The city looks so inviting from Olin right now, but once you round that corner on John Nolen you realize you can't actually access any of it until you pull off into the tiny parking lot near the bottom of King street--and there's no parking there and really nothing to do. The Agency proposal offers things to do throughout the 1.7 miles. In addition, it allows all of the people that come to the square an easy way to incorporate Lake Monona into their day (imagine that after a hot day on the square). It also offers places to pull over and enjoy the day from Olin. It is very enticing and an exciting vision.
364	We need to make a statement in this city. Stop focusing on cars and streets and think about water access, water quality and connection to one other.
365	James corner has such a great way about bringing people together. I think more people will be out enjoying the lake, especially with the right access from the capitol.
366	Sasaki is a flashy and likely expensive option that would draw people but might not have as big of a draw factor to residents after going a few times
367	all are unique
368	I think the Sasaki vision seems more in line with the growing city that I've seen Madison become in the last 3 decades. The downtown growth has been huge, and this proposal provides buildings and event areas that will nicely complement our big tourism events such as Ironman, Taste of Madison, etc. As a Madison resident, I prefer the leisurely, nature and water focused (even more contemplative maybe) vision of Agency. I think that reflects our city's heritage and personality better. But I think the Sasaki one feels more "grown-up city," if you know what I mean. I'm not sure I want us to be a grown up city, but we seem to be moving that way. Either of these though, expands the space for us to feel connected to Lake Monona and to each other again.
369	The fact that Field Operations designed NYC's High Line will not be lost on media reports if/when this project is completed. Likewise, Tongva Park in SMCA is another outstanding family park that reflects this history of native people and original settlers.
370	The focus on Monona Terrace as the central investment is still the best opportunity to draw tourists
371	The more unique amenities a space has, the more likely it is to be a destination - the more structured plans from JCFO and Sasaki provide that.
372	Fun yet responsible and beautiful
373	The Monona Terrace area on the Agency plan integrates much better with the downtown area and will draw visitors to expanded lakefront parks and activities.
374	I don't know how to answer this question
375	I'm not sure it will be a draw but it will entice tourism.
376	Believe any of these would draw more visitors to Madison.

377	Keeps the landscape a natural place to go
378	Agency proposal is very creative and will enhance use of Monona Terrace as a venue attractive to many.
379	I was very impressed with all the plans, but James Corner Field Operations hit all the marks. Improving John Nolan Dr. to make it a green boulevard is certainly a standout of the James Corner plan as it sets the tone for traffic to slow down and take in the beauty of the city. The parks and spaces for people to gather encourage people to get out of their cars and explore the city through recreation paths/activity lawns, places to relax such as the hammock area and docks, and gather with friends through restaurant and event space.
380	Agency.
381	I'm not sure any will draw visitors, but the Corner plans offer easy access to a beautiful shoreline.
382	Best traffic to and thru the downtown.
383	I think the Sasaki plan is the most well developed and attractive plan out of the three possibilities.
384	Agency - everyone loves more access to nature. No buildings needed. Sasaki takes away the nature area.
385	I think the JCFO plan provides more "bells and whistles" that would be more likely to bring in visitors.
386	Not sure
387	JCFO has IT...it just does.
388	Visitors don't care or know about plans or grandiose ideas. Visitors will see and engage with what the City actually builds. Select a plan the City can afford to build on a meaningful timeline - not a 30 year plan.
389	Having an amphitheater will mean more big events which will definitely draw in more people than the other plans.
390	Again, anything that focuses on the long term sustainability of the lakes will ultimately be what draws visitors. The water is our greatest resource.
391	Sasaki's design is the most "exciting" to visit.
392	Beautiful space. Makes Monona Terrace an even better space.
393	Same
394	I don't think "drawing visitors to Madison" should be the priority in redesign of a lakefront
395	JCFO brings with it an international acclaim among the design community - their public front would lift Madison up higher and alter the fabric of our urban landscape.
396	James Corner, for sure. Sasaki design is very beautiful as well, and that canopy skywalk is stunning and would be an iconic draw. But the overall concept for JCFO seems pretty grand while still being in touch with nature and also all-encompassing integration of lakefront activities.
397	I think Agency and Sasaki's plans have good potential here.
398	Overall plan beautifies and allows for many community activities for all ages.
399	All the activities encourage out of towners to come in for the afternoon and flood the beach. The second provides the surrounding community with wellbeing overall. Anyone could find a local park like the third.

400	See above
401	Sasaki is the only one to consider "barrier islands" to manage weeds collecting on the shore. Also only one to offer performance space (floating stage)
402	The canopy walk by Sasaki would be great to attract visitors. There are also many opportunities for social events year round with the Sasaki plan, including the amphitheater, ice skating, and fire pits.
403	The Amtrak station in the heart of the city would help. I also like the other ideas of waterfront dining and an amphitheater on the waterfront.
404	The beach area of Sasaki design could be very attractive for visitors
405	Provided details about including the neighborhoods- even though i dont live in the area i go there to boat.
406	Sasaki has the freshest, eye catching design.
407	James Corner is giving Chicago vibes and people will like that.
408	The elevated walkway is striking and distinguishes this design from others.
409	visually strong
410	NA
411	Best concept and most fitting for Madison's isthmus
412	Beautiful lakefront with less visible traffic.
413	Monona Hill concept would be a great way to view lake activities, such as waterski shows, fireworks, etc.
414	No ranking on this question. The goal for whatever is done along the waterfront should be what is best for the residents of Madison. We don't seem to have any problems currently attracting people to Madison either to visit or live. We should create what best suits our community and if we do this well the word will spread and visitors will continue to come and enjoy what our community has created.
415	See above
416	I'm not really sure which will draw more visitors to Madison, but I think the JCFO presentation would make this part of Madison more appealing.
417	This plan creates an iconic destination for all seasons, between a winter market, a beautiful park area, and cafe. This plan seems to be the most specific, and fully developed of the plans listed
418	Sasaki plan has opportunities for waterfront dining. It improves the view of Monona Terrace and downtown Madison. The Sasaki plan also suggests a facility for hosting sailboat regattas. When dozens of sailboats and their crews come to downtown Madison for an event they will need a place to sleep and eat. If we have a facility in Olin Park, these visitors will be just steps away from our downtown hotels and restaurants.
419	I think Agency will actually bring in the most visitors with the proposed Monona Terrace improvements. This creates so much more green space to enjoy the beauty of the lake. Although, I do think the proposed nature center from James Corner and Sasaki will bring in visitors as well.
420	The Monona Hill vision I think is so unique and beneficial to the area, I think it would make Madison into a true jewel of the Midwest and make downtown so much more walkable and enjoyable; in Milwaukee, it's easy to get to and walk the lakefront but in Madison it's much tougher and far less visually appealing. This plan would turn that on its head.

421	Tourists will want to go to a carnival, and so I think they will enjoy spaces with lots of vendors and attractions. Madison residents on the other hand, I'm not as sure.
422	Very important to tie in the existing fabric of downtown to the new. Also, vital to have dedicated bike path through the whole route - any shared path is highly dangerous like the current situation. I wish all the plans used the FL Wright designed Lake Monona boathouse (never completed here) as anchor at the end of John Nolen at Blair Street. The Sasaki design meets most of this. Would be improved with more separation of bike path and with the FL Wright Boathouse at the east end instead of contemporary design.
423	I like the layout best from James Corner Field Operations.
424	Overall, the public amenities in JCF's plan are the most promising in this area. However, Monona Hill from Agency Landscape also has great promise.
425	this plan is more accessible as you can come into Olin Park and not go downtown
426	The Agency water walkway would be a beautiful area to wander along and admire the lakefront. Their design for Olin Park makes it more of a destination. Their design for Monona Hill makes for a natural gathering place in a key destination in Madison. The Sasaki design also has many of these qualities, I just don't like the design quite as much. The James Corner designs feel too commercially-focused to me and not as in harmony with nature, which I think is a key focus/goal of Madison and Wisconsin.
427	Lot of really cool activities in mind with this design.
428	I like how JCFO provides event space that could be used for raising revenue. I think all the plans could be improved by offering dining options that feature locally produced food. No food trucks or food stands please! We could be inspired by the waterfront in Stockholm and other European cities that offer a multitude of dining options along the waterfront, many at a reasonable cost.
429	The AL+P plan has potential to be transformative in a way that the others really don't.
430	The beaches on JCFO and Agency Landscape + Planning are a big draw, but the planned Amtrak station on Sasaki is a major draw for bringing in people across the state.
431	Agency Landscape is easy on the eyes and to navigate
432	I don't think there's anything wrong with Olin Park as it is right now, but the changes Sasaki shows are the least impactful. The only thing I think needs to be fixed is bike and pedestrian flow around and through Monona Terrace.
433	It is stunning, unique and 100% Madison to centralize/focus its attention on the lake, capitol, and parks giving access to all making it that much easier to feel connected and grounded in nature and community with all it has to offer.
434	An amphitheater as a unique venue for concerts will likely draw visitors.
435	Amphitheater and cool concerts could be a draw!
436	Sasaki had lots of performance venues, nice beach/cafe spaces



437	James corners seemed like it would draw in more people with the downtown beach just off the square, the prominent nature center, and the improved urban green space on top of Monona Terrace. This unique aspect of their design really made it difficult for me to pick a favorite project between James Corners and Sasaki.
438	Love the thought of activities --including a beer garden! I think the cache of the benches on the "Broom Street Beach" from the Field Operations plan are attractive.
439	If we want a waterfront fest/touristy vibe, then I think Sasaki's features have a lot going on.
440	Sasaki outlined a plan for increasing community activities, such as the Mad City Ski Team, like I mentioned before. These activities have the potential to bring in more visitors and even keep locals around. For example, I drive 45 minutes to ski for a water ski team in Janesville because the Mad City Ski Team doesn't have a proper site. I bet there would be many other people who would be more likely to stay in Madison if the Monona lakefront allowed for them to participate in activities.
441	The fully connected Walk on Water boardwalk of the Agency plan would be highly appealing to visitors. The massive amount of green space would be viewed as a beautiful oasis. The Sasaki plan is very sparkly and in your face with its multiple elevated walkways, and it would draw in visitors as well.
442	Sasaki seems to focus the most on public event space, recreation, etc., which would likely draw the most visitors though I do worry that the demand and amount of people in these spaces could disrupt Madison locals seeking to commute and lead to unintended consequences. I don't believe that drawing the most amount of visitors should be a significant piece of criteria for consideration over improving quality of life for the average Madison resident.
443	To me it is a tie.
444	The beauty of the trails, the visual beauty of the lake from the piers and surrounding land areas, beach, and playgrounds places James Corner Field Operations first on my list
445	Restaurants / activities on the water is key for attracting visitors, and the Agency plan didn't reflect that. JCFO also had plans to expand Monona Terrace which would help.
446	Obviously a shiny and extremely aesthetically wild design will draw more attention, but I still think the practicality of it all still will have more visitors returning than just leaving after their first trip.
447	JCFO provides a good mix of larger more visitor friendly opportunities, larger public gathering spaces, and more intimate and peaceful spots. Sasaki is definitely busier, with much more going on by the waterfront. while that may drive lots of tourist traffic, i think it's a bit too commercial, and loses the more intimate community feel. Agency seems to be lacking in large gathering spaces, and seems much more like a small community space. that's great, but we also need things to draw in people as we grow as a city.

448	It is only going to add to what is already here and this area has a bike path but this will only draw more people to the area with all the new things for all ages and easier lake access
449	James Corner plan is the most modern looking
450	The ski team draws the most people to law park by far. Incorporating more viewing area for viewers would go a long way. Water ski tournaments exist in other cities, it would be super cool if Madison was able to host one.
451	I think providing unique attractions to madison, with unique views, will provide the most reasons for visitors to come.
452	Saski's plan is focused on creating year round appeal. Whichever season someone visits there would be something to enjoy.
453	Again parking is an issue
454	I think that James Corner Field Operations design gives Madison a stronger connection with nature while opening up the park and Monona bay to everyone. I love Agency landscape + planning's incorporation of the native burial grounds in the area and I think the water walk is a good concept. However both Agency and Sasaki lack the incorporation of nature into the city and the blend between the waterfront area and downtown that James Corner provides.
455	There looks like a lot of potential areas activities year long in the Sasaki proposal. A waterfront restaurant thats easily accessed from DT? Yeah, that's awesome.
456	The visibility and the many activities to participate in that are not available in other convention cities or University towns. This will also be an economical benefit for more economic stability and a benefit when at the negotiating table when signing on conventions.
457	There's much more focus on events and activities
458	Using Monona Terrace as an access point to the lake will draw many more visitors who are already interested in being downtown, rather than pushing them off the square in order to access the lake.
459	People aren't going to come here for a pop-up beer stand. Those can be found anywhere. There are lots of coffee stands in parks that overlook nice things. They'll come here for the nature. JCFO's designs promote that best.
460	Would be a shining manifestation of a large-scale community developments that has been informed by high levels of community input and cultural inclusivity. It could be a rare example for other communities in the USA to visit and reference when revamping their own community spaces.
461	The Agency plan's elaborate hill would be a dramatic long-term result. But that is only a little better than the amenity combinations in each of the plans, and the remote possibility that any of those elaborate amenities will happen soon makes the importance of this distinction pretty low.
462	They are all great in this regard
463	Monona hill would be signature
464	the one that is the best will probably do it
465	The skywalk, nature preserve, all while being along the lakefront. It's a wonderful design and would be a must see for tourists visiting this city.

466	I think the proposed nature centers in each would draw people, but the proposed restaurant, raised walkways, and event/gathering spaces would likely draw more.
467	This provides an attraction for out of towners to come and check out the south waterfront
468	They all seem wonderful. The Agency Landscape + Planning emphasizes Olin Park quite a bit which would also be wonderful. Provide benefit to the south side of Madison.
469	The Agency landscape option will offer the most access from the parts of the city that draw outside visitors.
470	Visitors will come to Madison for the existing offerings (art on the square, farmers market, university, state st., events, etc)... What they will get with a well-designed natural causeway, park, and ample natural areas is exactly what's missing in the rest of downtown... trees, greenery, natural vegetation, places to relax, learn, play in maze of quiet vegetation-dominant paths, beaches, vistas... The plan that offers the most of this missing element downtown is Agency's... this plan would give visitors more than just walking on cement and eating food (capitol and state street)... it would give an added experience that would be memorable to visitors and make them return for more... especially if visitors could count on a peaceful refuge away from the bustle of the rest of downtown Madison.
471	JCFO seemed to have the best connections with downtown, where most visitors would stay, and thus would be a draw to them.
472	Because JCFO's design has so many achievable elements, it is most likely to be fully implemented. A fully realized design will bring in the most visitors.
473	AL+P is the boldest vision with the largest cap over John Nolen and will thus be the biggest draw I think.
474	These teams focused more on creating viewing points for the Show Ski team which is a big draw for people to come to the city. Providing city amenities for viewing the show would draw more people to watch the shows and visit downtown.
475	I think the plan of James Corner Field Operations can draw more visitors to Madison
476	Sasaki's ecological design and cohesive landscape framework are highly commendable for the site, while JCFO has made significant efforts in creating a pleasant and livable environment."
477	Agency Landscape seems too comercialized.
478	The Agency plan appears to be more of a "destination zone" and less of a "living zone." I think it is most important to improve the quality of life for Madison residents; visitors will come to Madison because of the quality of life of the area.
479	The Sasaki is the most appealing
480	signature "instagrammable" moments will help encourage tourism through social media
481	Agency plan's is a stunning visual of what a natural space could look like surrounding Monona Terrace.

482	While all the plans are beautiful, I think Sasaki is the most ambitious in terms of infrastructure improvements. The natural elements offer a very Madison botanical feel to the area and the human use elements are very ambitious to draw visitors. James Corner Field Operations also looks beautiful. Both projects remind me of the Copenhagen waterfront which I think is a stellar model to follow.
483	James corner provided the best use of waterfront shoreline
484	Sasaki is very interesting which will draw people in.
485	It is grand and dramatic.
486	the canopy is super cool and would attract visitors
487	make the town look better
488	new paths
489	The redesigned terrace in the JCFO plans would improve the experience for convention goers and businesses near the capitol
490	I think both James Corner and Agency will draw in new visitors. Sasaki is trying to play on a story too much with no real actual design plans - it would be a shame if we chose them and just added more plaques and signage. We can do that anyways - we need BIG real change!
491	It has a bigger tourist appeal with the story walk.
492	none
493	Ski shows are a big draw to Law Park, regularly getting crowds of 500 – 1,000 spectators even without City-provided amenities. Improvements to the park that include a ski show will continue to bring people to downtown and Law Park to enjoy local businesses and other Madison amenities, continuing to grow Madison as a destination for locals and visitors alike.
494	With elevated walkways, multiple access points on to the lake, and areas for entertainment, Sasaki provides the best experience possible. This plan will bring tourists to Madison's beautiful home in the lakes.
495	1 and 2 appear to have the most opportunities for outdoor recreation that visitors would be attracted to
496	Bringing the history alive will be intriguing for non-Madison visitors!
497	The James Corner team has more visually striking proposal that could draw visitors.
498	My out-of-town family would LOVE to go kayaking on the lake when they visit. I think the kayak course in JCFO plan would be great for them.
499	All of them make Madison an even more attractive destination But I believe the Sasaki proposal is the best.
500	I'd say Agency just because of the irregular circular structure and long board walk, I found that intriguing but I'd be afraid that our lake would swallow it whole.
501	1 is the most "showy"
502	Any of these proposals will be a HUGE improvement and attraction to visitors, but Agency's seems the most tuned in to local values. This is no surprise, given its composition, but kudos to a nationally known waterfront designer for engaging local talent well grounded in the community.

503	The Agency design best connects the downtown to the lake over the largest area. The James Corner design has some flashy areas that may attract out of towners.
504	the dock could be used for a lot of different things - swimming, picnics, sunbathing, walking along the shore, fishing, etc. Great place for locals and tourists
505	I think that Agency's design really gets to the hear of Madison, which is our parks and our lakes and makes it something beautiful on lake monona which is so desperately needed
506	The sasaki design is so unique and like nothing I've seen before on a lake front so I think the uniqueness paired with ecosystem consciousness of the design will draw visitors to come check it out. The James corner design just reminds me of the memorial union and we already have that
507	The first two are more eye catching then the Agency plan. If there was more ideas around protected natural elements in the Agency plan then it would have more potential here
508	The Agency and James plans illustrate a renewed lakefront that seems like it was draw me in, and others like me.
509	Both Agency's and Sasaki's designs add much better connectivity between the shorefront and the Capitol/State Street area, which would make it far easier for visitors to enjoy both aspects of Madison conveniently
510	Similar to Chicago
511	Sasaki has the most types of lake access and the most locations. Agency's plan is the most visionary, but I don't believe it is implementable; it will be value-engineered into something much different.
512	The walkways would be very popular.
513	I think Agency Landscape + Planning's Monona Hill would be a huge draw for visitors. I think all plans do a good job of improving bike/ped transportation, which would be a huge draw to visitors.
514	This plan seems to have the most "destination" feel.
515	same
516	All of the amenities, walking areas, sitting areas, playground. Amazing.
517	The Sasaki plan creates a welcoming and educational environment, which will draw visitors in.
518	I think the Sasaki plan provides more interest to visitors to learn about Madison while enjoying the waterfront.
519	A great natural gateway from the south that will enhance the iconic view/drive/bike into downtown.
520	Sasaki offers the most in terms of environmental benefits, leisure space, and space for events, and I think these are most likely to draw people to the Monona waterfront.
521	Most welcoming.
522	JCFO's plan most fully unites downtown Madison with the lakefront, with pedestrian bridges that feel more like paths than detours
523	The massive terrace overhaul will definitely attract visitors.
524	Best Olin park design

525	James Corner has the most beautiful additions although I think the boardwalk is a cool idea.
526	My ranking reflects the amount of "event" space and the number of facilities (boat house, restaurant) that each proposal provides. More space for events means more events, which bring people to Madison.  I do think that all 3 plans offer unique features that will draw Madison visitors to the Monona shoreline.
527	Sasaki is the most flashy. Good for visitors, not the community.
528	Field Operations has a good balance between the natural environment and uses along the lake. The natural lakeshore will bring people to the lake and with beaches whether sand or pebbles, access for all is accomplished by hard and soft connections into the lake. Concessions and food near lake connections provide food oasis for all users. Safe and accessible access across JND is strong on Field Operations as well as Sasaki's plans. Sasaki has interesting plazas, walks and overpasses that create a draw to visiting the lakefront. All 3 provide opportunities for all.
529	I'm not sure this should be a significant goal of the project; I think we should concentrate on integrating the lakefront into the community - not sure that people come to Madison with the express goal of visiting the Memorial Union Terrace, they come to enjoy multiple things Madison has to offer. Trying to turn the Monona Lakefront into some sort of grand destination is misguided.
530	How are visitors going to enjoy this spot when there is no parking and no clear way to access this place unless you are able bodied and can walk the 1 mile +
531	The Sasaki plan does a good job of proposing realistic amenities that could facilitate events that would bring people to Madison. The best thing to bring people, however, would be a new train station constructed within the expansion to the Monona terrace and integrated into the redevelopment.
532	I do think that this one would be the best for tourism possibly.
533	James corner looks SO cool. Futuristic but still tastefully done, and not bland.
534	The opportunities for residents to get out on the lake in a boat of some kind seems like the most exciting draw.
535	Agency's Monona Hill concept could make that space a destination for visitors. Additionally, setting up Olin to continue to host larger events on occasion as it does today is essential to drawing visitors to Madison. Sasaki's enhancements focus on pocketed areas that could encourage a bit more engagement but seem unlikely to serve as engage destinations for people from out of town. JCFO's plan would likely discourage visitors as it reduces arterial traffic flow and keeps the downtown and south bay area disconnected.
536	The unique features of Agency Landscape's water bridges would make it stand out as an interesting destination. Sasaki's design also has interesting gardens over the water, and a unique Olin Park design.

537	<p>The Field Operations plan seems to have a lot of unique outdoor “rooms”, like the hammock grove, the slides down the hill, and the cove with the restaurant patio. These spaces seem really well programmed and I can easily imagine people seeking out these places.</p> <p>I also think Agency’s Monona Hill could be a big draw for visitors, considering how well it connects the Capitol square with the lake.</p>
538	Sasaki has the story path that would be of interest to visitors.
539	I would have rated them all #1 -- all of them are drastic improvements from what we now have.
540	Leaving the open area alone that's right before Lake Monona provides a scenic view of the Monona Terrace that draws visitors.
541	Seems unique with artistic ways of implementing ideas, I like Storywalk as well for teaching people about Madison in a unique way.
542	i think this plan will make the memorial union the most attractive for conferences
543	The Olin area in the Agency Landscape design looks like a destination spot
544	The Sasaki plan provides more eye-catching visual concepts and unique landmarks that would make Madison a more popular place to visit in the state.
545	The JCFO designs look more spectacular than the other two.
546	Building over JND and adding direct connections to State St. and Capitol Square will make this area the most valuable for visitors.
547	Sasaki’s plan offers lots of cool things to see and do with the boat house at law park, amphitheater/stage at Olin park, adventure playground, floating wetlands, swimming, waterfront restaurant, etc., etc. Truly something for everyone.
548	The creation of new entertainment parks and districts along this stretch of lakefront, as the plans by James Corner Field Operations and Sasaki do, will be big draws for visitors, both from around the area as well as out-of-state tourists.
549	Sadhu had bold ideas like a new boathouse that would open up more events along the Monona shorelines
550	people go to places with lakes to swim and use the water- not to just look at it
551	Because Corner has had major projects in other cities (e.g. the High Line in NY) I think people will want to come to see what they do here.
552	Sasaki is the most unique design and has the best access to the water front. JCF has nice beaches and restaurant spaces as well.
553	James Corner Field is definitely the draw.
554	Sasaki’s plan included infrastructure for visitors to actually get to the parks as well as expanded park space.
555	Agency Landscape provides the Monona Terrace with a significant upgrade, and makes the area around it more connected and useful. The building and its surroundings will be attractive and functional.
556	Field Operations designed a grand plan with an international flavor that will draw people far and wide to this special lakefront city
557	I’m interesting design, beach space and community considerations!
558	I don’t prioritize visitors in my dreams for this enormous project for Madison
559	The James Corner proposal is more focused on serving the neighborhoods and downtown residents than in drawing people to town.

560	J Corner and Sasaki have lots of hardscape and bling that outsiders would enjoy. Canopy walks! Overbuilt viewing areas! I think it is a mistake to attract thousands of visitors unless you can get them here without their cars. If there is train access and reduced parking, then high volume tourism might be okay.
561	I'm not sure any of these plans will lead to a new draw, in and of itself, to Madison. This development will fit in the context of all that Madison offers.
562	None of them
563	the agency seems more flashy and modern, but not as focused on cool experiences throughout to whole of it.
564	Love the Lakeshore Pedestrian Boardwalk, Hamilton St. Pier, and Monona Terrace Expansion, and Woodland Playground
565	Saski had more places with event programming. Lake lounge, performance stage, community center, etc. I'm not a fan of all that. I can go see a band 100 other places. I don't need to see one on the lakefront with music blaring across the water. Would rather have a place to escape all that. But bands, restaurants, water ski shows, etc. all draw visitors.
566	Iconic locations and variety of activities
567	Madison is already a huge destination for outdoor events and fitness enthusiasts. Adding space to decrease the congestion on the bike path along the lake would help in so many ways.
568	The James corner field design has a unique quality to it and a grandness to it that I definitely think will draw more visitors to Madison. Having someone design something here who also designed a major destination like the high line in New York will definitely bring more visitors.
569	While Sasaki's concept of learning about the lake and the history of how the city has been shaped by it is a novel concept, it is too much a one-and-done experience that I don't think will draw people back to.
570	see above
571	The Agency plan geometry will center the Monona Terrace in the downtown skyline, and increase access for visitors to the entire shore along the causeway and Olin park, with less need for cars.
572	Sasaki has some pretty impressive plans, including the large boardwalk and amphitheater. If actually realized, they would obviously be an attraction. James Corner has some similar elements, but seems slightly more feasible? Agency Landscape's design will give people an opportunity to interact with the natural shoreline and wetlands, but in a much different way than the other two.
573	Fairly arbitrary ranking, though the "Monona Hill" concept seems to be the most striking change.
574	Sasaki has the coffee shop on the hill, a kayak house and visitor center which will be a huge draw.
575	The Sasaki plan is the most aesthetically pleasing and offers an excellent array of activities (including a downtown swimming area that appears to be lacking in the other plans), although the James Corner plan is also excellent.
576	The James Corner proposal is more focused on serving the neighborhoods and downtown residents than in drawing people to town.



577	<p>The corner alternative provides multiple sitting areas with restaurants + an amphitheater, these are the kinds of things that will draw people. Having food truck spaces or pop up kitchen infrastructure might reduce the burden on the city to staff and maintain such an area while also providing people with a reason to come out and check out new food options on a recurring basis.</p> <p>The beer garden in the Sasaki plan is a no-brainer given the popularity and success of the one at Olbrich Park. It would be a great way to create a revenue stream for the parks department and help pay for the surely increased maintenance costs that any of these options will incur. Special brewery takeovers might increase draw (will need to be non-local breweries that people actually seek out, nobody is going to come down for a Wisconsin brewing tap takeover or whatever).</p> <p>Our beaches rarely get any use and we have many - the water is disgusting for most of the summer and likely won't get better for several generations. I wouldn't prioritize beachfront for that reason.</p>
578	A reversal of what draws residents to the lakeshore day after day would be ambitious, one-of-a-kind destinations for visitors.
579	Best changes were with Agency's expansive plan connecting with the city.
580	If done right, an over-the-road-and-railroad-tracks park would be awesome, like the NY Highline.
581	James Corner seemed to have a lot of boat launches/rentals and restaurant/beer garden areas - I think this would be attractive to visitors, but not as friendly to locals.
582	The Monona Hill area will be a phenomenal draw to the city and also allows visitors to easily interact with the other aspects of downtown life.
583	The multiuse green space for JCFO is breathtaking. It would attract a lot of visitors!
584	The vision for changing John Nolen into a boulevard is amazing!
585	If Sasaki does build more infrastructure, it could be beneficial to events like the Ironman course in the summer.
586	They all will.. but Sasaki seems to have it figured out the most.
587	Has the best gathering spaces
588	I like the connections to Monona Terrace
589	The only reason for this is that JCFO is already internationally known.
590	Honestly all are excellent and far superior to what we have now - please just do any of these!!!
591	The James Corner plan is groundbreaking and would definitely put our city on the map. Once implemented, this should be a huge draw.
592	Sasaki's plans for an amphitheater, restaurant, birdwatch tower, and accessible public utilities strongly suggest the promise of tourism to me. JCFO's plans for a kayaking course and destination restaurant also sound very promising to me in terms of attracting tourism.
593	With a waterfront amphitheater and Nature Center, there are activities for all ages.

594	Agency's is bold, but it mutes the expansion of Monona Terrace.
595	<p>The JCFO design - with piers, interesting trails, and a covered, traffic-calmed version of John Nolen near the northern extent of Law Park is a good blend of natural beauty and lakefront access.</p> <p>Agency has an extremely ambitious plan to cover all of John Nolen along Law Park, and I like the idea but don't think we can get that budget together.</p> <p>Sasaki is also great. I just don't think the harder shorelines all the way through the site are as interesting and inviting.</p>
596	Very uniquely Madison!
597	Madison, unlike other cities, is a gift in that you get the bustling vibrancy of a thriving downtown as well as the opportunity to immerse yourself and get lost in nature. Sasaki and James Corner Field Operations are the perfect marriage of those qualities.
598	Sasaki is modeled after Chicago which I appreciate.
599	Sasaki's design appeals to the park as a tourist destination for the area and a place for people to gather in the city. I do wish they could incorporate the Frank Lloyd Wright boathouse design somehow.
600	Sasaki has so much everywhere from the terrace, bridges, playgrounds, board walk, fishing, etc seems the most
601	People want eco friendly options, and by focusing primarily on the health of the lake, I think it'll be great for residents, and visitors, to see how beautiful sustainability actually is, and how crucial is it to a city scape.
602	Sasaki focuses on the numerous year-round recreational uses of the area. This plan provides the most benefit for tourism, but misses on the practical use of the causeway for transportation and doesn't address the massive 6-lane highway that disrupts the area.
603	This was very close between JCF Operations and Sasaki. Sasaki has an edge because it does factor in economic features that appeal to people like restaurants and shops as well as beautiful trails and activities.
604	It has a Chicago feel to it. And I love the Chicago waterfront parks.
605	Based on the looks from the preview videos.
606	I would love to take out of town visitors to the Wild Lakeshore if implemented - unique and appealing.
607	The first two will both protect the lake and provide excellent recreation opportunities for visitors to Madison.
608	The more green space interlaced with the beautiful lakes the better for everyone
609	While Agency is best for day to day life of local residents I think JFCO would pull more people to Madison with food, drink, and beaches being included on site at the Terrace
610	There are so many facets to the James plan, that it feels like the nature version of a Chicago park.

611	James Corner has done such incredible projects like Domino Park and Navy Pier, with other notable areas. The notoriety alone would be a great asset to Madison. To include Madison amongst those cities and show we are a city that deserves the funding, care, and attention for these types of projects.
612	I thought James Corner has a beautiful look to it and would be appealing to visitor because of its layout.
613	Nice venues to be developed would be easiest with the James Corner Design.
614	Sasaki has lots of potential activities and event space
615	While i feel that Sasaki's proposed improvement will attract a lot of tourist, I feel that agency's plan will contribute to Madison, WI's legacy of environmentalism. In addition, the design is simply gorgeous without it being super urban.
616	Agency will because they stay in line with Mr. Wrights wishes.
617	They all had nice graphics and ideas for cool gathering spots. I think protecting the lake for the long term will make Madison more desirable to live and visit since you can interact with the water more easily and safely.
618	No doubt people are going to revel at that land bridge design. James Comer looks like it will create a lot of green space that can really be enjoyed (look, trees in the median!)
619	They all will. Madison's lakes will be a draw regardless of the lakefront.
620	Sasaki would be a great small step and James Corner being the giant leap forward. Looks like something we should have built years ago.
621	I am presuming you are asking with a definition of visitors being appreciative of unique beauty rather than the commercial visitor that would otherwise be attracted to the entertainment quality of Wisconsin Dells. Perhaps a better defined "visitor" is needed to more appropriately answer your question.
622	JCFO's boathouse designs and piers will make an accessible and exciting waterfront that will best emphasize's the city's draw.
623	Agency's is a place people will come to experience. Sasaki will become an ecological and educational tour stop for educators and anyone looking to renaturalize municipal lakefront. It will have a draw in an unto itself. James's is a bigger city park plan which is fantastic, but will be a bigger draw to residents than out of town visitors.
624	Don't care about this one.
625	Best access for everyone.
626	Sasaki's or James. Love the elevated bridge in Sasaki's plan.
627	I think their plan is the most beautiful. I researched there other projects and was very impressed with them as well.
628	Again, JCFO had the most diversity of the options
629	Boat house plus public space and incorporating monona terrace.
630	First two are more flashy and have greatest change in available activity/gathering space.
631	Both Agency and James corner are consistent with the look and feel of Madison
632	People will love the play ground and also the water walk.
633	We've got enough people in Madison as it is. Increasing the number of visitors is not something I would want.

634	The ampitheater and better integration of boating with commercial activity--with piers near places to dine, or to stroll along state street, or walk around the park--will be big draws for both locals and out-of-towners.
635	Monona Hill! How great of an idea
636	the story walk is unique and people from afar may come to engage with it. i also this JCFO did a good job with this in bringing in the piers and such.
637	N/A
638	I really have no idea which one would draw visitors though I think we should concentrate on what is best for Madison residents.
639	The Agency plan boldly emphasizes the Monona Terrace. I believe that their plan gives this iconic Madison landmark the respect that it deserves. Instead of working around the architecture like the other plans, they totally embraced it. I think that the Monona Hill Waterfront Park is a genius idea to seamlessly connect the Capitol Square and Downtown Madison with Lake Monona. It is a strong concept and seems like it would become a true Wisconsin destination. I think that Agency's plan for Olin Park is also strong. I think their placement of the beach makes perfect sense. In my opinion, people would prefer to enjoy beach relaxation from Olin Park instead of at Law Park like the James Corner proposal. I also think that the "walk on water" boardwalk is a great idea. It would be an enjoyable stroll and also provides a protected space for learning to paddle. They also said that the boardwalk would be an opportunity for education to share the history of Lake Monona which is a wonderful idea. I wanted to mention that I did really like the "canopy walk" in the Sasaki proposal too.
640	The activities planned for in the Sasaki plan will be a bigger draw. The inclusion of the green space renovation in the Agency Landscape plan would be a landmark draw as well
641	Agency all day
642	I think Sasaki's Olin park design would draw a lot of crowds, especially during things like 4th of July. I think theirs would also allow the most event space and gathering spaces among the three.
643	The parks and nature restoration are the starting point, but the amenities like restaurants, cafes, music, food carts, etc will bring people out at night and make it benefit the community economically and socially.
644	The Sasaki plan really does a great job at thinking of visitors and create places for celebration not just hanging out
645	Corner has the most imaginative adaption of the Olin Park portion with a learning center and use of the Madison Park's facility in the area. Their plan for Monona Terrace enhancements reinvests in an existing community asset with appeal to residents, visitors, and convention trade.
646	Agency project is the best
647	I have to admit that JCFO's pedigree (High Line, Seattle freeway waterfront replacement) inspires confidence, and I think they best understand what makes a sustainable city focal point. It's potentially our best asset, this space.
648	The boathouses, piers and new development are this plan's strengths.
649	The Monona Hill waterfront park is the best idea!

650	Similar to what residents are interested in I think sasaki's plan offers the most to do.
651	I think because I feel JFCO has the most natural solution, people look for that to enjoy the view and that Agency Landscape has an interesting solution with their different paths including the barrier created along the shore with the water path between the bike path and the slow path, it isn't as natural having the structure built out from the shore for so much if the shoreline! Not sure in the long-term cost of maintenance on that!
652	It best incorporates the lake and Monona Terrace.
653	I believe this represents a modern and earth friendly design
654	Unique features like elevated walkways and piers, walk on water features, will be eye-catching.
655	Green terraced park spaces for learning, concerts, art and many other uses would attract both families and businesses to this area
656	Sasaki's plan was "grander" but I question its maintainability. How would users of their design be kept safe from crime, as it seemed to introduce more dark/secluded places?
657	If there could be a combined design of James and Sasaki that would be ideal!
658	I think all of the plans would draw visitors. There's things I like and dislike about all of them. The "Monona Terrace Hill" from the Agency plan is really cool, I loved the "canopy walk" idea from the Sasaki plan, and I really like the Monona Terrace Fishing Pier idea from the JCFO plan.
659	The Sasaki balances striking design with practical greenspace access.
660	big parks will draw people in
661	They are all such improvement!!!!
662	While Sasaki's plan was integral to the people of Madison, JCFO offered something that would have a more of a tourist draw to it
663	All three.
664	While Agency's design is beautiful the lack of attractions won't pull visitors into town. However, I don't know that turning the lake front into Disneyland is in the best interest of nature.
665	Sasaki's is most unique, while JCFO reinvigorates the Terrace best (though Agency's Monona Hill is an excellent idea.)
666	The overall grandness of JCFO & Sasaki will attract visitors with the beauty of green space, uniqueness of design, and modern/futuristic sustainability for the 21st century
667	James Corner Field Operations had a fantastic response to Madison's historic plans and present day conditions. And they have the most technical experience with these huge projects.
668	I think the size of available space in the park and on the shoreline is the biggest priority so I have ranked them by how much space I think it would be creating in that area.
669	Too many lanes per direction. Nobody will want to hang out here because it will be TOO LOUD and TOO DANGEROUS because there's TOO MANY CARS!
670	Again, all three would attract visitors to Madison.

671	I think James Corner has the flashiest plan that would make for good looking advertising and instagram posts, but that's not necessarily what you want every day.
672	na
673	Sasaki with its water front restaurants and playgrounds
674	I think all three of these plans have a pretty equal potential to draw visitors.
675	I have no idea what visitors might like.
676	Visually interesting
677	The Agency Landscape + Planning by removing the MT parking structure makes it harder for outsiders to access the downtown by reducing car access, the most likely way visitors are to come unless the light-rail or Amtrak stations get built on the isthmus and after decades of seeing that promised I don't hold hope that those alternatives will bear out.
678	waterfront restaurant
679	The proposed Monona Hill could be a staple Madison Landmark.
680	Again, both JCFO and Sasaki are close, but Sasaki has done a wonderful job with the proposed buildings, which is why this is my number one for this category. JCFO's beaches and trails are great, but Sasaki's vision of proposed buildings and amphitheater are quite striking. Though, I question the amphitheater's location on an island in the lake with one access point - is that practical or safe?
681	The Corner plan offers the most attractive and distinctive landscape to reshape the lakeshore providing excellent vision for a park space to attract visitors.
682	Visitors will come once to explore the area but if it does not seem safe from crime they will not come back.
683	Don't know. Isn't this about what would be best for Madison?
684	I think each will attract visitors; my preference is Sasaki
685	I saw more venues for larger gatherings in my rankings
686	All comments above
687	JCFO has more options. Kayak run, boating piers, beach, walking trails theater and restaurant.
688	Providing more options for residents to enjoy our Lakes will draw people from other counties to Madison and improve local tourism.
689	Sasaki has the most educational additions, making it a giant outdoor museum
690	The lake monona fishery is world class in many ways and in order to improve and sustain, water quality and habitat restoration is imperative.
691	See previous...
692	more space and focus for water sports would be a big draw in my opinion
693	Sasaki provides the easiest access to the lake from the Capital.
694	The Sasaki plan included the most diverse array of activities in many areas of the waterfront. The interesting activities, restaurant, event space, beer garden, and food truck area are most likely to draw visitors. The James Corner Field Operations plan also has a lot of these activities. The Agency plan has the least.
695	Visitors/tourists tend to be drawn to "splashy" presentations.
696	More things to do. More opportunities for events that would attract visitors.

697	James Corner has both clarity of vision and appropriate experience to make it happen. Not a missed beat in their plan or their reassuring delivery and approach tonight 1/26/23.
698	JCFO will enhance use of Monona Terrace, provide a downtown destination, add new venues for dining, recreation, etc. I wish we would have started on this 20 years ago.
699	Monona Hill is a historic redefinition of the Madison skyline and would really level-up our green space and urban vision.
700	James Corner & Associates have created iconic public spaces in the U.S. and internationally. They are most likely, in my opinion, to create a nationally-significant and iconic waterfront park. They may best understand the funding and implementation parts of this. Please (by the way) consider corporate sponsorships (see Millennium Park, Chicago).
701	The James creates overall excellence and would draw eyes to multiple locations at one time
702	The Sasaki plan is bold and visually stunning - it would surely be iconic for generations. Aesthetically, truly a home run.
703	The environment is truly what draws everyone here - Sasaki seems committed to improving and preserving it.
704	Looks to be the most thought out design
705	We have enough visitors
706	Top two seemed to have more focus in downtown area where more people visit.
707	Agency Landscape & Planning's plan is not as ambitious nor does it have as many attractions and amenities as James Corner Field, which attempts to make the Monona lakefront an unparalleled destination akin to the Chicago Riverfront or waterfronts in Seattle & San Francisco.
708	A sledding hill and beautifully designed boardwalks of the Agency plan would have the greatest impact on tourism.
709	Downtown Madison and UW bring many, many visitors here. The Sasaki plan will be a draw for visitors, and I will certainly make use of this area for my family and our visitors.
710	JFCO's plan is the most realistic and could provide the most value for residents/visitors.
711	When people think of Madison, they think of the terrace. The terrace is so high populated that having another outdoor stage area and event space will allow people to kind of spread out. It is also the most appealing and driving into the city will make people want to go over there to check it out.
712	Agency. Hands down. None of the others compare. The 8 acre park along would be a HUGE draw and something that will really improve the city and its connections, views, and uses. It will activate a part of the city that hasn't always need accessible.
713	The juxtaposition of nature and city is always intriguing.
714	Please see comments in 2 above.
715	This is the number 1 iconic view of Madison. The Monona Hill concept is the only one that truly transforms and enhances that snapshot, while concurrently offering more peaceful activity space.

<b>Q10</b>	<b>Which plan are you most willing to be involved with to further develop? Please provide comments on your ranking.</b>
Comment #	Q10 Responses
1	I just really like the James Corner Operations proposal, and feel it will be the most environmentally sound and natural.
2	I would love to be involved with a plan to make sure people on bikes and on foot are prioritized over cars. Plans to split up bike traffic and pedestrian traffic because bikes move too fast completely miss the point. Cars are the problem in the project area, not bike/pedestrian mixing.
3	I am on the board of Friends Of Starkweatger Creek and I can speak on all of our behalf we most definitely would want to be a part of this .
4	Developing in Olin Park would be an ecological tragedy.
5	I love gardening and the idea of planting rain gardens and expanding the wetlands to improve the lake's overall health sounds like a great way to get community involvement
6	Any of them; each would be a great improvement.
7	Looks be the least invasive
8	Oral presentations, video, display materials, graphics, & connections to diverse communities
9	I would be most willing to be involved in further developing this project because families of surrounding neighborhoods would benefit from a waterfront that is connected and allows for slower paced travel to areas of year round community recreation opportunities.
10	All of the plans are amazing and will definitely be a welcome addition to Madison. Sasaki and James Corner make me the most excited to be involved in the growth and transformation.
11	A few other comments. The Agency plan to 'revive the marsh' is full of trees. That would be a swamp, not a marsh. All of these plans seem to be much more costly and build out into the lake more than necessary. They look good on paper, but all have aspects that may not work well in reality. Whichever plan is chosen should be revised based on public comments and priorities
12	We need to keep traffic flowing on John Nolen Drive.
13	Honestly, all three options are good
14	I would be willing to work with all of them.
15	I fully support improving the area along Law Park and improving the causeway. I want to eliminate most of the proposals for Olin park. While capitalists see a need to expand the use of Olin park, I see a treasure; a place to relax and enjoy the city skyline.
16	Too much for me to get involved.
17	I like ALP best and want to provide input on the use of green spaces.
18	Frankly, I'd get involved with any of them as any of them would be such a great improvement and add so much to that part of Madison. I've seen in other cities what improvements like this add and this would be great for Madison.



19	I'm a student at UW in the Department of Planning and Landscape Architecture and would be willing to become involved in any way I can for the James Corner project.
20	All have good elements: the green bridge of JCFO, the design aesthetic of AL+P and the Ecology and historical respect of Sas
21	Agency landscape and planning has a genuine great effect and I definitely want to see it in my Wisconsin.
22	As a longtime member of the Madison Speed Skating Club, I would love to work with the planners to accommodate skating into the winter activity plans.
23	I would be most interested in participating in the Sasaki project as I study environmental science, and believe my areas of interest align with the goals of this project, specifically their initiative to support amphibian habitat, as these species are often overlooked.
24	We would like to be involved no matter what plan is chosen, but we ranked in the order of preference
25	I would provide time and energy to help Madison become an even better place to live- and I think the developing the Monona waterfront will help to do that!
26	see above responses.
27	Agency's plan has a very exciting and visionary concept, and doesn't attempt to fill in the details that logically should be decided by the community down the road. JCFO's plan is more detailed, but largely breaks up the area into various spaces that could be shaped by the community. Sasaki's plan should be scaled back to a bigger picture, and the details should be filled in by the community later in the process.
28	Either Field Ops or Agency would work for our community and generate enthusiasm and be able to raise funds. We will help!
29	I would support the design of a space that improves the health and beauty of the natural lakefront while creating useful, all-season spaces for transit and enjoyment.
30	<p>I rated JCFO last because of the ego and hubris of the head of JCFO. He jetted in the day of the final presentations, called Madison a "little town", showed a photo he took of Lake *Mendota* from Observatory Drive, called John Nolen Drive "Nolen", and called us "Wisconsinians". He projected a strong attitude of superiority and appeared to think we should be honored by his presence. I doubt he and his firm would be responsive to Madisonians' desires or understand our values and pride we already have in our city. I would not want to be involved in a project involving his firm.</p> <p>I'm excited about Agency's plan and the people I spoke with from the firm. They had a friendly, welcoming attitude when I asked them questions about their plan. Their vision is the best combination of feasibility and attractiveness, and I would be excited to be involved in developing it further.</p> <p>I would want to be involved in the development of Sasaki's plan to have a shot at changing the look of their ugly South Hamilton overlook.</p>

31	I'm voting for the Sasaki. It seems to have the most lake access and piers in its plan. Most important need is for public docks for Madison boaters to have access to parks and business especially with how much boaters provide financially to the parks through passes and licensing and support local lake front businesses
32	Agency plan is the clear winner, imo.
33	I'd be more than happy to do whatever is needed for any of these plans.
34	They seem like the most exciting opportunity.
35	No additional comments.
36	Makes me feel motivated
37	All good plans. Easy connections are important downtown instead of having to stop traffic. Beaches are important too.
38	Agency most clearly connects the city with the lake.
39	I'm a fan of beaches and walkable gardens
40	I think they would all be spectacular improvements to the current waterfront.
41	All would be much better than what we have now. The one that benefits the health of the lake, nature and wildlife is ideal to help people understand their connection to mother earth. I also prefer connecting back to the Native American tribes and their way of life, not anything without bringing their presence to the new area full circle to what a beautiful place it once was.
42	I would help on any of the plans. None makes me more likely to help
43	Sasaki aligns with how I and many others I know enjoy the lakes
44	I dont know what I could do to be involved.
45	I think the James Corner Fields operation has the best mix of features to really transform the lakefront. The only thing is that I would like to see more work on lake runoff similar to the Sasaki proposal, having retention ponds, bioswale, water quality structures and features to collect debris in water.
46	I think the JCFO proposal best reflects, respects, and enhances the way people currently engage with this waterfront. With some additional work on the causeway, their plan would be outstanding.
47	This is a difficult survey as it requires significant time to review all of the proposals. That being said - I prioritized my rankings based on the various uses of the area and the inclusion of Ho-Chunk voices in the process and future plans.
48	Questions are repetitive but the agency landscape plan lacked sufficient detail
49	I would love to provide feedback about making sure the proposals feel safe for pedestrians and cyclists (as in, protected from cars).
50	I would be interested in being involved with either Agency Landscape + Planning or Sasaki's designs as I feel both are a strong starting point for meeting the needs of Madison.
51	Whichever one will provide piers for boaters to use (moor at) to gain access to downtown Madison, including its restaurants.
52	Love the approach to making decisions with the data they received from actual input. Feels much more transparent about the "why" of their design. Greater focus on accessibility (not just a reference to being accessible for all). Loved their awareness of & attention to Ho-Chunk.

53	Agency seems to present fewer crazy things that I dislike, and a more naturalistic approach.
54	The top two rankings both prioritized nature restoration balanced with recreational activities.
55	Madison is gorgeous, but it's increasingly becoming a concrete jungle. I'm most interested in Agency because it retains function while increasing green space and hiding our ugly but necessary infrastructure.
56	Same!!
57	I love the Monona Hill concept, but the rest of the Agency proposal seems to be lacking. JCFO is a well-thought-out, phased approach that can serve as a guideline for investment throughout the next 20-50 years
58	I don't live anywhere near downtown, so I'm out.
59	Plenty of new beaches would be a great add for downtown
60	Same as abi e
61	I like the JCFO plan for the reasons stated above, but am concerned about lack of parking and the narrowing of John Nolen.
62	Love the access to lake and visual impact of this plan.
63	I'm not really the type of person who has the time or inclination to participate in this type of planning.
64	This design encapsulates both urban life and escape to nature. Proven project work by the team and experience living in Manhattan while the Highline was being redeveloped draw me to this design plan.
65	The above choices are not mine. I want to choose "None of the proposed plans but you do not give me that choice!!!!
66	The Sasaki team had great energy during their presentation and I can see myself getting involved because they seemed to truly want to listen.
67	Sasaki seems to have listened and responded to the comments lent from residents so I would be most willing to work with them moving forward.
68	I'd be happy with either the agency or the sasaki plan. I think both of them do a fantastic job. Please do not go with the James corner plan, it seems to do nothing but create a huge amount of concrete next to the monona terrace.
69	I prefer Sasaki because it leans towards a self-sustaining environmental focus with a lot of interactive activities and hubs. I believe it would add to the view coming into the city as well, which I don't see from the James Corner and Agency designs.
70	I'm especially interested in disability access and ecosystem relationship. I've worked in disability care for 15+ years and have had many experiences dealing with accessibility issues for my clients all over Madison.
71	After 34 years, I am relocating out of Madison but I still love it and this project is a vital importance
72	Sasaki seems more in tune to the community's uniqueness by honoring the land, the water, the people and being inclusive to all. It also flows nicely and has ample bike paths!
73	Again this one seems to encourage movement. Maybe I'm wrong but it seemed like it is devoted more to plantings.
74	None

75	I guess any of them really, I think just revamping that side will make people happy in general
76	Incremental improvements of any plan would be great.
77	Can't get the buttons to work.
78	On every question, I like Sasaki's approach.
79	I do not reside in Madison but visit frequently throughout the year. Question: is parking going to be addressed - bikes, cars, motorcycles?
80	We are very excited to collaborate on further developments! Our team is very invested in the future of Law Park since we are its primary users.
81	One big question that remains is the cost of the project which will need to factor into the final decision. Also, since this is a multiyear phased project, we want to make sure the selected team will be around for the duration and will continue to work with Madison until project completion. Again, all plans seems worthwhile. Thanks for asking for community input.
82	If you could pick and choose parts of each one, I would do that (the lakeshore/some Olin Park areas from the James, the north/east of the Monona Terrace from the Agency)
83	Seems like all of these are workable right? I like Sasaki in its current form the best but could certainly work with the others to scale down or reconsider some of their suggested damage to the existing environment. I don't like all the walkways that protrude out into the lake- do we need that many to enjoy the lake? Not to mention how these will hold up to some of the big waves. So if some of the other designs are willing to adjust those, they would probably be more interesting to me!
84	All
85	I have always disliked the concrete wall along the Monona Terrace shoreline. While MT has much to offer the huge amount of concrete detracts from the natural beauty of the shoreline. I would love to see that rectified and be a part of that process.
86	3.
87	Not sure what "involved means.
88	Preference to not alter FLW designed Monona Terrace substantially, still have access to water, amenities, improve water quality
89	I am a teacher and could organize student volunteers to help with plantings.
90	Most plans appear to be roughly similar, so ranking is based primarily on professional presentation and aesthetic differences in the design.
91	No coment
92	Helping planting
93	Agency Landscape + Planning is the most exciting prospect for greener spaces in the city, and is environmentally oriented. To be a part of contributing to that would be a privilege.
94	I believe in this design. I think it will bring our community together. And make for a much more beautiful monona lake front.

95	I think something needs to be done to improve the lakefront to improve quality for wildlife, endangered species, water quality, etc. as nothing has been done for a very long time. Things have changed quite a bit in the Madison area. This is one area that I think has so much potential but is somewhat wasted and limited right now.
96	I liked both Agency and Corner plans and would help with either
97	Great concepts but do not have time to offer to plans that have no dedicated funding.
98	Thank you for asking for our input
99	Just overall like agency plan. I do like the addition of a boat house for the lake tho.
100	I love how Sasaki and James Corner Field prioritized accessibility to the lake, which to me is one of the greatest underutilized assets of Madison. I also love Sasaki's incorporation of a lakeside restaurant — Madison is seriously lacking in lakefront restaurants, and this could be the beginning of shifting towards that trend.
101	Agency's plan just really leaves me cold, sorry.
102	Could also contain permaculture elements...
103	All are beautiful. Liked that one considered space in downtown blocks from lake too, would be nice to include that in whatever one is chosen.
104	I would like to attend community meetings on this development.
105	Seems like the best option long term.
106	The James Corner project truly does capture the spirit and essence of Lake Monona, the community, and our needs.
107	I am a proud Madisonian, and seeing the plan of Agency emboldens that feeling tenfold. They have presented a most excellent option and it inspires me the most out of the three to get involved with.
108	JND is right behind my house. I'm willing to be involved as it's a huge part of our future and my children's future.
109	I like the feel of #1. It feels like a unified park along a lake, with lots of access to greenspace and the lake, with lots less asphalt. RE: #2, I like the distinct areas as places for groups of people to gather, to kick back and enjoy the lake and life, with "pier areas" I'd like to see these two plans combined somehow. The trees on the causeway however is overkill vegetation and non-sustainable. That said, what all these plans lack is a viable way to tamp down the thunderous traffic noise on John Nolen.
110	I want to make sure that what we create is big and bold. Not a small amphitheater (I would ask to increase that stage size). Not a small beach area. Sasaki has a nice large area above the road that seems like the perfect size, however. Also, the layout is simple and just makes sense in terms of a people enjoying the area and getting around. I'm looking for big and bold entertainment areas and pathways for people and I see that the most with Sasaki, but I would actually like to see some areas even expanded more in their plan.
111	As the primary users of Law Park, the entire team is excited for this process and committed to continued involvement.
112	I'm not interested in being involved further.

113	It's nice
114	I just really like a lot of aspects of their plan.
115	I grew up smack dab in the middle of this plan (lakeshore court) anything aside from the Sasaki plan would be an atrocity to what that neighborhood, history and natural space represent.
116	I like the simplicity of Agency, but would be ok with Sasaki's plan too.
117	Agency would be the most important to me to contact as many community members to our lake and downtown as we can!
118	Lived in Madison over 30 yrs. Now moved.
119	I'd be willing to get involved with any of them.
120	I will bike around the lakes any day! These designs just increase the value of the experience.
121	My comments above on the more realistic aspects of the plans.
122	Excellent job to all design teams for incorporating the history of the indigenous people's use of the lakes, and how the lake front has changed as Madison has changed.
123	Not sure what involvement this would entail
124	James Corner seems embarrassing. I think their design does not reflect the ethos of Madison or nature-integration and will be outdated quite quickly. It will stand out in a terrible way. No change is better, in my opinion.  Agency seems to embody the ethos and incorporation of nature that Madison is known for. I would be happy with that choice.  Sasaki has good ideas - but holistically lacks a lot of local inspiration.
125	Same reasoning as above comments.
126	I feel the more simple the design the easier it is for me to get involved.
127	I'd be interested in being involved with any of these plans
128	There needs to be more area to sit to watch the ski team on Sunday evenings and this is a great FREE activity for families!!
129	The Agency plan provides lots of opportunity for various community engagement as it begins to be implemented.
130	Same as mentioned above.
131	I am interested in being part of the discussion regarding decisions about the implementation of the plans.
132	All of them! I would love to be a part of this process!
133	I am passionate about music venues, creative educational spaces, and four season activites.
134	If JCFO is selected, I would be very interested in making sure the plan preserves as much existing nature as possible before developing the land. Sasaki is unrealistic.
135	Sasaki specifically dedicated space to the Mad-City Ski Team, which I would highly support going forward.
136	I am most excited by the Agency Landscape + Planning proposal, and would still enthusiastically support the James Corner Field Operations proposal. I think the Sasaki proposal is light on specifics and heavy on telegraphing virtue.

137	I don't know what further involvement would entail.
138	As the primary park user of Law Park, I am excited for the process of working with the firms to continue improvement for all. Agency and Sasaki especially have already shown willingness to meet and talk with the ski team, which shows they care about how the community will react and makes me excited to be involved in the process.
139	Something MUST happen here! It's such an underutilized part of our great waterfronts, and could really shift the visibility of our city, while prioritizing access and non-auto forms of transportation.
140	The JCFO design is by large my favorite of the 3 and hits on my 3 major criteria: Lakefront access, Environmental improvements, Ability to use the lakefront. The Sasaki design hits on the environmental aspects, and but falls short on improvements to lakefront access. The ALP design focuses on access to and the ability to use the lakefront, but puts too much emphasis on aesthetics and didn't feel grounded in what is realistically possible. (Also, what is with that huge circle?)
141	I'm very excited by the efforts to improve the Lake Monona Waterfront. Regardless of the plan chosen, I would in fact be eager to apply my skills in creative development, marketing, communications, and/or project management if there was a suitable opportunity.
142	I ranked Agency Landscape + Planning at the top for its vision for the causeway and John Nolen Drive/Monona Hill. I feel that this vision makes the most sense for how vehicles, cyclists, pedestrians and others likely will need to use this busy corridor to access the city well into the future.
143	Agency has the most comprehensive plan. I am willing to be involved.
144	Remove Agency Landscape from the conversation. They suck
145	I support the effort to adjust king street and other roads and buildings to create access.
146	I would be interested in being involved in all three. I currently use law park and Olin for nature walks, biking, watching the ski shows, visiting Monona Terrace
147	Best for bringing more families to enjoy the outside and the lake
148	Agency and Sasaki were the most engaging teams, but I am personally committed to involvement in any future plans.
149	Agency's green waterfront is the most attractive to me, but it is heavily reliant on transforming Monona Terrace. James Corner's proposal appears to be the most practical and implementable. Sasaki's proposal is the most theoretical and does not get into specifics, and has too many overhead structures.
150	I already volunteer and support marshes, rain gardens, prairies and parks. will happily support more green and indigenous education/events, as well as birding and paddling opportunities, and outdoor concerts.
151	#1 is my favorite.
152	I think combining the greenway ideas of the James Corner Field Operations plan with MONONA HILL of the Agency Landscape + Planning proposal would make a beautiful and environmentally friendly community center for Madison residents
153	Would be willing to be involved with James Corner and Sasaki plans.

154	Any of these are great.
155	For living close by and using the waterfront almost daily, being involved is important as it has a big impact on my future there.
156	You should have included an option for "All". I will support whichever agency is selected, as the ultimate goal is to improve Madison.
157	Anything that meets those basic goals for ped/bike separation, a better Olin/Turville and a quality lakefront experience by Monona Terrace, and is achievable.
158	Same ranking
159	Love the Agency plan but again is it achievable?
160	Overall, I think the Sasaki approach is best and it also recognizes that this is probably best in phases, not a one and done.
161	I know little about landscape architecture.
162	Not a fan of the SASKI plan. The other two are good options.
163	I'd volunteer as much as i could.
164	There are key elements missing in all 3 designs. Accessibility to the diverse communities in Madison. Non-motorized boat usage of the lake, rowing, sailing etc.
165	i'll chip in would also be involved in botanical gardening efforts
166	This is the order in which I would like to see put into action.
167	I feel positively about Agency and Corner. Sasaki was not innovative or even very different from what we have today, apart from the emphasis on shoreline health, which all the plans consider.
168	The agency plan prioritizes accessibility and biodiversity.
169	Sasaki will likely be more likely to reflect the community with quotes and memories. I want to see myself and my neighbors reflected in my park. I'm more likely to be involved if I feel like I fit in.
170	I don't have time available.
171	How do I get involved? I would love to be more active in this process as it unfolds.
172	Na
173	Although close, my preference is for the Corner plan
174	I like the layout of the Corner Field Operations plan better for all users. All of the plans need to provide much better access for sailboats and power boats into downtown - this is something Lake Monona is really lacking - there is absolutely nowhere to tie up a boat and get dinner, explore downtown, etc. Lake Monona has a long history of sailing and there is currently a small sailboat marina at Olin Park. I don't see this in any of the plans. Ideally this marina would remain and there would also be a marina downtown.
175	My demographic is too old/white to be a dominant voice for this.
176	Same reasons as mentioned above. The engagement from 1-3 shows my interest in the 3.
177	Olin has lake access already. Focuses on Capitol and John Nolen area access to water. Foot traffic areas need lake access.



178	Pier jutting into the lake is too large and too much for the setting and visual landscape
179	I like the Sasaki one the most, and to make it come to life would be something I'd like to see.
180	I'd probably want to be involved in any of them, as they would all impact residents of Madison.
181	I would combine the best features of all proposals and not take any one outright. Good luck!
182	I see great potential in James Corner Field Operations, but it needs more Madison input. Sasaki already has that input, but may need some pieces changed or altered to fit into our budget.
183	The Agency plan seems like it is much more of a subtle plan, that I assume would cost way less than the others and still have a positive impact.
184	Seems to be the most polished.
185	I am far from expert on this stuff.
186	I'll help in whatever way is needed
187	I'm excited for any progress on this area and think any improvement along James Nolan can only be a positive thing for the city!
188	They're all interesting.
189	I would probably be willing to help with any of them as I am a heavy user of lake monona in many ways.
190	All three plans pose exciting prospects. I do think Sasaki stands far above the other two.
191	Ranking these was difficult since they are all strong ideas for the lake front: increasing pedestrian space, restoring lake health, boardwalks, piers, more green space around the Terrace, making Law Park more park like.
192	AL+P is my favorite, so I'm the most enthusiastic about it. James Corner is the most feasible fiscally and structurally which I like, but it needs the most help out of the 3 because it's the least tethered to the community.
193	Loved them all
194	I'm retired. My days of active involvement are behind me.
195	I think this is an incredible initiative and really looking forward to the end result. But remember having access from the water, not just the land
196	They all seem reasonable to work with
197	Emphasizing the history and the nature of the lake front is important to me and I feel that sasaki does that best out of the plans
198	Sasaki has a lot of potential, but the Law Park lake front needs some help with the multitude of uses crammed into one space. The ski team has particular needs that aren't fully represented there or would be impacted by the shape and scope of some of the shoreline design.
199	There are elements of every plan which are stronger than others. I think the first order of business is to mitigate the problem of John Nolan drive which is a barrier. Then build the amenities that will draw people.
200	We'd be willing to be involved in any plan selected.

201	I am personally the most excited by the JCFO plan, but I would be happy to be involved in any of the plans (I like them all!)
202	This is a great opportunity to reshape and improve our community and although the plans look great although Sasaki is my favorite Agency is a close second.
203	The plan I personally support is the James Corner plan. I'm not quite sure what you mean when asking about my future involvement, I don't work for the city.
204	I am so excited for this project as it will make nature more accessible to all.
205	Sasaki great clean water idea, winter planting, place for fishing green green green plants all great. James Corner Field Operations Big playgrounds, great sitting area near the water, Monona Fishing pier looks good. Agency Landscape + Planning nice boardwalk, nice Olin park circle.
206	I realize that Madison is unlikely to listen to residents and has already made it's selection. I am unwilling to engage in this bureaucracy.
207	Although Sasaki did a careful job of interviewing vested interests, I did not see that they were planning to engage the community in execution.
208	Must have more fishing access
209	I took an interest in these plans as an architect, but I live in Monona. I would be happy with any of them
210	As the primary users of Law Park, the entire Mad City Ski team is excited for this process and committed to continued involvement.
211	Best integration of Lake Monona and south madison
212	I love the top 2 plans!
213	Field Operations is our favorite
214	Again Agency wins hands down for me!
215	I really like the Agency plan and don't really like the other two
216	Sasaki is far and away the best. Agency is runner up, very nice, but not world-class. I do not like Corner's design at all.
217	I think the JCFO design has the greatest returns to our community (recreation, connection to downtown, and restoring wetlands and improving the health of the lakes). The other designs prioritize aspects that are far too expensive to be realistic.
218	I'd love to help in any way as a community member.
219	Willing to participate in tree and flower planting, whatever I can do to help
220	Very Greening
221	Liked "Agency's" focus on nature.
222	I am an avid waterskiier and I believe that investing in teams like MadCity and keeping a public access slalom course will not only create a unique community flourishing but also contribute to efforts to keep the lake clean for people in the water
223	Agency's is the most ambitious and if that type of investment is being put into the waterfront it could be channeled to fixing the actual problems. JCFO seems to be the most committed to reducing car trips on JND.
224	i'd rather leave it the way it is than add another terrace that won't get used

225	I do not live in Madison. It grew up in Madison and attended UW-Madison so visit on a regular basis.
226	Not really interested in being super involved but I can certainly give opinions as a biker and runner.
227	Sasaki brings the spirit of Madison out
228	I could see myself involved with any of these since I frequent the lake often.
229	I support the City in whatever choice is made and would be interested in being involved in further development of any of the designs presented.
230	I believe JCFO is the right plan for Madison, and would love to see it come to fruition.
231	None
232	I would prefer to leave the waterfront unchanged. The focus should be on reducing property taxes for our residents.
233	I was really excited by the Sasaki team's energy, and they felt like a group that would truly listen to the needs of the community and enthusiastically take our feedback to continue to iterate through the design solution.
234	Let's move quickly. This is our front door!
235	I think Sasaki is the best plan. However I would discourage the plans to create public beaches. Unless the city somehow gains the power to eliminate agriculture runoff from surrounding communities, beaches are just going to be a waste of money.
236	Collaboration is key and it seemed like Sasaki's initiative to speak and work with local community members far exceeded the others
237	I care most about the health of the lakes
238	I really hope that we get an overhauled lake but don't personally have the time to get involved.
239	I don't think you can go wrong with either Sasaki. Without deep diving, I would be pleased with either, or would like to cherry pick the best parts of each one!
240	Love it
241	Every city needs strong community
242	I like how feasible Sasaki's plan provides. It feels that we can start the phase 1 soon!
243	Agency but would also financially support if James Corner is selected.
244	Waterfront restaurant, and boat dock access
245	I would contribute financially and vote for it
246	Thank you for approaching this project.
247	The issue facing all humanity right now is how to make us bow to the needs of the earth. WE MUST learn about our own greed in our living preferences. Using less light, fewer chemicals and less false manipulation of our land.
248	Since I support Sasaki's plan, I am most willing to be involved with theirs.
249	All of these plans seem like an unnecessary waste of money at best. At worst, they will disrupt important fish spawning habitat and harm overall fishing in Madison.
250	Leave our lakefront alone.
251	None

252	Sasaki's plan will bring back the area and provide life and nature back to the area!
253	Literally, I'm available to work on the Sasaki team. There's a few graphic design elements that could be improved in the plan, timeline and project phasing needs to be planned and enumerated in future reports/plans, but beyond that it's the best plan of the three and I'm honestly excited about it. Hire me, I can do visuals creation, video production, project management, and/or communications, if you want.
254	I am a member of the Friends of Nolen Waterfront board and will be thrilled to be involved with whichever agency is chosen. I see parts of each plan that I would like incorporated but want the biggest, most expansive vision for what our city could look like. I believe Agency and Field Operations are my top two choices.
255	This is great!
256	N/A
257	All the above
258	I really believe JCFO's approach is the best thing for Madison. While all submissions feature the connection to nature, JCFO's is heavily tied into stewardship of the lake and its longterm health.
259	Would love a combo of all 3. Sasaki has a lot to do, but love the water paths and piers of the other two. Having a pier along John Nolan like Lake Michigan in Chicago is awesome.
260	Just not Sasaki, it's so commercial and sad compared to the others
261	I like the Agency design's commitment to more green space, while the JCFO design would add more concrete, something I don't want to see.
262	Sasaki appears to have an ambitious plan that combines the lake use and nature with the water and nature restoration and maintenance. All would be exciting for a variety of uses, activities and events, for use by a diverse population. Sasaki and Agency had more specifics on the environmental and ecosystem restoration. Agency seemed to address accessibility from the city a little better in general. Overall the Sasaki information appeared to touch on all aspects and considerations of the lakefront, uses, nature and green space, various events, etc.
263	Not sure how, but as a downtown resident who spends time in, on and around Lake Monona, I'm very likely to be drawn in....
264	I'm a conversation biologist, and I care about the voice of tribal nations. I care most about the sasaki plan
265	Felt most comfortable with their ideas.
266	As a horticulturist, with any of these plans the upkeep of the garden/native and wetland areas will need to be attended to, to provide a pleasant and welcoming space. I hope the budget will include this as it is crucial for the final product to succeed.
267	I'm honestly really excited to see that Agency is a small, women run business operating pretty fully out of USA. It gives me hope that they'd be invested and make this project a personal one, not just another job.

268	James Corner Field Operations found the most ways to interact with the waterfront, and I think it's a really impressive design. My daily commute takes John Nolan into the city toward the capitol, and I'd be happy to be part of helping make that design a reality.
269	I don't know how to help
270	I don't personally know how to be involved
271	Please refer to all of the above comments
272	This is time for Madison to make a choice on how they want the city to be looked at by outsiders and people that live here.
273	Like I've stated I prefer Agency for removing concrete and making the extension of green and pedestrian oriented infrastructure cohesive with downtown, not just giving a skywalk to residents to keep them off the streets away from cars
274	I would be happy to be involved with any plan.
275	I do not wish to be involved
276	Ultimately it was a very hard decision between Agency Landscape and James Corner Field Operations. I chose Agency as my top pick because of the transformation of Monona Hill. But I thought the "Wild Lake" concept and elevated platforms of James Corner Field Operations were really cool too.
277	This idea seems slower and that may allow for natural appeal
278	Has best idea
279	Agency.
280	All plans lack anything new. You can already bike walk or paddle along the lake I'd like to see more activity like a beer garden at Olin Park or an observation wheel along the shoreline
281	I probably have minimal time to be involved.
282	Any. Agency is the best.
283	All 3 are brilliant, but Sasaki feels like the most culturally and environmentally thoughtful
284	Ranking based on favorites from previous questions.
285	I'd prefer Sasaki's plan, but would be OK with Agency or James Corner as well.
286	I have lived in Madison my entire life (30 years). I have spent all of them on the water, fishing, water skiing, kayaking, paddleboarding, canoeing, etc. I am also a teacher and camp counselor who has spent time talking with youth about the importance of our lakes. I was actually moved by the sasaki video as I imagined what this could mean for Madison. Thank you for all the time, hours, effort, and love that has been put into this project already.
287	I think all of them are impressive, yet almost a combo of elements from Sasaki and Agency stand out to me (joint venture??) I would be delighted to contribute in any way I can
288	I believe the Agency project is the best project.
289	I really object to the AL+P plan. I think the Sasaki plan is by far the best and would be happy to contribute. The JCFO is OK, and I would be willing to participate to try to make it... well, more like the Sasaki plan

290	I have no idea what this question means, haha. I do love gardening and have participated in some city beautification projects in years past, so if that's the sort of thing you're referring to, then sure.
291	Sasaki and Agencies plans are inspiring and something I can easily support and be excited about.
292	Sasaki design is innovative and visually has an impact
293	Giving feedback only.
294	This plan speaks to me on a personal level, having lived in that neighborhood in the past. I feel an attachment to this design unlike the others.
295	i live nearby
296	Na
297	Best planned project.
298	Like the Monona Hill concept
299	The plans are strikingly similar in many respects of the design and uses. Agency stands out as aspirational. The cost projections are all in the same general range. Yet the degree of enhancement of the waterfront by capturing the transportation corridor shown in the Agency plan far exceeds the incremental cost.
300	I like the ALP pathways the most for commuting and park space. JC has great recreational vision, I would like to see them combined.
301	See above
302	All of these look like great projects, and well-needed improvements to our current lakefront infrastructure, so I'd be willing to go along with any of them, but JC and Sasaki look the most impressive out of the three plans.
303	I'm always interested in improving access for lake users.
304	It's a difficult decision, because I really love the variety of green infrastructure ideas from Sasaki as well as connecting all the voices of Madison. James Corner fits with my personal interest more in terms of connecting the lake back with nature and creating rich and full natural spaces. I would happy with either of these companies, because I believe either will create a much healthier and accessible shoreline than we currently have.
305	I think agency and Sasaki's proposals would be very workable to incorporate some changes that I think would help the stakeholder most important to me, the Mad-City Ski team. James Corner's design poses some serious challenges with the beach and pier design, although I love their vision for the pedestrian promenade area adjoining in Law park.  I'd like to see better facilities for skiers going from the water back to the land (a beach or ski-up ramp, similar to pro shows) and better docks to support the team that we can ski off of. Regulation socks are 20'x60' and have to have sufficient depth thru the whole length to be able to ski and drive a boat safely from them, so I think that's something that would need further iteration. I think it's very doable, and I love the viewing opportunities that Agency's plan in particular provides with the Monona hill vision.

306	I'd be happy to get involved in any of them. I thought they all had good qualities and none were by any means bad, so all could work though some may need some tweaks in my opinion.
307	Very important to tie in the existing fabric of downtown to the new. Also, vital to have dedicated bike path through the whole route - any shared path is highly dangerous like the current situation. I wish all the plans used the FL Wright designed Lake Monona boathouse (never completed here) as anchor at the end of John Nolen at Blair Street. The Sasaki design meets most of this. Would be improved with more separation of bike path and with the FL Wright Boathouse at the east end instead of contemporary design.
308	I like James Corner Field Operations layout the best.
309	I like the Agency and Sasaki designs, I don't care for the James Corner design.
310	I see a lot of potential in this design
311	Sasaki because i'm interested in the winter activities
312	I would be happy to be engaged with any of the plans, particularly from the standpoint of providing sailing and rowing venues and local food options.
313	Happy to be involved in the next steps of making this a reality.
314	I think all three plans have lots to recommend them and would be happy with any, but would like JCFO the most. Happy to help in any way with development (and am a downtown resident).
315	Agency Landscape!
316	Both Agency and James Corner's plans bring strengths of green space, improved connectivity, and activities. I could see combining aspects of both plans.
317	The top two are great and have all aspects I'm looking for, but I am far more impressed with James corners wetland restoration efforts and attempts at rewilding Madison. While Sasaki addresses many of the cities concerns, some of their restoration efforts are very small in comparison to the other projects and I worry about them not contributing to native biodiversity (in addition to flood prevention and water quality) because of their aesthetically small size.
318	If the Sasaki plan supported the development of the Mad City Ski Team, I would help design the amphitheater.
319	The JCFO is far and away my preferred design because I anticipate it being used by many people. I think it meets the needs of the people, and it keeps the strong Madison culture at heart. the Sasaki plan veers too far away from the understated, more relaxed pace of this city.

320	All projects are fantastic and provide tremendous improvements over the current parkway. Prioritizing bicycle infrastructure along and crossing John Nolen is the top concern for me, followed by sustainability and waterfront revitalization. The project I'm most willing to be involved in will prioritize these over major vanity projects unlikely to make a huge quality of life improvement for Madisonians. I like practical, achievable, and ambitious designs over something proposed just to "look good" in the design phase and never actually make an impact. Finally, as a side note, I don't believe a beach is sustainable or a good use of funds for the project. Sand beaches are plentiful around Madison and I believe we should focus on more natural shoreline solutions that allow access to the water instead of using a huge amount of space for a synthetic beach.
321	I am a Lake Monona Resident in the city of Madison and anticipate volunteering my time to develop this wonderful addition to the Lake Monona waterfront.
322	I'm not enrolled in any university, nor anything related to urban planning; but the subject is intensely interesting to me and I would love to break ground through involvement in the process. I want to see this city grow not just for myself, but for other residents and even non-residents, too. Madison is and should be a welcoming city to all, no matter their ability or income.
323	you could ask for my view point
324	Sasaki's vision seems to incorporate all of Madison's people the best. From activities, to nature, to transportation it looked like it would be the most inclusive design that helps all user groups of the lake.
325	Agency has good potential but needs work in providing destinations and attractions on the lakefront.
326	I think that James Corner Field Operations design gives Madison a stronger connection with nature while opening up the park and Monona bay to everyone. I love Agency landscape + planning's incorporation of the native burial grounds in the area and I think the water walk is a good concept. However both Agency and Sasaki lack the incorporation of nature into the city and the blend between the waterfront area and downtown that James Corner provides.
327	I really love them all, Its really hard to choose but I think Sasaki stands out to me just a TINY bit above he others.
328	I am very excited about this great contribution for this, and the next generation
329	For the Law park north portion they all propose building over railroad tracks and road which would block the lake view from the floors of several buildings.
330	I would be very pleased to be involved with future development of any of these plans.
331	Sasaki and Agency both were open to communication with the water ski team.
332	Those are my thoughts
333	i dont think im really qualified to help...but i do have a few garden tools
334	I want to emphasize that all the proposals are great! But sasaki takes the prize for me. Would love helping out with this project
335	I am equally likely to be involved, all three plans are really great.
336	They are all strong plans and I would get involved in any of them.
337	"Involved" is strong wording; if the question of involvement is merely to provide feedback in forums such as this, "None" would be fourth.



338	Sasaki is the best
339	JCFO and Sasaki seem to put the most emphasis on the Williamson/Blair/John Nolen interchange area, which is near where I live. Thus I feel more interested in contributing.
340	JCFO's comprehensive approach provided sensible phases to achieve their design.
341	I am willing to be involved in any of the plans because they are all excellent.
342	I am most willing that the plan presented by James Corner Field Operations will be involved in future development.
343	Sasaki's ecological design and cohesive landscape framework are highly commendable for the site, while JCFO has made significant efforts in creating a pleasant and livable environment."
344	I do like the idea of voices from the past, and I think that could be added to the other two plans. A walk through history, both cultural and natural. And I would like to see this fade up Hamilton Street to the Capital.
345	At this time, I don't have the desire to add to my existing volunteer commitments.
346	I like the Agency Landscaping plus planning, because of all the work they have put into it.
347	NO COMMENT
348	sasaki will make madison better
349	yea
350	See #2 response.
351	Lake Monona needs this so badly and I am so happy to live in a city that wants to improve. I have always felt John Nolan prioritized cars instead of the people and it's time to take that back and fix it. I want people outside enjoying the city everyday. We need so much infrastructure improvements my biggest worry is it won't be enough - we need to seize this opportunity and follow through!
352	none
353	The ski team is very excited for this process and will remain heavily engaged in providing input for this new park design.
354	Any plan is better than no plan. The current lakefront is deteriorating nature or crumbling concrete.
355	I think the JCFO plan best redevelops the waterfront as a "place people would want to go" including the small restaurants on the waterfront, similar to Memorial Union on Mendota
356	I am interested but am not sure if the next steps will take place in many years.
357	I really hope we get to see Sasaki's idea developed I think it would benefit everyone in our amazing city.
358	Not sure how, but as a downtown resident who spends time in, on and around Lake Monona, I'm very likely to be drawn in....
359	The Agency plan fits Madison. The other plans feel like a flashy big city design placed where it does not belong.
360	see comments above
361	I don't think that I have the qualifications to be involved but if it means that we can get everything in at least one of these plans I am willing to

362	Not much to add from my previous comments but the more natural elements used in a project, the more I am willing to use tax money to help make it work!
363	I am very excited about the plan of Agency Landscape.
364	James Corner all the way
365	Sasaki has the best combination of lake access types, lake access locations, activities, and environmental improvements. JCFO's plan is fine, just not as good as Sasaki's. Agency's plan is certainly visionary, but it will be value-engineered into something much different and mundane.
366	Please open up King St. The Capitol was originally supposed to have four streets with a direct view to the lakes. Unfortunately, someone got greedy. We can remedy this.
367	I support Sasaki and Agency Landscape + Planning's designs with a preference for Sasaki. I dislike James Corner Field Operations' plan, and would advocate against their proposal in public meetings.
368	I'm not necessarily willing to get involved at all, but I'm grateful for having the opportunity to provide input here.
369	I'm very busy with my PhD program
370	I am most interested in the Sasaki plan because it is detailed in its intentions, making me more willing to learn and participate in making it come to life.
371	I am not able to be involved in this at this time.
372	<p>If the waterfront is to be returned to people, then further capping John Nolen is a must. It is the only way to produce accessible, at-grade access to the waterfront that does not have to cross multiple lanes of fast, large, un-policed cars.</p> <p>I know that planners do not control police, but I hope the Mayor sees this and takes it to heart. Vehicle, especially headed south/west bound, come speeding out from under Monona Terrace and go screaming all the way to Broom and North Shore. Those intersections feel unsafe to cross, so even though we have lovely lakeside paths, the lack of access points scares people off. I am a firm believer that design controls can lower speeds, but more public speed policing may help as well. Often times, MPD vehicles are just as guilty of speeding here as anyone else.</p>
373	JCFO's plan best understands Madison as a city and strengthens its sense of place rather than diluting it with generic contemporary design
374	Reasonings aforementioned.
375	Madison is consistently ranked at the top of places to live. Our lakes are our strength. The least attractive and accessible part of the City is our lake edges. The Design Challenges offers the opportunity to develop at least a portion of the lake edge that is closer to what the natural edge was at one time as well as provide almost 2 miles of park land for all walks of live to use. Madison deserves to elevate the bar as far as our people and places. There are other communities much larger and smaller than Madison that have reconnected there communities with waterfronts and parks.

376	I ranked them in reverse order because I believe the Agency plan is on the right track, but the other two need a lot of help.
377	This project claims to want to bring 'diverse voices', access for community, yet very few of the firms talked to anyone in the community. For example, Dane Dances is a beloved community event that happens every Friday in August on the Rooftop of the Monona Terrace - one of the most diverse groups of people you typically see there. Was anyone there talking about this plan at those events or to those organizers? As far as I can tell, no, just to the Ski Teams & iron man.
378	I feel like Agency's proposal, while hearkening back to the original designs, was too long-term (100 years??) and too banal. The monona terrace adjacent park would be beautiful but was less impressive to me than Sasaki's specific events-oriented proposal or Corner's nature-oriented proposal.
379	James corner is my favorite but sasaki is very ecologically focused so not my favorite
380	I really like parts of each of the plans.
381	Plans from Agency & Sasaki have clearly considered architecturally connecting the downtown and South Madison. I believe both have room for improvement and neglect the clear desire path of foot traffic and fishing along the rail bridges from North Shore Dr. across Monona Bay. The JCFO plan is a non-starter for me and I would actively oppose it. It exacerbates the disconnect between downtown and South Madison and the problems it creates indicate a real lack of consideration of how locals engage today.
382	I like the big ideas of the Agency plan, including Monona Hill and the John Nolen boardwalk. However, in its current state, the plan is not very detailed. Most of the land in the renderings is depicted as grassy fields. I can't easily envision people using these spaces like I can with the Field Operations plan. Perhaps the Agency plan can become more refined. Alternatively, perhaps concepts like Monona Hill could be incorporated into the Field Operations plan.
383	All plans are a big improvement on what we have now.
384	I haven't commented or been involved until now, but seeing these plans - INCREDIBLE AND EXCITING!
385	There's nothing planned for Monona Bay. How can Monona Bay not be a part of Lake Monona??? Is there no planning for the "other side of the railroad tracks" on the West side of John Nolen Drive? It would be great if a trail could be on the West side of John Nolen Drive so people do not have to cross the dangerous John Nolen Drive in order to go around Monona Bay
386	All very equal. Shouldn't have to rank.
387	Overall, I like the Sasaki and Agency Landscape + Planning proposals the most. Both of their proposals for Law Park and Olin Park would likely attract more visitors and be an asset to the community.
388	Toss-up between Sasaki and JCFO

389	I really like the JCFO beach, walking path, and seating. For Sakaki, I like the elevated walking path and waterfront restaurant. For Agency, I like the idea of the walk on water, but I imagine it would require railing to prevent falls into the water, and the look would be significantly different from the concept drawings. And in the winter when the lake freezes, the railing would just be in the way for people that want to walk on the lake.
390	Taming traffic on the causeway and building over John Nolen Drive intrigue me the most
391	I echo my comments above. I think that the plan by James Corner Field Operations (followed very closely by Sasaki's plan) have the greatest potential to improve the quality of Lake Monona, Madison/Dane County's current residents and its future residents. I love this area and hope to live in this area for the foreseeable future. And I'd love to be involved with either of these plans to further develop to ensure that the future residents of Madison also get to love and enjoy such a beautiful city.
392	I'm not sure what skills I have to offer, but maybe there are ways I could be of use.
393	Sasaki's plan is the only one I'm interested in, as a resident of the city.
394	Field Operations is well known for this type of intervention and excellent urban waterfront park design. can't go wrong with them.
395	Love the core purpose behind all designs
396	Our priorities should be transit improvements (more bike/ped/bus, little or no cars), greening of the space, and ped/bike connection with downtown. Minimally structured spaces should be left for future desires. All of these plans are overbuilt and have too much hardscape, but Agency is the best start.
397	Think all three submissions are outstanding!
398	See comments above -- nothing new to add.
399	I would love to see a plan like this come forward and would help attend public meetings or planning phases to see this carried through.
400	The James corner fields design feels like the most transformative and impactful design. I will stay involved regardless because I am excited about this new development!
401	My first choice for the master plan would be JCFO's plan. However, I would like to see it altered to include the changes Agency did with the Terrace (blending the parks together to form one park and incorporate the Terrace within, while keeping a fishing pier). Additionally, we must keep in mind how this plan might change if the Terrace will be the sight of a light/long-distance train station.
402	see above
403	I hope to be involved in some capacity no matter which design is approved
404	For all the reasons above, I choose sasaki.
405	taking no action is not practical for future needs
406	I'm happy to respond to further surveys like this but that's about the extent of it.
407	All of them are honestly quite good, at least at the broad-strokes. Annoying little things like intersections and the durability of materials to ice should also be considered in future development stages.
408	I'll be involved in something like this.

409	I am retired and involved in other interests. However, revitalizing the Monona shoreline is important, and I would be willing to support it through my taxes and donations.
410	Agency Landscapes seemed really in tune with Madison values and prioritized the environment and thinking about future climate change.
411	Reducing car traffic and speed is top priority, by far. There is no close second.
412	Madison needs something. Sasaki can deliver!
413	I likes the phasing
414	honestly all are excellent and far superior to what we have now - please just do any of these!!!
415	they are all exciting plans that will be beneficial for the residents, water quality, and tourists
416	Would love to work to make the James Corner plan become a reality.
417	Sasaki's plans ultimately captured my imagination with their creativity, balance of ecological and social attributes, and beauty. All three of the plans sound like improvements over what we currently have in this location.
418	The JCFO design is the one I prefer, and then Sasaki. I'm less interested in Agency's design, but think all are significant improvements from the existing conditions.
419	All these projects are so great honestly if any of them are completed I'll be so happy
420	Agency seemed most sustainable. I didn't love the idea of the Story walk in the Saskai plans, I feel like that will age out quickly.
421	I don't feel Agency offers enough change from what Madison currently has along the waterfront. I appreciate the scope and variety Sasaki offers. JCFO falls right in between but closer to Sasaki. It's good, I just prefer Sasaki.
422	I would love continue he long improve
423	Awareness of the historic origins of the area and a permanent reminder of how the importance of the indigenous community is a need. Local residents need landmarks to be proud of and conversation starters to remember and connect with this community and their history
424	I'd be very excited to see the Sasaki plan come to fruition!
425	I am interested in helping with re-wilding and restoration efforts.
426	The more green space interlaced with the beautiful lakes the better for everyone
427	Sasaki seems like a step back, it is prioritizing leisure and entertainment over usability; it is nice to get to but not nice to get through. There is a bike path running through a plaza and a beach area  Agency & JFCO have solid plans. Agency could use a little more active waterfront use (swimming, beach) & JFCO could clear up it's bike situation a bit around "the cove" but is otherwise solid
428	As a resident I will be encouraged to keep this added view clean.
429	Out of all the amazing features, I most like the concept of the Monona Hill from Agency. It adds so much greenscape to a concrete area. Sasaki accomplishes some of that with their design, but not to the same extent. I also really enjoy the geometry that Agency employs by linking the boardwalk to the diagonal streets.

430	Absolutely Agency.
431	LAND BRIDGE OVER JOHN NOLEN.
432	The Terrace was badmouthed at first too, but it's a treasured part of our skyline now. Go big. I'm already happy to live near Lake Monona. Would love to be proud of it, maybe even swim in it again.
433	Honestly, Sasaki and James Corner Field lack innovation and are quite drab. Nothing special that one cannot discover elsewhere. So I would not be interested in being involved. However, Agency perks my imagination of the possibilities. I would be interested in that design.
434	JCFO + Monona Hill (taken from ALP) + Canopy walk (Sasaki) = best plan
435	I think Agency's plan is the best of all worlds in supporting wild reclamation, creating a destination that will draw locals and tourists, and tying the lakefront into the city. I do think what is missing in all of these plans is the ability to enhance public art, performance spaces and areas for food and refreshments. What about incorporating city-owned kitchen spaces to allow local food, community groups and restaurant popups to rent a space to serve the community? I could easily imagine city hosted lake parties and being able to provide refreshment services without blocking the street with food carts would be great. Also an outdoor performance space like an APT either in Olin park or adjacent to Monona Terrace would be a big benefit.
436	So long as it's bike and lake friendly i think too may frills will just aggravate residents more than help. Can't tell which plan helps with the bike/walker congestion the best. Also, whichever one has less light pollution would be supported. Thanks for opportunity
437	the James Corner group has local experts on their team that will continue to work with citizens.
438	Community feedback on the use of the combined space provided by agency is crucial to driving relevant use and tourism draws
439	Sasaki seems most interested in community feedback and has the most exciting design, imo
440	Just because of all that I have just stated, I would be willing to be involved with further Agency.
441	Happy to provide feedback
442	I really like everything about the detail of the James Corner plan and see it as the most exciting option.
443	I have no money and no time. The lakefront does need work, so I get why the plans are being made.
444	Consistently voted for JCFO.
445	Sasaki's design would be terrible for Madison, it is full of gimmicks and lame pedestrian bridges. It would act as a band-aid instead of a design that embraces the challenges (Monona Hill is so much better)
446	I really enjoyed all the plans and I would be excited to help with any of them as I can see how much it would improve our community
447	N/A

448	Gina Ford is a true leader in the profession of landscape architecture. As a landscape designer myself (and UW-Madison grad), I have looked up to her for some time. It was a pleasure to meet her in Madison during the open house that followed the final presentations. She is a bold advocate for equality in the profession and strives to lift women and under represented voices up through her advocacy work with the organization WxLA (of which she is a co-founder). I believe that the values that she brings to her work at Agency reflect those of the Madison community. Madison is a beautifully diverse and forward thinking city full of compassionate residents who want to be better stewards of lake. Gina's team, Agency Landscape + Planning, is the best choice to move the Lake Monona master plan forward for the City of Madison!
449	The community involvement in the events of the Sasaki plan seem the most appealing
450	Agency is the best
451	Sasaki to me was by far and away the #1 design plan. I love that they focused on environmental health, equity in access for all, and smart design to allow usage for all seasons (such as additional paths that you can use during the winter when the lake freezes over). To me, they were by far the most comprehensive out of the three plans. James Corner felt like they rested too much on laurels of past projects, and did not really go into the details of how it would affect the people in the redesign. Most of their design choices weren't particularly bold, and it felt like kind of a waste to spend so much money on such minimal, conservative designs. As for agency landscape, I'm not interested in their plans. They didn't really go into specifics of environmental improvement, and too much of their plan was just advertising how they would do a good job without talking about what in fact they actually plan to do.
452	Sasaki, but anything will be exciting.
453	Sasaki plan is amazing!
454	I love the story walk idea that Sasaki has. That'll be fun to think about!
455	At the 1/26 presentation, Corner provided examples of comparable projects in other communities and their economic impacts and viability. Corner expressed a desire to further develop their plans to align desired outcomes with economic feasibility. Corner also showed specific phasing recommendations; further discussion and community input can help refine the timeline and allow for effective information sharing with the public and clear expectations.
456	The Sasaki plan feels so far off from Madison's vibe (and very uninspired)
457	N/a
458	I would like to see agency's green space focus and easy access to the water combined with sasaki's activities and water filtering ideas.
459	I don't know what being involved means.
460	I just feel Agency is a plan that overall says natural, user friendly, and the most picturesque for the city.

461	I believe plan 1 to be the most innovative for the following reasons: 1. Love joining the parks on either side of momona center via a terraced hill of green spaces  2. A beach would be an awesome access area for all water activities  3. Walk on water is so unique and the view of the city of Madison would be spectacular from that vantage point
462	I'd support certain aspects of any of the plans but JCFO's seemed more realistic while Sasaki's seemed a little more like a theme park.
463	I would work with any of the plans, they all had strong ideas, the JCFO plan was just my favorite overall.
464	I love the Sasaki and JCF designs.
465	n/a
466	All three equally
467	JCO plan for the area honored the current style and architectural look by following the initial designs done by Frank Lloyd Wright. I also like that the spaces appear to have more multi season uses than other options. Agency may have the least "commercial" design and I like the idea of focusing on the nature. Sasaki's design was nice, but I didn't feel that the early design plans matched the feel of the terrace. It felt cutesy and modern, not matching and classic.
468	Any of them would be good, and an excellent improvement over what we have now! JCFO is the best design as it stands; Sasaki does excellent things with the environment but could use a bit of refinement in how people move through it.
469	The top two will gave the most overall lasting impact on the city and being able to connect the capital to the Alliant Energy/South Side will truly be a game changer for investment into Madison
470	I think the size of available space in the park and on the shoreline is the biggest priority so I have ranked them by how much space I think it would be creating in that area.
471	Honestly, they all seem like thoughtful, well-researched plans. My biggest concern is whomever wins needs to give good thought to the vehicular transportation network (and their tourist dollars) coming into downtown. Providing good vehicle flow and adequate access from parking locations to the lakefront.
472	These plans all have too many lanes, too much parking, and too stroady, need to go back to the drawing board.
473	I live downtown and would love to volunteer in some capacity like tours to visitors after it is complete.
474	I'm just glad we're working on this corridor, it needs it
475	JCFO is the most fully realized and the version that seems most likely to succeed.
476	na
477	n/a
478	I donate my time and energy to groups focusing on climate change.
479	It's the best year round option



480	I am unlikely to do more than fill out surveys.
481	I think the James Corner team is the most capable and experienced and their ideas will have the biggest impact.
482	Again, I would be quite excited to be involved with Sasaki and JCFO. Agency would still be exciting, but to a lesser degree.
483	Agency & Corner have the best plans for a new vision. All three do a great job in addressing the need for a more natural landscape and the need to deal with stormwater runoff before it reaches the lake. The beaches will be unusable if the water is continuously polluted.
484	My guess is you have more than enough help to work through the plans
485	I don't know what you mean by "being involved". I am a senior citizen living in Middleton and could only provide input as a potential user of the land. I have no qualifications to help with development other than thoughts/ideas.
486	Sasaki talked more about silent sports and I am a paddler
487	I think all groups have great ideas and would be super excited about any of them. I think Sasaki provides the most diversity of options and maximizes the ecological and historical context of the space. I also think JCFO's proposal is incredibly strong.
488	I live an hour away. I guess I'm like the "Little Red Hen". Willing to take part in the benefits but not the work.
489	Sasaki plan all the way!
490	I love the hill so much
491	As an avid outdoors person the connection to nature our lakes provide is truly therapeutic. We as a society need to understand what allowed our native settlers to thrive and that was the human to nature connection. Urbanization has taken this away and anyway we can reconnect and restore we should try. L
492	I would be excited to be involved in the promotion of the Sasaki project.
493	Sasaki plans has the best overall plan.
494	The Sasaki plan seems to have the best combination of water ecology restoration and community access and activities.
495	James Corner has both clarity of vision and appropriate experience to make it happen. Not a missed beat in their plan or their reassuring delivery and approach tonight 1/26/23.
496	James Corner Field Operations
497	see prior comments
498	Agency Landscape needs more technical thinking and design before they seem able to be implemented. There may be real cost tradeoffs between Agency Landscape and James Corner. Sasaki is uninspired and too narrow of a focus, more of an incremental change.
499	So many great ideas! Sasaki: Olin Overlook & forest restoration; waterfront restaurant; boathouse Agency Landscape: Monona Hill (wonderful for bicyclists!) James Corner: green boulevard! Discovery Pier; front porch (lawn) + urbanity (axes from the Capitol)

500	Agency Landscape seems like the best balance between ability to implement and vision. James Corner would put trees on the roof of Monona Terrace that will likely not grow and would put a beach in 40 feet of water and would lose shoreline along Olin Park.
501	See responses from above
502	Thanks for all the work that has been invested in this initiative. This will benefit generations to come.
503	Sasaki steals this "contest" with, once again, its environmental focus and explicit plans for the future
504	I'm for whichever design, or combination thereof, that makes the most efficient use of space, is environmental friendly, provides the largest variety of activity spaces, and does not cost taxpayers an unreasonable amount of money.
505	all too much money, can barely afford to live here now.
506	Would like to see the plan that benefits the most people implemented.
507	I want to see JFCO's plan move forward into the future and possibly become a reality.
508	Again, please see comments in number 2 above.
509	Agency overall best plan by far.
510	This is a critically important project for our city's future.

<b>Q34</b>	<b>When reviewing the three plans, are there specific features you like? Why?</b>
Comment #	Q34 Responses
1	I think the James Corner Operations plan is approachable and not trying to do too much. The Sasaki plan is trying to do WAY too much. I can't see how Madison would be able to afford that plan. The Agency plan is fine but kind of boring.
2	It was important for me to see an increase in green space, ecological and sustainable improvement, inclusion of different communities, and how they use the lakefront, and a lot of different features. All three proposals did this but the one I liked best seem to have the biggest vision for creating a park. We are now there is concrete around Monona Terrace. I really like the wild waterways components of each of the three plans and a place for anglers specifically - not just running's and bikers.
3	It was really hard to choose between the 3, but I was drawn more to Sasaki. This is because 1) They were the only ones who mentioned Universal ADA Access 2) They had "Canopy Walk" and "Overlook/Pedestrian Bridge". 3) I particularly like the are from Monona Terrace to the Monona Boathouse and Community Center.
4	Ample Green space
5	Paths being featured most prominently to allow for movement through the area in a natural way.
6	I really like all the lakeshore preservation and the nature center Sasaki features.
7	The major feature I like is reducing John Nolen Drive to a boulevard on a road diet instead of a highway. That's the #1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 priority here. Underpasses for pedestrians/bikes, separation of bike from pedestrian traffic,...that all misses the point for non-car-driver safety. That's all an excuse to maintain the status quo of driver dominance and letting non-drivers compete for the remaining room. We need to take back our lakefront from high speed car traffic. The lakefront is a place that should be enjoyed as one of the best assets of our city; it shouldn't be used to get cars in and out of the city as fast as possible at the expense of safety, air quality, noise, and the environment. If we want sustainability, reducing driving is the number one thing to aim for above any marginal gain from the other touted sustainability features in these design proposals. We first and foremost need to make the city a place to live in, not drive through.
8	Bike paths. Green Spaces, built in benches to watch and enjoy the lake.
9	rain gardens and other features to filter and improve the overall water quality and health of the lake! also expanding pedestrian paths and adding additional green spaces wherever possible, also also really love some of the elevated pathways and expanded piers and usage of more organic shapes in the design elements. The improved safety by expanding the paths and improving accessibility throughout the whole area is also absolutely a win
10	I like the James plan because it had about 4 long green paths that enter into the city. I can imagine food growing there and more sustainability
11	I like the naturalization and revegetation of the shorelines

12	<p>Monona Hill concept. Less concrete and more green space surrounding Monona Terrace.</p> <p>Sasaki elevated canopy walk.</p> <p>Large and welcoming piers.</p> <p>Amphitheatre</p> <p>Art, sculpture, inspirational words</p> <p>Attention to stunning lighting in all areas.</p>
13	<p>The specific features I like are designated areas for water activities, for the reason that the waterfront use is sustainable and responsible. In the James Corner project the communities around South Park street have community spaces and opportunity for equitable participation. I also liked the expanded beach design from the James Corner project because it allows options for beach goers</p>
14	<p>The playgrounds, the beach, canopy walk, kayak cove, keeping ski show. possible restaurants/ food cars.</p>
15	<p>Taking into consideration the skyline, clear use of space/definitions, but beautifying the connecting space. I liked the lakefront restaurant/eating space. This space would shine more in mornings so coffee and yoga felt right.</p>
16	<p>Least invasive. Keep the lake the way it is</p>
17	<p>Space for events, music, etc. more than ever it's important for people to gather.</p>
18	<p>Amphitheater, waterfront business</p>
19	<p>Access, sustainability, adding protected space to the city. Nature.</p>
20	<p>Greening the causeway, less concrete, cultural arts, fishing, year round access and events</p>
21	<p>I like the living edge approach and the acknowledgment of the Hochunk people</p>
22	<p>I love the beaches, hammock groves, unique walkways, and playgrounds. I especially love the canopy walk, boardwalk style paths, and the story walk with reflective spaces in the Sasaki plan.</p>
23	<p>I like more connections between the city and the lake.</p> <p>I also like the ideas in the Sasaki plan to increase the water quality of the lake.</p>
24	<p>restoration of the shoreline with native vegetation</p>
25	<p>Amphitheater.</p>
26	<p>The bike bridge in the air !! And beautiful gardens</p>
27	<p>No. Because your designers don't have a good knowledge of history and geology of the area and seem determined to create a fancy lakeshore playland that looks more like Disneyworld than a natural lakeshore.</p>
28	<p>I love the separation of the walking path from the bike path and both of those from fishers. The combination is dangerous. Pedestrians take up too much space and don't pay attention. Fishers are casting and catching too close to pedestrians. Runners feel out of place because they're too fast for the pedestrian lane and too slow for the bike. Also, honestly, we shouldn't have too much direct access to the lake. Let it just be wild. I just hope the paths still have beautiful views.</p>
29	<p>I like improving greenspace around the Monona Terrace.</p>
30	<p>Glad to make better ecological/park use of the open grass of Olin Park - finally! No one is EVER on that grass, best to either get it tree- and path-filled or make it marshy kayak area.</p> <p>All plans should marsh-up the shoreline on the causeway and have rest areas.</p>

31	Agency: Prefer their focus on green and open space. Really like the walk on water and how they handle fast/medium/slow traffic/users. JCFO: Really like their interpretation of Olin Park. Sasaki: Strongest on green technology/infrastructure.
32	Monona Hill. Great connector between Capitol and lakefront
33	I really like the extension of the axial roads from the Capitol into the lake with the pedestrian crossings and piers. This is most well-executed in the James Corner proposal. The piers stay at the level of the ground plane, continuing the pattern from the Capitol to the lake. This combined with the attention to detail in providing many pedestrian access routes makes the site much more accessible and well-integrated into the city.
34	Expanded parks and green space in the design.
35	I like the pathways and the elevated walkways though as mentioned think these need entry and exit in numerous places along them so someone could get on or off anywhere and not have to go to one end or the other. Accessibility should be a consideration too so it would not be too hard for someone with mobility issues.
36	The de-concreting of the area around Monona Terrace in the Agency plan is great for nature and people to be able to enjoy that space! The bike underpass at John Nolen in multiple plans is critical for safety improvements, if we cannot eliminate the highway going through our city.
37	I like the ribbon of green, the restoration of the marsh, the restoration of wildlife habitat, the slowing of cars, the connection to the south side neighborhoods (very important to me), and the year-round mix of places to celebrate and reflect, gather and move.
38	The more natural areas and natural waterfront the better!
39	I love the cut out of Monona Terrace wall to give direct access to the lake. I like the bringing Hamilton and king street to the lake and I would like/expect to see major perinate programing/services at these two points and at the Lakeside street location.
40	The green bridge of JCFO, boathouse to see Wright's vision through, ecological restoration and long piers (would also love a big pier at Olbrich), multi-activity areas for various levels of activities
41	Restaurant, park, area to relax by water
42	Saskis story, restoartion  Whichever one had the park around the terrace
43	I like ALP's Walk on Water because of how close it brings you to the lake. I love all the fun amenities in Sasaki's like the waterfront amphitheater and restaurant and canopy walk.
44	Love a separate softer surface running path & creating separation between different modes of transport; adding habitat for wildlife, de-prioritizing car travel and equalizing other modes of transportation, emphasis on the natural world & connection to it from the city, a direct walking/biking connection from Capitol to waterfront, Olin Park improvements for local area residents to have that park space, management of water runoff from the roadways; habitat improvements for wildlife; abilities to socialize & intermingle more in the park instead of it action solely as a means of transportation
45	I love how huge the green space is around Monona Terrace in the Agency plan. I love how it slopes down from the city to the lake, which really opens it up. It won't be a separate space that's across a highway and hard to get to anymore.

46	I liked Olin park in the sakaki plan the best and the green capital view of agency the best
47	yes, the agency plan appears to be very sustainable and a gorgeous environmentalist take on this project.
48	Large steps for relaxing
49	transforming Monona Terrace into green Monona hill, uniting public spaces Olin park walk on water Rewilding, re-creation of marshes
50	I liked the Tree Canopy of the Sasaki plan, the inclusion of indigenous heritage and voices, and the highlighted amphibian habitat.
51	Performance spaces, beaches, water ecology ponds, ice skating pond
52	Walk ways
53	the most green space
54	I appreciated the wild spaces, water access, and play spaces offered by the James Corner and the Agency Landscape designs. These designs felt cohesive while offering unique spaces.
55	water access, wild shoreline, wild shoreline, separated bike and pedestrian paths, connection to neighborhoods, King and Hamilton piers, space for public gathering and events
56	Those that consider culture, nature, and consideration of what exists that is good.
57	I love the walkways above the water! I love that there are areas to swim and also areas for events like a concert or speaker. I also love that there have been thoughtful efforts to maintain the health and sustainability of our lakes. I love that there will be accessible walkways for our disabled community members. I love the large green spaces!!
58	<p>Features I like about the Agency plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Monona Hill concept</li> <li>-replace level crossings with safe, comfortable over- and underpasses</li> <li>-Walk on Water boardwalk</li> <li>-organic shapes</li> <li>-emphasis on green space over paved/built areas</li> <li>-connects all downtown streets with lakefront</li> </ul> <p>Features I like about the JCFO plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The Green Boulevard concept</li> </ul> <p>Features I like about the Sasaki plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The canopy walk</li> <li>-Offshore wetland features</li> <li>-Boathouse focused on boat rentals and community use</li> </ul>
59	I like that the Sasak option has a lot to do for everyone and is a good mix of nature, entertainment, and culture. It also has a really cool overhead walkway that is really unique. I like the nature look of the second one and the water walk is a cool part of the first one.

60	I appreciate separating the trail along the lake into separate paths for bikes and pedestrians. I also appreciate the plans that add or keep as much green space as possible, and do not over develop Olin Park. Any design feature(s) that help(s) keep Lake Monona, and all other waterways, healthy and clean should be implemented.
61	I like that both the JCFO and Agency plans reduce lanes on John Nolan and increase the greenspace of the parks and on the lake. The rail connection and the walk on water paths that are part of the Agency plan are also nice. I also like the canopy walk on the Sasaki plan.
62	pass
63	Respecting the marsh and creating an extension of downtown's cross streets. Extending Wright's vision of that iconic view toward the capitol is crucial.
64	Kayak Adventure. Widening John Nolan to create a wild edge. Storm water capture. Fewer structures more nature. Beaches and places to rest and contemplate. Nature center. Adventure areas. Big lawn areas - many options.
65	Winter garden and elevated garden. Beach and boathouse. Deck across the water
66	I like James Corner's Olin Turville park plans
67	Separated bike and walk paths. More distance from the road because it's unpleasant being a pedestrian as close to traffic as it currently is. Designs have the opportunity to make these areas a destination instead of a thoroughfare.
68	Large trees on John Nolan and dividing that road up. I like the boardwalk circle idea. Re-wilding the lakeshore buffer with native plants.
69	Beach access, volleyball, increased pedestrian access, event space, clean lake, boardwalk, places to eat, ski show, use of space with Monona drive tunnel.
70	The canopy walk. It's unique. The amphitheater with the lake stage. Again, unique.
71	Opportunities to interact with the area.
72	A could critique each one. A couple broad comments. I especially loved how bikes, pedestrians, and runners had separate paths. I liked the beaches; the connections; the concern for storm water and the environment; access for those with less financial resources and more.  I particularly liked the hill concept which blends the Monona Terrace convention center into the landscape and, more importantly, provides a broad multi-purpose natural amphitheater for lake events.
73	Beaches close to UW campus, Outdoor performance spaces, and trail loops
74	The more ecologically servicing - the better! The more welcoming the better! Providing mixed opportunities for recreation is key.
75	I am very interested in the Sasaki reforested lakefront with natural scenery. The contrast with the capitol and cityscape would be iconic.
76	Agency plan offers more usable space by Monona Terrace.

77	<p>Agency features I like: Entire Monona Hill concept, covering the Monona Terrace parking, adding a lot more usable green space, removing the ramps to the Monona Terrace rooftop, creating access from downtown to the lake from streets besides MLK, adding a beautiful feature to our already beautiful skyline.</p> <p>Agency feature I like: Circular overlook in Olin Park. It would create a loop for walking and running that would allow someone to get in a good walk without having to cross streets or be near noisy John Nolen Drive.</p> <p>Sasaki feature I like: Canopy Walk in Olin Park would be a lovely way to experience the nature in that part of the city and have a great downtown skyline view.</p> <p>Agency feature I like: Symmetrical outlooks on either side of downtown. They would be attractive addition to the skyline, plus would improve lake access more than one or none.</p> <p>All plans: Separating bikes and walkers would be extremely helpful. Any elements that reduce sound from John Nolen Drive when walking or biking would make the path much more attractive.</p>
78	<p>I love the expansion across/over the lake and the views they provide, as well as the addition of destination areas that vary. I like the integration of ability to walk on/closer to the water, and the integration of features regular city-goers often use and need (parking, access to streets). LOVE the canopy walk noted in the Sasaki report. Madison loves to be a part of the lakes and the integration of these features ensures this is sustained.</p>
79	<p>Softening the shoreline, adding more walking spaces within expanded green space, year-round access to the lake, eating/dining opportunities, improving water quality...hopefully Better use of Olin Park</p>
80	<p>I liked features that added to or protected the natural environment. It is a busy road and a beautiful lake, so there has to be a balance of both.</p>
81	<p>I love how green everything becomes! The Agency design really made Monona Terrace less of a concrete jungle.</p>
82	<p>Voices of the community and elevated walkways</p>
83	<p>I'm voting for the Sasaki. It seems to have the most lake access and piers in its plan.</p>
84	<p>Naturalizing the lakeshore to reflect the past before development and design to counteract/give audio and visual separation to the noise, pollution, and ugly metal on wheels from fuel based automobiles/trucks which will be with us for some time.</p>
85	<p>Seperating the walking and biking paths and a larger buffer between them and the road. Needed for safety.</p>
86	<p>I love the architecture and innovative designs that a few of the projects had. The James Corner Fields Operation was such a clever design and would surely be a memorable addition to this beautiful city.</p>
87	<p>I love the wild-but-in-the-city look of the JCFO plan.</p>
88	<p>Incorporating history in a modern context. Going beyond acknowledgement to integrate lessons learned over time, through history. Making this a place that is not beholden to a past, but one that learns and grows from it.</p>



89	Boat house, dock on Sasaki
90	I like the idea of actual beaches along Law park. I also think a plan connecting the city all the way to the lake (without having to navigate John Nolen Dr.) is critical.
91	The elevated walk ways
92	Beautiful green spaces have been incorporated in one of the designs.
93	I specifically like the environmental focus of the Sasaki design. They look like they're very committed to keeping all ecosystems in the area well managed and clean. I also like all of the green space all three designs will be adding to the Monona Terrace area. I think that's been needed in that space for a long time.
94	I like the boathouse in Sasaki. I like the use of Nolan Drive and the seating along the shore in James Corner.
95	Capital Hill, safe and visually appealing crossing of John Nolen Drive. Would love to see something like this like a land bridge between Lakeside and Olin.
96	Pedestrian walkway connecting lakefront to capital. Emphasis on stormwater retention and filtering of runoff.
97	Boat ties and walking out on the lake
98	Sasaki seemed like it was more geared towards residents. It felt more inclusive.
99	Under water features for wildlife, marsh restoration, water runoff management, outside theater, many bike paths, fishing and swimming areas. Keeping Monona Terrace rooftop with all the purchased bricks that many had placed in remembrance of their family members.
100	Green infrastructure to improve water quality. More natural shoreline with improved habitat. Improved access for multiple forms of recreation.
101	I like the landscaping on the Sasaki plan. And the access to the lake
102	I like the agency plan that takes extends out from the main city focus and is already a place where people gather.
103	I love the Monona Hill concept from Agency. I also really like the Tamarack marsh concept from James Corner. Law Park Ledge from Sasaki is very cool too.
104	Beaches and gardens. I tend to walk around and hang out downtown. The fishing pier is cool.
105	I love the natural wetlands/marsh feel, and the increased pedestrian usage of the JN causeway. I also like the pedestrian land bridges connecting the capitol square with the lakefront in a natural way.
106	nature and water activity focused
107	An understanding that John Nolen will still be a thoroughfare, but that green spaces need to be associated with it, rather than it just being a blighted highway connection.
108	The Monona Hill concept of Agency is a knockout.
109	Waterfront access. Not great today.
110	Many non-motorized vehicle paths for walking jogging, biking and viewing the lake, city and nature by individuals, couples and small groups. Its focus in on the lake/nature and relaxing, active people in contrast to traffic, tall buildings and too much concrete.

111	I very much like the inclusion of elevated pathways in some of the plans, and any aspect that can increase the ease of pedestrian and bike-based traffic between downtown and the lakefront. I very much appreciate the consideration of rail transport in the Sasaki plan - it acknowledges that such a thing is still a possibility in the future and still a priority for many people in the city.
112	public piers and docks for boaters to access the parks and businesses
113	Nature, access to lake, exclusive walking paths without bikes, care in purification of the lake water, education value
114	None
115	See previous comments. The area is mostly used for running, biking, fishing and relaxing (sitting on benches, towels or hammocks), so enhancing these existing uses would make sense, while adding new uses would be highly questionable.
116	Looking for accessible options - not many places to enjoy our lakes if you're mobility impaired.
117	I like the many and developed spaces and activities in the Sasaki plan best, although I do like the lake-circle promenade of the Agency plan (and the paddling pond that this also creates). The James Corner plan seems to be more infrastructure.
118	James Corner Field Operations - boat launch, beach, discovery pier, Monona Terrace Roof
119	Sasaki size and diversity of green space. Careful thought of multi-use spaces
120	As noted, I like the incorporation of the southerly neighborhoods in Agency.
121	Safer bike infrastructure. Move people, not cars. More beautiful and accessible waterfront, similar to Mendota.
122	The considerations of making nature blend in with a busy part of the city
123	I like the Monona Hill. The street racing that occurs at night on John Nolen is very loud and it echos under the terrace tunnel, I think the hill would quiet that down, but at the same time it has a lot of the benefits of the other designs.  and of course, environmental issues to make our lakes healthier
124	love the option of some waterfront dining, also love the various waterfront enhancements
125	More public docks
126	I really like the idea of "destinations" at the end of King Street, Hamilton Street, and Monona fishing pier. They can each have their own identity and purpose. Similarly, the Agency plan with city district and causeway district create areas but in bigger spaces with multiple features. The lawn and the hill towards Williamson Street is very good idea.
127	Sasaki fits in the best to the surrounding area
128	the spiral viewing deck, the music venue, the deck aligned with the street off the square, paddling areas
129	I like seeing shoreline sustainability and health. We have a lot of run off and toxins that make the lakes not safe. So I think we need to focus on lake and land health
130	Sasaki has more potential for business/economic development but a concern could be how this would limit public access.
131	Final Agency; Bike paths in design effectively allow for faster traffic without colliding with people

132	I like the idea of turning John Nolen drive into a tree lined boulevaed
133	The connected paths between olin park and the Monona Terrace. My all-time favorite view of Madison is driving down John Nolen at night and seeing the capital lit. I want to see this preserved, but improved.
134	I like the common spaces for the JCF look the most inviting, diverse and accessible.
135	Sakaki= thoughtfulness into runoff water and debris proactive and reactive solutions to keep lakes clean and in good health
136	In the Sasaki plan the relationship of architecture and park was strong
137	Variety. Respect for waters' edge
138	maintaining foliage and water quality
139	I like that Sasaki has multiple levels and areas throughout the various sites that can be enjoyed in different ways and for different events/reasons.
140	I am intrigued by pedestrian bridges to assist with connecting the downtown to the lake. I also like but don't know how feasible it is to have boardwalks on/over the water. I appreciate the focus on recreational activities and increasing access via modes of transportation. I like the incorporation of several public beaches throughout the waterfront. This improves access and makes the lake more attractive. That being said - the health of our lakes need to improve so we can actually recreate in them!
141	I love how few cars there are in all the photos! I love that the plans are focused on people and bikes. I also especially love the commitment to "re-wilding." I hope to see more efforts to incorporate our city into the natural landscape.
142	dedicated paths for different activities, beach areas, food vendors
143	JCFO: Maintaining access at/near the MT for urban fishing, thru-path for bike commuters, & the Hamilton St Pier lounge concept. Agency: I like the bike/ped-vehicular separation they proposed in the causeway section.
144	Using what is already there and enhancing it.
145	The handicap accessible walk on water pathway is inspiring and will allow for folks with physical disabilities to gain access in very real ways to being on the water. I just love it!
146	JCFO has the best vision, I believe. I like multiple piers, coves, and areas. But Sasaki was the only one that had a lakefront restaurant. There should definitely be one!
147	I really like the idea of turning John Nolen into a smaller, quieter boulevard. I don't think it makes sense to address this project without addressing the speed and safety to pedestrians and cyclists along that roadway.
148	JCFO: I like the designs for John Nolen Dr., sledding hill, fire pit, kayak course, and woodland playground Sasaki: story walk, canoe shaped features, nature trail, canopy walk
149	I like the two plans that have clear access from Wilson St to the lakefront
150	Visual aesthetics are very important. Also ecological impact as well as things to do for families.
151	I love the idea of viewing areas for the lake as well as entertainment like restaurants and music.

152	<p>1. Accessible trails - having walking paths and trails that are accessible for individuals with disabilities and mobility aids is very important to me.</p> <p>2. Wetland restoration. The plans that focus on native plants and restoring the wetland are a priority for me.</p>
153	<p>elevated crossing of nolen - bike/pedestrian safety</p> <p>improved intersections - safety</p> <p>seperate bike/running paths - ease of use, safety</p> <p>boardwalks/causeway - enjoyable, aesthetics</p>
154	<p>I like the canopy walk and more plant life, walking and bike paths and natural lake edge. I especially like the idea of having more trees around roads and paths. A lot of Madison roads feel like a desert in the summer without any canopy.</p>
155	<p>playground for families, ADA accessible walkways/spaces, beach, beach volleyball, seating near water. I also like the story walk, incorporating indigenous history of the land.</p>
156	<p>Reducing Nolen Shore to a green boulevard, safe bike and walking paths, and proven natural water treatment solutions.</p>
157	<p>I am surprised by the lack of piers within the plans which will allow boaters to moor and gain access to downtown Madison. It is way beyond time to meet this need. The Sasaki plan seems to at least contain piers, but whichever one incorporates this important component will gain my support.</p>
158	<p>I like the Agency idea of restoring a more natural hillside over the top of John Nolen Drive and the RR. It's the best thing in any of the plans.</p>
159	<p>3 paths and beach access to Lake Monona. Better use of Olin Park, it is underutilized.</p>
160	<p>I love Agency's vision for surrounding Monona Terrace with green space, while retaining all of the necessary infrastructure.</p>
161	<p>I love the Monona Hill concept. The drive across John Nolen can be so gray, and the hill serves to bury unsightly concrete with softer, more natural textures.</p> <p>I also like bringing more of the lakeshore area down to the water at a human scale. Having large piers and sandy beaches allow residents and visitors to interact with the water.</p> <p>I really like narrowing John Nolen and reducing speeds to decrease risks for pedestrians. The major chokepoint in my experience is the back-to-back lights at Broom and North Shore Dr, not the width of the lanes or the speed of traffic. Much of this could be mitigated by smarter traffic signaling. Longer green signals supplemented by highly-responsive pedestrian signals can keep traffic moving while still prioritizing those outside of vehicles.</p>
162	<p>I felt the James Corner Field Operations plan really addressed nature restoration while also providing multiple walkways for various recreation types (biking, walking, running).</p> <p>I felt the Sasaki plan also included nature restoration (trees) and a variety of recreation activities to draw in visitors (nature center, restaurant, public space for events, etc).</p>
163	<p>Sasaki's location.</p>
164	<p>Year long access and safety for all activities</p>
165	<p>Boardwalks, lake health, access, and natura preservation.</p>

166	I like the proposed traffic calming measures for John Nolen Drive, which is currently a dangerous freeway that ruins the lakeshore.
167	The accessibility to the lake - the multiple opportunities to sit and enjoy the outdoors. Creating a "softer" edge to the lake. Restoring more natural shoreline, both in geometry and in flora.
168	places for events to happen, not just birdwatching/nature walks. Having a nice pier to go to.
169	Love playground, variety of attractions, places to sit along lakefront, incorporation of ski team
170	Beaches, boat launches - areas for outdoor family activity
171	Honoring the lake and what it brings, making sure that's the focus. Primarily focused on lake health. Also providing several different types of activities for humans.
172	Connection to downtown, marshland edge, increased public access, artistry of the structures, surprising details that bring joy,
173	Love the boat house, multilevel greenspace, and incredible access to the lake. Ohlin Overlook is gamechanger.
174	I like the idea of having restaurants and coffee shops along the water and safer options for pedestrian traffic to cross streets
175	I love the seating area in the James plan, similar to that of the Terrace on Lake Mendota. I also love the canopy walk that is part of Sasaki's plan, as well as their idea for "Coffee in the Sun" :)
176	I love all the designs, it would make the water front way more accesable
177	The walk on water of the Agency plan. The beach access and amenities of the Comer plan. The numerous activities/features contained in the Sasaki plan.
178	The rewilding and additional greenspaces are essential. Access to nature is key to a community's physical and mental health. Lake Monona ecology is in trouble and cleaner water provides safer habitat for its inhabitants and recreational users.
179	Additional piers for lake access, for obvious reasons. A rooftop amphitheater and restaurant would give residents a chance to enjoy the lake like the rich people who own waterfront property.  Multiple walking and biking trails, sledding hill, dog run and kayak course - gives an opportunity to be outside alone, together.
180	I like the James plan because it has a ton of access options, increased green space and something for dogs
181	Improved walking and cycling paths. Improved water features for kayaking and for the wildlife.
182	I like the combination of nature, kayak/bike/walk space, playgrounds, water and swimming access, places to sit, places to socialize like a restaurant. This should be a great place for all ages, including kids.
183	I like the Canopy Walk and Nature Center in the Sasaki plan, as well as the stage and amphitheater over the water
184	I like how the Sasaki plan maximizes greenspaces, and the canopy walk.

185	I like restaurants, coffee shops, and beer gardens because it allows me to enjoy the space for longer and provides a space for getting together with friends or family or meeting new people. I like seeing a nature center with windows and additional public buildings like a community center; I run a lot and knowing a place will be open year round with bathrooms is really important to me. I like seeing public transportation like intra-city rail lines on the plans because I would use those all the time if they were an option and would improve the accessibility of Madison for those coming from out of town in a way that buses do not do in the way they are currently organized.
186	Spaces for recreation, entertainment, dining, etc make this a true community space and will attract residents and visitors alike to the waterfront. I believe the Sasaki plan does this best.
187	Winter garden, Monona terrace garden roof, shore seating/steps, boat launch -- focus on green and outdoor landscape + places for activity on the lake
188	I like that the James corner and sasaki designs really push the envelope. They do a great job of incorporating the natural landscape. The agency landscape is more of the same. Just a regular looking park
189	Disability access, particularly wheelchair access. More level paved paths vs boardwalks. Consideration for slowing traffic and making crossings/intersections safer. Clear ways to streamline walkers/runners/cyclists/skaters on commuter paths.
190	Three things stand out: 1. Transforming John Nolen Drive into a green boulevard (JCFO, Sasaki); 2. Re-wild the shoreline (JCFO, though AL offers a lesser version); 3. Improved access to the water (AL, JCFO, Sasaki). These features create a more dynamic space that integrates with the natural environment and encourages people to enjoy what the lake has to offer.
191	Love the sloped garden of Sasaki and the bridge for Olin
192	Bike access to carrol st would be sweet. Fishing pier and bike path working together.
193	I have coming above that I like the hill of agency and the wetlands of Suzuki but the whole middle thing where I had to drag to produce the number kept clicking back to start and I redid it about four times and gave up and this is the problem with the webpage design out with the plans
194	I love the look of the Sasaki plan. It redefines downtown and adds to what wasn't.
195	All the plans have separate bike and pedestrian paths which I think is good. I really like the idea of a canopy walk as well
196	The only feature that could be considered is redoing the existing bikepath with some simple landscaping and NO expansion of marsh or infill into the lake.
197	The raised board walk and the more "woodsy" look of the Sasaki plan
198	For me road, walking, biking all are priorities .  Followed by entertainment and social
199	I think having more walking/hang out areas, restaurants, beach fronts, and playgrounds will be good to bring out people of all ages
200	Adventure Playground & Destination Restaurant
201	Enclosing the John Nolan Tunnel and bringing grade up to the city. Pedestrian access over John Nolan.
202	Elevated board walks. Bike paths separate and safe from the road. Fire pit. More green space. Ones that provided shade. Slides

203	I really like the water walks in the Agency design. I did like the canoe routes in the James Corner design.
204	Monona Hill!!! Connecting Hamilton St and the Capitol view to a pier or other lake use.
205	I like more boardwalks, restaurants, and green space.
206	Option 1 - the plans reflects relaxation and the flow is well planned out. Options 2 - this provides "green" spaces in a highly visible area which will be a draw for all age ranges.
207	I liked the overall consistency of the Sasaki plans with other existing parks, shorelines and structures. I liked that all 3 plans included boardwalks.
208	I like how much though Sasaki put into protecting the lake and improving it's health. I like all the community gathering spaces JCFO added - especially the event lawn. I also liked the fishing pier that JCFO proposed.
209	I really like the idea of the Monona Terrace Hill as I feel that area is very concrete right now - not very inviting, and splits the sides of the lakeshore front. The green hill in the Agency plan seems like it would fix this. I also really like the artistry in the James Corner Operations plans. There seems to be more variability in the paths, structures, and waterfront itself (allowing for marshland and more organic edge to the waterfront).
210	I liked the pier and dock proposals by Sasaki and Agency, particularly around the Monona Terrace and Law Park. They seem like usable, flexible community spaces that take advantage of the waterfront and offer viewing areas for water entertainment.
211	I really like Agency's circular path in the lake as well as the slow water features for kayaking and stand up paddle boarding.
212	I really liked the features which allow for both summer and winter functionality
213	The boulevard on John Nolen. The on-water boardwalks. The kayak route and wild wetlands. The greening of monona terrace rooftop and easy access to the capital.
214	Frank lloyd wright inspired features are a must, As are ho-chunk inspired designs. Build off the deep character of this place and integrate it into the sacred natural spaces of Madison.
215	Sasaki had a good community input based presentation.
216	If I could have anything, I would choose the part that is south of the Monona Terrace from the James plan, and the part that is North of the Terrace from the Agency plan.
217	I like the designs that separate the bike path from a walking path. I also appreciate play areas for families.
218	Agency Landscape + Planning: I loved the direct access from MLK to the park without having to go through the terrace or all the way down to S Ham or King St to get to the water. I like the visual of the water and green in large connection and John Nolan under all of it instead of something that people still need to deal with crossing.  I thought that Agency Landscape + Planning and Sasaki did a great job with the corridor from Olin to downtown.

219	- increased busing & public transportation options - accessible to ALL people of madison - honoring lakeshore history - creating climate and pollution mitigation options
220	I like how the Agency Landscape + Planning connects Downtown Madison and South Madison and I like how Sasaki included the option of a Monona Terrace expansion.
221	I love the foot bridges over John Nolen on the James Corner Plan.
222	separate bike/pedestrian paths, paths separated more from the roadway than current, space dedicated to native plant communities/wetlands, water quality taken into account, better access to the lakefront from Capitol Square; I like the playground - would like to see it fit well into the natural environment; lots of areas to sit and enjoy the views
223	Elevated walkways, water sport areas, green space, wetlands
224	Love the separation between walking, biking, and running paths. Really like the spaces for community gathering- like music, art, or even the ski show.
225	I want to maximize green and natural spaces
226	The walk over and nature center of 3.
227	I like the rewilding of the lakeshore, separate paths, multiuse areas
228	A comfortable and accessible transition from Williamson st going left to John Nolan Dr by the bike path for both drivers pedestrians. Keep the lake access from the bike path and have train and cars go under same existing overpass and add light rail to Chicago. Maintain/honor natural lakefront curvature as much as possible. Gardens and lake viewing gradual sloping towards the shore.
229	Sasaki seems the most well thought-out and doesn't try to force too many beaches. I like that the east and west ends are styled differently. The garden and restaurant seem like a great fit.
230	restuarant on the lake and water ski show to continue
231	I like that JCF adds a lot more nature to the top of the terrace and replaces the public gathering space below
232	The water walkway, the extensions with the integration of restaurants and food trucks
233	I like the features that promote the health and biodiversity of the lake and the organisms that depend on it
234	They're all cool, I just think a beach is not a good decision in that spot
235	Emphasis on the develop of the space on HoChunk land. Creating a plan that improves the health of the watershed.
236	I really like all the piers that are extensions of the diagonal streets coming off the capitol. I also like the distinguished areas/ courses for canoes and kayaks.
237	Sasaki- loved living edge along shoreline, floating wetlands, nature center, canopy walk, activities at Law Park
238	Large waterfront greenspace with easy access
239	Beach near Hamilton of JCFO, sailing pier at south end. Natural vegetation and shoreline.
240	Monona hill Boardwalks Burying John Nolen drive or grade separating it from paths
241	We need a restaurant and beach on the lakeshore.



242	Boardwalk. Elevated piers. Striking/visually appealing structures and piers that connect to the square and neighborhoods. I like removing the concrete jungle around Monona terrace
243	For the Agency Landscape + Planning's design, I really like the hill feature. I also like how interconnected the park will be to the rest of the city, such as the square. It seems very accessible to everyone. For the James Corner Field Operations design, I really like the wilding project, and a heavy implementation of marshland. I also like how it promotes features like kayak courses.
244	Double decker pathways! Wave free beaches and connected bike paths
245	Nature center Community Center
246	Playgrounds beyond what you would usually see in a typical suburban park. Sandy beach areas to take kids or sit and relax.
247	Minimize emphasis on motor vehicle, limit capacity, emphasis transit, bikes, and ped through their own pathways. Need a more natural transition from hard surfaces to the lake through wetlands/marsh. Hide John Nolan near downtown and reorient buildings to the lake, not their backs to the lake.
248	Walkways that extend out into the lake, increased access to the lake for swimming, paddling. The presence of natural structures that filter storm water before it gets to the lake. Urban lakes can be dirty, and unsafe to swim in. The promise of cleaner water in our lakes is exciting.
249	I appreciate the green spaces, organic edges to the landscape, and added paths. These changes would beautify our city and increase access and nature.
250	I loved the separate pathways and access to the lake with this plan
251	-Improved walking paths. -spaces for community gatherings -a want to improve Lake appearance and quality.
252	skyline park, beer garden, learning to paddle, hammocking
253	I like the beach and tiered pier and the green oasis of sasaki  Like the inclusion of a dog park
254	Playarea, beach, coffee at lake
255	I had a hard time rating the plans on how they matched the "principles" on the preceding page of this survey. I think all the plans knew the principles and incorporated them into their designs. Things they all did: I like the idea of including the First Nations history, I like making it easier to get to the lake and trying to buffer the traffic noise for those of us who walk along the lake, I like making adjustments to the shoreline so that it's more sustainable and improves the water quality
256	Amphitheater, beach space, boardwalk, and restaurants, green space
257	Helping clean the water that enters the lake. Provides more balance for aquatic life.
258	Maximum green space. Nature centers. Involvement of Ho-Chunk is prominently mentioned.
259	I love allowing people access to the lake, and am obsessed with the possibility of a lakeside restaurant.

260	I love the canoe/kayak course and would be very excited about that. I liked the history, especially the native history included in the Sasaki plan. The nature playground also looked really cool.
261	I like sasakis design because of the restoration work and new garden ideas. I also like the pathway throughout.
262	Water restoration and access points. Integration with nature - paths on waterfront or sweeping greenery. Definitely preferred designs that featured new and expanded pathways.
263	More space for walkers Separation of bikers from others. Fishing spots. Making olin park accessible
264	I like the walk on water design.
265	Sasaki has the most detailed information on traffic calming measures on John Nolen drive. Sasaki & Agency both include plans to cover part of John Nolen drive to create park space. They both include a softening of the lake edge to restore ecological function. I really like the overpass on Hamilton. That will hopefully draw some foot & bike traffic away from Broom and create more of a gateway.
266	The FLW boathouse.
267	Love the canopy bridge and attention to ecological and historical restoration of the Sasaki plan.
268	Places for running and for hanging out
269	Restoring the health of the lake, creating wildlife habitat. Creating natural shoreline.
270	South side access, marshes, multi-use paths. Connections to downtown.
271	I love the parks, beach, event space. I like options to walk out on boardwalks into the water or canopy walks. I like some commercial space.
272	James Corner: the steps for sitting, followed immediately by beach/public space. Also James Corner's focus on natural areas overall were a plus, as they tie into community values.
273	Yes, I like the symmetry and simplicity of the Agency plan for a big hill. I like the dual piers of JCFO that offer different experiences. I liked the Olin overlook from Sasaki. I preferred the naturalized look of the causway from Agency.
274	More area for community gathering/fun events to stop by.
275	The hill, extension of King Street to lake.
276	Canopy in the sassaki
277	I like connecting the terrace to the Capitol via King and Hamilton. I like the cement terraced shoreline at south Law Park. I like the beach and pier at north Law Park. I like how the paths of Sasaki are less curvy; as a runner and a cyclist, you want a straight path.
278	Walk on water. Elevated walkway. Overlook. Pier.
279	Symmetry and bold simple to understand forms. Straightforward and natural marsh and water quality solutions. Easy to maintain and not gimmicky.
280	Monona Hill...love turning the space all around Monona Terrace into green space accessible from downtown streets. Love the distinct areas for gathering and enjoying life in the James Connor plan. There's variety there related to proposed use and I can see residents being more fond of some over the other. Love mulitple paths to ensure safer passage by all. Love that the whole lakeshore, including Olin Park will be thought of as one park.

281	<p>1. All Plans Proposed Access/Extensions of Broom &amp; King Streets out to the Lakeshore: Today, biking to the Capitol area Northbound on John Nolen is tedious and worth avoiding altogether and likewise - although improvements on Wilson have been made - biking from Southbound John Nolen and the bikepath corridor to the Capitol area (and farther West downtown means biking in car traffic and/or taking a creepy elevator that smells like pee. The access extensions proposed promise to make my life on a bike better, and better yet, they present new ease of access between those working in/around the Capitol and the lakeshore.</p> <p>2. Agency's ped connection to Wingra Creek &amp; improved intersection to Lakeside neighborhood: They are practical means to address inequities in safety when accessing Olin Park from that side of John Nolen and to highlighting an existing water feature.</p> <p>3. James Corners' Olin Park facilities: from the paddle sports learning area to an outdoor classroom/multi-purpose public space to the netting, all of the facilities proposed are reasons I would now have to go actually visit Olin Park (or stop there instead of just biking past it).</p> <p>4. James Corners' Broom St Beach: from the built-in reclining chaises to the beach itself to the vending area, this beautiful setup brings usable space to an area that I don't really see as usable; I would opt to spend less time in my home and more time on the lake if these facilities were on it, just off the bike path.</p> <p>5. James Corner's Terrace Fishing Pier: I like it because, unlike the other plans, it recognizes where people like to fish today and makes that space more accommodating for them.</p> <p>6. Sasaki's Waterfront Amphitheater &amp; Event Lawn: I can see myself going here with friends and making this a regular hang out; a place for picnics and a chance to see live music in an outdoor setting that isn't on the other side of the city (eg. the Union Terrace). And it would be a very accessible sledding hill for the most urban families in Madison in the winter.</p> <p>7. Sasaki's Waterfront Restaurant area: It evokes the Union Terrace, but I would suspect that having two such venues in our city might mean that more than just</p>
282	<p>I like things that focus on non-vehicle safety along JND and when crossing it to get to downtown. I like features dealing with food and drink offerings along the lake. People like to sit by the water and eat and drink. I liked the playgrounds as people with kids will benefit and it will be an attraction. There was a design that had a woodland playground area that looked neat.</p>
283	<p>I like the concept of a large open lawn/gathering space to facilitate community gatherings near Monona Terrace. I like the dedicated fishing piers. I like the strong integration of Olin Park as a place for environmental education. I like the slowing of traffic and greening of John Nolan Drive.</p>
284	<p>Beach/Swimming, Amphitheater and Stage, and the large area above the roadway (the restaruant and event lawn area in particular) in the Sasaki plan. I like this beacuse these are the things that will be LARGE crowds and will keep people coming back.</p>
285	<p>I really like the boardwalk that follows the entire lakefront of Agency's plan. I also really enjoyed the tree lined roadways of Sasaki and JCFO' proposal.</p>
286	<p>Bike trail next to lake. Walking by lake. Pier access to downtown. Need more.</p>

287	<p>I really enjoy the concept of not having a big barrier between land and water. Our lakes are one the very unique things that make Madison so amazing. We should be able to use them.</p> <p>I also really liked the viewing areas for on water entertainment, maybe thinking about adding "soft landing" features even if they're not currently designed at Law Park</p>
288	All of the different activities and the pop up options that are in the plan.
289	<p>Green space immediately north/south of monona terrace as a place to gather and hangout or grab food from a food cart. This is a space that will absolutely get used and be a draw for many people, especially since it's so close to things happening at the Square.</p> <p>Natural and floating wetlands HABITAT RESTORATION. The lakes aren't clean, we should do what we can.</p> <p>Boardwalk along monona from machinery row to Olin park. This would be a long walk down and back, but would definitely attract people, especially if there are a few piers along the way.</p>
290	Separate trails for running, biking, and walking; few intersections between trails to reduce cross-traffic, access over john nolan road to avoid interactions with peds and cars; all for safety and ease-of-use purposes.
291	The change overall! Better lake accessibility.
292	The lake ecology improvement features of the Sasuki plan, the traffic calming on John Nolen and separate bike path of the JCFO plan, and the boldness of the hill design of the Agency plan. Generally my priorities are improvements to local ecology followed by improvements to non-car transportation.
293	The Green hill
294	Loved the lakeside platform, love the ways to engage with the lake across all 3, love the improvements to Olin Park, love the ways to improve the water quality
295	Green space for picnics/events at Monona terrace - this provides multi-use community space to enjoy the lake with minimal manmade structure; rewilding the lakeshore along the causeway to clean the water; boardwalk and fishing opportunities at Olin Park; connecting walkways from downtown to the lakeshore (over John Nolen)
296	I like all the green space and piers and boardwalks. People love walking, and biking near the lake and I think that's really important. I like incorporating a stage for the water ski show because I think that draws a lot of crowds in the summer and encourages tourism.
297	The board walk that goes into the lake
298	Bike and walking paths that go over John Nolen and connect directly to the Lakeshore parks. Bikepaths and walkways that are wide and separated by a green space. The kayak park area from James Corner was really neat!
299	Realistic, usable, preservation - not only of Olin park but of the people who live there (who are not a bunch of yuppie tourists).
300	I especially love the idea of extending King Street into the lake and making the whole street and pier walkable as a natural geographical extension of State Street. I also love the new piers for Madison. We don't have many good options for walking out onto the lake during the non-frozen months. I think we definitely need more options for safely traveling from the bike path to the city, too. The bike elevator was a good start, but a new option around the corner of Broom/ John Nolen would be ideal.

301	I like space for music on the water, access for paddle boarding and kayaking closer to Monona Terrace.
302	Swimming beach, canopy walk at Olin Park, boardwalks jutting out into the lake - variety of options to truly experience the lake
303	Love the deck. Love the idea of connecting all of the community and paths from the south side to Olin park. Love the idea of a canopy walkway. Love the idea of a woodland park. Love the idea of rebuilding the lakes ecology. Can we mix and patch projects?
304	I love the green boulevard and plans to reduce the impact of traffic on the lakefront.
305	Two of them have a nod to the Mad City Ski Team, which has a history at Law Park. I like that I saw it would stay.
306	marshes and clean water features. more beach access.
307	I like the hill around the terrace in Agency's plan because it can bring you from the capitol to the lake shore very directly and in a pleasant park setting. I really like using the sustainable and water quality elements as educational features. I think the water quality solutions were all super important. I liked the playgrounds from JCFO - they were innovative and there were many. A fishing pier in front of the terrace feels important. Fire pits, beer gardens, and food trucks in Sasaki design all feel like Madison culture. I like the story walk idea from Sasaki if it bridges past, present and future voices as well as links the whole lakeshore with it's design/theme.
308	hiding the majority of the road along the water front
309	Monona Hill, green infrastructure, separate bike and ped paths, rain gardens, bioswales, interpretive signage, beach, soften parts of the lake edge, King and Hamilton street axes extended
310	Sasaki elevated walkway
311	I like Agency's Monona Hill. I like Sasaki's treatment of the lakeshore. Both Agency and Sasaki have good approaches to Olin Park.
312	Liked the Agency plan's balance with Monona Terrace.
313	Lookout tower, not impinging on Monona Terrace, greater separation from cars, engaging for residents as opposed to tourists, orienting downtown toward the lake.
314	Beach access. See previous narrative
315	The natural shoreline concept is really intriguing. Really like the ideas that incorporate more public gathering space around the lake front. (Beach, boardwalk, amphitheater, etc)
316	Sasaki plan including boathouse that I believe was part of the original Monona terrace plan. Increased areas to sit and reflect.
317	I love the designs that split the bike path and pedestrian paths near John Nolan Drive. The current bike path from John Nolan to Olin is too narrow for multi use. The bike path from John Nolan to Law Park often feels dangerous due to the high volume of pedestrians on the same path as bikers without a divider.  I also like the over water walkways as they give a different level of access to the water for everyone. And love educational plaques, etc. added!
318	I like the boardwalks, expanded bike and walking paths. I also appreciate that sasaki thinks Madison will have a train in the future

319	<p>"Monona Hill" from the Agency plan is an incredible idea to fully connect the city and lake. It replaces asphalt with grass in a way that increases mobility and access while making the centerpiece Terrace truly pop.</p> <p>Grade-separated crossings are a must and need to be frequent to maintain this mobility and access over the entire span of the project. Underpasses would be the best way to accomplish this since they would require less elevation change, don't require plowing in the winter. They would also allow more opportunities for public art installations than overpasses (mosaics, murals, light installations).</p> <p>Overpasses are a good solution in some of the designs since they can beautify the skyline and add vantage points for taking in the natural beauty. They are preferable closer to downtown where there is heavier foot traffic, more to see, and there would be no elevation change on the North side of JND because of the land's natural elevation.</p> <p>The separation of bike traffic from the main parts of the park is also an important feature of the Agency design. Diminishing any points of conflict between different user types will become extra important as the park becomes more of a destination.</p>
320	<p>Sasaki's multi-use raised bridge toward the capitol. (created easy and obvious access towards capitol - a point of interest and of universal navigation) Agency's greenification of the terrace. (currently too much concrete)</p>
321	<p>Creating softer living edges to the lake is a super high priority for me, for lake water quality improvements and because I like to walk along the lake and it would be much more pleasant. Widening the causeway and the amount of space to add a walking path next to the bike path would make both activities more pleasant. I also love the boardwalk idea if it is feasible, it would be a fantastic way to appreciate the lake. Making John Nolen a tree lined slower traffic boulevard would be great though I don't know if it would be possible without better mass transit. I also like the idea of lakefront restaurant or food trucks.</p>
322	<p>I love the reintroduction of wetland the help collect and filter runoff. I also enjoy when they integrate separate running/walking/biking paths so as to keep people with different priorities from fouling each other's goals.</p>
323	<p>I liked the smooth edges and access from the Square down to the lake. Also liked the improvements to the water - especially to prevent blue-green algae blooms. I liked the minimalist - less impactful-looking shoreline. I also loved the more restaurant/food truck options along the waterfront.</p>
324	<p>I really like the walkway and elevated walkway features, as well as natural beach areas and a focus on non-motorized use of land and water.</p>
325	<p>Lakeside restaurant</p>
326	<p>I love the Agency plan that broadly impacts the community and really makes the shoreline come alive with activities and options for a diverse community of users. The Sasaki plan tends to lake this level of impact.</p>
327	<p>Separate walking, biking and running paths. I am a triathlete so don't want to have to dodge pedestrians.</p>
328	<p>I especially love: the designated (and separate) walking and biking paths that go all the way across the causeway and through Law Park; turning MLK into a boulevard; improving access to the waterfront, including by reducing concrete covered space around the Terrace; and making the lakeshore more natural.</p>

329	Natural features
330	The waterfront of James Conner as well as the trail options. Sasaki also looked like a good option for my family and friends needs The last one I found confusing.
331	I like the emphasis of all 3 plans to make John Nolan less of a concrete landscape
332	Winter Garden Event lawn Boardwalk all the way to Olin! Cove Kayak trail Amphitheater Beach at Olin Playground Greening Jihn Nolen
333	sasaki is embedded in reality.. listening to the 'voices' lets make this about us!
334	I like the focus on improving the water quality of the lakes and giving more opportunity to use the lakes. I also like the idea of covering a large section of John Nolan Dr so that there is more natural habitat along the lake shore.
335	Native American learning walkway with Sasaki
336	Use of the shoreline by Olin park, incorporating the terrace thoughtfully
337	Don't want a reduction of traffic lanes on John Nolen Drive and cars getting through the isthmus.
338	Both the Agency Landscape + Planning and James Corner Field Operations proposals seem to deftly balance contemporary environmental values with the architecture of Monona Terrace, John Nolen's vision for the Law Park area, and engaged stewardship of the land dating back to the HoChunk era. They seem to place the greatest emphasis on restoring greenspace to the Isthmus portion of this project through the Monona Hill and Law Park North expansions.
339	Runoff filtering, fishing access, separation of bike and pedestrian, care of the lakeshore and water quality, marshlands, accessibility
340	Restored balance of use, slow growth, more green space, taking care of the shoreline, built for everyday use of residents
341	I really love the nature center idea from Sasaki
342	I enjoyed the softness and relationship to existing topographies and appreciation for nature along the lake edge in Agency's presentation documents.
343	Separate trails for commuter bikes is absolutely necessary!
344	I like that there is a lot of good use of the water and sure. I would like to see more emphasis on wheelchair access and ease-of-use.
345	Sasaki's plan takes the change of seasons into account to a higher degree than the other two (I feel). I do like the canoe/kayak training course in JCFO's plan. It was probably part of the design challenge, but I appreciate how each plan would rework the John Nolan Drive bridge.
346	restoration of the lakeshore, minimizing manmade lakeshore including "beaches" and hardscaped paths/areas
347	I like that nearly all of the firms included a space for the water ski team. There was emphasis on viewing areas. Some "soft landing" areas for the ski team would also be nice. It was cool to see an inclusive space that can be used by a waterski team, but also enjoyed when the team isn't there. I also really like the inclusive bike bath and waterfront walk that is included in most plans.

348	<p>like: the strong design elements of extending Hamilton and King street/bridge extensions in jcfo plan. strongest concept and achievable and like that they ground on the water. the most important overall objective in the law park segmetn is to connect downtown to the lake. its does this without going 'over the top' with significant bridging. the idea of some berms to sound proofing olin park space is a good idea but will need more study. i liked Agency's thinking that Olin could be the Southside park/lakefront connected to the neighborhoods and broader destination district.</p>
349	<p>I like the sky bridges and the calls to turn the current alleys leading to John Nolen into actual connections to the lake front.</p> <p>I like the greenification of John Nolen, even when it reduces road size. northbound the third lane is rarely used, south bound it just causes congestion at the on-ramp to the beltline.</p>
350	<p>I loved how the Agency plan got rid of the ugly eyesore that is the concrete and Convention center. It is an iconic building, but is cold and the way the developed around it while incorporating paths to downtown is amazing. The over the water paths in all plans were great too.</p>
351	<p>The piers in the JCFO plan The landscaping in the JCFO feels cohesive across the space The de-prioritization and dominance of John Nolen Dr.</p>
352	<p>Overhead walk</p>
353	<p>Supporting local wildlife and lake health. Accessibility for all, greenways.</p>
354	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Connection</li> <li>-Areas that are activated but don't require a ton of programming</li> <li>-Permanent places for a food vendors</li> <li>-Different pathways for different speeds</li> <li>-Softening the shoreline</li> </ul>
355	<p>Variation of height (like the inclusion of an elevated bridge), nature playground, lots of places to sit near the water</p>
356	<p>Large Olin park area and new park at Monona terrace. All the green space</p>
357	<p>I like the plans with multiple elevations, boat access, waterfront restaurant. Bring back some marsh for the wild animals.</p>
358	<p>Natural landscape, separated bike, walk paths, community gatherings spaces</p>
359	<p>I love the boardwalk-style walkways that take people out onto the water. I love separating John Nolen Drive from the bike/pedestrian path/s. I love paths for different speeds, and even separate paths. I love the idea of a "tunnel" for John Nolen Drive. I love the notion of restoring marsh and rebalancing the lake ecololgy with other features. And I love all of the natural spaces, including lots of trees!</p>
360	<p>Covering more of John Nolen Dr. and train tracks. This provides so much more green space, ease of access to Lake Monona and improving quality of life for residents and vistors.</p>
361	<p>The board walk "walk on water", wetland shoreline restoration, and expanded green space around monona terrace in plan one. The woodland playground and planting trees along john nolen Dr in plan 2. The water filtering technology in plan 3</p>



362	Easing the access from the capital building area is critical and I like the more commercial centric components of the area around monona terrace. I like the construction of 'island' type things in the area along John Nolan and Olin Park. I like the access points for paddling and rowing in all areas, as well as the beach access.
363	Separation of walkers from bikers from other users; Safer Linkages to downtown and neighborhoods Relation of diverse events and user spaces Softening of shoreline and connection and flow among bay, Olin, Monona terrace and northern area of law park
364	Outdoor venues with music and restaurants, etc.
365	Walking access to feel like you are on the water, more open space for picnics, activity space for children, more access to walk from downtown madison.
366	Favorite aspects were the boardwalk, wildlife center, amphitheater, outdoor dining/event spaces, all of which Sasaki provided seamlessly and beautifully
367	Enhanced connectivity to the lake was the primary feature I looked for in the designs. The viewing areas and soft connection points included in Agency's design were the best options.
368	Additional beach access, floating plants, sand volleyball, vision for all seasons.
369	Seating and room for the ski team.
370	Agency's connection to the lake. Sasaki's emphasis on water quality.
371	Like the trails and proposed attractions
372	I like that the third plan specifically included the water ski shows in their plan as the ski team is a staple of the Monona shoreline every summer.
373	I like multiple access points to the lake for swimming, kayaking, and boating. I like separation of bikers, pedestrians, and vehicles. I also like areas for activities, viewing the lake, pet areas, art areas, and nature areas.
374	yes! slowed down and tree lined buffered separate paths, causeway, etc as entrances, safer lanes, some raised bridges for walkers, and each major park in difference sections is enhanced, not just downtown.
375	Play areas and access to winter recreation on the lake are most important to me. As a parent, downtown is lacking in those elements.
376	The walkway over the lake
377	I feel like James corner was the most comprehensive.
378	I really liked MONONA HILL idea as well as the additional trees and greenway space throughout John Nolen of JCFO. I also liked the environmental features of the Sasaki of the lakeshore walkway.
379	Like the beach aspect (including the sitting/steps) of the James Corner plan. Like the hammock grove of 2 of the plans. Like the piers of the James Corner plan.
380	Sasaki natural and architectural beauty as well as functionality
381	There aren't any features I dislike - I am really excited about the prospect of all three options, especially as someone who lives on 16 Lakeshore Ct and presumes to heavily use any new development in that area. I love the enhanced walking / biking areas which are separate from the road, I love the enhanced interactions with nature and I love the fact that all designs include more space for public gathering and interactions.
382	"The hill" concept

383	Monona Hill seems like a place I want to go to sit, ponder, people watch, enjoy the view. Walk on water idea seems like a fun way to feel the water without being in it.
384	I like the extension of roads out into the Lake. The design opportunities were great
385	The Agency Landscape plan for bike paths, the separate walking paths, and the whole Manona Hill concept are standouts along with the concept of the walking path that extends out into the lake from Olin Park which would give people of all abilities the feeling of really being out on the lake. They also do the best job of SAFELY connecting all of the adjacent communities to the lakeshore amenities.
386	Love the water access points, water side event spaces, beach options, food availability
387	beach, public sitting near lake, restaurant, playground
388	Focus on the health of the lake and wild life, pedestrian accessibility an enhancing our usage of the lake shore while respecting the lake and wild life.
389	Seating or viewing areas for waterfront activities is the biggest thing I am looking for. Otherwise having a place to refill a water bottle or use the bathroom along the bike path would be nice too.
390	The boathouse and amphitheater near law park.
391	Agency's Olin "circle" and Monona Hill; Corner's Hamilton Street foot bridge and pier, as well as green space around Law Park
392	I like the JCFO mixed-use paths and Law Park, Sasaki's plan for Olin Park and Agency's hill concept. Turning John Nolen into a parkway and de-centering of the automobile.
393	The Olin park elevated walk, the extended piers, and promoted spaces for ecosystem health
394	Natural spaces, and biodiversity
395	More information is needed to understand their true ability to separate peds and bikes, make a better Olin/Turville Park and better lakeshore space by Monona Terrace.
396	Provisions for human-powered watercraft access.
397	The Agency plan has the most green space and is the most natural looking.
398	walk on water use for all seasons recognizing our cultural heritage and respecting the lands on which we live and play
399	the expanded portion on lakeside of Monona Terrace which separates fishing pier, bike lanes & running/pedestrian areas
400	Multi-functional spaces; recreation and lounge usage, free public spaces and working with the environment to promote better water quality and drainage; continuing the flow from the Capitol out to the water
401	The natural lakeshore that will bring in wildlife, and clean storm water before it enters the lake. Clean water and providing for wildlife is a top concern for me.
402	Paths, beaches, gardens, access to the lake.
403	lakeshore access from the square. NEEDED. restaurant and year round features. Improvements to Law Park for watching the ski shows!
404	Sasaki provides for Monona Terrace expansion, ampitheater
405	The beach and boardwalks
406	Really love the idea of turning the monona terrace into a more natural looking structure rather than parking and asphalt

407	I love the beach for the James Corner Field Operations
408	beach, walking , restaurant
409	The features that make the area surrounding Monona terrace more friendly to people are my favorite. Being able to walk/bike/etc. is a huge boon to Madison, and being able to spread that all the way to Monona would be beneficial.
410	I love Sasaki's story walk; it was the only one that gave extensive space for history, art and culture - I loved this are in the Olin park especially. I also loved their focus on debris collection and water quality structures including how we have to consider what is going on under the water too. For James Corner I loved the Tamarack mark and outdoor classroom. I also appreciate how detailed they were in mentioning the existing fishing space and how they will keep some of that similar. I liked the Agency's design for multiple paths for different speeds and their focus on access to the South side neighborhoods.  They all talked about things like rain gardens and permeable pavement that are great to hear.
411	- beautiful pier at Olin for view of capital, quiet enjoyment such as gardening at Olin, wetland restoration, slow and slow trails
412	I love the walk on water plan the Agency brief describes. I also love the various path expansions allowing each walkers and bikers to travel using different routes for different uses. I also like the flexibility in the Agency project for future business development without relying on industry.
413	I like the green hill. I've appreciated covered roadways in cities where I've seen them. I like the idea of using Hamilton and King as axis points leading to gathering places. I like the emphasis on shoreline restoration and kayaking.
414	Agency Landscape + Planning featured the best use of the waterfront at Olin park for multiple usages.
415	Multi levels for all people to sit, play, or walk where they want; closeness to water; boardwalks for even walking/riding. These are things we're missing here.
416	I like the underpass and causeway design in Agency; and the woodland and canoe area in Corner
417	The underpass for John Galen from Agency; The wetlands/marsh feature and kayak area from Corner; The greenification of John Galen area in Agency and Corner; The causeway with walking and bike path from Agency; The Water loop in Orin Park from Agency.

418	<p>The connection of the diagonal streets, (King and Hamilton) extending down to the lake, which seems to create a zone of connection to the lake and also frames Monona Terrace. (And the multiple connections of other streets inside that angle to the lake.) The diagonals create a beautiful symmetry, especially connected with the formations at the lake edge- the wide steps at the end of Hamilton, and something similar at the end of King St .</p> <p>I like the additional curves of the Corner Plan from Olin Park to Law Park, instead of just following the current shoreline, as the 2 other plans mostly do.</p> <p>I like the connections to different neighborhoods in the Corner Plan. The Corner Plan seems more cohesive instead of 3-4 more isolated zones in other plans.</p> <p>I like the significant increase in green areas, as more trees, plants, flowers, etc. than the current areas of the causeway. (in other plans too)</p> <p>Converting the causeway to a slower boulevard is a great idea.</p> <p>Separating paths for walkers, bikers, etc is absolutely necessary. Thank you!</p> <p>All the water quality improvement methods are wonderful.</p>
419	<p>Restoration of marshes. Increased space for pedestrians, and separation from bikes. More green space/park area.</p>
420	<p>I like the restoration of marshlands to the lakeshore. I also like the addition of more pedestrian access from the downtown side.</p>
421	<p>Boardwalk along Nolen. Gives more separation from traffic.</p> <p>Capping Nolen by the Convention Center. Also gives more separation from traffic.</p> <p>The kayak paths at Olin. More uses for the water.</p> <p>Piers by the Terrace side and water overwalk at Olin. Creates destinations and scenic views.</p> <p>Restaurants. Creates some nightlife and variety.</p>
422	<p>large and separate bike and pedestrian paths along the lake with places to stop and enjoy.</p>
423	<p>I liked the Monona Hill design of the Agency Landscape because it covers part of John Nolan Drive and provides more natural access to the lakeshore. For the James Corner Design, I liked the how it transformed John Nolan into a Green Boulevard. I also liked the Law Park ledge in the Sasaki design with its green area over John Nolan Drive.</p>
424	<p>Green space, permeable walking paths, walking paths that are separate from bicycle paths, and Sasaki's proposed area for food trucks.</p>
425	<p>I really like the piers and large steps near the lake. having seating right at the water's edge is a huge draw (see the steps at the Terrace, the large stone steps in chicago along Lake Michigan, the on-river seating along the chicago river)</p>
426	<p>I love the 2 piers and surrounding areas on the JCFO designs, these are outstanding. I also really like the canoe/kayak area. I also like the water walk on the Agency design.</p>
427	<p>Easier and multiple ways to access Monona Terrace, especially the rooftop via MLK. The Capital Square already collects large groups of people and we need a more natural transition from the square to the lake that isn't via a hidden path or through a maze.</p> <p>Adding more green to the downtown area and places to sit along the lakeshore.</p>
428	<p>Two things struck me initially: the "water walk" from Agency, and the causeway boulevard from James Corner - both stood out as features.</p>

429	I would like to see waters sports involved and considered heavily. Such as fishing and kayaking and power boating.
430	More pedestrian and bike space, less focus on cars and trucks.
431	Public performance space, restrooms, canopy walks, and safer pedestrian walkways appeal to me.
432	"Monona Hill" (agency) integrates neighborhoods and capitol with parkway and lake. Also the boardwalks and plantings. "John Nolen Boulevard" (JCFO) made best improvements to road thoroughfare. The roadway is a dominant feature, so it's nice that JCFO tried to make it a pleasant tunnel of trees instead of just loud traffic on sea of pavement.
433	I like that the Sasaki plan centers the Ho-Chunk Nation and sustainability
434	I like the beaches, I like including a waterfront restaurant and boathouse closer to downtown, I love creating a landform connection between the downtown terrace and the lakefront, and I like the softer edges that will help with the health of the lake.
435	Incorporating a variety of ways to interact with the lakeshore, as well as multiple access points, seem like great features. Connection with existing streets and infrastructure are important. Hiding the eyesore that is John Nolen is a great idea. Completing all the proposed buildings within the Monona Terrace complex is an excellent idea.
436	Separated bike and walking paths. Easy of accessibility from John Nolen to Capital and other neighborhoods. Public green spaces.
437	The focus on native plantings, ecological restoration and wetland restorations were the highlight for me.
438	Dedicated lanes for walking, running, biking. Beaches downtown Better access from downtown neighborhoods to the John Nolen path via walking or bike.
439	The piers and generally connecting downtown to the waterfront by covering John Nolen! It makes downtown feel more people-focused as opposed to car-focused.
440	Integrating lakeshore more with downtown from its current Great Divide.
441	I like the walking paths through the marshes/nature areas. I also like the kayak access in the James Corner plan.
442	All of them look great!
443	The nature center, and biodiversity. It only benefits by supporting species other than our own, and I think Sasaki provides the best support all around.
444	Maximum access for a variety of people...handicapped to athletic to youn children
445	John Nolen overpass, making additional parkland over the road.
446	I like Agency's water walk at Olin. I like Sasaki's designated pedestrian and biking trails. I like JC's kayaking route.
447	I love having a boardwalk from Olin to the terrace in the Agency's plan. Having a beachfront option with concessions is a major attraction to stay around all day with the James Corner Fields option.

448	I like the continuation/connection to downtown. I like the different paced pathways along Nolin and being able to walk with water on either side. I like that JCFO includes a playground. I like that the Sasaki Canopy walk looks very incorporated with nature.
449	1. John Nolen conversion to tree-lined park boulevard. Smaller lanes, smaller sightlines that force drivers to go more slowly. More priority for low noise, and pedestrians / cyclists / non-vehicle users of the causeway.  2. A concessionaire in Olin Park - nature center, or kayaks, or restaurant; with restrooms  3. A better connection from downtown to the lakefront. I especially like the Overlook concept from Agency. I think it represents the most feasible way to connect the square to the lake given that there's a railroad and a state highway to contend with.
450	More green space, more paths for all types of activity, more
451	I fully support covering John Nolen Drive like other cities have done with highways and large roads that run through their downtown. Covering the road and RR tracks creates a better barrier between the traffic and the park as opposed to simply building more pedestrian crossings.
452	Direct access to Capitol and downtown to lake Monona. Restaurant and boat house on the lake. Walkable and safe rather than fighting traffic for minimal lake access.
453	Pier/parks/ample green space downtown
454	I like having creative play areas for all ages and unique ways to enjoy the views. I do like maintaining Monona Terrace for its architectural and historical value.
455	Agency has the most Madison feel, accessibility, and lake health
456	I like how all of them focus on natural restoration and water quality. I appreciate the thinking about how things will work year round. I love the nature playground and kayak course in JFCO's design. I love the boardwalk in Sasaki's design. That is similar to what I've seen in Austin and it is incredibly popular. I love the story walk in Sasaki's design.
457	My choice was the favorite by a lot. It captures the vision I think best
458	SASAKI - the variety of recreation, access, and leisure activities is very nice. The multi-level walking paths makes great use of the space and ecological restoration areas
459	The kayak course, the walk on water circle, the educational center - they are unique and easy to enjoy.
460	The cultural walkway and tree walkway are super interesting and I love how they incorporate place-based education.
461	Agency's plan to revamp Olin Park is SO EXCITING. Making that part of Madison more accessible is needed. Developing safer foot access along John Nolen is also necessary.
462	James Corner follows the current layout, which I like because I do like the current lakeshore.
463	Reinvigorating the marsh and lake health is a priority. Green gathering space and better walking access to and from the Capitol and along the lake are awesome. The ability to interact with the marsh and lake seems best w Corner
464	Yes - the main feature I like is better biking and walking pathways. Right now it's tight as either a biker or runner, and improving those would be great.

465	All make you interact more with nature
466	Water filtration from city before it goes into the lake. Clean lakes. Parks/playgrounds for families. Restaurants for tourism. Walking trails for all paces(walk, running,biking)
467	walkability and able to spend the day there.
468	Like the Corner focus on piers at the end of streets, extending the city to the lake.
469	The walkway
470	Sasaki - the social aspect of a restaurant, seating, a pop up bar
471	The foot bridge to access the Terrace from the Capitol; the greenification of the Terrace area; a reasonable boardwalk/bike path along John Nolan; respecting the wetlands to the West of Monona. The idea of incorporating a splash park is great too, as the lake can often be unsafe to swim in during the summer due to algae bloom.
472	The more we cover John Nolen and create useful space, the better.
473	I really like covering a majority of John Nolan with the monona Hill and turning it into green space in Agency's design. I like the variety of activities of sasaki's design and I like the layout of bike/pedestrian paths on JCFO's design.
474	Cars and trains and lakes don't go together. Covering up as much of the roadway as possible is a plus. If the train tracks and road that divide the lake could be removed all together that would be the biggest win. It would also make South Park Street a more vibrant business and entertainment district.
475	John Nolen dr renovation
476	multiple paths for bikes and pedestrians natural Landscaping
477	I liked the way the Lakeshore looked in the first companies plan
478	The winter garden and esplanade and water front amphitheater
479	Sasaki's high line and amphitheater
480	Sasaki places more emphasis on prioritizing lake health, which I think is of utmost importance. The wild lake shore in James Corner is definitely a space I would love to explore both on land and by kayak. All have some form of boardwalks to get people out over the water.
481	Taking back land used for cars via building parks above the roads allows for the same commuter access but better use of total land area. The makes the whole lake shore appealing and attractive.
482	I loved the increased access from south madison to Olin park. I liked the piers into the lake the best. A boat launch/dock and increased public space for festivals and lounging that feels more like the Union terrace would be amazing.
483	Separating the bike path from a new walking path, more green spaces and places to stop and rest along the causeway, pedestrian bridges over traffic, places for education, easier access to the water, ADA accessible, ecological considerations
484	The Urban forest and aquatic habitats are dope
485	I like: the bike path by AL+P – safest and most fun path, the diagonal street extensions by AL+P and James Corner – most aesthetically pleasing and most cohesive with the current city layout, the Canopy walk by Sasaki – adds a new way of experiencing Olin park, the Walk on Water ring by AL+P – best for fishing
486	Bike path expansion, outdoor dining, venue for live music

487	I like the consideration of access to Olin park from south side neighborhoods across from John Nolan drive, and I think this should be a central aspect of whatever final design is chosen. I also like the consideration of the bike path through the Monona terrace area and separating it from other speed paths to make non-biking and biking safer. I also like the incorporation of space for a future train station near Monona terrace
488	I don't like any of these.
489	Focus on sustainability and lake health, Monona Terrace land bridge of the 1st plan and the canopy walk of the 3rd plan , accessible pathways from downtown to lake
490	I like the beach - one aspect of community access
491	I like the integration of nature and modern amenities. I think it is important to draw people and have them stick around. right now it is only a passing area - you dont spend much time there.
492	In general I like that all plans address rebuilding wetland and water quality. I specifically am interested in creating separate bike lanes and walking paths and safety improvements. I like the features that make it easier to enjoy the waterfront from other parts of the city and make it more connected.
493	I love the beach in James Corner and the kayaking opportunities. That's very important to me. The preservation of recreation trails matter too.
494	I liked a beach area. I liked the trees, as many trees as possible. I liked covering up John Nolan.
495	I really like the emphasis on water quality and shoreline habitats. I also love the different paths for biking and walking. I also love the nature playground.
496	I like the opportunity to walk out on the water (not just short piers). I also like the idea of walkways extending from the Capitol down to the waterfront, and expansion of outdoor areas at the base of Monona Terrace.
497	We need piers for walking , getting out over the water, etc. but also need to be able to take your boat/vessel/kayak to a place to access these features.
498	I really like having more boardwalks and separation between the different modes of traffic. Having space for fisherman and addressing the environment in the water for the fish is awesome. Stopping runoff and filtration before it gets to the lake is ideal
499	Love the adventure playground, beach, separate biking and walking paths, restaurant, boat rentals, and green space.
500	I like the circular walkway into the lake in Olin park by Agency.
501	Elevated paths Gathering space on the lakeshore Path from Capitol to lakeshore
502	The green space that was tucked away under an overhead path in the sasaki plan was really cool, along with filtering rainwater drainage before it enters the lake and improving the health of the shoreline wetlands
503	Green design, focus on naturalizing and restoring lakefront
504	Separate walking path from bike path Restaurants!! and event space for gathering Beaches for enjoying the lake More access points to get over to the waterfront easily
505	Being a lakeshore resident, I want low profile structures that don't interfere with my view. On ground walking paths are much better then overpasses and sky bridges. The less structures the better, let's keep it simple and natural!



506	Separate paths for walking and bikes. Meandering paths where you can choose where you want to go. Incorporation of water quality enhancing elements
507	I like connecting the park to the city better, via pedestrian and bicycle access, also planning for lake health initiatives.
508	I love the viewing spaces for the water ski show. That is such an under supported event venue and team. Hundreds of people there every night. Viewable areas and a sand beach for the team and community would be perfect.
509	I like the environment-first design of Sasaki.
510	JCFO's redesign of John Nolan Drive is the transformational solution the causeway and beyond needs. Agency's design of Monona Hill is best at connecting downtown to the lake. The Sasaki Law Park amenities would attract people. I like JCFO's tamarack marsh and kayak course is a great idea for Olin.
511	Boardwalk, maintains bike path. Easy access from square to lakefront.
512	The plans I enjoy the most are the walkway that will connect Olin Park to Monona Terrace. I believe having stations along the way for people to stand by and enjoy the view is a great feature.
513	The hill over John Nolen and the restaurant and boat house
514	I like that they are thinking about the lake's ecosystem more and bringing part of it back a bit closer to what it used to be. I think softening the border of the lake is a good idea and will look nice and provide a more connected feeling to nature.
515	I like the addition of paths for running/walking vs. biking, and more separation from John Nolen drive, as well as more trees around John Nolen to reduce noise and make for a more scenic drive. Really like food and drink options, whether tables and sitting space, food truck parks, or brick-and-mortar restaurants. Additional beach access would be wonderful for greater access to swimming in the lake.
516	I really like the kayak course from James Corner's plan. I also think all three plans had good ideas for improving lake health which is important (but especially James Corner and Sasaki)
517	We like having multiple ped/bike/run paths; this is much needed and a huge improvement! we like the beaches. we like the restaurant. the more green space and improved water quality, the better. we love the bridge to the capitol - connecting the lake to the capitol will make downtown feel much closer to nature.
518	I really like the kayak paths and beaches because I love doing water activities in the summer. I also like the green spaces where people have the option to hammock and enjoy lake views. I think the different speed trails to accommodate walkers, runners, and bikers are really great too!
519	I enjoy multi use spaces that will be used year round
520	Separate lanes for walking and biking. Green space replacing the gray space of Monona Terrace. More activities for everyone. These features all create more inviting areas to spend time. And the environmental features are crucial to improving lake quality.
521	Love them all, and my voting on each is random because I think they all exceed standards.
522	I like the lakeshore walking path but all three plans gave it an organic looking route. I would hug it to the shoreline similar to the trail on Lake Waubesa.

523	I like a plan the details how it will impact the environment and what they will do to ensure wildlife and the community benefit. The access to nature and recreation is important while ensuring the wildlife is not negatively impacted.
524	Art and design
525	Sasaki had a stronger more intentional focus on environmental impact and support. Sasaki also explicitly included Ho-Chunk sentiments towards the region. That inclusion indicates they are more willing to listen to the interests of the local population. The kayaking and canoeing area proposed by James Corner was also an arrestingly stunning vision for Monona.
526	Sasaki great clean water idea, winter planting, place for fishing green green green plants all great. James Corner Field Operations Big playgrounds, great sitting area near the water, Monona Fishing pier looks good. Agency Landscape + Planning nice boardwalk, nice Olin park circle.
527	Connection to native and natural systems
528	I liked that there is more space on the waterfront because that doesn't really exist right now.
529	multiple walkways and expanded areas along james nolen drive. environmentally conscious. getting rid of current menona convention center (it's ugly).
530	The pedestrian loop on the Agency. The Boat house on the James Corner. The nature center on the Sasaki.
531	The ability for Multi-functionality
532	All plans are good but the Susaki team is a little bit better
533	walkways
534	incorporating the hill! raised sidewalk from the capital! lots of green space! attention given to john nolen
535	Wildlife
536	Removing all of the concrete jungle from Monona terrace and making it green. Adding multiple bike and walking paths. Adding gathering spaces along the lake. Sustainable plant life and water filtration.
537	all have a good connection to the lake
538	Open, green space
539	The freeway lid design over john nolen dr is a fantastic idea to add green space while retaining the travel corridor
540	Enhancing the walking and biking path along the lakeshore to make it more habitable an to increase variety
541	I appreciate Agency and Sasuki's emphasis on viewing areas for on water entertainment. I appreciate the immense amount of nature and wildlife built into the James Corner plan.
542	Shore restoration
543	I liked the sustainability shown in Sasaki as well as the lake front inclusions.
544	JFCO reducing the footprint of JND.
545	Lake Edge Restoration top priority. Like Ho-Chunk cultural trail Like enhanced Green Space adjacent to Monona Terrace Like idea of changing John Nolen into Green Boulevard in James Corner plan.

546	<p>JFCO - boulevards are great and provide much needed color to the city, re-wilding the lakefront is wonderful for improving water quality, lane reduction on JND to reduce traffic downtown is great. Additional housing along the lakefront would be great to address our housing crisis.</p> <p>AL&amp;P - I love the idea of integrating a rail stop into Monona Terrace. Madison will need a rail system soon and this could be the kick-off to that much needed infrastructure, especially as Madison continues to grow.</p>
547	I like Field Operations waterfront social and recreational access.
548	the feeling of a smaller space with easy access
549	I really like combining nature and city together so I would love to see that in a way that is beneficial to the environment, I love the idea of bringing the Ho Chunk people into this, please include them as the planning goes on with all possible projects. Also love expanding Olin park
550	<p>The walkways and raised walkways in Sasaki's plan are incredible. They provide access to all kinds of residents who do not currently have much lake access. It's even wheel chair accessible!</p> <p>Also the story walk idea is one of the best design ideas I've heard of in a while!</p> <p>The plan to connect Hamilton St. is so important!</p>
551	more green spaces, i always thought the beauty if the monona terrace is lessened by all the grey cement and asphalt surrounding it
552	I really liked the JC plans that they were including lots of parks and nature learning centers. As a mother, this really excites me and I would love to take my children to it!
553	I like the plans that include restoring wetlands. Most include this along John Nolan, but only JCFO includes this in Law Park. Also really loved the concepts for Olin Park itself in the JCFO plan!
554	I love that Sasaki is putting the health of the land, lake, and wildlife first. They truly understood the assignment and took the time to center the voices of Madison's people--past and present. I also like that Agency L&P included other similar projects where elements have already been accomplished. It shows that while their goals may be ambitious, they're still achievable.
555	Priority cycling, walking, and transit were great to see, and I hope to see other prioritization efforts in the final build, such as level sidewalk and bike path crossings, and signals to prioritize bikes and pedestrians. I also love the JCFO idea of reducing John Nolan Dr lanes, and making it a boulevard. I also like the JCFO idea of connecting the lakefront with the rest of downtown.
556	Covering John Nolan/connecting downtown to the waterfront!
557	I like the green space over the top of John Nolan and the activated space all along the water front. Engaging more with nature can have a huge impact on people's relationship with the surrounding environment.
558	JCFO waterfront plaza extended from urban fabric is simple and strong.
559	<p>Emphasis on cleaning stormwater and reintroducing habitat</p> <p>The Monona boathouse</p>

560	<p>Walk on water looks great and has the added benefit of expanding without land fill. (I would however want to know that the "reef" is in fact a good idea and not something we may come to regret)</p> <p>Raised walkway in Sasaki looks very nice</p> <p>Room for Food carts- I think preferable to fixed restaurant space- can change and less upkeep- like the vibe</p> <p>Loved overall greening of the surrounding areas/streets.</p> <p>Like idea of amphitheater for water show, etc.</p> <p>Love that access to park will be improved by under or over John Nolan.</p>
561	Realism of Sasaki's plan
562	See above
563	<p>I love the Corner plan - lakeside restaurant, boardwalks and kayak areas, beach, making much more green space in all areas.</p> <p>also liked Sasaki raised walkway in Olin Park.</p>
564	Agency's natural edge approach.
565	I like the bike path connections of the Agency plan, I feel they would be the most usable. Specifically the Hamilton, King, Monona terrace section. I also like the canoe trail of the JFCO plan.
566	<p>The Sasaki idea of creating water circulation is the only hope of making the "wetlands" areas decent because it MIGHT improve water quality in the lake. Without it the "wetlands" will become nasty swamps overrun with weeds and blue green algae which is a current problem on Lake Monona.</p>
567	Green space
568	<p>I like the water cleaning, green and blue shoreline, especially if part of the program is to add a swimming beach and increase water activities.</p> <p>I like the balancing of car and ped/bike traffic.</p> <p>JCFO's Law park design is beautiful. It sits in the landscape really nicely and balances program, open space, and ecology really well. (I also love the idea Love the kayaking in the marsh in JCFO's design.</p> <p>Love Sasaki's specific proposals for ecological typologies and treatments (wetland, rain garden, pervious paving, etc.). Nice to see they have a system in mind.</p>
569	i like the canals for more interesting kayaking in the bay
570	I like having a way to cross John Nolan easily on both sides of the causeway
571	I like the separating usage trails by type -- bike, pedestrian, commuter
572	Separate bike and pedestrian path!
573	The boardwalk on the sasaki plan and how it puts space between pedestrians and cars. Also the sustainability around water/rain garden/path permeability on the Sasaki plan.
574	Separated biking and walking lanes for different speeds. Lake rehab. Water access. I loved the canopy walk in Olin park.
575	I like the safe pahts for bikes, that is always a problem, and the briges with a phrase on it, love it
576	Boat house, Waterfront Restaurant, safe spot for swimming
577	I absolutely love the water walk. It's really gorgeous and I could 110% see myself going out of my way to walk down there.
578	I liked the raised canopy walk and the eco friendluy design of sazaki

579	I like the canopy walk, and definitely the beach and kayak areas.
580	Polution filtering in Sasaki plan
581	Multi use pathways, bridges over/ under roadways, seating and rec areas
582	I liked the Sasaki plan overall- it seems like it would best benefit the com Community and make it a place visitors would enjoy as well.
583	I love that the Agency plan has grassy areas on both sides of Monona Terrace.
584	I like the boardwalks at the water level, ways to kayak within marshy areas, improving lake as an environment, the porous pavement and water management plans, greening the entire area. Overall, the parts that I prefer are the green/natural aspects, not the cement features.
585	Reducing the width of John Nolan drive
586	Story Walk (Sasaki) Fewer lanes on John Nolen (Field Operations) Monona Hill (Agency)
587	I liked the multiple piers from JCFO and Sasaki - especially the Hamilton Street activations. Also like the idea of some sort of structure in Law Park to allow for programming and shelter. Greatly appreciate all the environmental shoreline enhancements that each organization proposed.
588	I like any of the parts that expand into the lake a bit more.
589	I really like the JND lane reduction explicitly stated in JCFO. I really appreciate the wetlands restoration present in all three plans. I love the Ho-Chunk voice present in Sasaki's plan. JCFO and Sasaki both create strong bike infrastructure options.
590	Expanded lakeshore recreational space, a plant-filled cap over the train line, and a winter garden are some of my favorite elements.
591	Love the boardwalks in the Agency and Sasaki plan.  Love the winter garden, amphitheater, event lawn, and restaurant in the Sasaki plan.
592	None. Keep it the way it is.
593	Like the beach access and other features of Comer design.
594	I like the bleacher extension in the Sasaki design for viewing the ski shows and a place to hang out along the water (similar vibe to the Memorial Union Terrace), and I like the elevated lake bridge hovering over the water, and the Olin Overlook. It gives people a special way to connect to nature within the city of Madison.
595	Monona Hill. The beach feature at the end of radial roadways. The natural waterway features at Olin park.
596	I didn't review the plans.
597	I like Sasaki's approach to telling the story of the Indigenous communities.
598	I like the overpasses/underpasses to get around John Nolen
599	Hamilton St access
600	Future planning of the space was very exciting
601	The green hill Fostering good water quality and enhancing life of the lake
602	Overpasses and underpasses that make it easy to bike and walk to the lakeshore without crossing JN at grade—this will vastly improve bikability and walkability of the area. Marshes—this will improve our water. General open-use green space—I would spend time just chilling in an open area, I already like to do that at James Madison and Vilas, just keep it simple.

603	the traffic calming of John Nolen is most important
604	Sasaki's story walk and the vision for a pedestrian bridge and elevated park to connect the city over the busy highway.
605	I like the lakefront restaurants in the Law Park area, the wide boardwalk that extends onto the water, the boat-shaped vegetation islands, and the large playground on the terrace.
606	Mixed all season use without disenfranchising the people that currently use the space
607	vibrancy of the waterfront environments
608	Connection to downtown in Agency plan. Nature center in Sasaki plan, as well as focusing on seasonal activities.
609	More green space. Biking and pedestrian paths.
610	Beaches don't make sense on this lake
611	I like the natural lake edge features and boardwalks of sasakis plan, and the beach in JCFO's plan.
612	I love the canopy walk in Sasaki's plan because it immerses you into the nature of the park
613	I liked the extra gardens and children's play area. I loved the docks extending out to the lake. I liked the cafe tables opening up to the possibility of buying food or drink while at the lake.
614	I liked the nature center and canopy walk from the Sasaki plan, as well as the winter specific ice skating and sledding hill areas. I think fire pits are an excellent idea as well as adding in some commercial features- like somewhere to get coffee, a beer garden, etc. Those are all features we know the community will take advantage of. It reminds me of The Edgewater ice skating rink, but more public and larger scale. I also really liked the water boardwalks in the Agency plan, I thought this was really cool and a way to interact with the lake and give good fishing access without just being a pier. I also think it would be cool to have the enclosed area returning to native marsh habitat, although that might get buggy? I LOVE the idea of an outdoor winter market- I think that could do amazingly well, especially if there's other infrastructure for hot drinks, fire pits, ice skating, etc
615	Agency's connectivity and ecology
616	Sasaki's overlook!
617	I found agency and James corner to be lacking in their improvements to lake Monona, while sasakis specific design interventions are just what we needed.
618	SASAKI's focus on community and community-driven design!
619	I like the different elevations utilized
620	I like the law park design from Sasaki and the monona terrace approach fro JCFO.
621	Maybe some eatery place by the lake.
622	The Walk on Water, the different areas for different sports from biking to fishing, the year round access features, and the ways to improve water quality incorporated into the plans. The improvements to the causeway and making it safer for pedestrians and bikers.
623	Beach, tables and benches, trees and increased greenery/nature, spots to enjoy the lake front, expanded paths for walking/biking/rollerblading
624	seating, allows for more comfortable gathering
625	Capitol hill access inclusion. Natural conservation.

626	Piers and water access, urban beach, wetland canoe program, in-park amenities such as cafe/restaurants
627	See above.
628	Waterfront restaurant, and boat dock access
629	Demonstrating year round use was excellent by Sasaki.
630	Environmental shoreline, the walkway into the lake, the area of
631	Access
632	the walking bridges over highways and water, making it safe to travel along those areas with pets/kids. The access to amenities is important as well - giving people something to do other than walk around will drastically improve the area.
633	Rewilding and accessibility through public transportation are the most critical components.
634	The specific features I was excited about were the stage, amphitheater, and event lawn in Sasaki's plan. As a community member, It we be a great way to bring the community together through potential events.
635	Health of the lake is important and having something for children. I also like the restaurant
636	I really like the multiple trails and axial bridge to the Capitol area that Sasaki is planning, as well as there being two large use areas on both sides of the Terrace. As a rower, I am excited to see the boat house and have an access point there for lake exploration.
637	No. But the Sasaki plan is the least intrusive for fish and fishing.
638	I like the beach and public piers
639	The way it is now.
640	paths, gathering spaces, recreational access
641	natural restoration of shoreline walking path over JN Drive
642	None
643	I love Sasaki's nature center, canopy walk, forest restoration, and attention to detail. I also think the elevated waterfront park reminds be a bit of the Chicago river walk, which Sasaki did, and has brought great life to the city and a lively atmosphere. I also do like the Agency's Boardwalk design and James Corner Field's rooftop access design.
644	Sasaki's lake side restaurant
645	Ecology focus, accessibility, placemaking, equity, storytelling.
646	Beach is ideal.
647	I love the water theatre in Sasukis plan, and the land skaping and oath development as well

648	<p>I LOVE the hill in Agency's plan, and that is what sold me. I love the variety of activities in the Field Operation's plan. I loved the multi-model transportation options in each of the plans. I LOVED the wild edge, especially in the Agency and Field Operation's plans. I really liked the public beaches, boat launches, picnic areas, and the idea of the play spaces (though I felt that these were underdeveloped). I LOVE the idea of having destination points along the pathway, especially on the east side of Monona Terrace near Willy Street Blkes, and on the opposite side, at Olin Park offices. Both areas will make for great destination points, in addition to the destination point that is the new HILL at Monona Terrace.</p> <p>I LOVE, LOVE, LOVE that the horrible six lanes of traffic will be hidden beneath the hill, and replaced with a park. Our city should be known for its beautiful shoreline, and the way the city meets the lake. We have one opportunity to HIDE this terrible feature and really connect the city to the lake in a peaceful, calming and high impact way.</p> <p>I like the idea of eventually building the FLW boathouse is awesome, and also incorporating the history of the Ho-Chunk people, but in more engaging ways, not just didactic signs, etc.</p>
649	Green space where the Monona parking garage is.
650	The greener Monona Terrace, but with expanded pedestrian access from downtown and additional, raised, boardwalks and pedestrian bridges. The visuals of trees and a soft, natural, shoreline is particularly appealing.
651	<p>Separation of ped and bike from the road</p> <p>Restaurant space</p> <p>Beach access</p>
652	Sasaki's canopy and stage, the canopy walk looks amazing and the stage and encourages a lot of socializing
653	I like the waterfront access. I think the beaches, piers, and walking paths would all be great. I like the large gathering areas, and the public art. I also liked the Monona Terrace expansion in some plans. It would be nice to have a place to hang out and sit by the water like the Mendota Terrace has.
654	I love the Woodland play space at Olin park in the JCFO plan. I love the Walk on Water boardwalk in the Agency plan because it will really separate bikers from walkers (if they're too close together, walkers will go on the bike path because they're not paying attention). I love the Monona Hill because it adds the most green space and really truly connects downtown to the water.
655	<p>Separating modes of transport- especially the bikes and walkers/runners.</p> <p>More space for people to enjoy the lake front- especially with more grass, trees and beach areas</p>
656	The wetlands featured in JFCO's plan. Bring natural elements back to Lake Monona seems critical to making it a healthier lake.
657	The living edge!
658	I love the James corner field proposal's focus on wild spaces and nature. I think above all else, properly intermeshing our urban spaces with nature is an extremely important missions that we need to be undertaking on as large of a scale as possible
659	Handicapped accessible access to the lake & nature. No stairs



660	Restoring the lakefront, restaurant, integrating Ho-Chunk, boating, etc
661	Love the different modes of paths (biking, walking, boardwalks, overhanging paths, paths into the water). In addition, the piers and being able to sit alongside the water is awesome.
662	Less car traffic, more biking, walking, paddling
663	Living shore concept of agency and James corner stood out to me  The boat access in James corner was a huge draw for me (kayak) and the small beach
664	I like the "walk on water" paths from Agency and the elevated walkway from Sasaki. Both of them seem like they will attract visitors to walk around the lake.
665	Beaches and water access, John nolen turned into a green thoroughfare
666	I like that each plan hopes to diversify the shoreline with native plant life. I particularly enjoyed Sasaki's attention to development of the waterfront for other life that finds their home here with under pier water clean up strategies and habitats.
667	Separation of biking, running and walking paths.
668	The elevated trail in the Sasaki proposal, with access to a more natural space below. Sasaki details on the water quality plans, and incorporation of the cultural history of the area. The multiple accessible routes to the area being proposed by Agency.
669	The Agency plan engages with the waterfront in a sensitive and ecologically sound way.
670	I like how two of the plans bury john nolen under green space. The area around monona terrace is so industrial and not family friendly. So those two plans (Agency and Sasaki) really increase the greenspace available.

671	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•“Re-wilding” the shoreline is brilliant and important for environmental quality (air, water, public health) and should be applied to Monona Bay “fringe wetlands” as well</li> <li>•Law Park North deserves as much, if not more attention than South (at Hamilton Street), which is closer to Olin and Brittingham lakeshore Parks</li> <li>•Boathouse at Law Park North would be wonderful – boat rentals in summer, skis/skates in winter? Betty Lou</li> <li>•Connections to Bay Creek and southside neighborhoods are important, need to be safe</li> <li>•All “green infrastructure” methods should all be considered to enhance water quality</li> <li>•Year round activities built for and programmed</li> <li>•Safely separating users of the pathways is important.</li> <li>•Maintain a waterway connection to Monona Bay; these are only clearly shown by Agency</li> <li>•Maintain the sailing dock</li> <li>•Outdoor classroom and woodland playground at Olin are important for engaging children (and their parents)</li> <li>•Nature Center is a good idea, but should be incorporated into the Parks building if at all possible to make use of the space and parking.</li> <li>•Indigenous people’s story walk – beautiful example in Minneapolis around Lake Bde Mka Ska (formerly Calhoun)</li> <li>•Monona Hill is a brilliant approach in so many ways heat island mitigation, pedestrian safety, transportation, adds amenities)</li> <li>•Walkways over water will be very popular, enhance water quality</li> <li>•Bump-outs on the path for seating, increase safety</li> <li>•Bike repair station somewhere along the path in this area</li> <li>•Restrooms (all-access), find several locations</li> <li>•Make bridges wide enough (or provide bump-outs) for fishing – that’s where the fish are!!</li> </ul>
672	living shoreline because it's beautiful and helps ecology of the lake
673	I like the sasaki plan best because of the way it promotes lake health first, then cultural ties to Madison and its history with the tribal nations, and then providing connections to each area. I like the architectural groups idea of the bigger waterfront so that events like shake the lake could be organized in a better space. I like that at least two of the designs are central to environmental health and bringing back marsh plants to clean the water.
674	Living shoreline Multiple paths to separate bikers from walkers Outdoor seating
675	I like the idea of redoing the lake front. All of them had good ideas.
676	Like the interface with the water with the Agency plan
677	The separation of the causeway travel, the extension of the natural landscape into the lake, filtration of storm water.
678	Overlooks, canopy walk, beach so we can observe nature on every level. I also love the idea of the amphitheater that pays homage to another iconic Madison concept of music.
679	Turning all the asphalt at Monona terrace into green space

680	Commuter bike separate from pedestrian walk ways/other pedestrian areas. Walking paths over John Nolan that do not require crossing at lights or via stairs/elevator in Monona Terrace Expanded green space/gathering space at law park More beaches
681	I like the full water walking path along John Nolan causeway, as a separate boardwalk from the bikepath, and that protrudes into the lake.
682	Lots of access points to the water, particularly beaches
683	Pretty and access
684	More walking space to connect people in the city to the lakes. Improving bike paths is a must. Love more beach and direct lake access. The piers in one of the plans I think is amazing.
685	Creating a beach and a boathouse. Access from downtown over John Nolan Drive. Tree walk and beach in the Sasaki plan.
686	none
687	-restored wetlands -increased green space -safer paths for pedestrians and bikes -increased water entry points -prioritizing Ho-Chunk Nation voices
688	I like including dining on the waterfront or near the waterfront
689	Monona Hill; bridges across roads for bikes/ pedestrians. Increases green space and prioritizes non-vehicle traffic.
690	I like the restorative ideas for the lakeshore and all the green space
691	Agency Landscape is most creative in its vision & implementation. James Corner retains bike path along the water which I like. LOVE that the bike and walking paths would be separate!!!
692	water quality improvements!
693	Amphitheater, gathering space, lake filtering, and separate bike and walking paths
694	The re-wilding of Olin park in the JCFO design is highly desirable and will improve the lakefront ecosystem and community.
695	I was particularly interested in innovative playground space and boardwalk opportunities to get away from traffic and up close to the lakes and wildlife
696	Sasaki is culturally sensitive, and comprehensive.
697	I am thrilled with all three visions for Olin park. The idea of turning that small bay, which is shallow and usually algae-filled for 50%+ of the summer months with a marshy-er style would be amazing. Absolutely LOVE the downtown waterfront design of James's, including the accessibility, multiple paths, stairway into the water, beaches, pedestrian path directly over J Nolan and straight up to the capitol, small drop off spot for vehicles, etc. It does seem to have the most ecological change to the actual shore/lake, especially compared to the Sasaki plan which seems more dock/pier focused. I'd be curious the impact of moving/adding that much earth and sand does to the water. Water health should be the #1 priority.

698	The more green and connected the Monona Terrace area, the better--which is why I prefer the Agency one followed by JCFO. I prefer a continuous greenway from Olin to King Street, and access from the capital. I prefer fewer on-grade crossings of John Nolen. I love the large open expanses for civic events--not just at either end, but atop the Terrace area as well. I like anything that honors the Ho Chunk history as well as modern residents love for paddling, because paddling connects the two groups. We are a paddling city! I also like the ideas of the lakefront boathouse/community center/restaurant, etc.
699	The outside seating areas along with the gradual water entrance.
700	I like the gathering space in Sasaki design. It shows all ages having access to the space.
701	I like the ecological systems that these provide. Additionally, the variety of activities for all ages is a nice addition to the waterfront. We need a cultural aspect, playground, and access from the capitol.
702	Separate walking vs biking paths, playground for kids
703	Renewed waterfront, separated paths for bikes, walkers and cars. Noise berms and traffic calming, ease of access
704	native plants and water filtration
705	Bike path separation from pedestrian paths. Year round (e.g. winter) access. I prefer a plan that enables the water ski shows to continue with better visibility.
706	Love the elevated walking bridge in Sasaki and the beach in Agency
707	I like that all three plans consider multiple modes of active transportation, from folks strolling along the lake to bike commuters, and emphasize connectivity to downtown/the square - as someone who commutes through this area, that is a major area where the current park and path fall short. I like ALP's emphasis on less structured, more flexible green space that allows the park to be more of a "green slate" where users can choose their own adventure. Perhaps that's my bias as someone who lives nearby and commutes through the area - I don't feel an impulse to make it a destination or a venue, I just want it to be a beautiful and functional space that I can enjoy as part of my daily life. If it can double as event space, that's nice too, but it's not a selling point for me. As much as I like ALP's plan, I do want to applaud JCFO and Sasaki's plans for recognizing Monona Terrace as a regional fishing destination and including fishing amenities in that area. And although I assume that any final plan will ensure ADA compliance, I appreciated that Sasaki's plan called this out specifically.
708	Restoration of marshland to help the health of the lake, Monona hill to bring green and connection between downtown and lake. Separation of bike path from walking areas. Walking circle/beach at Olin park.
709	I like the full use of the Monona hill in the Agency plan, as well as more connections into the community. Changing John Nolen to two lanes on either side with trees in the middle is also attractive, safer for the community and puts the emphasis on the lake. Using Hamilton and King street as more prominent access points leading to activity areas is also attractive.
710	the elevated pathway and as many fishing area as possible that extending out into the lake for people without boats

711	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I really like the Olin Park beach and nature board walk - I think having a beach closer to Olin park rather than right downtown will make more sense for families</li> <li>- Playground at Olin Park</li> <li>- I like that the whole area will be connected by a pedestrian walk way</li> <li>- Monona Hill - I like that it will be green and provide open space</li> <li>- I like the idea of a boat house or place to order concessions on the lake front</li> <li>- I love the idea of an elevated viewing platform</li> </ul>
712	We really like the hill
713	Love the amphitheater for the Sasaki plan. I think it offers the most opportunity for community engagement and activities
714	I like the plan (James Corner) that continues the spokes of the capital streets to the lake into piers, boathouses. I feel it had the best balance of meeting communities requests
715	Less structure build more echo friendly.
716	the marsh areas
717	Like the step idea. This would let the shore line be natural I liked the bench sitting area Nice place for kids to play in sand This idea ties the Uw Madison union into this design
718	Sustainability of wildlife, accessibility for all ages and consideration of cultural heritage.
719	Really like the Walk on Water feature and "the hill" around Monona Terrace in the Agency one. Like the boathouse in the Sasaki one if it is the FLW one.
720	Combination areas for picnic, walking, biking, kayaking. Areas for events and families.
721	Making John Nolan a green boulevard - sets the right tone for entering the city from high speed roads. Naturalizing the waterfront for health the lakes. Incorporating public moving spaces (paths) and public gathering spaces (restaurants, piers, event venues).
722	Already said why.
723	My favorite feature is the bridge structure allowing people to walk over the lake in 1 big loop rather than a single out-and-back pier. I also like the idea of separate paths for bikes and pedestrians.
724	The stair step features by the lake and the natural looking shoreline of the James Corner plan, with the beach and picnic area . The separation of fishing, biking, and walking paths by the convention center in the Corner plan.
725	green edge, natural features, increasing lake clarity and reducing pollution. Tunneling John Nolan drive near Monona Terrace from the Broom street area to Willy street to put greenspace on top, but keeping east-west road access. I like the pathways on Olin park and terraced overlook areas. I like a designated beachfront and eatery space with lakeside tables. I am less in favor of a beer garden, but a cafe would be nice.
726	The elevated hill and extended underground John Nolen Drive of the Agency plan.
727	I love the connectivity in the Agency design, and the thought into extending out into the lake and building up ecosystems in the Sasaki plan
728	I love how large the areas are for walking, etc, and lounging. It seems very exciting to have an area like this so close to one of the lakes. Using different levels to open up the space is a great way to include lots of variety. Including environmental improvements is a wonderful nod towards the future.

729	I like the emphasis on multispeed paths, and the "wild lakeshore" aspect of the JCFO plan. Specifically the marshy kayak course by Olin and the hammock grove.
730	The Agency boardwalk, the Agency nature space. Please no trees or buildings in Law Park or Olin Park - keep it as open and natural as possible.
731	Universal ADA access, wetland restoration & protection, environmental education, rain garden and native planting,
732	I like the focus on water all year round. Nature-focused. I really like the story walk
733	The gathering spaces in Agency's plan & the diverse waterfront environments for recreating in James' plans stand out the most positively to me.
734	Easy access to the lake from All locations, including the capital and the full lakeshore. Loved the multi-use thoughts for traffic of all kinds. Love the green space and a beach!
735	Wetland and lakefront restoration, allows for the building of a healthy ecosystem and sustainability.
736	Amphitheater, community space, and building community space above John Nolen so that space can be used. Creating separate walking and cycling paths.
737	JCFO: Monona Fishing Pier, Tamarack Marsh, greater, separation of biking and foot traffic, sandy beach areas. Greater opportunity for variable use of the shoreline, waterways, and for events.
738	The overall emphasis on sustainability, health, honoring the past, creating spaces for people to with a wide-range of interests to feel a sense of belonging, and the inclusion of art.
739	Woodland play structure for kids. Pedestrian/cycle access to the lakefront from multiple streets/locations. Increased space for bikes/transit, reduced space for cars.
740	Access to the lake, seating by the water.
741	hill, raised park from sasaki
742	Board walks, gathering spaces, more beach access, loitering encouraged
743	Huge fan of John Nolan becoming a tunnel...also LOVE boardwalk elements along lakeshore...want bikes and pedestrians separated. I also like the symmetry of the design around the terrace...it feels very harmonious to me
744	So many! the walkways over and through the water, the canopy walkway, the connections to other parts of the city, all the new green space, the event spaces, direct water access. These most stood out to me in Agency and Sasaki plans.
745	The walk on water plan in the Agency document helps make it safer for people walking to use the path. The current combined path is unsafe to walk because of how fast the bicycle traffic is moving and their inability to share the path.
746	Community usefulness.
747	I really like the hill over Monona Terrace in the agency design
748	I like the multiple paths for activity. I also liked the different places to gather for event of families and beach space.
749	I like the water access in the Sasaki plan. Boating is a key activity on the lake, and we need to support that.
750	Preservation of wildlife habitat and natural areas
751	A waterfront concert venue - equal access to music and culture.

752	I like all the layers to cleaning up the lake, catching rain water and restoring and building habitat for wildlife. Making better use of all the land at Olin and making more greenspaces around the Terrace. Adding a beach. And the boathouse and restaurant.
753	I like the split paths for walking and biking, this is more enjoyable for both groups.
754	The canopy skywalk is seriously beautiful. I love love love that bike paths aren't compromised and instead walking paths are expanded and made more interesting and fun in all three designs. I LOVE the idea of a kayak course built into the waterfront.
755	I really liked the idea of the boardwalk into the water, and an immersive/educational pathway along the lakeshore to educate residents and visitors alike.
756	First plan is easiest to maintain
757	Lots of greenery! Spots to hang out like terrace, beach, visitor center
758	Equality of preservation of wildlife and local mentality.
759	Sky walk from SaSaki, covered areas away from sun. Seems nice and interconnected with nature but with the vibes of a big city
760	Sasaki is the only one to consider "barrier islands" to manage weeds collecting on the shore. Also only one to offer performance space (floating stage). JCFO has a cool playground
761	Bike path vs walking path on John Nolan Mix of greenery and functional spaces
762	Monona Hill - as much green as possible around terrace
763	Downtown Amtrak, amphitheater, waterfront dining, swimming, gathering spaces, pedestrian walkways/overpasses to connect the capitol building
764	The canopy walk, amphitheater, and fire pits
765	Sasaki shows more accessibility for the shoreline
766	I like the circle from the agency near olin park but not sure how it would affect swimming and boating so i picked saski presentation
767	Favorite feature was having multiple lake side paths and access for bikes, peds, fishing, hanging out.
768	More places to sit, more walkways, elevated walkways/piers, green spaces, designated hammock spots, kayak village
769	green space, varied walkways, attention to north olin park
770	James Field Operations Design really feels like you are in the middle of the wild.
771	Extension of King Street into a pier; public swimming beach and fishing access. Both features are great for my family with kids and adults
772	Expansion and accessibility to the northern portion of Olin Park. Walkways and watch areas that extend from the path out into/over the lake. The Monona Terrace Hill from the first design team is very intriguing. I never thought about how much concrete exists now. Cover that up and put in more trees and grass!
773	The connection to the Ho-chunk people
774	I like the terraces below Monona terrace for the accessibility and multi use
775	Separate walking and biking paths, beach
776	I very much enjoyed the green space and more natural looks.
777	Multiple options for age groups to enjoy, multiple sitting and walking options the offer a lot of space in order to accommodate high capacity of people.

778	The waterway next to the pedestrian path Many bridges and overlooks Giant screen space park around the convention center
779	JCFO Monona Terrace pier; end of Hamilton st, cove near King st; marsh Sasaki connection to downtown, wetlands, at grade JND crossings Agency JND Walk on Water, living shoreline
780	The elevated walkway in the Sasaki design; it is striking and memorable
781	diversity of activity in sasaki plan
782	Extending the parkway over more of John Nolan drive, like the Law Park Ledge
783	The Sasaki presentation was the most appealing and relatable to what we need in the City
784	wetland restoration
785	I thought it was interesting that the only firm that mentioned the Native history was Sasaki. I feel that is a vital aspect of this project. Native history is very important to maintain.
786	reconnection of olin park to neighborhood
787	The bike path layouts. Useful.
788	Monona hill concept
789	I like the Monona Hill concept of Agency Landscape & Planning's design. So simple yet brilliant way of connecting the lake to the Capitol. I like how all 3 designs separate bikes and pedestrians. Because of e-Bikes it's not uncommon for fat dudes to be flying along at 40mph on bike paths which is really fast. Before it used to just be the occasional fit triathlete biking that fast but now with e-Bikes even fat dudes can fly along. Plus now there's e-Scooters, hoverboards, uni-wheels. Bike paths have become fast and dangerous, and really incompatible and unsafe for walkers. Really like the bioswales idea of Sasuki's design - great way to treat stormwater as it's headed towards the lake. Like the walk-on-water trails of Agency Landscape & Designs plan.
790	-use of the space above the transportation corridor and parking area - separation of transportation modes - main bike path going thru the tunnel along John Nolen - development of wetlands and efforts to improve water quality
791	James Corner Piers, Sasaki Olin Park canopy, James Corner Capital City Path
792	I like covering John Nolen Dr with green space, and Hamilton/king street overpass access. I like the sledding hill, concert area and beach proposed by JC.
793	None. Who's going to pay for this? We have too many over budget projects right now.
794	I love the kayak course, plan for John Nolen Drive, and the natural shoreline in the Wild Lakeshore.
795	more natural wetlands, more areas for kayaking, more options for pedestrians to travel via downtown, pavillion/auditorium
796	The story ribbon from Sasaki - It is critical for the future viability of our city's story.
797	YES! I love the boardwalk design that Agency design put out there, while JC's splash pad /playground near the Monona Terrace also looks very impressive.



798	I am all in favor of any proposal that provides a connection to downtown Madison from Lake Monona. We need to get past the notion that "public access" to our lakes equals a place to look at the water. Public access needs to equal places and facilities where boaters can come ashore and visit downtown businesses. Madison provides plenty of opportunities for motorists and cyclists to visit downtown. We should not neglect boaters as an alternate transportation mode. I also like the nature center area in the Sasaki proposal.
799	Really like the beach at Olin Park; canopy walk; elevated walkways
800	I love the extensions into the water. This solution gives people equitable options to get out over the water and not be limited to if they have a boat or not.
801	I like a nature center at Olin Park. I think this is a great way to connect visitors with Madison and it's natural areas. I LOVED the idea of creating Monona Hill and transforming that area into a mostly green space. This would create so much space for gathering. I like the green infrastructure that Sasaki proposed to help improve water quality and I also like all the wetland and natural environments that James Corner proposed. I also like the separate lanes for biking and strolling.
802	I loved the Olin Park nature center and walkway designed by Sasaki, that was excellent and I think will draw a lot of people to that area. I liked the kayaking areas shown by James Corner. I enjoyed the boardwalk along John Nolen proposed by all three, but particularly that of Agency. But for the Law Parks, I thought only one was truly great: Agency. Two offered messy fair grounds, Agency Landscape's design was a space that will be highly accessible, looks like it was designed for Madison, makes the most functional sense, and will be so beautiful and draw people for that purpose. If we really want to build a fair ground like James Corner and Sasaki proposed, can it please be built some other place with a lot of space, and leave the lakefront to be more simple and accessible like Agency Landscape proposed?
803	Loved the Monona hill plan, and loved Sasaki's vision for south Olin park with the bridges and general park features. I also love their incorporation of the Native American history of the area into the plan.  Huge fan of the paddling paths along John Nolen, and the general addition of boardwalks, floating marsh and the like.  I loved the idea of the bridges and pedestrian promenades from the Capitol to the lakeshore along the diagonal streets; it's a great way to get additional people to the lake safely across traffic while giving a spectacular view. Reminds me a lot of the bridge at the Milwaukee art museum and the vibe that gives. It also crosses a busy thoroughfare and gets people to the water easily and safely.
804	Very important to tie in the existing fabric of downtown to the new. Also, vital to have dedicated bike path through the whole route - any shared path is highly dangerous like the current situation. I wish all the plans used the FL Wright designed Lake Monona boathouse (never completed here) as anchor at the end of John Nolen at Blair Street. The Sasaki design meets most of this. Would be improved with more separation of bike path and with the FL Wright Boathouse at the east end instead of contemporary design.

805	<p>Agency Landscape + Planning: The "Monona Hill" concept is amazing! I think it would greatly enhance the area near the capitol and provide the best connection between downtown and the lake for walking and biking.</p> <p>James Corner Field Operations: I like the Olin Park enhancements.</p> <p>Sasaki: I like many of the ideas they have for Law Park that make it a great downtown park with many things to do by the lake.</p>
806	The seating, the playgrounds, the paths.
807	JCF's Law Park North and South concept and Agency Landscape's Monona Hill concept. They are the most transformative concepts in the plans, add the most public amenities and multi-use space, accommodate equitable access, reduce the footprint of cars, and create the most connections between the spaces and city. These concepts should be combined to create a truly transformed waterfront.
808	<p>Monona Hill - feels like a great way to minimize the reality of John Nolen as a fast moving road</p> <p>floating wetlands (JCFO) - feels like a great way to clean the lakes and looks beautiful</p> <p>Canopy Walk (Sasaki) - gorgeous, would be beautiful and dynamic way to explore the space</p>
809	separate running track. Places to sit and enjoy the view
810	I like the water walkway for the causeway and circular fishing overlook for Olin Park (Agency design). This would be very distinctive and also an opportunity to be more engaged with the lake. Giving Olin Park a beach would also be beneficial to the community. I also really like their large park design for Monona Terrace - it would really make the space more appealing to visit and less of a closed-off concrete box. I really like the thought that Sasaki put into improving water quality and incorporating that directly into the architecture and their prioritization of Ho-Chunk voices. I also like their designs for the canopy walk and nature center at Olin Park.
811	I like the design and location of pedestrian bridges over John Nolen Drive to the downtown/capitol. Also like the adventure playground, boardwalks, food truck spaces.
812	<p>I am all for improving the lakefront along the causeway, making the paths for biking and walking better, making it greener with more trees, and trying to muffle the sounds of the traffic, which now is so loud.</p> <p>Also, the designs that have some boardwalks and the large gradual steps that go down to the lake rather than the current unusable rip-rap all are improvements.</p>
813	Separate bike path for commuting/passing through. It should not be any harder to bike along John Nolan Drive than currently!
814	Expansion of traffic-free green-space. The more "undergrounding" of Nolen Drive, the better.
815	I like all the interactive activities.
816	The plan should focus on recreating the natural environment of Lake Monona with a focus on natural landscaping and green space
817	I love the idea of a public boathouse, amphitheaters, and creating natural land structures to improve and preserve the habitat

818	I like how the JFCO plan incorporates the city with the lake, particularly views along the two piers.
819	Water walkway Playground Seating Restroom
820	As stated, the reconfiguration of the area between Monona Terrace and the Capitol, coupled with the reduction of vehicular traffic makes the AL+P plan a game-changer in the ways that the others don't.
821	Amtrak station on Sasaki, causeway redesign on JCFO, and the boardwalk on all three. I'm really looking for an expansion of boardwalks & running/bike space, and downtown to lake connectivity. Also the expansion of the terrace parks.
822	I like the trees on John Nolen
823	Restaurants, places to sit/chat, walking paths
824	I like the openness of the Agency design, there is a nice flow to the design and that has an airy feel.
825	Corner's Hamilton and King Street connections to the waterfront; Corner's walkway expansion in front of Monona Terrace; Corner's park expansion with lake fill; Corner's JND boulevard; Corner's Olin Park lagoon and Tamarack swamp; Sasaki's storyboard; Sasaki's Monona Terrace expansion
826	What's important is that Monona Terrace is preserved as it is today. Making dramatic changes to it will be see in hindsight as a massive mistake.
827	Loved connection to capitol, liked idea of better beach option, loved restaurant and boat house/viewing area, loved hearing about improving wildlife and water quality
828	Increased hammock spots, fishing piers away from modes of travel, better transition from top of Monona Terrace to Lakeshore, separate paths for modes of transportation by speed, boardwalks and sitting stops over water, places to gather/picnic.
829	I like the separate fast/slow lanes. Agency's Monona Hill! And the new wetlands.
830	I would highly prioritize the fast/slow lanes for biking vs pedestrians and also the option to relocate John Nolan underground and allow all of that pavement/roadspace to be used for greenspace. I also really enjoyed the parts of the plans that included playgrounds/community spaces. The last thing that was pretty neat was space for kayaking/canoeing - either rentals or an area for non-motorized boat access. (Motorized boat access is also important).
831	Large wetland restoration, boardwalk, nature center, native habitats and rhe species they would benefit, dividing the bike path from a walking path, increasing access to the water
832	Wetland, habitat restoration and the meandering pathways. Stormwater management, water quality improvement. I love the hammock hangout. I love having John Nolen be a tree lined boulevard and disappears. connective traffic corridors (safe access). Nature/culture centers. Beaches. Pervious surfaces raingardens, water features. Mix of walking/biking/fishing.

833	Monona hill, more greens space, less concrete, large fishing pier, dedicated lanes, safer crossings for foot traffic, using under nad over crossings
834	Support the water ski team!!!!
835	I like the presence of several different areas to avoid overcrowding and overall disruption of the peace of the area. I also think that it is crucial that the design provides infrastructure that considers all means of transportation, as well as a safe place for people to stop and enjoy the scenery, as well as anglers and fishers. Finally, connections to the city are vital.
836	I enjoy the fully connected Walk on Water boardwalk design in the Agency plan as well as the drastic increase in greenspace on Monona Hill. In the JCFO plan, I see this plan getting the most use due to the variety of features and gathering spaces.
837	I love bicycle infrastructure and sustainable solutions to waterfront revitalization. A bridge (or two) over John Nolen for pedestrians a bicycles would be wonderful. Integrating a design like those seen in Amsterdam, Utrecht, Copenhagen, etc. would improve bicycle transportation and make it a more attractive and green option to the current "highway" feel of John Nolen.
838	No
839	Yes, they all three enhance the use of the lake.
840	James Corner: citizen/visitor accessibility; beauty, fitness, lake health Agency Landscaping: accessibility; beauty, fitness Sasaki: nature, history, lake health
841	I like the incorporation of native trees and perennials. I like the restoration of lake habitat and bringing green space to downtown Madison.
842	1) Feature playgrounds near monona terrace and in olin park 2) The monona terrace roof accessibility to MLK and expansion potential 3) Restaurants along the water 4) In all designs: i really like the new river walks and the new bridge crossings to get to the water
843	The canopy walk and water paths seem like very unique features
844	It's fairly obvious, but I will be honest the biggest feature is increased green space. Working close to the lakefront, it's readily seen just how barren and desolate the landscape is here from Williamson to Olin Park due to the massive concrete and asphalt desert of John Nolen stretching beyond. The access to the new and revitalized lakefront will be massive improvements to quality of life and general happiness, and the economic opportunities for businesses nearby with more pedestrians are endless. Better trail design for cyclists is very big, for those either coming in or out of the downtown core of Madison. If only Washington Ave. got this kind of treatment. Lord knows it deserves a road diet.
845	The symmetry of connecting pathways from the lake to downtown. Much more green space along John Nolen.
846	more trees! having wonderful shade and natural wind barriers makes the causeway and lakeshore much more enjoyable to travel through and spend time at. separated travel for bikes, peds, and vehicles are critical, as is ease of keeping those modes clear in all seasons and weather. i also like the idea of bike/ped overpasses, and open public gathering spaces for food, music, and small local shopping/events
847	I love the visioning of Olin Park in both the Sasaki and Agency Plans

848	Love the stair/sitting area on the JCFO plan and just feels like Madison and like home.
849	I like the beach and amenities close to downtown, I also like all of the extra green space and storm water pretreatment to ensure the lakes are healthier
850	The elevated canopy, the hill, or any of the features making it more seamless for walkers and bikers to access the Capitol square and downtown without having to interact with traffic
851	I loved the Water Walk from the Agency Landscape + Planning Design, and all of their green space, but liked the parks and other features better from the Sasaki design. I like that Sasaki focused on Bay Creek and connecting the neighborhoods.
852	Sasakis vision of the law park lakefront looked the most inclusive. Having a stage area for the ski team would be very important. They draw 1000+ person crowds on a regular basis so seating and restrooms in a boathouse would be key.
853	I liked the big hill for Monona Terrace and having lots of green space.
854	I appreciate parks and nature along with incorporating dining and places to seat by the lake
855	Focus on biking, walking/running accessibility. I like the designated fishing spots.
856	Food trucks, natural areas, shoreline improvements, social spaces. All of these things are greatly beneficial to the social atmosphere of Madison.
857	The lakefront restaurants, ample seating, and plenty of trees/brush to provide wind coverage are the most important features. I don't like walking down John Nolen not because of the cars, but because the wind just slams into you off the lake.
858	For me, year around appeal and sustainability are most important... Saski's plan was most well developed.
859	The ability to focus on the lake and enjoy it.
860	I liked the beach, the tall grasses and canoe and kayak launch and how it compliments Monona Terrace and improves the fishing area with the see thru wall . I like that we acknowledged the Ho-Chunk tutorial.
861	I loved the playground, garden, dock
862	Yes, integration of the city with the shoreline and natural landscapes it provides. It has a calming effect to the busy city and creates a more natural environment .
863	I love all the different type of paths for bikers, runners, walkers, etc. A space for all. With the current bike path it can be noticeably frustrating when some parties act like it's only for them and not the others.
864	I love being able to witness nature up close. I love boardwalks. I love trees on John Nolan. And I love any efforts to reclaim natural prairie and help improve water quality.
865	I like the emphasis on lake health and community involvement. I also like the increased number of trees/marshes/wildlife and the increased walk/run/bike areas
866	I like the concept of building into the lake in order to increase usable space and create a more natural transition from street to water.
867	Expanded walk/bike path behind Monona Terrace. Better use of the Olin park area.
868	Large green spaces with modern minimal design

869	I love tree lining the boulevard, and decreasing the exposure/view of John Nolen Drive. I love how JFCO's designs are covered in trees and shade, as well as the beach they have at the end of Law Park. I like the additional space for hammocking that seemingly is more private and shielded from John Nolen.
870	Increase in green space, decrease in pavement
871	I prefer agency's focus on slowing down traffic and providing safer pedestrian access.
872	<p>The "hill-ifying" of the Monona Terrace from the Agency plan was lovely--adding a parking structure beneath is a clever use of space. The walk-out circular causeway over Monona at Olin Park was also a nice addition.</p> <p>The sand beach and bleacher-like stands from the JCorner plan were great. Currently, sandy beaches are less common in Madison than swampy shores--both are things this massive shoreline should have.</p> <p>Most of the Sasaki plan was great, but particularly impressive was the water revitalization and conscious choices to tie local history and culture with the design elements. Providing a space for all voices is important, but Native land acknowledgement and input from the Ho-Chunk is an absolute must when moving forward with any design.</p> <p>From all: revitalizing and "re-marshifying" the shoreline is crucial and adds to the original beauty and function of the lakeshore. Generates wildlife. Increased ability to use lake for safe, sheltered fishing and kayaking.</p>
873	I love the beach and lots of places to sit and look at the water on James Corner Field Operations
874	Rewilding
875	All use the Olin Park area for a nature center and this seems logical and responsive to community needs.
876	More wetlands and woodland areas on the lakeshore, safer pedestrian walkways/separation from roadways. I would feel safer using the space and get more enjoyment from it if these features were expanded.
877	The separation of vehicle traffic from the shoreline with green space
878	Monona hill
879	The plan I said I liked integrated all the important aspects of what you are telling us we want. The others were not too exciting
880	I love how the authors of the sasaki proposal took the time to talk to and interview engineers, Ho-Chunk leaders, city planners, etc. It makes me believe their design is actually feasible and incredibly intentional.
881	I like the overlooks/spectator spaces that stretch out onto the water, and the beach areas. I really like the removal of some of the parking/paving around the Terrace and the kayak areas around Olin Park. I like the variety of conservation and sustainability measures incorporated into the Sasaki plan. I think all of these could improve community access to the lake and health of the lake, which are really important to me.
882	More areas to fish off of the path is beneficial to all. More green space to sit and read is a great feature. Connecting the capital to the shore should be a top priority and making it safe to cross John Nolan. I also like having lake front restaurants and business.

883	Safe routes - encourage fitness and more access. Encourage entertainment - driving revenue to city. Health of the lake and water.
884	I like the park that takes over Joh Nolan and embraces the Monona Terrace
885	As previously mentioned i'm a big fan of the green area around Monona Terrace, and the fact that the south side of Madison is getting some attention, so that people living in these areas can enjoy nature and recreation.
886	I really like the plan that Sasaki has in place to both add a nature center and revamp the area over by the Monona Terrace.
887	Capping more of the street to allow for better access from the capitol.
888	1) Agency Landscape: I Love "walk on water" especially if some large cottonless cottonwoods were planted adjacent to it for shady walks. Olin park, beach, marsh are natural... much needed attraction in this part of town. Monona Hill layers of park really well thought through and welcoming. Nice integration of streetscape by capitol to lakefront and Monona Terrace. 2) James Corner: Love the evergreen plantings for variety! Long winters here = need for more evergreen trees. Love the natural marshes and settings. Shaded paths and non-grass vegetation are great. Wetlands in front of Monona Terrace are nice addition to formal landscaping. Too much pavement in places, though. Huge stairways of cement to the lake never draw many people. Some paths are too wide and parallel to each other... a bit too much pavement in a part of the city that already has 100% paved gathering spaces. I love the tree-scaping that this plan did along John Nolen Dr.!! Really good planning there! These densely planted shade trees on all sides and between traffic lanes would make a HUGE positive impact for park users and commuters alike!! Good planning there! 3)Sasaki. Kept Olin Park more natural... not so over-developed with paths... very nice, thanks. Wooded refuges are what Madison needs more of.
889	I really like the idea of a restaurant or two on the water. Places to gather and enjoy the water. Also enough space for a lot of people to gather
890	I love that the plans incorporate more green-space!
891	The JCFO plan to directly link the roof of Monona Terrace with Wilson Street is much needed. I also love that they have direct connections on the radials -- King St and S Hamilton St.  JCFO's "tamarack marsh + kayak course" is an innovative feature  Sasaki's waterfront restaurant
892	monona hill, sledding hill, kayak path, food vendors, gathering spaces
893	I specifically like how the green terrace would go over both sides of the Monona Terrace on the Agency Landscaping plan
894	Agency: They show their expertise as landscape designers with rich depictions of lake shore edge improvements. I liked the flavor of these images. JCFO: Loved the tamarack marsh, lake edge treatment on BOTH sides of JND causeway, the Hamilton Street beech and detailed treatment of the area between the Beech and Monona Terrace, their treatment of King Street extended, even if it's very long term because of existing Wilson Street buildings, their use of borrowed lake fill (from the Tamarack marsh). Sasaki: Their idea of a restaurant connected to MT expansion would be dramatic and a destination for visitors and residents.

895	Restoring ecology of waterfront. Covering John Nolen to directly connect downtown to waterfront and create new park space. Expansion of Monona Terrace as part of the plan. Separated bike and pedestrian paths. Multiple places and ways to engage with the lake from beaches, fishing, boating, and viewing. A wide range of activities including passive, active, events, etc. Wider spaces for bikes and ped, narrowing and taming of John Nolen. All plans did a great job of enlivening the north end of Olin Park with great amenities and recognizing the connection to S. Madison neighborhoods. designs that allow year round activities
896	I like the Canopy walk for Olin Park, the Elevated Waterfront Park, Waterfront Amphitheater, and the beach and pier of the Sasaki plan. The canopy walk looks really cool and I would find myself going there more often. The other features mentioned would provide more viewing for the water ski show team shows.
897	Water quality improvements and accessibility modes
898	The pedestrian overpass is huge for me. I also like the sustainability and lake health features shown.
899	Forest space and access to Monona Terrace in Sasaki, beach/sitting space and wetland areas in JCFO
900	I care most about the water quality issues that the plans might have.
901	I like making John Nolen a parkway and reducing traffic flow. I like adding the Hamilton St pedestrian access. I like the kayak/marsh area. I like reworking the Monona Terrace parking, green space, and lake access.
902	elevated path in Sasaki's proposal
903	Sasaki is the most diverse -- appealing to All
904	I especially like moments of ecological restoration of the water edge
905	Sasaki connections to rest of city!
906	Boat Landing, Discovery Pier, nature play
907	the boat dock and beach of the Sasaki plan
908	The more greenery and stability the better because it makes me and others happier in our day-to-day lives.
909	I like the sustainability of the last one.
910	yes, I like the walkway idea and adding more space for bikers, walkers, and runners.
911	i like everything in 2 and in 3 the elevated walking and helping the water
912	I like the marshes and the sustainable areas
913	I like the canopy and the beaches
914	the water cleaner for Sasaki is really useful
915	no
916	yes
917	Focus on water quality and (re)integration of Ho-Chunk presence in design aspirations and practical visualization. "softening the edges" of Lake Monona to act as filtration element and habitat for migratory and year-round species (of birds in particular).
918	Expanded access to trails and parks via biking and walking for the Agency plan



919	I love the 3 pedestrian pathways across John Nolan to the Capital Square. That will make a huge difference in accessibility. I also love changing the area around Monona Terrace from a concrete grey slab to green and vibrant. I love the boathouses on either side, with bleachers facing the city and shallow wading beach areas, a new boathouse, and waterfront dining options. It's crazy how little waterfront dining is in a city with two lakes! I love the big pier out into the water too, so cool! Let's make the waterfront incredible we can do it!
920	Plan one with the canopy from plan 3
921	I love the hill and greenery in the Agency design
922	Monona terrace expansions. That area feels so underutilized today.
923	none
924	Monona Hill feels more like a natural landscape while providing greater access across the entire area from Hamilton to Blair
925	I appreciate viewing space for water entertainment and access to the water.
926	Sasaki's design for northern Olin park was by far my favorite design idea proposed by every company. The elevated walk ways through the trees for runners would be a dream come true. Running in the forest floor is great but the unpredictably curving paths and uneven ground steer runners away from running at the current Olin park. Not to mention, when running in the forest, on the ground, there is not much of a view. The elevated path in the trees would be a surreal sight and add value to Madison's already iconic landscape.
927	I like the beach feature
928	i like the sustainability features and green construction features, as well as the cultural storyboard paths - seems to connect more with the community and help with the green spaces
929	Connected walkways to the capital
930	I like the plans with more green space and clear identifiable paths for pedestrians and bikes that are not connected to the main roadway.
931	I like any feature that prioritizes walkability and integration with the city as a whole. This cannot be an island oasis--no one will visit if it is tough to get to.
932	Increased shade for the summer. This is really important to me when considering a running trail. I prefer more natural green spaces that let you forget you're in a city.
933	I like when there is more nature and green involved. Along with making the road by the lakefront slower and quieter.
934	Small restaurant on the water would make it a destination for people who want lunch or a drink by the water. The wetland Kayak Course in the JCFO plan looks like a great way to spend time with family in nature, moreso than the "paddling areas" offered by the other plans
935	Yes I like the beach idea, restaurant, community center. playground, scenic viewing, the picnic tables, the separate running route, etc in Sasaki's plan because there are endless activities to do.

936	<p>The Agency Landscape (AL) by far provides the best vision.</p> <p>I love both the simplicity and symmetry of Monona Hill (MH) proposed by AL. Extending the hill on both sides of Monona Terrace and covering the current (ugly) parking structure is brilliant. The result is a beautiful 8 acre open greenspace/park. Neither of the other plans suggested extending greenspace to the southwest of the Terrace. I also like their open area concept that leads to a multi-use venue. The other 2 plans (at least for Law Park northeast) proposed multiple single-use areas which I believe will ultimately limit the park's options.</p> <p>I like how AL proposed that MH southwest be extended to Henry Street, with Henry (which now dead ends at John Nolen) then being extended over John Nolen and onto MH, making another easy access point to the lake.</p> <p>I like extending Hamilton and King streets with overpasses to Law Park (all 3 plans incorporated this in various ways).</p> <p>I like how Sasaki picked up on the proposed development to replace State Investment Board building that will have an access point though the courtyard to a promenade that could connect to MH (they call it "E Wilson Street shortcut"...although the location on their rendering is slightly off).</p> <p>The current walkway between the Monona Terrace and the lake needs to be expanded and the concrete wall taken down. It is so crowded now during the summer. I like the JCFO rendering of the Fishing Pier with the railing instead of the concrete wall.</p> <p>All plans include slowing down traffic on the causeway and adding separate paths for walking, biking and running. This is a critical element. We walk or bike the causeway on a regular basis, and it is not a pleasant experience.</p>
937	The Monona Hill. It provides much needed space, is environmental and really improves bike and pedestrian accessibility.
938	Features that created wetland/marsh, ways to filter pollutants out from running directly into the lake, and new habitat for native plants and animals. I also liked the designs that connect back to downtown Madison/ the square. And the designs that created more green space
939	The circle feature of the dock is quite nice. I have never seen a dock like it and it would be enjoyable to show visitors to.
940	I love the idea of a land bridge. I think it would be so beneficial in terms of sustainability but also for the wildlife and more importantly the people of Madison. On Madison's side of lake monona I feel we are really lacking in good, large green areas along lake monona but this bridge I feel would bring so much
941	I like the terrace area for people to gather and hangout and water front restaurant concept because there's nothing like that in Madison.
942	I like when they enhanced the features already present and didn't bust up the area.
943	I like renovating the terrace, its very grey and industrial right now. Restoring greenery in this area will draw me in the area more often
944	Bike paths, nature trails, shade, marshland, wildlife restoration, slides for kids, community events

945	I liked the running trails, beach access, firepits, educational plaques, sustainability measures, and amphitheaters.
946	I really like the Green Hill aspect of Agency's plan, as it provides a large, open community area and convenient connectivity to the Capitol Square. I also like the shorefront aspect of Sasaki's plan, as they introduce a much more natural and restored aspect to the shoreline and provide for easy recreational access to the lake.
947	A boathouse or building that offers restaurants/food, innovative walking paths that are on the water or elevated above the ground
948	Steps to sit on Nature incorporated Clear running path
949	I like the wide variety of activities and lake access provided by Sasaki - the variety is important.
950	Beach with access to food and potentially kayaks. As a person of American Indigenous background having access to beaches and parks helps foster a sense of being as well as relationship between people and nature.
951	I live in the Bay Creek neighborhood, and I frequently visit (once a week) Olin Park with my dog. I also used to commute downtown via bicycle so this project is important to me. Sasaki was my favorite proposal because they understand that Olin Park is already a nice destination that doesn't need to be totally transformed. I also appreciated Sasaki's commitment to environmental benefits and providing a variety of paths depending on activity use. I also think their plan is the most reasonable to implement.  I love the design of Agency Landscape + Planning's Monona Hill, but I worry about feasibility.
952	Yes, I would like to see King Street opened up as was originally planned.
953	I absolutely love the explicit separation of pedestrian transportation uses by speed. The conflict between walkers, idlers, runners, and cyclists are the currently underbuilt lakeside path creates unnecessary tension between people who would not feel that same tension if more space was given to non-motorists.  I also love the waterfront amphitheater and the elevated walkway in the Sasaki plan. All plans bury John Nolen drive near Monona Terrace and create an easy pedestrian vector from the Capitol to the lakeshore, which is long overdue.
954	I like the water walk plan with the agency option
955	The uniqueness and the use of nature
956	Broom St beach- nice/safe way to get people on and off the water The boardwalks- should be a sustainable way to allow closer access
957	From the Field Operations plan, I love each and every feature, from the beach access, all of the greenery, stormwater updates, playground, potential restaurant, all of the walking paths. The list can go on.
958	I like how some plans took into account that it is Ho-Chunk land, and how restoring/respecting native conditions will be of benefit.
959	I like the cultural heritage of including native lands and lake/city history.
960	Agencys view of "Monona hill" and the turning the grey to green seems logical. Connecting the capital area to the lake shore is very important. Integrate the terrace into the city and lake as Wright originally envisioned.

961	Capping as much of John Nolen as possible returns the maximum amount of lakefront to people. Any plan that preserves a multitude of signal-controlled at-grade highway crossings downtown is unserious about pedestrian safety.
962	The native landscaping is the most important feature, because it creates a resilient lakeshore that promotes biodiversity and Wisconsin's native heritage. I like the fullest 'lid' over John Nolen, because it reduces the highway's detractor from the lakeshore. The way the streets extends into the lakeshore as pedestrian pier are good because they further connect the city to the lakefront.
963	The canopy path in the sasoki plan
964	I really love having a dandy beach area to enjoy sunrises and swim off of.
965	The boardwalk on the agency plan was amazing, the fact that it goes over the water in multiple spots is super cool.
966	Earthy and environmentally friendly places are superior
967	Accessibility, design choices, importance of connection to wildlife
968	I love the beaches and the emphasis on green space and bike/walking paths. I also like to separate cars from these projects. Madison should be a community we can walk/bike to visit.
969	The restoration of marsh and wetlands along the shore and use of rain gardens (Agency and James Corner) The "Walk on Water" boardwalk (Agency) The Tamarack March (James Corner) The park space over John Nolan Dr and the railroad tracks (Agency and Sasaki) Separation of bike lanes, pedestrian walks, and road Canopy walk (Sasaki)
970	Simplicity, the focus must be on the beautiful surroundings that are already there at our fingertips!
971	I like seeing plans for a variety public dining and rest areas for recreation in addition to pathways.
972	I liked anything that emphasized sustainability. As Madison grows, I think it is more important now than ever to begin thinking about how we can live in unison with vegetation and wildlife, not trample over it.
973	I like the voices of the lake, the history
974	Boardwalk Kayaking/nature preserve areas More places to sit and relax. Community gathering areas.
975	The Sasaki and Field Operations plans make it a lot easier to imagine yourself in the new space. I especially like the conservation and enhancements of the natural resources along the shoreline, and the beach with stepped seating/entrances to the water.
976	Field Operations development of a natural lakeshore. The creation of a marsh and island area of Olin Park is a great use of an area of the waterfront that is not currently used for much. In order to create stormwater basins to catch contaminants from entering the lake, fill will be required the offset of Olin Park is a great idea. Overall the separation of bike and pedestrian walks is a must. The greening of JND is also a must. Remove a lane and slow traffic. Crossing at Hamilton and King St. is a much needed improvement to downtown.

977	I really like the attempt to make it easy to access the lakefront from downtown included in the Agency and Sasaki proposals.
978	I like the natural settings of the plans including trees, flowers, aquatic plants, etc.
979	Multimodal paths along John Nolen, softened lake edges with marshes, piers for fishing, Sasaki's educational component, and expansion to the Monona terrace (in principle, less on specifics) + would really like a train station at Monona terrace.
980	I really think it looks pretty cool.
981	I like the designs of certain boardwalks, and the greenery designs. also the arching walkways. they just appeal to me
982	I like the "walk on water" because it connects to nearby restored marsh and the lake itself. I like the canoe-kayak course. I like putting the mess of roads (John Nolan etc) underground to the point that one can stroll down Hamilton or King and end up at the lakeshore without feeling like there's a traffic "barrier" cutting you off. (Same for the "hill" concept, which does something similar.) I do like having a FLW-inspired boathouse.
983	Ourtood performance areas & additiknal pedestrian and bike paths. Also the self filtering water features
984	The additional greening of the area is really quite something. I really like including a variety of existing uses like a boat dock for sailboats
985	<p>Beach at Olin park is something that will draw residents of all incomes and is long overdue - Bernie's beach is small, for kids, and unkempt.</p> <p>The significantly increased greenspace around Monona Terrace is excellent. That space cannot support events or gatherings today and improving it to support larger crowds is essential to engaging the community in the space.</p> <p>Some increased wetland would be great but the bay struggles with weed volumes today. Runoff treatment is a promising idea but I wonder if a treatment plant that removed PFAS, excess nitrogen, etc. might be a more effective method of continuously improving water quality.</p>
986	<p>The green boulevard in JCFO's plan is the most beautiful, realistic, and equitable with regard to access and travel.</p> <p>The water bridge walkways in Agency's plan are unique and would make the area more of a go-to destination. However, how often would those walkways require maintenance or be closed, especially in winter? How safe are they? I like Sasaki's design for Olin Park best. Their plan transforms it into a space that is uniquely different than the area over near the Monona Terrace; it is not another beach with some buildings, but something closer to a nature preserve but with accessible walking paths. Olin Park remaining a more naturalistic area is very important to me, given that the downtown area lacks any dense woods or significant green space. Olin Park is the only place near downtown within over a mile that is public that fills this need. Agency's plan removes this naturalistic green space and makes it another beach option. The walking bridge there really doesn't make as much sense there either like it does off of John Nolen Dr or near the Monona Terrace.</p>
987	Back to nature

988	Lake edge restoration, Runoff control, expansion / use from Boat house to convention center. Hamilton street tie in. Use of Olin park to extend the space.
989	- I love Agency's Monona Hill. I think it would be a game changer for lakefront access. Although the Monona Terrace is beautiful, it blocks off downtown from the lake. Monona Hill remedies this flaw. It reminds me of John Nolen's plan for a lakefront park bridging the railroad tracks between the Capitol and the lake. Additionally, the Monona Terrace parking garage is an eyesore. A long-term, visionary plan should address this. I also prefer Agency's proposal for a discrete expansion of the Monona Terrace adjacent to the current building. I worry that expanding the Monona Terrace east of the parking garage doubles down on today's flaws and will worsen access. - James Corner Field Operation's road diet for John Nolen drive. The current lakefront is loud and dominated by cars. Reducing the number of lanes would be a big improvement. - James Corner Field Operation's fishing pier by the Monona terrace. The current space is very cramped. - Agency's and Sasaki's plans for a boardwalk along the John Nolen causeway. It would be nice to be able to linger and get close to the water. - A lakefront restaurant. There are not many places to eat by the lakes in Madison.
990	I like the separation between bike paths and pedestrian paths in all the plans: bike commuting should be encouraged, but is not very compatible with dog walking. I like that all plans try to make use of the space above John Nolan drive on each side of the terrace and better access is made to the waterfront from downtown. Better access for fishing is also in all plans; current setup where fishers have to stand next to a fast bike path at the Terrace lake wall is hazardous for both fishers and bikers. Catch and release fishing (difficult from the Terrace wall) will become the norm because lake fish are no longer edible due to PFAS.
991	The canopy walk is cool JFCO's walking areas with the over-water webbing-like sitting area is awesome Features that clean the water and restore the shoreline The beaches, places for dog play Mix of active areas and plenty of places for quiet/relaxing Why - because those are the ways I would like to enjoy the lake
992	Thought about winter recreation.
993	I like the kayaking in the JFCO from Olin, I like the storywalk from Sasaki, I like the restaurants, I like the winding paths from all, I like the increased natural green vegetation in all, I like the attention to creating ways to filter water and increase wetlands. I like the plans that extend Monona Terrace out over the water more so there is space for people to walk and hang out and stay out of bike paths. I like plans that aren't overly busy and crowded.
994	It's necessary to separate walkers, cyclists and drivers in that narrow corridor. All plans try to do this.
995	the light rail, the bike paths, adding green spaces by the terrace
996	Fishing opportunities

997	Features that improve water quality and green space are great. Prioritizing non-car based travel is also appreciated.
998	The 8 acres park surrounding the terrace
999	I like the elevated features in the Sasaki plan (the Canopy Walk and the Elevated Waterfront Park), and the Monona Hill design and lakeshore boardwalk in the Agency plan. I think both spaces will be very enjoyable to visit and enjoy the views of the lake and nature. The Tamarack Marsh and Kayak Course in the JCFO proposal also looks great for summer recreation. I also like the additional green space that is featured in all three designs, as the current waterfront is lacking in this regard.
1000	I like the land hill that brings downtown to the lake and creates extended green spaces inward. I also like the kayaking course and the entire olin design from that submission
1001	I liked how each had lots of open space, both natural and concrete. I also really liked how each plan minimized the presence of John Nolen Dr and made pedestrian connections to the terrace.
1002	JCFO JND Green Boulevard, Wild Shoreline and Connecting the waterfront park space to the Downtown on the top of the bluff.
1003	Covering as much of John Nolen Drive as possible. This would give so much more space back to residents and visitors of downtown. Also making street connection safer between North Shore Drive, Broom St, Brittingham park, etc. Would make accessing the space easier. Similar easy connections should be prioritized by Olin park.
1004	I like the rounded path and other path out in the water in Agency's plan; I love the elevated pathways & waterfront park, boathouse, and how they have the wetlands are to the shore side of the paths and clear water to the other side of the path in Sasaki's plan.
1005	I like the idea of enhancing current park and park spaces along Lake Monona and creating unique purposes/personalities for each of these parks while also continuing to provide continuous access to the entire lakeshore. The lakes are such a big part of the culture of Madison so creating more and different reasons for visiting the lakeshore is going to be critical for preserving Lake Monona for future generations to enjoy.
1006	Additional paths along the causeway, I can't count the amount of times I had to go into the grass as there were too many bikers or joggers (which is a great problem to have, but we need more space for everyone to enjoy the area)  Cleaning up the wall of concrete by Monona Terrace is amazing, as much as we can make that area feel like a park and not just a freeway will be great.
1007	As you can probably tell- I really like that all incorporate some sort of swimming/beach area. I think James does this best.
1008	I love the hill in the Agency plan
1009	Interpretive features Multiuse trails Connections to neighborhoods More green than cement Taking the seasons into account Space for eating/drinking in 4 seasons
1010	Increased access to the lake front, more areas with purpose, increased focus on the ecological impacts.

1011	I like when they have transportation infrastructure.
1012	I like update to the Monona Terrace and the "water walk" from the Agency plan. I liked the Living Edge and environmental components from the Sasaki plan.
1013	Trying to contain runoff.If the lake front is to be expanded water quality needs to be improved for people to swim and paddle and to be a pleasant experience at the lake.
1014	The rain gardens, variety of winter/summer foliage. Interesting architecture and more than just a board walk.
1015	I feel I have addressed this question in earlier comments
1016	Like all the greening of the lakeshore and features along the waterfront and accessibility and potential for improving water quality and aquatic habitat and diversity of aquatic life.
1017	flowers, greenery
1018	I like covering over John Nolan Dr. and I really like reducing the number of lanes. I like the big grassy area... this would allow for diverse gatherings without a lot of hardscape. Separating bikes, fishers, and pedestrians is smart.
1019	The overall aesthetic of the JCFO plan stuck out to me the most. Utilizing existing features while creating some really nice looking new ones. I did really like the Sasaki canopy walk too.
1020	I like Agency's overall plan -- Monona Hill in particular. I like Corner's narrowing of John Nolen Drive. That road must be tamed by this redevelopment and theirs is the best described. I like the "water walks" that I think are in the Agency plan.
1021	I liked James Corners ability to connect the waterfront to the capital square.
1022	The ones that do not reduce what is the capacity of a regional major arterial street, i.e. JND
1023	I loved the playgrounds and small children instructiveness on the James one. But I really loved the nature trails and walks for the Sasaki.
1024	I love the Monona Waterfront Expansion design, Woodland Playground materials, Lakeshore Boardwalk over the wetlands, and the comfort and accessibility of the Hamilton St. Pier.
1025	I really liked the reintroduction of wetlands along the waterfront - would be wonderful to kayak through
1026	I love the improvement to natural areas and more environmental features in the corridor. Madison needs more of this, as the water quality is terrible and features like wetlands and board walks will help compared to concrete and dams!
1027	The extension of the diagonal roads leading from the capitol. Imagine the sightlines!
1028	I love everything about the James Field plan. It seems very holistic and seems like it would impact fully transform the lakeshore in a meaningful way. There are really cool places to interact with the lake for all kinds of people and ages.  I also really love the canopy walk and nature center in the sasaki plan.  I really like how all the plans make it so much easier to go from downtown to the lake.



1029	<p>Separated pedestrian and bike paths.  Protected bike paths from cars.  Dedicated bike road signals.  Reducing number of road lanes, road speed, and width of roads.  Altering traffic patterns to increase pedestrian &amp; cyclist safety.  Emphasis on bringing the individual closer to nature; Creating a feeling of being in a state/national park.  Environmental protection measures.  Unique zones of activity (kayak learning zone, outdoor classroom, nature center and discovery zones, canopy walk, fishing piers, dog runs, terrain differences to climb &amp; play spaces, multiple beaches, hammock forest, ice walk, sledding, fire pits, picnic areas, boat/kayak rentals, spaces for food trucks &amp; eating).  Resting/viewing areas for both cycling &amp; pedestrians.  Bike repair stations.  Sky bridges across John Noland.  Having multiple event spaces to be used separately or in-conjunction.  General reduction of paved outside surfaces.  Adding more nature to the Terrace, rooftop gardens.</p>
1030	I love the nature center area at Olin park in the Sasaki design, but also te beach and kayak course on the James Corner design.
1031	walking paths over the lake, hill
1032	The Agency geometry visually centers the Monona Terrace Convention Center on the lakefront. The Sasaki water features and water quality structures are good stewardship of the ecosystem. Food trucks are a good idea. The islands/wetlands in the Olin Park area in the JCFO plan make that area look more natural.
1033	The Hamilton Street pier, boat launch, and beach in the JCFO plan are particularly attractive. Lake Mendota has the Union Terrace to serve as a central entry point to the water, and I think this could be the equivalent for Monona. The foot bridges are great too.
1034	I like references to public bathrooms. I am concerned about maintenance. I like separate paths for users of different speeds. Improved pedestrian and bike access from the square is essential. As fishing is probably the biggest use of this area (other than those passing through), I am glad to see the plans incorporate fishing areas. There are people who rely on this area for food and I do not want to see them pushed aside for more fashionable folks who will come to spend money.
1035	<p>Improved access for all users and safer causeway driving. All 3 are worthy improvements over current plan.</p> <p>Saski plan creates best stormwater/shoreline plan and maintains historical components. Appears to have most flexibility in future use for daily &amp; large Events. JFCO John Nolen plan/render is excellent - split roadway with many trees and slower speeds. Olin Boat Launch &amp; beach is cool.  Agency walk on water with overlooks and bike/walk path transitions is creative.  Monona Terrace plan also excellent.</p>
1036	The pedestrian bridge and free space for the Amtrak station are the best features, both in the Sasaki plan.
1037	Monona Hill and the expansion of green spaces.

1038	More access to the lake is awesome! All plans increase accessibility to the point where all folks can get to the lakefront, not just able bodied people. The "Monona Hill" concept is also very cool and an interesting re-thinking of that area of Madison.
1039	I love the waking/biking paths along John Nolan Dr. and I love the green tunnel flanking the Monona Terrace.
1040	James Corner: Most cohesive design; all pieces seem to "fit" naturally. Sasaki: Very aesthetically pleasing, excellent environmental considerations.
1041	Space to fish off of Monona Terrace. Uninterrupted walkways from Wilson St. to waterfront. Mixed use commercial space. Separated walking and biking/running corridors.
1042	-grade separated crossings for bike/ped @ north shore drive, hancock st. These are the spots where people and cars are likely to collide -amphitheater - a live music space would be a great draw for residents and non-residents alike. a recurring music series would be ideal -food truck/restaurant area - food will need to be good or people won't eat there, too many other great options within walking distance of this area -beer garden - people here love beer, and there aren't many places you can have a beer on the lake. use the olbrich model as it has been wildly successful
1043	curving water walkways in Agency's, rewilding in the other two
1044	Expanding the Monona Terrace to connect to new park space seems like a great idea!
1045	Reclaiming the Monona terrace as parklands and raised walkways in Olin.
1046	I like the revitalization of marshland to improve water quality. I like there being increased green space. I like the addition of a beach, especially for residents of south side Madison.
1047	I like the various walking paths and bridges.
1048	Connectivity between downtown and the waterfront. Bicycle and ped accomodation that don't feel like an after thought, increase safety and make using the waterfront less intimidating.
1049	I think the reclamation of the underused edges of the Monona terrace in Agency is a great idea.
1050	I like the boardwalks and the idea of re-marshing the edges of the lakes. I like making John Nolan Dr. smaller and slower for traffic, and separating bike areas from walking areas.
1051	I love the connections Sasaki makes to natural landscape preservation and thoughtful pathways.
1052	The water sports feature and the "green" JND
1053	I liked how detailed the Agency plan was and it seems like it would make everyone happy.
1054	I love the decreased lanes on John Nolan and the canopy tree cover for that road, this will greatly improve safety and sustainability. I also love the Monona Hill in the Agency plan. I really like the equal amenities in South Madison in the Sasaki Plan.
1055	I love the sustainability and clean water aspects of the Sasaki plans, and the high walkway. I loved the "back to nature" theme of the JCF with all of its large trees, the slides for kids and adults, the beach access.

1056	the green hill or greenspace around the Monona Terrace, the addition of greenspace and reintroduction of wetlands, and separated paths for cycling and walking. Connections to the rest of the city. Pedestrian access to the capital!
1057	Living on Broom Street, I liked the way that Sasaki's connected the best with JCFO's in second. I also really liked Sasaki's ideas for green infrastructure.
1058	I really appreciate the idea of planting trees on John Nolen Drive in the JFCO plan. I think expanding the lake front and adding more green space and wetlands is a great feature of all three.
1059	Restaurant, fish habitat, and design
1060	I really like the idea of restoring the natural ecosystems of the lake that we have disturbed through the years. It would be really nice to get some lake restoration experts to review the plans and work with the selected plan to make sure that is a priority. Additionally, I really like the idea in the JCFO plan to put John Nolen on a road diet. That through street is really treated as a highway, in connection with E Washington. I understand that the state does actively consider it one, however we should not be supporting such large roads through the city. They make the city stuffy, polluted, loud, and dangerous. It's not worth putting money towards keeping these roads as highways (especially when we see non-motorists killed or hurt on these roads).
1061	The beaches at Hamilton and King
1062	The undulating path of Agency Landscape & Planning creates beautiful moments of inner and outer space and connectivity with the water or the land. It encourages a variety of utility and interactivity as well as aesthetically appealing vistas.
1063	Sasaki - rain garden, promenade, earth-covered cafe, winter garden, waterfront restaurant, fringe wetland/boardwalk, timeless quiet spot, canopy walk, beer garden, active meadow, bathrooms. JCFO: restaurant, pedestrian boardwalk, swing playground. Agency: Walk on Water path, Monona overlook.
1064	cover John Nolen drive please! in favor of all plans that include increased walkability over John Nolen drive!
1065	Love the King Street Pier, The Cove swimming beach, restaurant/event space, and Dog Run, as featured in JCFO plan
1066	Amphitheater allowing for recreational activities. More walk ways other than current bike path. Ability to view nature around the lake more.
1067	I love the green hill that AL+P uses to connect the downtown to the water at multiple points. It overhauls the entire character of the waterfront and ensures it's a place for everyone and not just cars. It makes it easy to access, puts nature and people first. It's great.
1068	The expansion of green space around Monona Terrace

1069	<p>I like the inclusion of the round boathouse in the JCFO design - it's an interesting homage to the Wright boathouse one of our iconic architects. I also like the piers going back to the capitol building.</p> <p>The straighter paths through the Sasaki design are great for active travel commuters. This also features a round boathouse near the northern extent of Law Park.</p> <p>The Agency boardwalk is an interesting idea for warmer months.</p> <p>I love the idea of capping John Nolen that all the designs featured heavily. Improving access to the shoreline and trails there would be fantastic, and limiting the noise and other issues associated with car traffic is a great way to improve the site.</p>
1070	Elevated path, beach access, selective use on DIFFERENT paths (eg, one bike, one walk, one runners)
1071	They all have great plans.
1072	I think one of the most important features is focusing on renewing the ecosystem within our lakes - and allowing for a place where the public can take advantage of the opportunities we have to live and active lifestyle with the environment we have. I also enjoyed the idea of creating an Ave of John Nolan and the ideas of how to mitigate and reduce traffic flow. That is incredible important as I do not think the current state is very safe.
1073	Sasak's design feels the most like a destination and reason to stop and spend time in the park. While the James Corner design is not bad, it doesn't offer anything that I feel Madison Parks already offer and would not attract visitors as a must see in Madison.
1074	<p>Sasaki's Olin Overlook  JCFOs King Street Pier  Agency's Olin Park waterwalk  Green John Nolen</p> <p>Raised bridges connecting downtown to waterfront to avoid crossing John Nolen  MUST expand the bike path around the terrace waayyy too narrow</p>
1075	I very much enjoy Sasaki's focus on a living edge, incorporating Ho-Chunk voices and amphitheatre space. I think that could be huge for Madison.
1076	<p>Plan for train station - Essential to the future of Madison</p> <p>Separated bike paths - Keeps conflict between ped and bikes lower, and allows for space for everyone to use the space.</p> <p>Reducing to 4 lanes on JND</p>
1077	Agency: the multiple bridges, boardwalks, paths, and EXPANDED green space is key for me.
1078	Positive environmental impact, more green space, better footways, easier access to lake, small terrace similar to Memorial Union Terrace with seating to enjoy the views
1079	The parks going over John Nolen Drive are my favorite feature of the James Corner Field Operations plan.
1080	I love the variety Sasaki offers. I can see myself and my family spending all day touring the area.

1081	The connection to the capital in sasaki  The openness of sasaki and over head walkways  The walkway features of James.
1082	Restoration of wildlife and awareness of the indigenous past of the land. They would bring residents together
1083	Piers/boardwalks trails, stairs to lakefront, little beach, landscaping shown in Wild Lakeshore plan. Ped bridge shown in Voices of the Lake plan. Wetlands and other green infrastructure shown in all plans.
1084	I like the way the Agency and Sasaki plans separate the needs of bicycle commuters needing to pass through from those of pedestrians wanting to enjoy the shoreline.
1085	Overlooks and over water trails. Separate walking and biking trails for increased safety
1086	Sasaki seemed to have the most in-depth plans for both ecological rehabilitation and community activities / engagement. I love the Story Walk, the ampitheatre, and the nature center.
1087	Re-wilding, lake restoration.
1088	Beaches were a good addition to the terrace area.  I liked how agency & JFCO worked to sepearate leisurely foot traffic & bike traffic. This recognizes this area has to be a travel space until safe alternatives can be used to bike
1089	The city needs a quick and intense boat ride that everyone can enjoy and afford.
1090	Changing John Nolen Drive into a slower moving boulevard, Monona shore restoration and wetlands, Law park transformation built over John Nolen drive and overall increased green space.
1091	Monona Hill- big thinking, totally transformative. Seating areas in JC design
1092	I love James Corner access to the actual water and ability to interact with the lakes more.
1093	I thought James Corner had a very nice appealing look to it. It looks like it belongs in Madison.
1094	James Corner developments to the sides of the Monona Terrace is a great plan. I opens up more space for people to visit the venues and the lake.
1095	Monona Hill by Agency = beautiful green space Elevated Waterfront park by Sasaki = community event space
1096	I really enjoy all of them. I like the plan overall for the Sasaki as it showed overall plans for habitat multi use paths and public spaces.
1097	the overall design and working with the existing conditions
1098	Connections between King St and Law Park and Hamilton st and hamilton street pier. Floating gardens. Kayaking course. Elevated Canopy walk and nature center.
1099	Separating the bike path from the highway is really important. Trying to slow down run-off with native plant rain gardens and re-establishing marshes to rebuild natural flood control should also be prioritized. I liked the additional beaches but I'm not sure if those can be constructed in an environmentally sound way.
1100	Not really.

1101	I think reclaiming the lakefront from John Nolen Dr. is the biggest priority for me. The land bridge over the road is incorporated, in some fashion, by all designers. However, it's clear that the Agency Landscape + Planning design is the boldest and most transformative, which is exactly what we need.
1102	Love Monona Hill. The Capitol/downtown area seem separated from the lakes, especially Monona, because you can't easily "get there from here." Monona Hill changes that access more dramatically than any of the other proposals.
1103	I like the concept of a natural lakeshore
1104	Agency's design features seem the most realistic to implement, and most realistic for the scale of the area.
1105	I like the circular piers at Olin Park. I could see those getting a lot of use. I also really like how in the Sasaki presentation there was so much nature brought back to the shore. It does make you wonder though if it would smell and be gross. I love the rendering of the park in the James Corner design. The space has to be interesting.
1106	Ensure a few of the water quality plans from Sasaki gets used no matter what happens, but James Corner is a truly exciting vision.
1107	In my opinion the best features from each submission are as follows-  James Corner: -More expansive and natural paddling/kayak courses  -Beach  -Public Steps/amphitheater style seating near Monona Terrace  Agency:  -Monona Hill, which does the best job of integrating and connecting Monona Terrace and Law Park into Capitol Square and the surrounding area while also being the most aesthetically pleasing of the three proposals to improve connectivity around the Terrace.  -Dedicated walkway extending into lake for pedestrians only along John Nolen Drive  Sasaki:  -canoe-shaped piers, walkways, and "floating Wetlands" near law park extending out into water.
1108	I asked myself - Is the timeless? Does it reflect and build upon natural beauty? Is it truly unique from other destinations? Is the design message profound or simply artificial?
1109	Love the piers in the JCFO plan. Also like the hillside concept in the Agency plan.

1110	I like the pedestrian paths included in each plan. I also the ecological focus of the Sasaki plan.
1111	I like the land bridge extending into the water
1112	Street Piers from JCFO; Monona Hill from Agency; and Canopy walk from Sasaki.
1113	I really liked aspect of all three plans. Agency has really captured the essence of multi use and multi speed. James has created a fantastic community space and Sasaki has created the best ecological restoration.
1114	Everything in the James Corner plan looks amazing. The raised walkways in the Sasaki are also amazing
1115	Boardwalk is nice.
1116	James Corner Field had the most to offer, including both nature and ways to use.
1117	I love the wildflowers and beach access features
1118	Love increased bicycle access
1119	The James group had access from all parts of the city to the lake.
1120	I love the development of the King Street and Hamilton Street axis to the lake. These are elements of both Sasaki & James Corner's schemes.
1121	Accessibility for everyone of all ages and for people to travel at all speeds.
1122	I liked the canopy walk in the sasaki plan
1123	Law Park is crucial to be fully open between city and lake. The more access opportunities the more valuable and lake. I like the green causeway on John Nolan. I like the amphitheaters proposed.
1124	Hammocks, because fun and relaxing. Elevated bridge, sasaki's buildings and raised paths, sasaki's ecology education pieces
1125	I like reviving the marsh and restoring a healthy ecological function.
1126	Beautifying Monona terrace, rewilding the lakeshore, maintaining the bike path right next to the lake, education areas.
1127	Green hill at Monona Terrace
1128	Multi modal access, safe for all. Water management systems for water quality, the lake needs help. Public spaces good access for all.
1129	Bike path separate from pedestrian path. More "terrace like" meeting space. The lakes are beautiful! The more swimming/gathering space along them the better.
1130	Boldness is what really stood out to me about the New York proposal. That is a place I would be drawn to visit, not just to know that it's in my backyard.
1131	I prefer the plans to incorporate multiple areas for enjoyment of the lakefront. Adding to the opportunities at Olin park is also a priority
1132	We like the added walk ways, Beaches and usable park areas with amenities for family's. Also what about parking for people.
1133	Love the extended floating walk in the Agency plan. Also love the playground in the James plan. More beaches and swimming areas is also good.
1134	Activities for all ages.
1135	I like the inclusion of Native cultural perspectives. So much has been taking from Native tribes. It's good to see plans that give back, even if it's mostly symbolic.
1136	Connection via greenways from the capitol to the waterfront. Restored marshlands to help clean up runoff and protect wildlife.

1137	<p>The Sasaki plan's inclusion of the amphitheater in what is otherwise dead space is brilliant and will transform that to a feature alongside Monona Terrace.</p> <p>I strongly feel we need to make a big effort to integrate boating (kayaking and motor boats) into both Olin Park and downtown commercial access--Sasaki does that best</p>
1138	<p>Monona Hill is my favorite feature. Coming in 2nd in the continuation of King St to the lake - it is one of Madison's most historic and important streets today. Imagine how much greater it would be if it offered a direct path to the lake</p>
1139	<p>the direct access to the capital in saskis plan and the pier in JCFOs plan</p>
1140	<p>Green "hillside" concept of the Agency plan is excellent. The park beach at the end of the Hamilton Street is very nice. A boathouse at the end of King Street would be a nice addition.</p>
1141	<p>I really like the options that included elevated or vaulted walkways and sitting spots, would be great for sunsets and providing more area for people to be there. Just need to be sure it isn't too high or blocking too much of the area from sight.</p>
1142	<p>historic elements, lake sustainability, natural beauty</p>
1143	<p>Added outdoor venues, lakeshore access, green spaces near the water</p>
1144	<p>The use of more nature space but also community space and a beach. I particularly like the design elements that reach into the water allowing for a closer and accessible experience for all.</p>
1145	<p>See question 8.</p>
1146	<p>Adding gardens and green space, I like the expansion into the lake a bit more and covering up around the terrace as the parking and roads are an eyesore. I appreciate the modern, organic architecture and separation of the space from John Nolen.</p>
1147	<p>Agency had the best presentstion</p>
1148	<p>I liked the idea from James Corner to open up more of the monona terrace trails for fishing, as well as the various wetland plans from Sasaki and the additional ice trails. I felt like these would be small, cost-effective changes that would greatly improve the area.</p>
1149	<p>I love the Memorial Union, Chicago Riverwalk, and Millennium Park. The plan that can bring the most people to the lake is really the winner. For some that's nature, but for many it's music, food, dance, entertainment, playgrounds, exercise, a shared experience in a beautiful setting.</p> <p>Over time would be nice to have an anchor entertainment destination at each end, with stop in between. Give people a reason to stroll one end to the other.</p> <p>Reorient the condos to face the lake. Possibly include mixed use shops on ground level.</p>
1150	<p>I love the canopy walk at Olin park and the various bridge connections that Sasaki had</p>
1151	<p>Corner's recommendation to reduce the land mass at Olin Park and redistribute it along the causeway waterfront to improve water quality and aquatic habitat is very creative and will address any community concerns about lake fill.</p>
1152	<p>I really like the plan that removes the monona terrace parking bulwarks.</p>



1153	I love the idea of a nature center at Olen park because it will provide an accessible space for outdoor education for the South Side. I love the fishing pier in front of Monona Terrace that JCFO designed. I love the restored floating wetlands and the path over water that all designs had. I like the
1154	The thickened natural edge. The seamless capping of Nolan Dr. with a park. The enrichment of Olin park with more distinctive types of nature and wetlands.
1155	Use of lake shore and water
1156	I loved everything about the James Corner proposal. It made me want to visit and explore this newly transformed area. It truly is a blending of city and nature in a way that is naturally beautiful, visually stunning, and appealing to many different interests and uses. From the other designers, I like the Monona Hill idea, but wonder how practical it is to implement. I was also concerned about the Hill just becoming an open, expansive turf-grass park. I also liked the idea of a nature center to bring programming down to the water's edge.
1157	Real, functioning ecological zones/marshes (not floating beds requiring maintenance etc). James Corner's formal Frank Lloyd Wright green causeway combined with wild shore is beautiful, and not at the expense of functional spaces. Bike/walking path separation critical.
1158	For all, the way they creatively link Olin Park, the lakeshore to the Monona Terrace area -- west/Terrace itself/East. All make the area enticing to use for a wide range of visitors.
1159	ecological resilience and connective access to the city
1160	I like the divided walk, run biking areas with stop off viewing and sitting areas! I like the idea of learning/ class areas as I like to paint outdoors or take outdoor art classes! I like the nature study class room and the nature study areas incorporated in the designs! I like the kayak learning area in some designs! I like the natural boardwalk or boardwalk look to have a more natural look.
1161	Love the idea of putting traffic underground.
1162	I love the waterfront park around the Monona Terrace in the Agency plan. Their vision for year-round activities along the shoreline was the best as well. I like their emphasis on ecology restoration and making the shoreline more accessible.
1163	I love Agency's Monona Hill design.
1164	I love the natural lakeshore additions to protect water, the additional green space over concrete, the city access and the wide range of activities proposed.
1165	User friendly, natural look
1166	Strolling Paths, Playgrounds, Skating/sledding opportunities, restaurants, Overlooks, Connections
1167	Plan 1. The beach. The hill of terraced green space bringing the parks together
1168	JCFO's plan for John Nolan Drive because it would be the cornerstone for any realistic plan.

1169	I bike a lot, and one of the biggest annoyances in Madison is the congestion in front of Monona Terrace. There's simply too many people doing too many different things. The JCFO plan is the only one that actually appeared to address this, and their rendering of the fishing pier in front of Monona Terrace looks like it should have been that way from the beginning. That one simple change would be such a huge improvement. I also loved how the JCFO plan extended Broom and King streets to incorporate the wonderful capitol view into their plans, something it didn't feel like the other plans did as much. I liked the Agency's Monona Hill idea, but I also feel like it's a little too ambitious and complex. I really loved the canopy walk idea from the Sasaki plan, I feel like it would be a really unique draw and could have some amazing views.
1170	Boardwalks, non motor boat areas
1171	The covering of the Monona Terrace parking with green park space by Agency is my favorite feature of them all. The marsh kayaking area in Olin by James Conner is unique and brings a neat part of wetlands to the city. Beer gardens from Sasaki seem like a slam dunk, and the nature center area by them looks good as a whole.
1172	The skywalk in Sasaki's, because it provides multiple viewing angles of a natural landscape.
1173	I love connection to the capitol and the geometric patterns of downtown
1174	Safe access to the lake over John Nolen. Sizable greenspace in multiple locations. Environmental impact consideration.
1175	Public gathering locations: cafes/restaurants, amphitheater, playground; multi speed lanes is a must to separate foot traffic from bike traffic; lake preservation/restoration, boardwalks; pedestrian crossings above fast traffic
1176	Inviting connection between downtown and the lake front and a pleasant lake front
1177	I like that there will be a usable community space that could enhance the life of young adults who want to enjoy the downtown area without feeling like they're on a campus.
1178	Lots of greenery with the James Company. Love the idea of wildflower gardens to walk through.
1179	The elevated and expanded park area around Monona Terrace that sort of masks rather than just dresses up the John Nolan roadway it really appealing. I also like observation decks around Olin Park as a way to view and appreciate the city. Sasaki's plans for managing plant life in a way that is appealing all year round is very nice too.
1180	Agency Landscape is more elegant and classy! Not boxy as the other plans
1181	Floating Wetlands was intriguing
1182	The fishing pier off of the Terrace, the piers in general, ecological restoration of Sasaki's plan, a beach, crosswalk renovations that allow bikes and pedestrians to cross more easily and safely, specific fast paths for bikes, more greenery on the Terrace.
1183	I particularly like JCFO's Olin Park Marsh for kayaking and canoeing, the Cove, the Terrace fishing, and the boat launch. Agency's attention to greening up the Terrace is very nice.

1184	The Agency Landscape design's iconic ribbon of green space and on-water views; universal connection to downtown and surrounding neighborhoods; design that best reduces traffic impacts, noise, unattractive pavement/road views, etc. along John Nolen Dr.
1185	Ho-chunk influence, tamarack swamp, ecological function, floating boardwalk, Monona boat center, native planting, over/underpass of Olin, massive sloping lawn to the lake, amphitheater, canopy walk, multimodal access.
1186	I like the flexibility of Agency's plan, it doesn't feel set and stone. Community members seem more likely to have a say it what happens in their home town!
1187	Love the piers connecting to the capitol
1188	I like JCFO Civic and Agency Olin pier.
1189	The large park at Monona Terrace.
1190	Keep access to neighborhoods and seperate walking paths from biking paths.
1191	I like reducing the width of JND for cars. But I don't think the plans are aggressive enough.
1192	NONE
1193	I like the idea of a cafe to create a WU Terrace like area. I liked the separate running/biking path from walking path.
1194	The piers and boathouse
1195	Overall they do make better use of the area compared to today. I best appreciate features that create more greenspace and provide a natural feel.
1196	I really liked the water quality measures and lake habitat efforts in the Sasaki plan because I know how badly we tend to treat these lakes and they need help. The careful planting plans were also great in that plan. I think all of the plans had separated paths for different modes of travel, which is a good idea.
1197	Corner's vision for Olin Park
1198	Diversity, Green space, accessible for all, a must see part of the city
1199	All of them reconfigure John Nolen Dr to reenvision it as a lower speed street. Short of removing it entirely that's the next best outcome.
1200	each one had great features
1201	Access
1202	Adding more natural features back to the lake (marsh areas, etc.) I also think public gathering spaces (ampitheater, beach, etc.) are very important.
1203	Agency's focus on green spaces is particularly appealing to me, with the greening of Monona Hill being the most wonderful. Going to Monona Terrace is generally depressing because it is a concrete and asphalt blandness. The view of the lake is pretty, but there's nothing inviting there. Making more green space downtown and making the Terrace appealing to look at (and to look at from other parks that will have a view of it) will benefit Madison in so many ways.  Additonally, I like Sasaki's detailed water quality improvements. I'm not a big fan of the aesthetics they went with, but permeable pavement, bioswales, rain gardens, and the water quality structures are all necessary!
1204	I love how dynamic the James corner field design is.
1205	The year round options, as the majority of the year is too cold for beachfront access
1206	access to the lake, addressing issues of water quality
1207	Story walk from Sasaki - stories are what connect people to places - green ecosystem take on what the shoreline/green spaces should be.

1208	I like keeping the parking at MT but expanding the green space and roof top gardens. It is also really like the idea of a separate slow pace boardwalk along the lake to move the slower traffic off the bike path. The number of overwalks and bridges is nice and I think enhance the view and accessibility.
1209	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Large park downtown that actually connects the lake and the city</li> <li>- Covering John Nolen drive downtown</li> <li>- Separated bike path and pedestrian paths</li> <li>- Lakefront café or restaurant</li> <li>- Wider greenspace along John Nolen and narrowing the road itself</li> </ul>
1210	I really like the street piers from teh James Corner plan, making a connection all the way to the capital . I also like the boardwalk along John Nolen, and the Monona Hill from Agency.
1211	I quite liked JCFO and Sasaki's beaches, event spaces, and access to exciting, usable public spaces. They struck me as excellent places to have concerts, such as concerts on the square, and listen to music with refreshments. Additionally, I quite liked JCFO's ideas with Olin Park. A pier at Olin would be awesome, along with a nature center and restored forest/marshes. I also enjoyed Sasaki's vision of it, but would love a pier in their proposal. I agree with both JCFO and Sasaki's vision for John Nolen - reducing it down to 4 lanes, adding greenery, and putting in safe, ecologically positive walking and biking trails is crucial. I feel it's important that food/drink vendors are able to access and sell products at beaches and event spaces in the proposals, or else the space will become a sort of walkthrough space without much lingering power. I thought JCFO and Sasaki did the best jobs of prioritizing these goals.
1212	The Sasaki plan seems to cover all bases for all people. I especially like the emphasis on history of the land, art, nature, and the amphitheater
1213	Agency's plan to cover JND with park space on both side of Monona Terrace makes the area more inviting. The park space should extend as far as possible on both sides towards Williamson St and Lake Shore Drive.
1214	Natural features, walkways, slides for kids, piers
1215	Wetlands, re-wilding, improving water quality
1216	I like drinking/dining options, beach space, different walkways, green space / floral restoration, I like the entertainment options with the amphitheater, bird watching opportunities, and the canopy walk.
1217	Board walk and ease of access to downtown. Sitting areas, cafes. Nature mashes and beach.
1218	alternatives to a flat paved path.
1219	I love how Field Operations connected piers to the main streets that lead back to downtown. It's bold and elegant and is an urban design solution and strengthens connections to the waterfront.
1220	I look at the plans as a Sconie. Opportunity to enjoy the water and laid back interactions with others.
1221	I can see in the Sasaki plan, myself making an effort to go downtown and not feel overwhelmed by where to walk or where to sit.
1222	Water access and seating
1223	I love burying John Nolen, as well as having space for food trucks
1224	Sasaki promoted getting into and above the area, to gain a larger, different, perspective. Agency's presentation buried their best feature with The Hill.

1225	Any attempt to creat natural buffers between the aquatic ecology and the urban is important both asthetically and ecologically. Emphasis on water quality and improving aquatic habitat.
1226	walkways that make space for all people moving in all kinds of ways
1227	I like the inclusion of extensive wetlands and natural areas of Agency and that the space is a true public space, accessible to all without cost. Sasaki incorporates many features that highlight Madison history and seems the most complete in terms of multiple uses for the space.
1228	I love the focus on restoring the lakeshore ecology, creating separate walking and biking paths, boardwalks. I also love the idea of covering parts of John Nolan with green park space. The Monona Hill idea from Agency is very cool.
1229	Pedestrian bridge to create safe access to avoid John Nolan. Increased grass/landscaping to help with filtering water run off.
1230	I like the Corner's digging out the shoreline in Olin Park to create a cove & wetland. The removed soil would be added to the shoreline near the Monona Terrace to increase the width of the park for more plants and walk/bikeways. I like reducing the traffic lanes.
1231	Love the overhead walkways, the wetland restoration, the walkways over and through the lake, the idea of a waterfront restaurant, boathouse, and space for special events
1232	I think the agency plan shows accessibility best - it's important that people who are disabled or lack transportation have the ability to enjoy these spaces as well.
1233	I like the greenscaping of the Monona terrace, having seating extend out front of the terrace, the wooden playground, the learn to canoe/kayak area. The lake can get so crowded with fast boats and waves that the designated learning to paddle course provides better safety.
1234	Trees down John Nolen and behind the Monona Terrace Seating by beach
1235	I like keeping the shoreline as natural and free-as-possible from "features"
1236	ALP's Monona Hill. See previous comments.  JCFO's native plantings and being "wild".
1237	Yes, several I previously mentioned. Plus, reducing lanes on Nolan Drive to reclaim the waterfront for people (not in vehicles).
1238	King and Hamilton (and other streets) can access the lake. Separation of the bike and walk lanes on the causeway. Expansion of the currently janky lake edge with more and better green space. Imagine being able to get onto the lake without stumbling over riprap and cutting your face open?
1239	James Corner has both clarity of vision and appropriate experience to make it happen. Not a missed beat in their plan or their reassuring delivery and approach tonight 1/26/23.
1240	King & Hamilton Pier walks with access from the square.
1241	The circular boardwalk at Olin Park is most practical and best for the wetland/landscape. Monona Hill is visionary and provides lots of open space. James Corner has really smart community spaces that are really practical and accessible at King St and South Hamilton.
1242	I love the lake access near the base of Hamilton and King Streets - also the boardwalk concepts and the Tamarack Marsh plan by James Corner
1243	The integration of winter activities

1244	I liked the boardwalk over the water, the tables and seating areas to hang out, and overpass connections over roads and Monona Hill.
1245	More green space, minimum concrete
1246	The green spaces, handicap accessibility, piers accessible for fishing
1247	Green space
1248	<p>As mentioned above, I like the green space cap over John Nolen.</p> <p>I like the increased acreage of the circular top of the Terrace</p> <p>I like increased ped and bike lanes.</p> <p>I like the ped bridges over busy roads/increased access.</p> <p>I like the increased green space along the lakes.</p> <p>I really like the plans that make transition from the Square to the Lake very natural, very easy, and very fun. Currently it's actually a chore to walk from the capitol to the roof of the Terrace. And though it is a Wright building, when you're there it doesn't actually feel like anything in particular (if anything, it feels like the top of a parking ramp).</p>
1249	Beaches, paths, sledding hill
1250	none
1251	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Large, expansive beaches; these are practically absent in Madison</li> <li>2. Varying landscapes &amp; planting (wetlands, meadows, forests, varying types of trees)</li> <li>3. Boardwalks and piers; this provides intimate access to the water</li> <li>4. Commitment to improving water quality</li> </ol>
1252	The living edge and elevated walkway were beautiful. Reminiscent of the high line in NYC, which is an incredible use of space.
1253	Like the separate paths and space in between cars, bikes, walkers. Like the use of the space to give more access than current concrete walls
1254	The circular boardwalks and the hill along Monona Terrace from the Agency plan
1255	I like what JCFO did with Olin Park and creating marshy kayaking areas, as well as the axis piers stemming from the capital. I like Agency's transformation of the terrace into green space, just seems a little far-fetched for the City of Madison. I also like the board walks along John Nolen Drive in Sasaki and Agency's plans. Big fan of changing John Nolen Drive and monona terrace into a greener area.
1256	I like the variety of features available for visitors. I also like the idea of trying to reduce traffic & speed between the 2 parks to cut down on air pollution and noise. That should increase interest in using the area.
1257	I like the kayak challenge course in JFCO's plans.
1258	Different activities to do along the lake that make it inviting.
1259	i like the woodland kids playground proposed by JCFO
1260	I love the 8-acre land bridge park in Agency's plan. It is essential for connections between the city and the lake.
1261	I really like the ecological restoration of the shoreline.
1262	Natural environment, second plan.

1263	I especially liked the amount of greenspace that James' plan clearly articulates and how bringing down King and Hamilton streets really seems to incorporate the whole plan into the downtown area.
1264	Yes- like using the space all around Monona Terrace
1265	The standout is "Monona Hill", linking both sides of Law Park while concurrently integrating Monona Terrace into the landscape. I believe this to be a brilliant extension of Frank Lloyd Wright's vision. Plus it provides both views and access to the lake. I want to hang out there now!

<b>Q36</b>	<b>Are there specific features you do not like? Why?</b>
Comment #	Q36 Responses
1	I like that James Corner is doing more at Olin. Olin is totally underutilized and underappreciated. I also like the landscaping work along the road – driving through trees instead of concrete.
2	I don't like the plans that leave Monona Terrace with just some more green and trees on top. I want to see a bigger vision.
3	Not off the top of my head.
4	Elevated walk ways are great provided the elevation isn't too steep. I also prefer elevated paths closer to the water level vs high up.
5	Any project that tries to retain the dominance of John Nolen Drive as a high speed thoroughfare is a no from me. Madison needs to decide whether 50 years from now we still want to be sharing our valuable lakefront with environmentally destructive car infrastructure, or if we want to use it to enjoy and be in better harmony with our environment. Any design that doesn't challenge the status quo of car dominance in the area while paying lip service to environmentalism is insincere.
6	n/a
7	Do not build within Olin Park! Why would you destroy green space? It is one of the only forest preserves within city limits. Preserve this sanctuary.
8	I do not like the plans that overly focus on the construction of a few expensive buildings rather than the extent of the lakeshore
9	No waterfront restaurant.
10	Features I did not like were the walking overpass that goes over Lake Monona, it doesn't seem safe over a lake. In acknowledgement of the needs efficient walk from apartments around the waterfront it would be a reasonable need.
11	To much building and high cost. Changing natural habitat
12	I don't like the written word aspect of Sawasaki
13	Dog Run, excessive bike path. excessive fishing locations
14	Plans with just nature
15	Na
16	Beer garden due to noise level, clean-up and potential for disorderly behavior
17	The James Corner Field design seemed to have a lot of concrete
18	Not really, everything is pretty groovy in my book!
19	too many walkways, bridges, bike paths and other structures built into and over the water.
20	Reduced lanes on John Nolen Drive.
21	Beach! Not necessary!
22	The beaches are all in the wrong places. Water becomes too deep too quickly at some locations (little kids and big kids will drown) and at other locations the wind and water currents will make endless piles of floating weeds accumulate on the beach sand. Don't like the fake weed beds floating on plastic pontoons. Don't like the pedestrian overpasses that jut out over everything else. Put ped/bike underpass in the Broom St/Northshore Dr. area instead of an overpass on the south side of Monona Terrace.



23	Some of it looks too much like Chicago. I don't want Madison to become some big tourist spot. This local doesn't want the place to become any more crowded or full of strangers. I just want clean lakes, lots of wildlife, and a better bike path.
24	I don't like any boardwalks/piers that will be built out into the water. They take away from the natural shoreline. They won't endure Wisconsin ice flows and waves. Many of the features will attract litter and graffiti.
25	Beaches. Don't bother. Water is too gross and they're north-facing. That's an ideal beach. Walking path on causeway shouldn't assume everyone is meandering. People walk for exercise and to commute and also want a direct route - don't make all walking paths meander even if it LOOKS cool. Don't even PUT paths in to start, just see where the people tread and make the paths there (I know that's not very accessible-minded but you get the idea) Don't turn this into another Navy Pier. Yeah, it's a destination but it's not a "park". It's its own thing. It's loud, dirty, crowded. Madison doesn't need that. The failure of Lakeshore Drive is that it's all concrete but it's a great place to walk and enjoy that shoreline.
26	I don't think every aspect of the design needs to be littered with "attractions."
27	I live on S. Hamilton right where each plan's connector bridge/overpass will be. Very worried about loss of privacy, trash and noise.
28	Narrow expansion along the causeway. Why?
29	Nothing in particular.
30	I don't like the concrete steps on the shoreline in the James Corner design. We don't need more concrete there, it should be natural.
31	I did not like the harsh, angular lines found in some of the plans.
32	I do not like the canoe and kayak marshes - they will quickly get gummed up and it will turn into marsh - fine if a marsh is desired not fine if supposed to be a major access point.
33	Making it rent or private inly
34	overly extensive boardwalks too far out into the water (although we love the nearer boardwalks & interaction with the waterfront for walkers); lack of separation between boat launch areas and beachfront areas, just worried about competing types of traffic in too small a space (but maybe it won't be as small as it might appear in renderings); sudden transitions between sandy beach areas and concrete edges; can't really find much not to like.
35	The James Corner plan doesn't seem bold enough. It seems limited by the existing roadways, rather than reimagining them.
36	bridges
37	Not really, all of the plans have perks.
38	Too much concrete/hard surfaces in Sasaki plan around Terrace, too little connection between parks
39	I did not like that some plans lacked specifics. Sasaki listed so many details on how they will complete their goals and I'm concerned the others are not as concrete.
40	Fishing in front of MT, treatment of Olin park.

41	I was put off by the numerous pop-up beer gardens in the Sasaki proposal. I felt their proposal seemed to flow much less than the other designs.
42	The carnival-like atmosphere of some plans. Public lounging, eating, and etc.
43	Features I don't like about the Sasaki plan: -Too much paved/hard surface, which could exacerbate heat island effect -Hard edges and angles clashing with the organic shapes of the lakefront
44	I like the water walk but I think it doesn't have enough other things to make it a good all-around option.
45	I do not like the structure labeled as the "KING STREET PIER AND BOATHOUSE" on the James Comer plan. It stands out, and not in a positive way.
46	I do like the idea of adding beaches for swimming but I feel that our water quality needs to be improved to reduce algal blooms so that people can actually use them. Hopefully these plans address that concern.
47	All feature ample opportunity to LOOK AT the lake. Only Sasaki recognizes the public importance of providing access to shore from the lake and from the lake to the shore, and even Sasaki does that only minimally.
48	Sasaki's amusement park-like lakefront at Law Park end.
49	Overly "futuristic" designs that will look dated in a short time. All three have aspects of this.
50	I don't like the monona hill it changes the look of monona terrace which is iconic
51	Where the bike path crosses John Nolan, it needs to be more protected for safety. Not sure if that's going under the road or if there's another method.
52	Paved stairs. Generic design of the sozaki plan. It isn't novel or terribly interesting. Looks like an extension of the top of Monona Terrace, which isn't that interesting.
53	Board walk on the lake. No interactive or engaging.
54	I don't like boardwalks going over the water. Too many opportunities to drop trash.

55	<p>A major concern of mine is that the massive number of details and amenities are so many that they will never happen. The final design needs to be far simpler.</p> <p>To say it another way, overall, all the designs were too fussy, trying to include so many things, as though to get the maximum amount of points. This is going to be a space with very high human impact. It will wear far better if the detailing is simple and solid.</p> <p>Many of the ideas are too fragile to sustain heavy human and pet use.</p> <p>Heavily used public spaces need to be "hardened" physically while still being inviting, beautiful and compelling. Instead of "hardening" we can call it "human impact sustainability." For example...</p> <p>In Washington, DC, the grand grassy mall from the Capitol to the Lincoln Memorial is spectacularly beautiful, awe-inspiring.</p> <p>The reason the mall in Washington can withstand million-person rallies and tens of millions of visitors annually and still look spectacular is that surfaces are extraordinarily durable.</p> <p>The lawn of the mall is in reality rough patchy grass. The buildings and monuments have massive stone surfaces and no delicate details or landscaping.</p> <p>The scale in this Madisonn project is different, of course; nevertheless the concept applies.</p> <p>This proposed park will be subject to heavy human impact. Delicate natural woodland--and especially marshes--will not survive, unless they are separated from all direct human impact by such measures as being on islands as was shown in</p>
56	Only one plan talks about Native American history, that is missing big time from the others.
57	I am not interested in boardwalk corals or large beachfronts. I have lived in cities with artificial beaches on lakes, and they were dirty, hotspots for crime, and bad for the environment.
58	Long docks/paths into the water will be difficult to use in the winter, when they will be iced over.

59	<p>JFCO features I don't like: Surface parking lots at the bottom of Broom Street! Small useless beach that will likely become a camping site. Wide expanse of hard concrete steps.</p> <p>JFCO feature I don't like: Bike path no longer appears to connect to Machinery Row or the Cap City Bike Path on the eastern edge of downtown.</p> <p>Agency feature I don't like: Changing bike path to either go over the new hill, now behind Monona Terrace, or as a lane on John Nolen Drive in the tunnel. Going over the new hill introduces a big hill for bike commuters. Riding along cars on John Nolen Drive in the tunnel is an awful option. One of the jewels of the Lake Monona Loop is approaching the lake side of Monona Terrace and looking up at all the curved windows. It's also the shortest way past downtown for commuters. The bike path should remain in front of Monona Terrace at lake level.</p> <p>Sasaki feature I don't like: The South Hamilton overlook is hideous. A bunch of gray pillars at an odd angle, with a platform with sharp corners doesn't fit the vision of an organic, greener lakefront.</p>
60	Cold architecture and less integration of plant life - seating and buildings in JCFO report felt very non-descript and not very lively.
61	Not sure where there is increased parking...if it was there I missed it. All three had excellent ideas - maybe too many to be really possible.
62	Too many piers interferes with the lake.
63	I don't love the idea of creating more beaches. I don't think that it is as environmentally friendly as other options.
64	Confusing presentation by agency.
65	The unnatural "look at me" WOW features. We have enough of those in Madison already.
66	The boardwalks laid out in a few of the plans did not seem to have railings or guard rails. For children, and those with varying disabilities or challenges, this seemed to be a bit of a red flag or risk.
67	I'm not a fan of the long boardwalks with water on both sides.
68	No.
69	The circle at Olin. The weeds will catch, it will be gross.
70	I'm sure my rent will go up quite a bit when this is done but c'est la vie.
71	Some have too much visible concrete and less focus on greenery.
72	I love the walkways of all 3, sasaki is interesting but the long, high walkway by Olin strikes me as interesting to look at but less useful
73	Overall I like most of the features of the three designs. If I had to pick, I think the only thing I don't like is the floating amphitheater on the Sasaki design. It seems like it might be better suited to be on the shore itself
74	Although I see the transportation benefit, I'm just not sure I like creating a hill around Monona Terrace and extending it so far into the lake.

75	Circular walkway on lakeshore. I forget which plan. I don't understand why plans included changes to Monona Terrace, which is outside the scope of the project.
76	No Car access. How is traffic going downtown without John Nolen Drive? Elderly and handicapped use seems somewhat forgotten. Everyone thinks "oh it's wheelchair accessible" but how are you supposed to get close to that area with no visible parking in plan. Or drop off areas. Or golf cart paths or moving walkways or mini trains to transport people there. We're not all young anymore.
77	No
78	I don't like the walkway out into the water of the first option
79	I do not like the elevated walkway over Hamilton Street
80	The boardwalk will be crowded and claustrophobic
81	I don't really like Agency's plan for Olin Park, especially the overlook. It doesn't seem practical. I don't like how much concrete James Corner leaves around Monona Terrace, or the redevelopment James Corner envisions along King St. I don't love Sasaki's concept for a boathouse. I don't love the beaches in any of the concepts, unless the lake gets cleaned up.
82	I really dislike the swimming beaches. We have plenty already; there's no need to add more and they don't offer any ecological benefit to the lake.
83	cement focused
84	As many trees and walking paths and community gathering spaces as possible.
85	I don't like elevated structures on the lakeshore such as James Comer proposed.
86	The Amphitheatre on the Lake, it is interesting but will be rarely used and interferes with the daily use by individuals&small groups/nature focus. It is too focused on big crowds and periodic noisy special events.
87	Some of the structures are to fanciful and expensive. there will be a budget.
88	As mentioned I think the creation of new beaches, unless it has a specific use with regards to water and / or wildlife restoration, is unnecessary and poses ongoing maintenance / cleanliness concerns. I would also reiterate my concern that the extensive boardwalk in Agency's plan might prove to be an enduring maintenance headache.  I would also be concerned that, while totally well intentioned, the elements of a "story walk" as detailed in the Sasaki plan might quickly become dated, weather beaten, faded, etc.
89	Not enough public docks for boaters. There are no public docks or restaurants on lake Monona for the boating community and others to enjoy the lake.
90	Demolishing existing housing and commercial buildings on E. Wilson St. that negatively impacts peoples lives and decreases the property tax base.
91	It does not take into account the future of downtown. I do not go uptown because of all the traffic, It seems the City is deliberately forcing businesses outside of downtown. Uptown used to be such a beautiful place for everyone. Now it is for "woke".
92	Beaches, because virtually no one wants to swim in Lake Monona despite the many beaches already located around the lake. Adding another beach would be foolish.

93	The steps and seats on the steps are for able bodied people only
94	Amtrak station here - not somewhere else - East Wash, perhaps
95	Agency design seemed limited. Sasaki was good - just limited in location and vision
96	I didn't think the narrow kayaking area of Corner at Olin is practical.
97	Wish cars/busy roads were further removed from the waterfront.
98	Concerns of adding more traffic to a part of town that already has traffic issues
99	I like the idea of making John Nolan Drive more intertwined with nature, but its a busy causeway, and I hope that will be taken into consideration
100	The Corner drawings are way more specific and detailed than the two other plans - so it is easier to critique than the others. The tree lined divided boulevard is wonderful, but very aspirational. (How many years (decades) before the tree canopy looks like that?) The Broom Street intersection is unrealistically small. The causeway and Broom Street is a major artery from the Beltline to downtown. There needs to be more lanes for turning and moving traffic - otherwise there will be regular gridlock.
101	Stagnant water pools for paddling are a bad idea.
102	anything where it would be too easy for a drunk person to walk off a pier or boardwalk. We have enough drunk people already who walk into the lakes
103	Faster moving bikes on the same path as pedestrians is a plan for disasterfor
104	A beach seems like a lofty aspiration with the challenges lake monona already has. Poor water quality and a long held belief that our waters are unclean, could cause a challenge for madisonians to engage the beach.
105	Agency= Bike Path in tunnel with cars!!! Riders love to ride next to the lake!! Sasaki= no robust development on law park James= eliminates Brittingham Dog Park
106	The agency plan had sparse tree planting. Th JCFO plan looked like all their other project. Seemed unimportant to them.
107	None
108	concrete
109	The JCFO features feels like it gives TOO much space to nature that isn't really usable by humans, and there should be more of a balance.
110	I don't care for adding buildings like a community center or restaurant or nature center. Those are more "nice to haves." I am more focused on the green features and creating accessible spaces for all to recreate.
111	the elevated boardwalks; too much to maintain
112	JCFO: Needs more thought to winter recreation & what brings people to this area in the winter (e.g. ice fishing). Agency & Sasaki: They seem to ignore the current community members who fish in this area. Sasaki especially seems extremely focused on creating a "pretty space" that would draw in visitors, but may exclude current uses and users.
113	I am not sure why there is focus on beaches not many people use the public beaches already.
114	The JCFO design looks like something from the 20th Century. It does not represent the future of our city. Nor does it honor the Native heritage in the way that the other two designs do.

115	I'm concerned the water walkway will take too long to bring to fruition.
116	Sasaki: I don't like the wavy visitor center and entry plaza bridge- seems intrusive to skyline and looks like a giant slide. I'm not sure about the winter garden- looks kind of like a cocoon. JCFO: not sure how I feel about the King St. boathouse. I like that it's trying to echo the Frank Lloyd Wright design of Monona Terrace and that it looks like a shell, but not convinced it will work, especially in winter.
117	Some designs seem to have thought through inclusion of the ski show, however some have not. After living in Madison for 42 years, the ski team is by far the biggest user and draw to the current area. Please ensure they are taken care of going forward. I have loved bringing my family to free entertainment and feel strongly they need a good home for the future.
118	Not really.
119	I am concerned with the plan that has large beach space. The beaches in Madison often aren't well maintained and can have a lot of litter. Large amounts of pavement, food truck space, etc. limits the ability to enjoy the natural features of Madison.
120	boardwalks/causeway, beach, kayak facilities
121	Removing roads at the edge of downtown madison seems like a really bad idea when roads and traffic there are already so dense and congested. I like the idea of a park and more greenery around monona terrace but the surrounding area is already too packed to feel comfortable driving.
122	No
123	I am surprised by the lack of piers within the plans which will allow boaters to moor and gain access to downtown Madison. It is way beyond time to meet this need. The Sasaki plan seems to at least contain piers, but whichever one incorporates this important component will gain my support.
124	I don't like filling in the lake to create wetlands, or digging out the Olin Park section to create wetlands.  I hate the proposal to plant 4 rows of trees along the causeway. That would block the entryway views and visually disconnect Monona Bay and South Madison from the lake.  I don't think we need a beach on the north side of the lake where weeds will blow in, but we need some kind of a water access for events like Ironman and for non-motorized boating.
125	James Corner reducing John Nolan's capacity by more than 50% with no real plan to handle getting people into and out of Madison is a non-starter.
126	I fear that the addition of businesses and restaurants will require significant additional parking that will increase traffic on John Nolen and waste valuable land at the water's edge. It is important that foot traffic is prioritized with safe and convenient ways to get from existing parking garages to new additions. Minimum parking requirements waste land, and free parking subsidizes those who drive at the expense of the safety and cost of those who do not.

127	If Fields wants to go beach, then it should go HAM instead of a half-hearted effort that looks no nicer than Vilas Beach. Would be much more appropriate on lake Mendota near campus. No designer face any consideration for winter which is half the year.
128	I don't see beachfront as realistic given the health of the lakes
129	Pier and more concrete space. We don't need more concrete!!
130	I do not like the pedestrian bridges over John Nolen proposed in several of the plans. They are expensive and inconvenient for people walking and biking. More traffic lights with safe and comfortable crossings where traffic rules are strictly enforced would be a better alternative.
131	Too much wood decking would cost a lot of continual maintenance, and would be an issue for boats in summer/ice in winter.
132	The walking path out on the lake, does not greatly enhance lake experience
133	Elevated walkways - safety concerns / graffiti concerns
134	Overly focused on fishing. One design had a large peninsula with a square seated area - that'll be great for the 5 people who are on it and being loud, but excludes everyone else. make several small spaces for individual groups.
135	Am concerned about the desire to slow down John Nolan - I'm in favor but can see some real concerns
136	The greenspace of Agency Landscape isn't versatile enough. It's not much different than James Madison. Just not interesting enough to always want to go back. James Corner does better, Sasaki does best.
137	Not really.
138	Too much reliance on elevation may cause traffic flow issues. Rotating art vs. Permanent installations - change is good! History is important, but room for new visual creators and creations is an important way to involve community. Let Madisonians have some say in installations.
139	I don't like the raised boardwalks. It's too much flare and upkeep.
140	Not really
141	I like the beach options but worry about blue green algae. Also concerned about prioritizing fishing too much, again because of water and fish quality in the lake.
142	Cedar Cove Destination and Restaurant. Seems like basically Memorial Union Terrace
143	I do not like the board walk for the Agency Olin Park example. It seems too obtrusive and doesn't follow the natural flow of that area.
144	I do not like to see plans which create more lanes for car traffic without improving public transportation lanes or rails. I do not like to see plans that still route a bike path close to the road. I don't like to see plans which recreate the inaccessibility of the Monona Terrace as it currently stands. The rooftop is currently incredibly inaccessible and so are many of the "public" areas around the terrace.
145	Not sure I like the lake "walk on water" in the Agency design. It feels intrusive on the lake. I also understand that parking would be needed but I'm hesitant to add too much parking into the design and it's an eyesore on the view.
146	The agency landscape doesn't address the problems with our natural spaces. It's a beach and boardwalk that won't help heal the lake ecology



147	Giant stairs to sit on. Lack of disability representation or consideration in designs. Prioritizing entertainment and commerce over ecosystem and community.
148	The Causeway (AL). It's nice in theory, but it looks like a major safety issue—for instance, off the top of my head: having low elevation above the water, it's likely to get swept by waves on windy days; plus, it's certain to ice over easily and often in cold weather (think: bridges); and with no railings or other safety features, people could slip/trip/fall off the side—potentially life-threatening depending on the water depth and the individual (e.g., children, individuals with disabilities). You could add railings to address the last point, but that makes it restrictive and at odds with the whole harmony-with-nature esthetic (and still wouldn't address the first two safety issues I mentioned).
149	Location of James one
150	Wavy bike paths just seem gluttonous
151	Sasaki is gorgeous.
152	I don't like the plans that build too much on the Lakeshore. I don't want the shoreline to feel "artificial"
153	Each of these plans are ridiculous, expensive and way too elaborate.
154	Two of them do not seem particularly innovative--or even very attractive. Sasaki plan is by far the most attractive.
155	Not a fan of too much concrete.
156	Not necessarily. Any improvement will be good for lake monona
157	Circle walk out into the lake. Water park splashpad thing.
158	Homogeneous trees in the John Nolan drive area. Bike paths with no divider between the road
159	No, I honestly thought all three proposals were good.
160	It is important to add something that addresses the volatile water levels and the blue green algae.
161	Option 3 - when I viewed the postcard the 1st thing I noticed was a long boardwalk and fireworks - landscaping was limited. Gave the impression of limiting to summer only.
162	I didn't like the big outlook structure in the Cove area of the JCFO design
163	N/A
164	I feel like overly angular constructions don't work well as the more organic shapes.
165	James Corner's proposed swimming and beach area for Law Park does not include adequate community seating.
166	na
167	I like a natural, nature based designed, not a lot of concrete, etc.
168	The really drastic stuff from the Agency plan, I don't think looks very good, and I don't think the King street area needs as much redesigning as is suggested in the James plan.
169	JCFO's corridor from Olin to downtown felt a bit blah - let's add some trees and another lane path so bikes and runners can be separate.

170	- reducing lanes without public transport increase - increasing public use without litter mitigation - increase landscaping that does not include native plants & runoff mitigation
171	I'm concerned about lighting in the tunnel under Monona Terrace with installation of Monona Hill.
172	I'm not as big of fan of the ones that did not fully incorporate the Monona Terrace area.
173	The built features - canopy walk - this feels "gimmicky" to me, excessive concrete or non permeable structures; I like the pavilion but question its usefulness in that location as it will allow for limited numbers of people; I'm not a big fan of adding additional sandy beaches; I would keep and improve what is already there but I wouldn't add more
174	As mentioned previously, I don't think there should be so many protrusions out into the lake.
175	Minimize cement and fad uses of space
176	2. Not much change and creativity.
177	Anything that would become stagnant and cold or pretentious or too conceptual
178	I don't think it is practical to cover/elevate the west side of John Nolen
179	you need to have something else besides a walk way
180	No beach please- it will just get filled with goose poop and algae
181	For profit businesses incorporated into the plan. Who profits? How is that decided? How does that support the HoChunk people? could the HoChunk be given the right of first refusal for those spaces/businesses?
182	Not super thrilled about the stages. It's something all communities feel inclined to include in public projects but then don't actually use them frequently.
183	Really don't like Monona Hill
184	the "walk on water" feature seems to require the best of conditions (no wake, no winter "thrusting"?)
185	Do not like additional hard infrastructure placed into the lake, hardscaped shorelines, monotype species of trees along the roads.
186	No need for waterfront restaurants
187	Wild edge wasn't urban enough
188	For the Sasaki design, I do not like how there is such little done surrounding the Monona Terrace. It's lacking greenspace, and seems to lack equitable access to all.
189	Not connecting smoothly into John Nolen Drive. Not everyone likes to have to drive the downtown city streets. I prefer being able to stay on 151 and not have to deal with close contact to other cars on nearby city streets.
190	Don't see a need for a boat house if the city already has one, UW has one, and the lake is frozen for months on end.
191	I strongly disapprove of any development within Olin Park. Olin Park is a bird sanctuary, and development would destroy habitat. We need to preserve this green space.
192	Needs more access to the city

193	<p>2 biggest downsides:  none of the plans recognize how the city is growing in population and the increasing development downtown. for ex, a huge new building is going up on West Wilson that will bring 100's of more people living in the area and there are other tall buildings going up in the near future. None of the plans accommodate for this and in fact are not in any of the design pictures</p> <p>The other big issue I see - we live on an isthmus. there will always be traffic to get from one side of the isthmus to the other. I don't see it acknowledged that Nolen Dr is a major state highway. where else will the traffic go if we try to "calm" John Nolen Dr? I don't think we can wish away the cars.</p>
194	No beach. Seems to be the wrong thing to add so much sand to the lake.
195	I would focus more money on the 2 ends and less on the causeway.
196	The architecture didn't excite me
197	The weird stair/Adirondack hybrid.
198	Unrealistic plans to return to a natural environment that will inevitably become polluted with trash and chemicals and overgrown with invasive species.
199	I don't like more concrete and buildings. I think that Olin Park should be more natural. And swimming in the lake? No. Beaches are already closed most of the season. Swimming disrupts the water life and birds.
200	2 mile boardwalk and elevated boardwalk. Little kayak shaped islands are overly cute and would require too much maintenance.
201	<p>Agency plan or the lower bike lane in a loud tunnel with cars. Concerned about slopes for bikers on the upper path &amp; Hamilton/ King overpasses.</p> <p>James plan prioritizes a private restaurant and does not include a park on top of JN drive.</p> <p>Sasaki plan is decent but doesn't include a full John Nolen topping park.</p> <p>Plans should make sure the Broom street gateway has adequate pedestrian/bike facilities.</p>
202	Big event space, inviting loud, once per year events that threaten wildlife andlk I
203	Too much concrete- we already have that.
204	Some talked about piers jutting further into the lake. That seems unnecessary and interferes with boating on the lake
205	Just general open park space is fine, but there's too much of it now that doesn't get used. Create green space that has a purpose.
206	The walkways over the water were strange.
207	I don't like the asymmetric waterfront of Sasaki. It doesn't seem to fit into Madison.
208	Some of the features look like they would require significant upkeep.

209	<p>Wooden boardwalks are bad; they're uneven and very slippery when wet; they're hazardous and not practical for walkers, runners, or cyclists. Blacktop is better.</p> <p>I don't like floating gardens; they'll get in the way of swimmers and boaters.</p> <p>I don't like multiple paths curving and crossing each other; intersections are where runners and cyclists hit each other. Also, this is mainly a commuter path and way to get to the water. No one is going to wind around a path to read plaques with a story more than once in their life.</p> <p>I don't like trees on the shoreline. When on the path or street, you want to see the lake.</p> <p>Elevated paths will be treacherous in the winter; they'll be exposed to more wind, they'll be icier, and who will plow them? Elevated paths will also block more view of the lake.</p>
210	<p>There are environmental and touristy "gimmicks" seemingly present in some plans. They don't last and will look tacky in short order. Keep it classy and have a charismatic and tectonic well built project. High quality materiality of a few things can go a lot farther in the the long run for image and allure than an over-abundance of cheap or kitsch knick knacks.</p>
211	<p>I don't like that the plans fail to address the thunderous street noise of John Nolen. The plan with all the trees on the causeway is an attempt to do this, but all these trees block too much of the view especially entering Madison from the south. Plus, I can't imagine how the trees will thrive due to air pollution from the cars. I'm not totally sold on building out a two-mile boardwalk into the lake. Seems like too much structure for a "soft" shoreline, and expensive to maintain. Don't like the built out bridge structure to connect the square to the lake. They seem too unnatural. And, if they are just for viewpoints without connecting to the water, what good are they?</p>
212	<p>Not really. I don't care about fishing and I see that's commonly a focus. I think it's gross when I walk or bike along the path now and there are dead worms or bait sitting out. I also don't like it when my dogs go for it. Maybe anglers could have areas they are away from bikers and walkers.</p>
213	<p>Small bench areas and piers that won't be used by many people. THINK BIG</p>
214	<p>I'm not a fan of JCFO's plan to add cement stairs to Law Park's shoreline.</p>
215	<p>Pulling bike trail away from lake Not a gateway to downtown with pier boat parking</p>
216	<p>I'm not sure about the boat house or what that would mean but interested to hear more.</p>
217	<p>In most of the designs, there are features that prohibit a water ski show. In Sasaki and Agency, they did work hard to incorporate the Mad-City Water Ski show, but there is not a lot of space to have us launch our boats, take off of a dock and land at the shore.</p>
218	<p>The focus on Olin Park. It's not within walking distance of anything. People won't be drawn to Madison to go there until there are more thing going on on that lakefront. It needs to be the last bit developed for that reason.</p>
219	<p>N/A</p>

220	I'm not a big fan of the sandy beaches due to erosion and algal bloom concerns. Extensive pavement is also a concern given our city's history of flooding. The story walk has the potential to age poorly.
221	I feel like boathouses and buildings that are on the water make the space overdeveloped and inhibit the natural feel of the space
222	I don't like the elements that would over-commercialize the natural environment and use the lakes in a different way than Madison is already using them, i.e. I don't think Madison would use a beach near Monona Terrace very much
223	No, I'm just really excited by all of it.
224	That John Nolen wasn't shrunk down to a two-lane road. It is way too big right now.
225	Anything that looks like an amusement park.
226	I think John Nolen is an important road for traveling across the Isthmus, and turning the whole area into only walking access would be a mistake. Covering more of it with greenery and making more underground in an extension of the Monona Terrace could be great.
227	I think it's important to think about how the waves from the water ski shows might affect some of these designs. The ski team is an important part of the equation and should be closely consulted along with Brittingham Boats.
228	Nothing I dislike
229	Monona Hill would encompass Monona Terrace and detract from options for expansion and hosting large events
230	I don't like the path jutting out into the lake in the Saki plan. I worry about the impact on the lake.
231	too much concrete and artificial looking swoops and swirls
232	I don't think sandy beaches downtown are the best use of waterfront.
233	a beach... it will be too small to be great, and likely not great. Lake beaches aren't great.
234	elevated walkway, tamarack marsh, nature center
235	I find James Corner Field's approach to be unrealistic as far as the real estate available for development and the treatment of the lake itself.
236	With the JCFO plan, not sure about the street U-Turn where S Bassett Street meets the lake shore. With the SASAKI plan, didn't like the bridge ramp that made right angle turns where S Hamilton Street meet the lake shore.
237	Markets, tourist attractions, bridges out over the water with multi levels.
238	No
239	JCFO relied a lot of the greenery in the water, which is a good idea but how will that be kept up and be pleasant to kayak through?

240	<p>Forcing non-automobiles to be funneled into crosswalks is an immediate reminder that we live in a car-centric culture, which is not a good first impression upon entering the park. To increase equity for all users, the mobility and access of all modes must be prioritized.</p> <p>Think of coming up North Shore Drive on a walk, seeing the lake, and then realizing you now have the task of safely crossing JND at grade with 6 lanes of cars traveling at 50mph and maybe or maybe-not stopping. It's a buzzkill and an instant reminder that the city was designed for cars, not people.</p> <p>Knowing that you could easily and safely stroll under the road to the beauty of the lake sounds like an absolute dream. It would be a true sign that people aren't just an afterthought to the throughput of car traffic and an extraordinary first impression upon entering the waterfront.</p>
241	I dislike James Corner's futuristic-looking art concepts like raised stairs out near the water, and amphitheaters next to beaches. I just think those things look good on paper but won't translate to actual needs by Madisonians.
242	I'm not crazy about the beach proposals since I don't think beaches are the natural shoreline of the lake, and I assume it would mean bringing in a lot of sand which would gradually wash away into the lake and have ill affects all down the chain of lakes. Isn't that what happens with the current small city beaches? Also the beaches that do exist on the lake just aren't that pleasant - the kind of sand that is brought in, and the lake weed and debris that inevitably ends up as part of the beach, make for a beach that doesn't look like the idealized ones in the drawings.
243	None, really. Even if you chose the option I like the least of the three I'd still be thrilled honestly.
244	I didn't like the weird playgrounds from the last presentation and the high walkways that looked like eye sores. I'm concerned with a beach and boat rental that this will negatively impact the Brittingham Boathouse Business.
245	No
246	The variety of spaces from small to large that can be used by a variety of people stands out in the Agency plan.
247	Wood planks for paths.
248	I'm not a big fan of the big piers that stick out above the water. They interrupt movement and sightlines.
249	Some plans seemed almost amusement park like
250	Wooden trail slippery when wet or icy.
251	I did not like the way all 3 plans seem to eliminate or alter existing residential buildings.
252	Circular boardwalks out in the water
253	enjoy the beaches and water access! ensure this follows the ecological system, tides etc. I believe sasaki does that better than James Corner for example
254	I would not be an advocate of over building the shoreline, specifically Olin Park. Keep as much natural vegetation as possible.

255	some of the walkways did not show some sort of fencing/safety rail.
256	Heavy on tourist activities - more pavement, too 'showy' and busy
257	JCO's beaches seem impractical and not in character for our lakes. There is substantial boat traffic and where would families park? Think of all the sand everywhere. I really dislike that. We don't have beaches now and certainly don't need them.
258	Sasaki's presentation material was very graphic and cartoon heavy. There was a lot of information on each page which made it hard to follow.
259	JCFO's plan is, as I said, amazing, but I'm concerned about the further gentrification of this city. It seems quite fancy (fanciful?) and very white-people.
260	hardscaped paths and manmade beaches along lakeshore
261	There are a good amount of features that would prohibit a waterski show. While it was great that Mad-city was included, I think it would be important that whichever firm is chosen would be open and willing to work with the team, which most of the firms have already shown. For example most of the designs have a stage, or an extended ampitheater in the water. What I don't think is realized is that the waterski team needs a home base and land area to use to set up a show and equipment. There is no skiing that just flies by and dissappears with no landing area. So something jutting out into the water like an ampitheater might not be the most helpful feature. Possibly giving the ski team a little space to leave equipment, or have as a home base would be nice. And potentially not have a large ampitheater jut out, and instead have some soft landing spots.
262	the Hill concept while visionary would be very challenging to implement do to the impacts on the existing development on wilson street and impact on those spaces/units. it would also be significantly more costly and likely a challenge to maintain over time. others were better options. i did not like the expanded wetland feature in olin park by jcfo. i think that will be a big challenge to make special with stagnant water/green stew and this is the spot people gravitate to. it needs better celebrated!
263	The large circle: It screams look at me. The whole ALP design seemed more designed to be a large art installation and less a way for people to effectively use the lakefront.
264	The Agency plan feels just far too "formal" and dated. The symmetry of it in particular...
265	Not enough focus on improving concrete front of the terrace, give me more green!
266	Elevated walkways are not visually pleasing
267	Agency and James Corner seem unrealistic to me, given the challenges of the lakes and the waterfowl population
268	I'm not so sure I like the idea of slowing speeds on John Nolen Drive and narrowing the roadway. It's a key artery; I like solutions that work with the level of vehicular transportation we likely will have in the future. I am skeptical of what the buckling lake ice might do to some of the built and natural features!
269	No
270	Plan 3 does not appear to be expanding green space in the monona terrace area much, just reconfiguring the usage.

271	I did not like the walkway that circled a water area. It made it seem too much like a zoo.
272	Unsure of costs and implementation schedules.
273	Agency Landscape and Design. They suck
274	More marsh. Limits use to those in boats.
275	Additional "hard" land/water connections are not ideal. They will prohibit ski shows which are so special for the community and also hinder the general public's connection to the water.
276	Walkways on the water I believe will reduce water flow and make it more swampy and buggy on the paths. Shrinking John Nolen and potential traffic disturbances.
277	I worry about the upkeep and sustainability of all options.
278	i don't like overhead walkways unless they connect city streets to the park.
279	A native dominated focus that lacks a well-rounded approach to making the area usable for all and desirable for all types of activities and events.
280	ones that require too much dredging just to offer fancy blds...walks or paths with too many non natural features popping out if plantings, marshes,etc, Avoid glitz! also areas with too much noise that might make it harder to find quieter spaces
281	I don't love the Corner steps and wide amphitheater- lots of hard surfaces and looks too similar to Memorial Union.
282	I like the stage on one plan but I could see it also not great for huge events unless people park at monona terrace. There just isn't a ton of parking right there.
283	I think the plans that had less green space were less attractive to me - the more additional green space and trees the better.
284	Did not like that some of the plans included aspects that our clearly out of scope for the project - seems misleading. Did not like that the Agency plan takes the bike path up & down in elevation to get around Monona Terrace.
285	beach in the JCFO-- just not practical for a place that has a 2 month swimming period
286	N/A
287	The features that obscure the open water and the capitol from JND.
288	No
289	The JCFO plans for bike/ped paths are horrible.
290	Along with focusing on the health of the lake and wild life, I like the over bridge that is clear to the lake below as a way to feel more connected to it. If I understood it correctly, I also liked the potential of a path directly from the capital square to the lake shore.
291	Not a fan of having marshes or wetlands everywhere. Not only does it limit fishing from docks over those areas, but then there's limited water access for dropping in a paddle board or kayak.
292	No bike access in front of Monona Terrace in one of the plans.
293	Event stage island
294	I am very disappointed how much this is a beauty contest and roschach test that will not make community consensus on a path forward possible any time soon.



295	Any additional construction over the lakebed, except for a few small public access piers/docks.
296	I can't imagine shutting down John Nolen.
297	narrowing John Nolen
298	the beaches. how useable will they be? are they large enough to be worth the use of space?
299	Any huge obstructions jutting out into the lake; multiple levels to the boardwalk as it obstructs site lines and makes it difficult to easily move between levels; places where it is difficult to differentiate between walk and bike lanes
300	The extensive water walk. Looks like an ongoing upkeep expense and not very practical.
301	There really weren't any features that stuck out as negative to me.
302	I don't love the concept of boathouses and more exclusive space for people with more money than others
303	I did not like the wood piers for the Agency Landscape + Planning. Those would NOT last.
304	elevated walkway too cumbersome and unsafe walkway jutting out into water environmentally unsafe
305	I do not like having the space next to John Nolen simply be a way to connect Olin Park to Monona Terrace. I think that space has the potential to be a space in its own right.
306	Was the Agency talking about building additional mounds at Olin? If so, this feels like cultural appropriation. If not, forget I said anything! I feel like the Agency could have shared more about how their plans might help improve lake health. The Agency and James Corner added very little in terms of art and culture. Where are the murals on structures? How are they getting local artists involved in sculptures?  Parking seems like an issue for all plans. I understand these should be very accessible by bike and walking but we are talking about hoping that people travel here to see these things and so something to consider more.
307	making beaches at south Law park as it's deep water there- BB Clark is down the road. Not sure if it fits in at Olin on the north side (currently east facing) as well- don't make an un-natural beach just to make a beach. Also, good to keep cost of building and maintaining under control
308	James Corner plan did not show understanding of lake Monona waterfront and its best use
309	I vehemently hate the Sasaki plan and it's lack of ambition, ingenuity, and creativity. It's attempts to introduce modern technology to solve the runoff problem that would be resolved by restoring the natural habitat. It also has no "must see" attraction.
310	I question how the Corner plan can gain so much space without adding fill to the lake. I doubt you can have healthy trees on the roof of the parking lot.
311	I don't love how derivative of a big city park the James design is. Although it's a lakefront project, it feels more like a green space project exclusively.

312	I do not like the reduction of Hwy 151 to a Boulevard - this will make commuting quite a headache. I also do not like how Sasaki didn't change the lakefront area directly by the Capitol
313	The reduction of John Galen into a boulevard in Corner.
314	Although the raised Canopy walkway is dramatic, it seems like visual clutter. (Not consistent with Frank Lloyd Wright's theme of structures receding into the landscape.) (The canopy walk In the Agency Plan, I believe.) There is another raised structure in the Sasaki Design that is also too vertical, with a shape like a small roller coaster. This is a similar feature, which I do not care for for the same visual clutter reason. (It was something in a picture with the water ski team.) I would not want the area to look like an amusement park, where vastly different shapes and sizes are crowded closely together. Even though one zone may be a more active area, as a kid's play area, I would hope that it still would be visually pleasing.
315	Beaches, especially large ones. I prefer not to have a small beach either. Who is going to go into that lake water? Designs that include beaches do not take into account where to park to access a beach with all your beach stuff; did not see bathrooms for changing, etc. High platform viewing or walking/sitting areas. They stick out like a sore thumb and detract from marshes and shore-based beauty. Are wooden walkways feasible for winter weather? How would they be plowed/maintained? Concerned that some of the plans raise the landscape or create platforms that appear to land directly in the window line of sight of some of the downtown condos and apartments.
316	No, I don't think so.
317	Looked like a couple of the plans didn't cap Nolen and create enough buffer along the connecting part of Nolen. Would be nice to minimize car traffic from pedestrians as much as possible.
318	I thought the Sasaki design had too many structures, which distracted from the natural elements of the design.
319	It's hard to tell what they propose for vehicle traffic on John Nolan Dr, but I prefer to keep enough car/bus traffic on John Nolan Dr so that the rest of downtown is at least as accessible as it currently is for pedestrians and bicyclists. Out-of-town drivers can confused when navigating around the Capitol building, and slow traffic on John Nolen might mean more drivers in the Capitol area.
320	I do not like the skyways and bridges. It has been my experience that forcing people up, or along a singular path is only a tourist attraction and limits the actual useability of routes. The Agency plan, which forces you to walk a long way along a single path just to get from the square to the lake seems ill-conceived- same with the canopy in the Sasaki plan.
321	I do not like the design of the Saski while it does look great, the other 2 designs are more destinations IMO.
322	Not really
323	Connecting the downtown to the lake

324	All the pavement in Sasaki's plan... it's like everywhere! Too hard to enjoy park when layers of cement all around. Like, look at Monona Terrace and Capitol Square... people like to hang out where the trees are, not just on concrete. Hopefully they won't include tons of light pollution. That would be a big mistake. People can't seem to get away from light pollution in Madison. It would be nice to have reflectors for cars instead of lights, and low-to-ground lighting instead of area-lighting. We need spots to see the stars and fireflies along the shore... not just business-as-usual overly lit parks all night long (with false pretense of safety). Maybe use motion detector or timer technology where lighting is desired? So it's not adding more light pollution to the lakefront and neighborhoods across the lake who enjoy the dark views of sky, etc.
325	Too much car-centric infrastructure is included, even in the plan I like the most. Madison should seek to future-proof these developments by prioritizing access via public transit, bicycle, and pedestrian modalities.
326	No
327	Downtown sailboat/motor boat access looks poor for all of the plans. There is very little space to dock a boat and enjoy downtown. The current sailboat marina appears to be missing - it should not be removed it should be expanded to allow more sailors access to the lake
328	I worry a bit about how much car infrastructure exists in the current plans. I'd love to see the parking be accessible, but not as visible as it is in some of them. Of particular note is the roundabout-type feature in the James Corner plan near Broom street. I don't think we need to be making the lakefront accessible to cars. Make it accessible to people!
329	Still a bit of over devotion to automobile traffic/parking.
330	The Sasaki plan felt like it had too much concrete/non-natural materials.
331	-
332	N/A
333	King St connection would require demolishing Union Transfer, not worth eminent domain of the building.
334	Sasaki's water amphitheatre looks odd and it's difficult to imagine it in action.
335	The boathouse designs for JCFO and Sasaki seem really unnecessarily large and don't seem to blend well with the surroundings. The Sasaki amphitheater is a nice idea, but as a musician I have concerns about the shape of the stage and access to outlets. It seems pretty impractical from a logistics perspective.
336	Too much focus on recreation at the corner of King, John Nolen, and Williamson. With the railroad and the state highway 151 there, I feel like there's no chance we get the proper prioritization of pedestrians and non-car road users there. Too many outside interests. I'm happier to see the "Monona Terrace Hill" concept from Agency that makes green space out of more of Law Park
337	The Beaches proposed in JCF plan. This would be wasted space most months of the year.
338	No
339	Getting rid of the terrace
340	large elevated pier into the lake is awful. This isn't navy pier. black pebble cove is very PNW and not Madison

341	The ridiculous tunnel through the hill for bikes next to car traffic in JFCO is insulting.  I also am concerned about how the slope/tower/elevator? would work on JFCO to ensure accessibility to wheelchair users.
342	I don't like the fishing pier. Folks can go to the bay near Camp Randall boat house for fishing like they do now.
343	More thought needs to be given to how the plans relate to and connect with existing and planned private development on Wilson Street. Some of the plans seem to completely ignore existing and proposed buildings.
344	The spiral overlook in James Corner Field Operations is not very attractive and not accessible to all. Monona terrace already makes an overlook, we don't need another one, we need more green space.
345	The pier! The giant pier into Lake Monona with the black pebble cove beach .... It is very disconnected from Midwest landscapes and Madison's small town feel.
346	Sasaki has a great vision but a lot of pieces some of which I don't know how useful they would be or expensive.
347	Some of the elevated paths are too high? And might impede views
348	A couple of the plans included reducing the amount of lanes on John Nolen and I'm not convinced that's a good long-term solution. Keeping good access to downtown for everyone is important.
349	No
350	The swoopy ovals extended into the lake are less interesting for me - from the Agency I think?
351	From experience we should not place any structures out into or above the water such as boardwalks or docks which are built on pilings, no matter what the material is. These structures will have costly maintenance, will deteriorate quickly, and are highly susceptible to ice damage each year. These must be built on fully engineered piers based on rock and cement foundations.
352	Didn't like the other two plans
353	I did not like the overly large railless boardwalks in Agency and Sasaki. Having lived around the lake and seen the power of the ice I don't think they would be safe or last. I would also be very mindful about how much "lip service" the park gives to the Ho-Chunk people. I think it's good to acknowledge who came before white colonialists, but trying to make an entire story walk from land that was stolen feels like a poor attempt at justifying and condoning the use of the land through quotes of a people that never consented them to be used that way. Hearing Native and Black voices is a good thing- using them to your own purposes is not.
354	I feel the bike path being routed up and over on Agency's design might not go over well. I worry that a lot of people are going to be tempted to bike on the meandering pathways.
355	Connecting pathways across the John Nolen intersections
356	large elevated walkways or structures that block the view of the lake
357	I don't remember which plan but I didn't like where there were lots of trees planted in neat rows. Real life doesn't look like that

358	Some water walkways and lakeshore buildouts feel unrealistic and might limit people's current access (eg those who fish)
359	I do not like the focus on elevated boardwalks within park spaces. I think they wouldn't be a visual fit and only give me concerns for safety during the winter
360	While I like the idea of the boardwalks in the lake, I wonder about the long term sustainability of them given how the lake levels frequently rise with heavy rains.
361	No
362	Don't like: James Corner's John Nolen Causeway design – not the best use of space, over-segments the lanes of car traffic, and 3 lines of trees looks too repetitive. Sasaki's extensions of the diagonal streets – looks uneven, ad-hoc, and the red overpass clashes with the muted palette of the city, Sasaki's handling of the bike path intersection at proposed law park plaza – unsafe for pedestrians and bikers, James Corner's suggestion for new developments to line the waterfront – cuts off future opportunity to make the entire lakefront accessible to everyone, James Corner's Olin Park Marsh & Kayak Course – Kayaking does not seem like the #1 past time enjoyed by the southside community (as a southside resident, I think fishing, barbecuing, and playing in the water ranks higher from what I've observed). I strongly suggest re-validating that design decision, especially with black & brown residents, and consider centering a different community activity.
363	routing the main bike path through heavy foot traffic areas in front of/near Monona terrace will not improve access or safety but will create long-term safety concerns and resentment between bikers and non-bikers
364	All of it. I think these plans really waste money, resources and contribute to global warming and carbon immisions by constructing so many buildings, walkways etc that the city DOES NOT need.
365	Having John Nolen still be a major transit thoroughway for cars.
366	I don't like the boardwalks in Agency.
367	Don't like balconies hanging over water???
368	Im not crazy about all the concrete stairs down to the water. It seems like it would be like memorial union where so much littering happens and humans need more green and less concrete in their lives.
369	I don't want the development to emphasize activity sites—boat docks, concert areas, playgrounds, etc.
370	I don't think we need a nature center. No need for weird public art too.
371	I am skeptical about the large piers that would jut out into the lake in plan 2
372	Narrowing John nolen drive is not reasonable. Will create problems
373	Obstructive structures to the view
374	There are aspects of each design that limit or obstruct certain waterways uses of Law Park. The shape and location of shorelines and restaurants and marina should be looked at more deeply in concert with a water ski venue.
375	I do not like the giant grass lawn of Agency, but would like that plan if it featured more native plant and tree species. I do not like the large concrete gathering stairs of James Corner.
376	Agency and Sasaki plans for John Nolan improve the current situation, but do not solve the physical barrier and aesthetic problem of the Drive.

377	Any more buildings along shore. Museums or shelters.
378	Concerned about the narrowing of lanes on John Nolen, as it's such a busy road now. Have traffic studies been completed to understand the effect of reducing lanes, or coordinating with other projects to shunt traffic elsewhere?
379	the predominance of cars in some designs is unfortunate.
380	I do not like the narrowing of John Nolen Drive because I think it will create more congestion, especially as Madison continues to expand.
381	With ones that don't have a water walk, that would be nice. Two of the designs (not the water walk) seem a little "busy". Can they be eased off on?
382	A little concern with fewer traffic lane for the causeway making travel through or to the area more difficult. Also the beach areas seem too small.
383	Too many deciduous plants in all the plans. This will cause a lot of leaves in the lake, which is exactly what the city street division tells us not to do. It will also not add any color to the city for 9months of the year
384	I do not like the the large concrete and sharp angles, I would appreciate a more winding and natural look to the structure.
385	Concrete, not sustainable
386	No
387	Some seem like they will cost more for upkeep than they'll help with conservation.
388	Nothing stands out
389	less concrete please! no deep pier into the lake
390	Removing roadways because John Nolen is a major corridor
391	boardwalks within the lake would be difficult to manage with ice flow in winter, not sure how pragmatic that would be
392	Too much concrete and entertainment
393	The "walk on water" concept can simply be a few fishing piers
394	I don't dislike any feature. I think building nature centers is unnecessary, as I think the best way to understand nature is to be in it.
395	I do not like the lack of a beach and piers in Agency's plan, and the James Corner plan makes a ski show in Law Park impossible with a lack of area for setup on land, as well as the apparent removal of the Law Park parking area that would enable us to access our equipment trailer and no viewing area for spectators.
396	Natural space
397	Not anything in particular
398	Beaches are pretty pointless unless you are going to address the algae issue.
399	Don't like the floating piers in Sasaki. Don't think people would naturally use them. Too much concrete in both James Corner & Sasaki both in paths & building structures.
400	I do not like the boardwalk on Sasaki's plan. It is not context sensitive and it is heavy infrastructure on the land.
401	where are the bus stops? the ebikes? parking?

402	I don't like the idea of getting rid of lanes on John Nolen the traffic on there is already bad during rush hour getting rid of lanes is going to make it so much worse.
403	Corner's plan is just parkland, which is nice but directionless. Their stepped seating area at the lake is old and unoriginal.
404	the really high raised walkways in the sasaki plan were strange and could look ugly in real life
405	Shrinking John Nolen might cause some issues but is not necessarily a deterrent by any means.
406	I did not like the boardwalk all along John Nolen in the Agency plan or the plans to expand the tunnel in any of the plans (both concepts seem unrealistically expensive). The Saskia designs were messy and incredibly difficult to interpret. Also don't like any of the designs for creating beaches near Monona Terrace? We have such problems keeping beaches clean on that lake, building a beach there would just be gross and people wouldn't use it. Better used for sitting areas and then green infrastructure/restored wetland.
407	I do not like spaces that are more car centric. The focus of this engagement should be human focused.
408	Agency's master plan is not well developed. Just some sidewalks, no urban program area. Boring
409	Stone, sand, rocky open areas in the James Corner design
410	Not sure about kayak path Don't care for floating marshes- I think they look strange- floating canoes? Can we really reduce traffic lanes on John Nolan? Love extra green, but fear even more bottlenecks for busy times, Badger football, farmer's market traffic, etc.
411	Parkade rooftop garden park, trees will never get as large as the Agency and James corner plans show
412	The 1st design wasn't very impressive or imaginative.
413	Sasaki's plan is too busy with too much building development, which takes away from the natural edge approach to the shoreline of the other two plans.
414	I don't like the structures of the JFCO plan, the Hamilton bridge and the King St. overlook structure. They seem like they don't fit in and would be difficult to use.
415	I extremely dislike the idea of the "first" plans idea of making a donut shaped walkway out from Olin Park out to Medical Bar. Do not block off a major section of the lake near a boat launch! It will create major congestion issues for boaters. It will also cut off one of the major highways and travel routes for many species of fish in the lake. That is one of the most dynamic habitats for all fish species in the lake. Do not segregate it from the rest of the lake. Lastly, it would cut off the area of thelake in which the ski team and UW Row Clubs practice and thus making them relocate to a different area of the lake, creating even more congestion on the remaining non-segregated section of the lake.
416	Superfluous congregation areas

417	<p>I'm suspicious of the plans that use landbridge-like features. Agency's specifically depicts a beautiful elevated park system but I wonder about requirements for soil depth or the impact of construction (carbon footprint) that would support such an intense structure (If it's not a ton of soil will the plants live long/the trees have adequate soil depth?)</p> <p>JCFO's design feels really formal in some parts; especially in a conversation about increased ecological function, the renderings depict a number of monoculture tree plantings (I'm specifically looking at John Nolan Drive and the terrace. The references to Frank Lloyd Wright are convincing in integrating the design of the terrace to the new design, but are rooted in old values.</p> <p>I don't like Sasaki's ampitheater design. It may be preliminary, but currently it's tiny and wouldn't be useful for anything substantial</p>
418	the terrace, monona terrace already is vacant half the time. no need to destroy the natural area
419	I am concerned the Sasaki plan wants to restrict traffic flow. This does not reflect the fact John Nolen Drive is a critical artery for traffic. For this reason alone, I would not want to see the plan implemented
420	They all look cool. I wonder how crowded they'll be.
421	I don't like the introduce species
422	I really like the tree Avenue by Field but don't know how they'll keep the trees alive over a bridge.
423	None
424	Not crazy about the harsh lines of the seating area in front of the ampitheatre and all of that jutting into the water. Anything built into the water, I would prefer to see as natural elements. It's hard to conceptualize the "monona hill" and assess the feasibility (thinking of runoff especially if its turf/grass); much of the year it would be brown.
425	To take king street all the way to the lake, you would need to remove some expensive high rises
426	The beachfront ideas seem hard to upkeep and could pose other issues related to algae blooms and closures.
427	Concerned about the infill of the lake proposed by JCFO.
428	No beaches. No access blocking.
429	Agency's "Monona Hill"/Tunnel combo creates major issues for cyclists on this major transit corridor. This would not be an acceptable option in this regard. I like the piers present that serve to integrate the downtown area into the lake shore. I am concerned by the closeness of the bike path to JND in JCFO's plan. This is currently a concern, and is not adequately addressed. This could be mitigated if JND is adequately slowed and calmed.



430	While Sasaki had my favorite designs, my concern is some of East Wilson's residential buildings' parking garages will be inaccessible under the new plans. The narrow path between buildings as a "shortcut to E Wilson" also worries me. If the current site of the State of Wisconsin Investment Board is redeveloped (1 building away from the currently proposed shortcut to E Wilson st), perhaps they can make a better pathway there.
431	The JFCO's focus on beachfront I think is the wrong call for Madsion. We have several beaches already, and the traffic noise, water quality, and cold weather makes me think more beachfront is the wrong call for this very busy public space.
432	I really don't like any of them. More Concrete is not the answer.
433	I think the Agency Landscape + Planning design is too flat, unambitious and lacks good design vision.
434	The cost and the belief that any renovation of the park will cause visitors. We have beautiful parks today that are largely unused. Why are we throwing money at parks in the wake of a recession?
435	High elevated walkways. Any design that minimizes natural tree cover.
436	Beaches should not be involved. The problems associated with ag runoff are going to severely minimize their utility, and will likely just be a waste of money.
437	Monona Hill
438	Some of the plans included elements which would draw in people but were not integral to Madison's wants and needs
439	Beach—our water quality is currently so bad it is arguably dangerous to encourage people to swim in Lake Mendota, at least with any frequency. The cyanobacteria sicken children and dogs. Expensive buildings like nature centers and community centers and restaurants—these cost a lot to build and maintain and they are rarely well utilized, the city should have very specific plans for these types of buildings and how to ensure ample visitors before we commit to spending the money on them.
440	most plans keep the wide intersections on john nolen and broom/regent (whatever it turns into)
441	I don't like the King St and Hamilton St connectors. I don't know if they're providing access the right places of the terrace or downtown.
442	There are things in the plans that seem like they will be maintained and interesting at first but would become forgotten and not maintained later into use.
443	lack connections / programming
444	I don't like the big 'o' boardwalk or buea arts hill plaza in the agency plan.
445	I don't like the stairs down to the lake.
446	I didn't like the rooftop amphitheater on the James Corner Plan. It felt like it was encroaching on the lake and nature.
447	JCFO felt very non-descript and could be any waterfront
448	Agency's graphics were hard to read and didn't allow for a full understanding of what will be built.
449	Not enough nature incorporated. Should make sure to have native prairie plants incorporated in landscaping

450	I'm not sure if I like the symmetry approach from Agency on law park, it feels a bit too much to me.
451	In the Sasaki plan there are so many constructed features and the shoreline becomes too busy and more like an amusement park in places.
452	Focusing on the other neighborhoods over access to capitol grounds/downtown/state street/campus
453	Expensive elevated structures that do not provide strong parks functions or aesthetic experience
454	There is too much structure in the two plans I do not endorse. They create a feeling separation from the land and water and destroy Mimi's h the feeling of being in a park
455	Unrealistic landscaping due to budget
456	The concrete use in the last one
457	Concrete
458	I did not like Agency's plan that focused mainly on nature, there is no potential there to bring the community together.
459	I'm not sure I like the Sasaki idea for Olin. It seems to add too much man made things to this park- I like it as it is to be blunt.
460	I do not like the one trail extending into the water from the Agency Landscape proposal. It seems as it could be narrow and potentially dangerous for children/families and have limited access for wheelchair users.
461	The Sasaki plan is the only one that is somewhat acceptable
462	I strongly dislike the "Walk on Water" feature. It doesn't mesh with the environment.
463	The new designs hide the natural beauty of our lake.
464	Filling Lake Monona
465	I think all three designs incorporate great features, so I don't think there is really anything missing.
466	Agencies giant covering. That will literally never happen and it seems like they just took the easiest idea and showed that without thinking about anything else.
467	I'm tired of hearing references to Leopold and his land ethic. I just think there's different people who say the same thing or more nuanced versions of that thing and maybe I'm tired of hearing about white men having the supposedly novel idea of environmental protections. Also Ho chunk technically weren't the first humans here, the mound builders were an earlier people that we know less about and that we presume are ancestors to Ho chunk people- though, critically, not Ho chunk.
468	Long pathways are kind of pointless because of the really good existing bikelane
469	I would prefer to have even more infill in the lake along the causeway, so that the walkways or bike/walking corridor can truly feel pulled away from the four lanes of traffic, and separated in a big way by trees and vegetation. I would like to see an even larger separation from the car traffic than I have seen in any of these presentations.

470	There were some design aspects that are too cold and modern, like metal boardwalks or rough corners on viewing platforms. It's ideal to round boardwalks out and create a more natural feel in the materials used. All structures should have green roofs and try to incorporate moss siding or bee bricks.
471	The foot path in the bay seems odd/disconnected.
472	James sitting area, it seems to crowded but weirdly open at the same time, there is too much concrete and if I were to go there I would feel pressured
473	I am a little concerned with the traffic in some of the plans. By simply reducing the amount of lanes on John Nolen, is that enough? I don't drive there often, but when I do, I don't want to be stuck in traffic for a long time. However, I want the priority to be on safety for all- especially pedestrians and bikers.
474	I don't like the spiral observation tower in the JCFO plan (just seems unnecessary?), or the restaurant in the Sasaki, or the triangular hardspace projecting over the water by Law Park in the Sasaki. Less natural, prone to looking dull and sad if there aren't hundreds of people there.
475	Nothing stuck out, no.
476	It should not replicate the Memorial Union- ie a gathering place on the lake. We have enough gathering & drinking areas. It should be an accessible natural area right in the heart of the city.
477	Concerned about there being too much going on. The Sasaki plan has so many activities which is good but I hope it doesn't get too busy and intensive.
478	Sasaki had a lot of exposed pavement that was not super alluring  I have doubts about the sustainability and budget to maintain the water walk in the agency concept, although it is amazingly cool
479	I don't like the concrete steps in the JCFO proposal. The Monona Terrace and the surrounding area already have enough concrete.
480	Reducing John Nolen Drive by a lane will add to the confusion of the development and clog an area of heavy traffic that was previously solved by adding an additional lane.
481	Agency Landscape's loopy boardwalks look like maintenance and safety problems. Their Hamilton St bridge is so long that people are likely to seek alternative access. Sasaki's boat-shaped islands just don't seem to fit.
482	I like the possible inspirational projects shown as examples in the Agency report, but more interested in what the theme of some of those features might be, to tie together Monona Hill, Causeway, and Olin Park. Generally would prefer somewhat separated walking vs. biking paths and spaces.
483	The big bulky infrastructure and structures. A total waste of money in my opinion. The money should go into how you engage with the water!

484	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•There is no need for a boat launch or sandy beach at Hamilton Street – Brittingham Boats is nearby for people-powered boating, and there is already a launch/parking area at Olin Park for vehicles to bring boats on trailers. A small pier where power boats that come from anywhere on the lake could tie up, and a place for canoes and kayaks to pull on shore, might make sense if there's a seating &amp; eating area there.</li> <li>•Kayak course is a fun idea, but Wingra Creek already provides this opportunity (it just needs some restoration work that should be done anyway) and there are two quiet sections in Monona Bay</li> <li>•A public boat launch for daily use at Law Park North would create a need for parking of vehicles/trailers nearby; Olin Park is a short drive away, and has more space. But a boat launch area is necessary for emergency use by first responders.</li>   <li>•Do not add boat rentals along the steep/rocky shore in front of the Parks Building. Sailboat storage is already available at Olin, and people-powered boat rentals are available at nearby Brittingham.</li> <li>•No need to construct new areas for paddling, there are protected areas for quiet water in Monona Bay</li> <li>•Community gardens would constrain public use of the limited space available in the study area. There are well-established community gardens in Brittingham &amp; Quann nearby.</li> <li>•Tall grass prairies need more space than this study area offers and belong in open spaces where they can be managed with fire, e.g. in the Turville Point Conservation Park nearby. Consider native plantings that are more appropriate to marshlands for this project.</li> <li>•Future development sites in Corner plan (#6,9) should only be for public purposes if they are ever built on at all (e.g. Nature Center). Require that any new building utilized existing parking areas.</li> <li>•Do not add any asphalt to Olin Park – this project should reduce paving and increase green permeable surfaces overall. There is a lot of parking in Olin (near</li> </ul>
485	too much space devoted to parking motor vehicles and motor vehicle roadways
486	I don't really like the raised walkways through Olin because it seems like it would block the view to the capital. I like how it's easy to go to the shoreline and see straight across the water. That's the other reason I would support the sasaki and the architectural group projects, because the other one is way too condensed. The view of the capital is iconic.
487	<p>Nature Center Large patio</p> <p>I would rather see more simple structures like benches, picnic tables, and basic park shelters than over-building.</p>
488	None.
489	Limited causeway travel, limited access to other travel, viewing and play features
490	Boat house, boat traffic can be harmful to nature and people

491	I don't know that the educational walking 'tour' or 'trail' is actually the draw people think they are. It's fine to include, but will upkeep actually happen? We have other similar things like this but they get faded and worn down over time and it would be upsetting to invest in something like that that wouldn't hold up. Also would spend less on public art and prioritize space use. The lake is a beautiful visual it doesn't need a whole lot else.
492	Raised walkways that require lots of upkeep and potentially look dated sooner than hoped
493	Land bridge seems like there will be more bugs and less space
494	The Monona terrace Hill in Agency's plan. It's overkill and changes Monona Terrace too much, as does the Plaza at Monona Terrace in Corner's plan. Way too much vegetation in Corner's plan. both Agency's and Corner's designs push uses out into the lake with so much fill, and seem to expand John Nolan causeway which seems unrealistic.
495	shouldn't be allowed to fill in the lake with islands
496	Do all the plans include keeping parking at the Monona Terrace convention center? If we attract more people we will need parking. At least as much as there is now...if not more.
497	The large architectural pieces are kind of jarring - big pier that juts out and is very high in the air/weird spiral for walking up. Kind of ruin the natural beauty of the shoreline
498	the Agency Landscape plan seemed to move the bike path away from the water.
499	walking tour - ho chunk - these types of things don't age well. They get boring and become run down.
500	I do not like the Walk on Water in the AL+P design. It looks like it would be unsafe for small children and elderly folks, and that reduces accessibility for more cautious folks as well. In addition, this construction would be highly disruptive to the lakefront ecosystem. I am also concerned about the lifetime of this feature.
501	No I am open to all pedestrian centric ideas
502	I don't understand the spiral tower on the pier of the James's vision. Gives me the same vibes as the football statue outside camp randall for years.
503	JCFO does not connect the shoreline with the top of Monona Terrace as well as the Agency plan. I dislike anything that leaves too much concrete in place.
504	Putting the cars underground. Making the greenspace the core of the creation. I bike John Nolen daily for my commute 4 months out of the year. Even though the bike path is wonderful, the traffic is not.
505	Concern for parking
506	Random cul de sacs cars can apparently park in, any of the skywalks, forcing bikes to go into a tunnel with cars
507	Separating pads for bikers and walkers for ease of use and safety. Also the filtration system keeping the water clean and bringing greenery instead of the harsh transition from road to water.

508	Both JCFO and Sasaki's plans were more elaborate and featured more structured use of space and amenities (like cafes/restaurants and boathouses) than seems necessary or prudent. I'm not against providing some limited structured spaces and select amenities of this type, but I'm concerned about both overengineering a limited space and the short- and long-term costs associated with these types of structures - I wouldn't want to have a crumbling lakeshore park in 40 years because we couldn't afford to maintain such an ambitious space. I would just want to be judicious about choosing which amenities to provide and at what scale. For example, there are already lots of restaurants and cafes downtown, so maybe we don't need to invest space and resources there, but other options like a modest boathouse, beach, or green amphitheater might make more sense given the surrounding area and available space.
509	I would want to avoid too many elevated walkways (canopy walk). I'm not sure about narrowing John Nolan or a tree boulevard vs. more expansive views. I like having the bike path more clearly separated from walking trails to facilitate commuting and recreational Monona bike loop
510	I don't like the floating habitats, these seem disconnected and in my opinion won't foster wildlife in the same way as the other plans.
511	too much art installation
512	-Not totally transforming the area around Monona terrace into something green
513	Unrealistic reduction of traffic lanes on the causeway
514	Too much cement, for our waterway.
515	too much concrete in places, too many thing hovering high above the water
516	The idea of having people eating here would cause trash and plastic to get into water
517	Beach front; would like it be kept to a minimum. Or if beach front, restrict it for access to water sports only, not just for swimming. Personally, don't want to see a lot of people in swimming suits.
518	Do not like all the hard space in the James one. Also I question the use of "floating islands" in the Sasaki one—will they work? Will they interfere with boating and other uses of the lake?
519	Tall structures on waterfront
520	I did not like the waterfront in the Sasaki design along the Law Park/Monona Terrace section. While it was obvious the firm was considering lake health in their proposal, having the walking/biking path along the lakefront without the wetlands barrier didn't give the impression of protecting the lake.
521	Nope, any enhancement would be welcome
522	I don't like that the Agency plan moves the bike path away from the water. I found the Sasaki plan cluttered, and like a theme park.
523	I am not in favor of removing the John Nolan road east/west throughfare altogether. I think a path should be continuous and connect the east side near law park with the southwest side of Olin Park. Some of the paths seem to just stop, which doesn't make sense to me.
524	The Hamilton St structure in academia plan. All the plans should use the clarity boathouse.

525	I'm not so enthused about any lakeside restaurants or event venues, but I guess we need to tout the commercial side of things in order to get some people on board.
526	Please no trees or buildings in Olin Park and Law Park. Keep them as open as possible.
527	Reducing lanes and traffic speed on John Nolan Dr - it is already a very high traffic area that people who don't live in Madison try to avoid
528	Please, please, please. Less cement. Also, consider how easy it is for toddlers to make it into the lake - even under watchful guardians - please design for this safety.
529	I don't like the canopy walk path in Sasaki's plan. I feel it would obstruct the immersive feeling of being in the woods that is so rare in an urban environment.
530	No
531	Sasaki: Limited separation of foot and bicycle traffic. Limited use of shoreline.  Agency Landscape: Nice overall design, but limited use of the shoreline in front of the Monona Terrace. That area is a fishing shot spot and gets crowded and hard to navigate. They missed the boat in not fixing this problem.
532	Features that become inaccessible when lake levels vary widely due to flooding/drought, which occur more frequently. Literally all features are near the edge of water, so this is a ubiquitous concern.
533	I don't like keeping John Nolen as-is and just burying part of it in a tunnel. That means the other stretches of it around the lake/bay will remain the same, and be very dangerous to anyone walking/rolling or bicycling. The street itself needs to be narrowed, more trees added, speeds reduced. With BRT coming this should be reasonable. We need to keep cars out of the isthmus.
534	all speed trail, should be one for bikes and one for pedestrians
535	In one plan, the proposed beach near Olin Park is in a major litter wash up zone. That's important to consider. Also, how will litter be retrieved from marshlands?
536	I'm worried about trash and litter collecting at beach sites.
537	no
538	I'm a little worried as a kayaker about all of the additional fishing spots as the people fishing don't seem to share the lake well. I've already experienced trying to dodge fishing lines while trying to access the lake and having people cast right into me.
539	Upkeep and ongoing maintenance of lake boardwalk is not effective.
540	Not a fan of the amount of pavement in law park in the James Corner design
541	I would just make sure that features are accessible for individuals that utilize wheelchairs for mobility.
542	I don't like the lack of boating access in the Agency Landscape design. It seems overdone, and like we are creating a boardwalk circus.
543	Too much concrete
544	I did not care for the steps in James Corner presentation. Just adds more concrete.
545	One plan suggested shrinking the James Nolan highway. The road is busy as is and an important way to get in and out of the city

546	I watched the videos several times and looked at all the design plans thoroughly and nothing stood out as "ew, I don't like that"
547	I worry that the educational features may not be updated with the times, and that the upkeep of a project of this scale might be too hard.
548	Second Corner plan would be difficult to keep clean, Suzuki plan looked too urban.
549	The bridge walkway out onto the walkway in the first design seems excessive and not functional
550	Getting rid of areas that provide access to activities that already take place on the shores.
551	Beaches... nobody will use these as the water is gross.
552	too much swamp restoration in JCFO and Agency. While it is environmentally preferable, its also stinky and filled with mosquitos. Swamp resotration areas should be kept seperate and less visable from recreation areas.
553	Vine like things hanging off the walk way
554	Sazaki does it feel "Madison". Looks dated.
555	Over water walkways extending way into the lake distracts from the beauty of the lake themselves. It looks good on a plan, but is less practical in reality.
556	No
557	None
558	No i feel the area needs to be cleaned up
559	Least favorite features; bike path behind Monona Terrace vs. lake side and beach area. Running the bike path behind the Terrace and into a dark, exhaust filled tunnel is not ideal. Water quality along the causeway is pretty gross. Not ideal for a beach.
560	Just don't want it to feel too flashy. Sasaki looked borderline too flashy.
561	I don't like the idea of restoring the wetland because I fear that it will fail due to being located in a growing city
562	I did not like how some plans took walk ways out onto the lake. This could disrupt the ecosystems and spaces that belong to the wildlife
563	Agency. Monona hill, Olin boardwalk, relocated bike path Sasaki Olin canopy walk JCFO constricted JND, extending King st through buildings
564	Nothing comes to mind
565	two layer systems
566	No.
567	The fish habitats will be good for learning and the ecosystem
568	I think the canopy idea of Suzuki's plan is a bad idea because there isn't really enough of a hillside to make it work. Similarly Suzuki's idea of a sledding hill is bad because there's not really a hill there.
569	- extended pedestrian overpasses at Hamilton and Wilson streets. While they may be dramatic structures, they are impractical for regular access and particularly expensive. These funds will have a broader impact if used on other aspects of the proposals.
570	Do not like the walkway all along John Nolen Drive.



571	Agency Olin Park Walk on Water - I like the idea of a boardwalk but that did not seem to be align with the park
572	I don't like the Sasaki overpasses, they seem more difficult to acesss, more stairs or gradient climbing than ALP overpasses.
573	Not a priority
574	I do not like the addition of restaurants along the shoreline and the expansion of non-natural paths along the lakeshore.
575	The Hamilton St. extension from Corner - Can't see it's access as viable
576	Not particularly, most everything looks pretty good.
577	The amount of filling to create the "islands" in the JCFO are unrealistic. The agency plan appears to require filling of the lakeshore as well. The kayak course in the JCFO plan is unrealistic due to the topography of Olin Park at the base of the causeway.
578	Boating in the middle of
579	Sasaki did not propose to change anything about Monona Terrace.
580	I really dislike the exposed concrete amphitheater from James Corner and the disjointed commercial zones both Sasaki and James Corner proposed for the Law parks. I felt that both were just plugging in pieces of things that might be nice on their own, but which didn't fit together and really didn't fit the space. I know that a lot of the amenities they proposed are great, that is true, but cramming them all together in that space doesn't work. Both felt cramped, and didn't look good if you were looking at it from the lake.
581	I can't say I much care for the beach on the law park side; it's pretty weedy over there and a large pier (like in James Corner's plan) is not really something I feel we need.  Otherwise, not a lot of dislike.
582	Very important to tie in the existing fabric of downtown to the new. Also, vital to have dedicated bike path through the whole route - any shared path is highly dangerous like the current situation. I wish all the plans used the FL Wright designed Lake Monona boathouse (never completed here) as anchor at the end of John Nolen at Blair Street. The Sasaki design meets most of this. Would be improved with more separation of bike path and with the FL Wright Boathouse at the east end instead of contemporary design.
583	All features look great.
584	Agency's rounded pier at Olin Park - just doesn't look appealing to me
585	From the James Corner design: I think the reduction of lanes on John Nolen would increase congestion and I think their weird U-turn at the end of Broom (?) is a bad idea - there's nowhere for the cars to go if that area gets backed up and I think people are likely to want to use it as a drop-off area for the beach. I also don't like the design of the benches in their Hamilton Street Pier image - the angle of repose is too great, not good for back support. The Law Park Cedar Cove looks nice in the mock-up, but in real life that beach would be too small and enclosed for many people to want to share it at the same time.

586	The plans that remove several Wilson St buildings in order to accomplish the vision are concerning. While the vision might be amazing, they should not be fundamentally grounded in infeasible actions. Also, some plans appear to have a net increase in lake fill, which I do not think is appropriate in order to create more "upland" amenities.
587	I don't see any point to a new beach at Olin. There is already a beach. A lot of the public art, giant piers that intrude over the water, the nature center, I think may be a bit unnecessary. I do not think that MORE is better. I also think you need to be very careful of "filling in" the lake with a bunch of stuff, thereby shrinking the actual lake. Large 'overlook' piers, boardwalks that go too far out into the lake, floating islands, etc. It becomes a bunch of clutter. I also think a lot of the designs appear to be clueless of waves and the rise and fall of the water level. They seem to think the water level stays constant with some of the areas that seem to go nice and smoothly right to the lake.
588	Winding bike path (it should be straight), unless there's a winding recreational one and also a direct one.
589	No
590	Too much complexity is a bad idea -- it will make the area look over-developed. The plan should be nice and simple. I would prefer to avoid boardwalks, etc sticking out into the lake, keep them on the shore.
591	Some designs had large sitting terraces, these seem unnecessary as these types of spaces already exist, I prefer the designs focusing on activities
592	I do not like food trucks and food stands. I would like restaurants featuring locally produced food. There is not adequate infrastructure for sailing or rowing.
593	The drive in from John Nolan is beautiful - I wouldn't want the new design to block that view!
594	Traffic proposals from Sasaki for what they call 'improved intersections' would be anything but.
595	Nope
596	I don't think the amtrak station location in Sasaki is accessible for disabled residents
597	Beach. Don't think it'll be well-used (water not great for swimming) and don't like Madison's rules about not having dogs at beaches.
598	NA
599	Agency's Monona Hill; Agency's Monona Terrace expansion; Sasaki's Wilson Street connection to waterfront east of Monona Terrace
600	Adding additional intersections to John Nolan is a terrible idea. We need to ensure changes do not add more traffic. It's already bad enough. Making any changes to Monona Terrace is disrespectful to its importance as a landmark.
601	I do not like the design with all the children's parks as main focus. That is extremely limited to certain individuals and is a tacky representation of Madison for its central focus where everyone should feel welcome and connected.
602	Fishing access only on the South Side/near Olin Park. Lots use near Monona Terrace, so should have an option near there too.

603	I didn't like the less-visionary approaches that still included a lot of pavement, and one of the other things that I was disappointed in some of the plans was the lack of improvements to road crossings/making the area more accessible from the city
604	None
605	Large piers and the boathouses. More impervious surface(s) on an already dense isthmus. We need fewer not more spaces for speed boat access.
606	Trees along the causeway between John Nolan Dr. and the lake. That view is one of the best in the city, I think it would be a mistake to obscure it fro mother road.
607	I personally do not prefer locations that are plain and without nature; especially in community meeting/gathering spaces.
608	I don't like the number of elevated features in the Sasaki plan. Some elevated areas are ok, but they seem to dominate this plan. I also don't think the Sasaki plan speaks to the character of Madison as well as the other two.
609	I don't like the sand beaches since this is a waste of resources and more damaging to the natural shoreline than marsh solutions or the establishment of a native environment. There are other ways to allow access to the water over a sand beach. Finally, vanity projects which might look neat but don't provide adequate transportation infrastructure for pedestrians and cyclists may attract visitors but disrupt commuters and the natural ecosystem. Providing enough space for everyone but not making the shoreline into an amusement park or spectacle is an important balancing act.
610	All
611	? whether it would impact the health of the lake. Would any of them slow down the traffic of John Nolen Drive. That needs to stay open.
612	Sasaki: too swampy, looked like an area that may be unsafe at night
613	I do not like grassy areas that must be mowed because they will consume resources that do not need to be expended. I do not like a downtown beach. Monona's lakeshore is not natively a Sandy beach.
614	I'm not sure we need more boat rentals. A nature center sounds great but also expensive to maintain.
615	I really wish they had better designs for the intersections. Roundabouts are guaranteed to provide the benefits they're looking for and more, I do not know why they still dogmatically submit themselves to 1960s era traffic management, with simple square junctions and traffic lights. Larger curbs do not provide effective traffic calming; roundabouts do. Truck traffic be damned, they should be hauled on the railroad anyway. Speaking of, why are there so many renders featuring LRT or some sort of tram system on the WSOR when we aren't even considering it? Could they be possibly thinking further ahead than the City itself? Lol.

616	while wetlands are good for the environment, i am worried about the potential for insects, especially mosquitos near the walking paths, as well as the potential for the inlets and areas like that for becoming spots where blue-green algae will congregate and become smelly and potentially dangerous for the public.
617	No I think they all brought a different way to expand and bring new life to this area
618	I don't like the concrete steps/seating area that would extend into the lake. I would prefer more green space
619	While I like the look of the boardwalks and marshy spaces, I worry they will become filled and with litter and stinky algae
620	The designs that had more concrete - I think it would be great to preserve as much of the wetlands as possible and create as much greenspace as possible.
621	I have concerns for car commuters on John Nolan Dr.
622	Lakeside restaurants and dining. I feel that with restaurants comes trash and pieces of that trash will inevitably end up in lake Monona due to the close proximity. Lake Monona already has storm runoff issues so any business put directly on the lakes shore does not seem beneficial to the lake's ecosystem.
623	I think the emphasis on sweeping vistas and ornate architecture will fall away after planning for urban architecture.
624	The Agency plan lacks cohesiveness and I failed to see a connecting feature or element linking Olin to Law Park; the presentation came across as three individual areas of focus (Olin, the Terrace, Law Park) vs one integrated plan. And the pedestrian bridges to the Terrace, although cool, would be difficult to implement and costly.  I liked the second plan for the incorporation of developing a natural environment, but it lacked year round appeal.  I liked everything about Saski's plan.
625	No one is looking at the impact this will have on those of us working downtown. We need affordable parking, and cross walks that people use and understand.
626	The high elevated walk way that felt just "plunked" in the area. Boat house configuration had just a few large motor boats no place for smaller motor boats or sailboats. Lake Monona is a shallow lake full of rocks and not good for large motor boats----- those are for Lake Mendota where I had a house on that Lake . They didn't do their work about Lake Monona itself.
627	Yes, the olin park loop in the agency design seems redundant especially to kayakers and while it may provide an opportunity for people to connect with the lake (who cannot access boats or other crafts) I believe it is not as well suited as it could be.
628	Not really, it all looks amazing.
629	disruption of nature, though it is unavoidable in construction
630	I do not like the concept of pushing all of the lake access to the sides of the project area, which will only serve to separate the sides of the city even more.

631	For the Law park north portion they all propose building over railroad tracks and road which would completely block the view of the lake from multiple floors for several buildings.
632	Keeping the improvements too small
633	I really really dislike the wooden boardwalks that jut out from John Nolen Drive. I think this shows a blatant disregard for nature, especially the lake. What this really does is substantially increase the footprint of man on the lake. It also negatively impacts the boaters coming from Brittingham Boats, as they now will need to pass under a FOURTH (or third, depending on their route) suspended structure to get to the main portion of the lake. I'd also like to see very few/little art intrusion, since that's another example of promoting man's work, and can be done inside the confines of the city, rather than along the lakeshore where we should promote mother nature.
634	I don't like the air bridges. They disrupt the natural lake view and I think they'll go out of style quickly.
635	The protruding spiral structure from JCorner was awkward and broke up up the sightline from the shore.
636	Agency and how it is built on the water. The canopy walk on Sasaki takes away from nature
637	Any intensive auto use of John Nolen Drive is unfortunate. Only Agency seems to keep a little of that. Corner and Sasaki both pretty much minimize it.
638	None!
639	None seem economically feasible
640	Not really
641	i dont like the canoe-shaped floating islands. they seem like they are trying way too hard. it is offesnive
642	Love all three! We need more community spaces in this city. As long as it's prioritizing the health of the lakes that's the biggest thing to me, but I'm not enough of an expert to weigh in on which proposal does this the best.
643	I'm not a fan of some of the proposed cafe/restaurant/developed space in the Sasaki plan because it seems unnecessary to have in addition to the Monona Terrace and in such close proximity to the square. The lakeshore ecosystem is already pretty limited so I think it would be better to prioritize the conservation of that space, rather than expansion of businesses.
644	Slim walking paths don't allow people to enjoy the area for extended periods. The beach's can't be small and closed off, then need to be large and spacious as well as facing the south for ample Sun exposure
645	Too much concrete on some designs
646	no
647	for the JCFO i'm not crazy about how much concrete there might be at Law Park South and Hamilton Street Pier. It just doesn't look inviting, and a bit busy.
648	I do not like the extended boardwalks that may cause flooding.

649	<p>I don't like all the pavement and wide sunny stretches in Sasaki's plan. It's like a cement fairground... really unwelcoming. It adds more paved gathering spaces to Madison's already pavement-heavy public spaces nearby (Capitol Square, State St., Campus). Too many parallel paths without enough plantings in between... chaotic for peds, bikers, commuters, strollers, to navigate. Not enough shade in vast areas.</p> <p>2) James Corner: Olin Park is all paved paths in this plan. Such a lovely space to ruin with so much unnecessary development. No shade trees shading length of Capitol City Trail! Bike paths are incomplete without shade tree canopy. Not enjoyable to bake in the sun while biking or strolling.</p> <p>3)Agency: John Nolen could use more shade trees between car traffic lanes to buffer sound and pollution from cars, and make the drive more pleasant. Users of adjacent paths would benefit from shaded roadway. Reduced impact of traffic. Add trees to traffic lanes like James Corner's plan and yours would be much stronger.</p>
650	<p>The walkways over the water are odd to me. I don't know if they are safe and I think it invites more trash in our water</p>
651	<p>I think we should be careful about how much lake infill we do.</p>
652	<p>Agency's "Monona Hill" is an amazing concept but not enough placemaking -- I fear it would become a dead zone.</p> <p>Sasaki's plan near the Williamson St intersection seems to leave it feeling much as it does now -- a parking lot a bit disconnected from the rest of the city.</p> <p>No plan seems to improve the connection at Lakeside Street enough to connect the area with Bay Creek + Coliseum area.</p>
653	<p>Not enough care for winter activities and access.</p>
654	<p>None that I can think of.</p>
655	<p>Agency: Their Monona Hill idea seems expensive and perhaps not feasible west of MT due to the lower escarpment height. Their stated principle of symmetry will be hard to uphold at this location.</p> <p>Sasaki: Their cover and cap over JND east of MT will be difficult along some of the existing buildings that have residences on lower levels facing the lake. They are showing a hard edge along MT and going east rather than a natural restoration.</p>
656	<p>The ped-bike paths along the causeway in JFCO plan were too straight with few breaks, and the boulevard treatment is nice for driving but takes away space from ped-bike. I get the idea of recreating FLW boathouse, but I don't think that should be a defining and necessary feature that supercede's other design elements like lakefront access.</p>
657	<p>I don't know the "Lakeshore Places" feature of the James Corner plan. This blocks a lot of viewing of the Show Ski team shows as well as making it harder for the team to perform shows.</p>
658	<p>All designs kept the causeway and rail corridor as is...what was the for not addressing them in the design?</p>
659	<p>The large bird sculptures in the lake in Sasaki</p>

660	NA
661	I don't like the canopy trails. Not sure how accessible they are. Seemed directed at families with small children
662	I don't like the idea of adding a number of overpasses as the incline can be difficult to traverse for bikers, wheelchairs, elderly, etc.
663	It would be nice to reduce asphalt and concrete pavement to reduce the heat island effect. And also we are tired of lawns
664	The Causeway, Floating Ampitheater, elevated boardwalks
665	no
666	one large area. it would force people there and I like more spread-out parks
667	not really
668	no
669	no
670	no
671	Any car-centric design is undesirable.
672	The Sasaki plan looked to be less dramatic and adhered more to the current state of the lakefront. The JCFO plan seemed to over utilize concrete and "built" spaces in comparison to the Agency plan that focused on building usable, natural spaces
673	I don't like just adding signage and engravings that is not enough right now. We have to be very mindful of adding back in the marsh edges while also adding in beaches and areas people can still access the lake and swim and use it. That's why I really like the James Corner Field plan since they understood people want clean beaches and to touch the water
674	I believe the story walk is a waste of money. I think it's something you see once and wouldn't look at again.
675	Overbuilding the causeway will restrict the view to the capitol from Monona Bay, where it's currently most accessible.
676	Waste of money
677	Don't like constructed elevated piers at the waterfront or skywalks that detach people from the land and water. Don't like plans that feel like separate areas have been constructed and sandwiched together.
678	Some of the plans have features that would block access to the water or viewing of the ski show. I hopeful that future versions would consider more accommodations.
679	The agency company doesn't respect the Ho Chunk culture in their design. Their continued use of concrete tarnishes the natural beauty of earth that the Ho Chunk believed was their home.
680	No
681	What about food - do you anticipate food trucks or beverages?
682	I don't like huge man-made bridges or structures on the lake.
683	ANything that prioritizes cars is bad.
684	Dont like it when there is a ton of parking or concrete taking away from the green space.
685	Boardwalks are normally very slippery after the rain or ice. I shy away from the plans where the only "slow path" down the causeway is a boardwalk.

686	I don't like the circular one because it only provides one thing to do.
687	<p>The "Canopy Walk" in Olin Park proposed by Sasaki is a cost that really serves little purpose.</p> <p>Likewise, the "Elevated Waterfront Park" proposed by Sasaki at Law Park northeast serves little purpose.</p> <p>As mentioned above, both JCFO and Sasaki proposed the development of Law Park northeast into multiple single use areas. The look and feel for me is "too busy" and I think ultimately will limit some options for use that a more open area concept would provide.</p> <p>Sasaki really does not solve the pedestrian/bike crossing issues at John Nolen and Broom/North Shore Drive. Simply "improving the intersections" is not adequate.</p>
688	Hardscaped shoreline at the Terrace and Law park. Seems like too many paths, boardwalks, and structures to afford and to maintain.
689	I don't like adding a lot more concrete infrastructure around the lake front as a way to utilize the space because we already have lakefront space like that and it looks less inviting
690	Not really
691	I think I dislike the harshness of some of the proposed buildings that would be added. I don't feel they're as needed as open space and think that should be more of the priority
692	I didn't like ones where I could picture them being too busy to ever use effectively or that allowed for easy misuse by the public. We have a lake to look after
693	Pedestrian Bridges, encourages people to be separate from nature. Cool in theory, but can be inaccessible in practice
694	I don't love the elevated walkways because I think they look out of place.
695	I'm not a fan of JFCO's elevated footpaths, as they could potentially cause limited access for those with mobility issues. I also don't like their Hamilton Street pier and beach, as it feels like an unnatural break in the shoreline
696	Would love clear space for biking and running/walking- no overlap
697	<p>Connections from Wilson, Hamilton, and King streets to the lake</p> <p>Decking over John Nolen Dr (within structural and budgetary reason - NOT Agency's plan.</p> <p>Seating/picnicking space near the lakeshore</p>
698	James Corner Field Operations had my least favorite plan. I hate that Olin Park will be redesigned for a kayak/canoe area, and I do not believe using the infill to create piers will be approved by the DNR. I also dislike their plan for Law Park North - it would involve tearing down recently built housing, which is the OPPOSITE of what the City should be considering. Also, I dislike the amount of proposed beaches in JCFO's plan. Beaches are commonly closed in the summer due to poor water quality so I do not think they will be destination hubs, but instead will be wasted space.



699	I would like to see as much of John Nolan covered. I would also like to see as much public space as possible for eating, drinking and watching performances.
700	Agency's plans for Monona Hill seem lazy and uninspired. That area needs more greenspace, but they create an excess of greenspace for greenspace's sake. I think much of it would go unused during non-event times, etc., which would be a waste of some of the city's most valuable land.
701	Agency's hill is incredible. Others not having a hill is what I do not like.
702	Overly modern feel
703	Agency boardwalks I observed do not have railings. Concern for falling into the water. Also I hope the materials will be slip resistant given the proximity to moisture. Sasaki- is not proposing to reduce traffic flow on John Nolen Dr. I think this is an important feature of the other two designs.
704	Not at all.
705	I do not like anything that greatly disturbs the skyline site from Olin Park.
706	Love the Agency walkway at Olin and meandering marsh walkway to the north.
707	I do not like meandering pedestrian paths alongside John Nolen (over the lake) if that is the only safe way for pedestrians to cross. If pedestrians can use a straight path for quick walking and meandering for leisure, lovely.
708	I do not like the narrow pedestrian overpasses that cross John Nolen, because they are uninviting and discourage you from accessing the lakefront.
709	I don't enjoy not having easy lake access from the design.
710	The giant terrace overhaul just seems very industrial and not the nature vibe.
711	no
712	No
713	Elevated walk that hides the shoreline (Sasaki) Amphitheater on top of Monona Terrace (James Corner) I'm not sure how I feel about the large piers high above the water (James Corner and Sasaki)
714	Complexity and overly designed projects, takes away from the purpose
715	Nothing can be worse than the concrete wall it is right now.
716	Boat docking, would prefer more friendly places for swimming and paddling
717	The Agency plan doesn't really promote active interaction with the water the way the other two do.
718	The Hill on Agency's plan is a good reference to the landform that was originally there but it is too much tunneling of JND. Floating islands in Sasaki's plan are interesting but not feasible, would be a maintenance nightmare. A few piers would be a nice addition but too many will again, be hard to maintain and could potentially be an eyesore if left to deteriorate.
719	I did not like the fussiness of the proposals from Sasaki and JCFO for Olin Turville Park - seemed over designed for what is not a very large area.
720	I do not like the modern architecture in Sasaki as much. I think preserving the midwest, Wisconsin will help preserve the culture and feel better.

721	I did not like the proposal for the giant lawn next to Monona terrace. While this aligns with original designs for the area, it would be excessively expensive to cover all of John Nolen Drive and the space could be better used than just covering with grass. I also felt the second plans nature-focused design for the area around the Monona terrace should be thinned out a bit to provide for better vistas to the lake.
722	Boring. I thought.
723	sharp corners and the color of wood in the deck of the sansaki design. also the shape of their lookout deck that the restaurant is jarring.
724	I don't love the Monona Hill concept or the extensions of Hamilton St and King St because it seems unnatural.
725	Boulevard of John Nolen would create traffic issues and feed more speeding traffic on to Lakeside. Better and bolder plans are needed to make it easier to cross John Nolen at more points. The new business JFCO suggests are a bad idea. Support the existing food cart community and entrepreneurship. Create more public spaces rather than businesses.
726	<p>Sasaki's removal of vehicle access on John Nolen is unrealistic and bad for the downtown community, specifically. A green boulevard keeps vehicle access while also making biking and walking safer. The only concern with the green boulevard would be blocking the view of the Capitol when coming into the city. It is quintessential Madison and would be a devastating loss, since the sight of the Capitol upon entering the city (whether on bike, foot, or in a vehicle), is like a warm hug.</p> <p>I don't like that the Agency design relies so much on beaches. There doesn't need to be a beach in four spots. Olin Park should remain more of a nature area, since it is the one wooded green space near downtown. I would be concerned about the sustainability of Sasaki's and Agency's water walkways/gardens and how much maintenance they would need. Also, how safe would these really be? I envision that accidents or tragic outcomes could happen and then the most unique part of their designs become closed to the public.</p>
727	Too much concrete
728	Incorporating wetland features, expanded green space.
729	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Agency's plan for a bike lane under the Monona Terrace. Biking through a tunnel next to cars does not seem pleasant.</li> <li>- Extending King Street to the lake. Although I would actually like this, it does not seem feasible. There should be a back up plan in case this is not possible. If extending the street is not possible, I would prefer a land bridge closer to the capitol (e.g. Monona Hill). In my opinion, Monona Hill would improve access to the lake a lot more than a land bridge near King Street.</li> <li>- The Sasaki land bridge seems overly hardscaped. It doesn't feel as natural and inviting as the Field Operations and Agency land bridges.</li> <li>- I would prefer eliminating the surface parking on the north end of Law Park. There is very limited land here. I do not think parking is the best use of the space.</li> </ul>

730	The third plan adds several more boat launch ramps which are unnecessary. Power boat use is declining with increasing fuel costs and unaffordability of single family homes with boat storage space in Madison. Few power boat users are Madison city residents. All the plans reduce the number of John Nolan car lanes; this should be avoided if possible: jams on this roadway near the terrace create a lot of excess exhaust pollution.
731	Putting a nature center in a center of nature seems silly...just be out in nature. If the bike/walking/wheelchair/pausing to look paths are not separated, I think that would be a big mistake.
732	Changing the current large open space, open field, on Along John Nolen drive at Olin Park
733	I strongly dislike the idea of reducing lanes on John Nolen Drive as it will create huge traffic headaches, there just aren't enough ways through the Isthmus and we need to keep it. Help make ways OVER the roads and we can keep the road speed and lanes as is. I also do not like the floating wetlands in canoe shapes-they look tacky. I don't like the draping greenery into the water with Sasaki-too artsy, I am skeptical about whether Monona Hill will function as a nice greenspace with so little soil but don't know enough about how that works. I love the native plantings and wetlands but wonder about maintenance and mosquitoes.
734	While it would be nice to think that the causeway traffic is going to diminish, it doesn't seem like that is realistic in the near term future. In the Agency plan, we see 3 lanes of traffic both ways on the causeway. Other plans seem more secretive as to what happens with traffic. It's great to assume that traffic flow is unimpeded and yet we achieve this relaxing environment for walkers and cyclists, but I'm skeptical that the plans can achieve what's promised.
735	Shore habitat destruction
736	Construction on John Nolen drive would create traffic
737	Car parking and increased traffic throughput. This induces traffic demand and makes space much less enjoyable for pedestrians.
738	I don't particularly like the Hamilton St. pier/park design in the James Corner Field Operations design. I think the seating areas could use more plant life, and the beach seems like it could be too small.
739	I don't like when features extend too far into Lake Monona and build the terrace out into the water. I do understand the need for extra space with these projects but I'd like to minimize the impact on the lake and the wildlife.
740	JCFO redo of the MLK entrance to the Monona Terrace rooftop park. I would rather see the money spent on a spectacular universal access path from the easement located North of Marina Condos over the RR and JND to the water's edge in Phase 2 (after the JND Causeway upgrade to a beautifully landscaped boulevard with separate auto, bike and pedestrian paths.
741	The reliance on elevated walking paths feels risky. Could be dangerous in the winter, and less accessible for the elderly/ disabled. May also add time to what should be a direct and speedy path to the main waterfront features. Any public area (except beaches) should be covered by trees. No one wants to bake in the sun while walking, cycling, or picnicking.

742	I don't like too much mushy area between me and the water. I want to see the water. James Corner's plan includes too many trees for along John Nolan - we want some sunshine, too!
743	None that I can think of. I think each plan was thoughtful and put appropriate equal focus on plans for preserving the health of Lake Monona as well as plans for allowing more residents to enjoy the lake in more ways.
744	Beaches, if they are small I'm for it, but I think the real value in the space will be the ability to gather people or to relax.
745	I don't like the plans that call for a lot of space reserved for private entities or "marsh" areas out in the lake. One of the plans called for a "marsh" area where there was not historically a marsh. Creating a marsh anywhere near this area would substantially increase the problems with bacteria, algae etc that we already are fighting.
746	Sasaki does little to deal with traffic. I'm not sure about the wisdom of sand beaches two of the plans.
747	The large hardsurfaced tiered seating space in the James Corner plan is an outdated feature that most people don't enjoy. Seems like the '70s, and it is not accessible.
748	A lack of consideration for existing and future traffic.
749	I do not like the Elevated Waterfront park from the Sasaki plan. It takes the focus away from the water and shoreline and places it on human-designed structures. It reminds me of interstate highway crossings. It will also create safety issues with people throwing things from above, which would also be a concern with the "canopy walk" in the same plan.
750	Plan number 2 of Olin Park. It's not very big and I feel their design is too ambitious along with Monona Terrace. I think plan 1 and 2 are not considering the traffic flow on Nolan Drive enough by reducing it as much as they would like.
751	I feel I have addressed this question in earlier comments
752	Definitely not eh tree walkway in Olin Pakr, all of these plans had impacts to Olin Park which pretty much should be left alone as the green oases it is, they are building greenalong the lakeshore and shold not destroy the exisitng gren space in teh Park. Development along the waterfront of Olin Park woudl be fine, but no play parks or other unneeded development. Ther is already a play are at Olin/Turville that could be improved, as could the existing beach, which really needs imporvement. But leave what trees are there alone, puts paths between the trees but leave the green canopy intact and functional as a forest. No lakefront restaurant, the idea is to provide access to the lake, not develop it. We have plenty of restaurants downtown, keep the lake edge green for the users not further development along the lakeshore.
753	Most of the beaches are surrounded by hardscape. They should be much more natural. It's a mistake to convert the water ski practices into a large attraction, and devoting our precious lakeshore into a theater style seating area. The canopy walk introduces way too much structure and maintenance into a natural area. The round theater area will sit hard, empty and sad most of the time. I don't like the redundant walking paths (2 paths where one would suffice). Some paths were too circuitous for transit use.

754	I would say the lack of advancement and improvement in the Sasaki and Agency plans was very noticeable. I can appreciate trying to leave things as is but compared to the other plan it doesn't seem like a bigger improvement to do less, in this case.
755	I don't like Sasaki's "canopy walk." I think they overbuild in Olin Park.
756	Some of the designs showed features being constructed in the lake - should not build into the lake as little as possible.
757	Street and intersection capacity reductions.
758	Don't overdevelop entertainment venues. You're not supposed to need to be entertained in nature.
759	I like the idea of a beach, but am concerned about keeping it clean. I think some on water features are a bit optimistic due to wintery conditions.
760	The agency plan just seems less realized to me than the others. It is hard to envision what it will actually look like!
761	Artificial islands/wave-barriers shaped like man-made objects. (Sasaki) Excessive protrusion of pier/path construction into the lake. (Agency) Does not adequately merge North and South Law Parks into one park. (JCFO & Sasaki) JCFO is slightly better here by having a large boardwalk & pier, not just a boardwalk like Sasaki.
762	Nope!
763	no right turn signs
764	Too much sand beach with no waterside access for wheelchairs, crutches, canes, or walkers.
765	I cannot tell the grade of the bike paths in these plans.
766	The "trail for all speeds" sounds like a terrible idea. I would have liked to see 3D models of each plan. That was one of the disappointments of the public session. I am concerned about lake access for those with mobility challenges if they have to cross multiple paths.
767	JFCO most challenging for non vehicle access options. Saski plan largely missing road/driving plan details. Agency plan with 3 'Districts' brings risk of phasing of work & future areas to be skipped over. Feels to prioritize Terrace and Olin Park over the rest.
768	Any parking or road expansion.
769	Restructuring John Nolen Drive into a Parkway - it's great in theory, in practicality that would wreak havoc on traffic flow in other parts of the city, especially during events (Badger football game days, CrossFit, Iron Man, etc.).
770	N/A
771	Sandy beaches do not seem natural. I don't want the Parks department to have to replenish sand every year.
772	-reducing number of lanes/traffic capacity. the traffic volume here is significant and this is the primary route for many folks (ADT must be at least 15-20k, i bet there aren't that many pedestrians here even when we used to have fireworks down there). unfortunately, there isn't another route that people can easily switch to in order to get downtown from the beltline. if we reduce capacity, the same number of people will still be going this way to get to work and traffic will be a nightmare.

773	Sasaki tries to cram too many different things/venues into limited space, which besides the scattershot aspect, could limit the effectiveness of any natural habitat re-establishment
774	Having lots of space and infrastructure dedicated to travel without anything to stop at along the way seems to shrink the number of people who would enjoy that space.
775	Too many extra buildings are being proposed.
776	I don't like all the natural flow of James's plan. It seems like it would get swampy especially in the stinky blue green algae seasons.
777	I do not like the very narrow bridges between downtown and the lakefront. I also don't like keeping the parking at Monona Terrace. It makes using the Lakefront much less inviting to peds and bicyclists.
778	I do worry that some of these projects are too ambitious and while I would love to see them completed, they may be too expensive to be worth the benefit to the community
779	I'm not really into the public beaches. It really doesn't get warm enough here to warrant a beach area. I'd rather Madison dedicate the space to space that can be used year-round or dedicated to nature. Similarly, I don't like the idea of a busy boat launch with a line of trucks down the street waiting to offload boats.
780	High density housing, or any housing at all.
781	I did not like adding more concrete and greyness to the terrace area. More green space would be great.
782	The surface parking lots do not feel like a good use of prime real estate in the Sasaki and James Corner plans. I don't like the roundabouts near the park in the Sasaki plan
783	I thought there was not enough green park space and trees in the SAsaki plan, even though I loved the water features (piers and lakeshore walking path). If we could somehow merge the JCF and Sasaki plans that would be beautiful and hold true green space at the forefront.
784	integrated path for bikes and walkers, it doesn't work. The green hill in Agency Landscape's plan looks kind of boring. The broom street crossing on JCFO's plan looks a bit complex.
785	I worry that Sasaki is proposing bigger infrastructure - like an elevated boardwalk and soundstage which the city might not need or utilize.
786	No
787	I am most concerned about the amount of new traffic that these plans intend to bring to the john nolen section of the bike path. This section is a CORE connection from the east isthmus to the SW commuter and the south side of Madison/Monona lake loop. If the path becomes so crowded that it is difficult to use, that connection will be severely hurt. It will have a large impact on our city's ability to see itself as a bike-forward city.
788	Monona Hill will never be built
789	No - there are features that wouldn't be as useful to me, but I think they all have value.

790	<p>I don't like the parking lot that abuts the beach in the Sasaki plan. I also don't like the car drop-off area that presses right up against the path. Both are disruptions to an otherwise people-oriented, nature first area. I also think that connection points could be improved. Overpasses are not that versatile, require pedestrians/bikes to go out of their way to access (often they just cross where they are, at more dangerous points instead of walking much farther out of their way), and make me feel like an afterthought.</p> <p>The JCFO plan fails to sufficiently reimagine the area. Overpasses, tons of surface parking. Still very car-oriented. I really do not love that plan. It's a slightly greener, slightly fewer cars version of what exists today.</p>
791	Some of the water bridges - thinking through maintenance, especially during winter months and accessibility within the water areas
792	<p>The Agency boardwalk seems like it could be a tough section to maintain. I'm sure it could be designed to work well in summer, but water splashing onto it in late fall or spring will freeze on it, and it would have to be pretty solid to survive ice forces in the winter.</p> <p>Winding trails for the JCFO design are great for recreation, but less effective for active transportation.</p> <p>A lot of the shoreline looks to be protected with hard armor in the Sasaki design. It's easily accessible, but I think having softer shore stabilization measures except where people are going to access the lake would improve the design.</p>
793	In Sasaki's plan, putting the amphitheater in the middle of the water seems kind of ridiculous. It'd be susceptible to water damage with the slightest amount of wind, and most, if not all stages, require electrical wiring that could be subject to hard conditions in the middle of the water. Keep the amphitheater idea, but don't put it in the middle of a lake that's frozen 60% of the year.
794	I did not like the huge circle path that lead out to of the water.
795	I understand wanting to add green space to John Nolan Drive but the view of the Capital and Monona Terrace while driving down the road is what makes John Nolan Drive iconic to Madison
796	Bike lane in the tunnel - Unsafe for users especially at night, actively worsens the conditions by putting users closer to air and noise pollution.
797	Don't like the idea of adding a restaurant or boat storage/launch. Don't need it, we have those things literally everywhere else. Let's focus on adding greenery, paths, and healthy choices for the lake.
798	I'm concerned about the park over the underground lot by Monona Terrace. From the side view, they had large trees in the illustration with no space for roots to grow. Seems disingenuous to include them in the imagery if they're not likely to grow there. OR if they do, there may be a lot of negative structural impact to the parking garage.
799	I did not like the beach. Our lake is not in condition to promote swimming.
800	I think all plans highlight the need to give more access to the waterfront

801	Agency plan - removing all parking from Monona Terrace. On the one hand, I get it, but seems unrealistic for an event center and would make it especially difficult for anyone with mobility issues to access that area.
802	I don't see the JCFO plan to add water features to Olin park as realistic. It would be hard to implement, have negative environmental impacts, and would be hard to maintain.
803	None that I can think of.
804	The design provided by the third company.
805	I don't like how Sasaki has bike paths going through high traffic areas, it seems unsafe and stressful for bikers and pedestrians. This happens at the plaza & the beach
806	The city needs a quick and intense boat ride that everyone can enjoy and afford.
807	Wetlands restoration, increased pedestrian paths, rooftop amphitheatre, nature center and restoration of Olin Park.
808	Taking away nature trees near olin park in JC design (couldn't a kayak area extend into existing water instead of taking away land?) Sasaki was unimaginative and boring.
809	I did not like the look of Sasaki because it doesn't fit with the rest of the city. It doesn't look like it uses the space well.
810	JCFO's terrace expansion just adds more hardscape
811	too many urban elements
812	fencing below elevated walkways in the JCFO design.
813	Some of the reshaped lakefront looked like it might become a new basin to collect algae, which can really smell and would negatively impact gathering places. I hope the engineers and designers thought about that when creating new shorelines.
814	I liked most of what I saw.
815	Not really. All the designs are pretty wonderful, honestly.
816	The performance stage platform in the water.
817	Where are people going to park? I feel like all of these plans will bring more people downtown, which is a great thing, but you don't want parking to be a hassle.
818	Would be a bummer to move the bike trail behind the Terrace. Minus points to Agency for that. Bikers like the lake too.
819	The commercial trendy aspects of Sasaki. It feels cheap and over utilized.
820	I don't like the boat part of the Sasaki design - it doesn't feel very accessible to me.
821	Do not like the boardwalks in addition to the bike path. Do not like adding natural barriers in the water, particularly around Olin park.
822	Sasaki's street piers and lake walkways were too elevated and away from the water - didn't bring people to the waterfront just overlooking it.
823	Sasaki seems to offer more overlooks and view points than actual lake access.
824	I'm not sure the "floating walkway" in the Agency plan would work. It looks cool, but I imagine my toddler falling in



825	Extra lights. Extra concrete. Extra maintenance. No consideration for how putrid the water gets in summer.
826	Do not like taking lanes from John Nolen Dr
827	I don't think we need a story.
828	Skip the canopy walk (Sasaki). It looks like it was added as an afterthought to gin up Olin Park. I didn't like the Monona Hill proposal (Agency). not feasible and clunky to me.
829	Lack of a dog friendly space.
830	I don't like the piers that create parking needs without defined parking solutions.
831	Agency's bridge circle is weird and too big. And no railings? And the symmetrical part feels weird and not contemporary to me.
832	No. I was happy with all.
833	Burying the John Nolen road, as in Agency Landscape's plan
834	Marsh lands
835	Really like Sasaki's canopy walkway
836	None that come to mind.
837	Boardwalks that seem extra long and don't go anywhere. Docks are great but difficult to maintain boardwalks that run along the shore.
838	I feel that the Sasaki proposal is in contrast to the look and feel of Madison. It is too harsh and angular in design
839	I'm not a fan of the piers. To me, they seem unattractive and dark underneath.
840	I don't like the plans to build restaurants and other paid-spaces. I like Frank Lloyd Wright, but I think his designs have proven to be impractical.
841	The story stuff...may cause an overload of "stuff" that detracts from the natural beauty of the areas.
842	I think the "walking on water" idea is just silly. Is there demand for this? How can that space be monitored for muggers and safety at night? If it is illuminated then it adds to ambient light pollution.
843	Overpass on S Hamilton St - that view is the postcard Madison view, I fear putting an overpass would taint that
844	n/a
845	The looping boardwalks over the water seem like they will create stagnant pools inside them, which Monona Bay has enough of already. We should be promoting water flow, not restricting it further. Marsh development and shallower waters along the edges would be better than boardwalks over the lake.
846	Marsh land should not be so big and so unfiltered that the area becomes over run with mosquitoes and similar gross things
847	Love the focus on nature but a little concerned it might become overgrown hindering the experience at the lake. Are there maintenance plans in place?
848	I wish each had a plan to cover up the parking around the Monona Terrace with green space and gardens
849	Agency is amazing f
850	I'm not a fan of introducing too many restaurants or cafes. Such a prized area would mean equally high prices, so I worry that it would end up being places only upper-middle class would be able to afford, and that would result in essentially taking away more public space from lower-income residents.

851	Great starting place.
852	I really think the agency plan is totally disrespectful to the context
853	Agency's recommendation for Monona Hill. It would be expensive to do and would detract from Monona Terrace's iconic design.
854	I would like to keep "lawns" to a minimum to prioritize more natural landscaping designs that provide both ecological and wellbeing benefits
855	Hard, thin edges and boardwalks along the waterfront with little space for gathering and nature. Teams should exercise caution with huge amphitheaters. They always seem empty and can't be used for things other than performances.
856	Dark and crowded places
857	While I love and fully support the incorporation of boardwalks, I was not crazy about the circular boardwalk configuration at Olin that was proposed by Agency. It effectively encircles a portion of the lake that I can see causing issues. It also doesn't seem to invite you onto it. It's just a big circle and does not seem to take you past any interesting features. If there was a restored marsh or interesting habitat features in it's center, then it would be more impressive.
858	Hard water edges from Sasaki. Obvious baseline: there should be no new concrete/greyscale edging anywhere, it's not 1970.
859	I am curious the effect of all three for the traffic now on John Nolen Drive, an often crowded route into, out of and through the City. How will this volume be addressed?
860	I don't like the overhead walkway structure put in Olin Park by Salami as I think it detracts from the natural environment feel on land and seems more limiting possibly for elderly or handicapped persons! I prefer more to enhance the nature there and not a Disney wow effect!
861	N/A
862	I can't see reducing John Nolan to two lanes but I do like incorporating the high priority bus lane instead of a third car lane.
863	To modern, lake areas should be natural as possible
864	Plan 3. Do not see the value of the elevated walkways
865	Sasaki's "boardwalk" at Olin Park seems unrealistic and a prime target for crime and graffiti.
866	I liked the Agency's Monona Hill idea, but I also felt like it didn't actually do a lot to improve interaction with the lake - it still seemed like there would be a narrow path between you and the water. With the JCFO plan, I wasn't sure how traffic was supposed to work at the Broom St / JND intersection where they have a new beach. I wasn't a huge fan of the lengthy ramps/bridges in the Sasaki plan, I'm concerned they aren't actually providing more direct connections between the isthmus and Lake Monona.
867	Bike path needs to be wider for safety
868	The Hamilton St bridge from Sasaki is overbearing. The single firepit by James Conner doesn't seem to add any real value. The vehicle drop off at John Nolan and Broom intersection by James Conner would cause more problems than fix.
869	The giant hill in Agency's - unlikely to actually be built, and ruins the view of Monona terrace

870	Overly curvey routes along the lake, lack integration with downtown
871	Restricting John Nolan traffic. Questionable pedestrian access around the capitol. Winter practicality questions.
872	Elevated paths except where necessary
873	I have no issues with the big plans here.
874	I like the idea of the observation deck near olan park but I prefer to keep the forested area on the south side of the park unchanged. it is a nice and serene nature spot to get to with ease.
875	Sky bridge was confusing and felt wasteful
876	Where boardwalks are the only access and you can't go right up to the lake, lots of lawns as opposed to trees/wetlands/native plantings.
877	I worry about the length of time that the JCFO plan would take to implement. Would portions of the project need updating and repair by the time the next stage is completed? Included in all plans is the
878	Would like to see a stronger green buffer between traffic and bicyclists/pedestrians (Park Boulevard?) along John Nolan between Olin Park and the downtown with the Agency plan.
879	Hesitant on beach without algal bloom clean up (could lead to wasted space)
880	I did not like how sasaki and field operations framework plans were so figured out. Seems like their ego is getting in the way of what Madisonians want
881	n/a
882	Agency appears to be covering everything with dirt and lacks a variety of spaces.
883	no proposal from wisconsin company ?
884	Not covering larger portions of John Nolan drive under a park is a missed opportunity for added park space.
885	Lowering speeds on John Nolan is great for pedestrians, but they have elevated access in most plans. As a local resident who needs to drive in to enjoy this space and downtown, please don't sacrifice the driving public access for the foot traffic.  Also, this survey was way too long. Apologies for only answering half the questions.
886	I don't like how car centric the plans are. Slip lanes are not safe. Get rid of all slip lanes. Causeway should have one lane per direction, not two.
887	ALL
888	I really liked all of it from all three companies.
889	Not clear how people would access it if they don't live within walking and biking distance. Where do people park? Where do people sit to take in the view? In my opinion, all the plans can be simplified and still provide immeasurable benefits.
890	I think the James Corner one has a wonky looking boathouse that looks like its trying too hard to be a combo of that pointless walkway in NYC and the monona terrace. I could do without that.
891	The elevated walkway on Sasaki

892	John Nolen Dr should be buried and all parking along the lakeshore removed.
893	although each addresses the John Nolen Drive issue, I find it unsustainable that lowering the speed limit will have an affect. Lowering it to 35mph has done nothing. Cars still go 50mph and above; unless there is a plan for enforcement, the Drive is still going to be a major problem with speed, polution, and safety.
894	Swimming beaches. They require maintenance, aren't natural for the type of shoreline here, and swimming opportunities are limited due to e. coli and blue-green algae. The effort required for actual usable recreation space/time wouldn't be worth it.
895	Agency design is boring
896	Including the highway
897	circle structure at the end of the dock in James Corner design seems like a waste of space.
898	Removing the MT parking space and not replacing it with an alternative that preserves vehicular access for those who are from out of town. The removal of turn lanes and Broom St access forcing anyone coming in from the south to wait in line with all the University traffic that clogs the Regent/151 turn.
899	The Frank Lloyd Wright boathouse seems like a waste of money. This is in all of the designs. Based on the renderings, I don't see how it could hold boats, and if so only a few. It could be used in other ways but the small size limits it. It seems like architecture for architecture's sake and would rarely be used by the Public, and even then only very few at a time.
900	Agency's plans were ecologically, culturally, environmentally, and artistically compelling. However, they did not do enough to prioritize exciting public use of the space, such as events, concerts, dining, etc..., which is why they're a clear last place for me. Sasaki and JCFO were very, very close. However, I had some questions about Sasaki's plans. First, a boardwalk around John Nolen, while cool, strikes me as a potential safety hazard, and opens the city up to a potential lawsuit, as people could fall off. Secondly, Sasaki didn't have a pier at Olin Park. While this isn't ultimately necessary, I think it would be awesome to have. Finally, Sasaki's amphitheater appeared to be on an island. It was unclear whether this was just the performance stage or the performance and viewing stage. This is visually striking, but strikes me as A) a safety hazard with only one access point and B) just not practical for getting people on and off of it. If people are viewing the performance at the amphitheater on the pier area, there didn't appear to be seating or sufficient space for a large crowd.
901	Corner Field has great wetlands and focus on nature, but there is too much emphasis on green space and not enough on culture and art
902	The elevated walkways in the Sasaki plan while interesting, do not make the are more accessible or inviting from the viewpoint of a visitor or resident looking to enjoy the waterfront.  The Corner plan's Broom St intersection should be eliminated as it creates too dangerous of a crossing on a busy thoroughfare.
903	Ugly man-made structures within green spaces and on lakefront
904	No - there's some great ideas in all three proposals.

905	Tiny or no board walk Lack of infrastructure for nature elements such as marches and green patches
906	they all take away the slalom waterski course
907	It was hard to truly understand the character of Sasaki's proposal so I'm not sure that it offers public space features that are as desirable.
908	I do not the the "equity and inclusion" part. This should be one area appealing to people who who love what Madison and Wisconsin have to offer.
909	I dont love the beach in the Agency plans - i think there are already enough issues with algae and public beaches in Madison and I dont think we need another one.
910	Expansion to terrace
911	A sandy beach will quickly erode
912	Extended walkways over the lake is a potential safety concern.
913	not a fan of keeping the monona terrace as it is. I think it needs to be rebuilt
914	I am unsure about the curved enclosing boardwalk of Agency in terms of practicality, upkeep, and best use of space for multiple modes of transportation. I don't like the abruptness of the amphitheater in Sasaki's design. The rest of the plan does barely along the lakefront, while the amphitheater feels tacked on.
915	I'm not sure we need a waterfront restaurant in the plans. We have tons of great restaurants in Madison already so I feel like forcing a restaurant there is going to be overpriced (less accessible) and not as good as other restaurants.
916	All features would be an improvement.
917	I think I lean toward blending the ability to be sustainable and amplify nature with the ability to give a space that is intentional and useful. So I did feel like my choices reflect that.
918	Sledding/ice activities
919	n/a
920	The boat-shaped areas
921	No
922	The big weird hill in plan one (too much green space, might be a muggy ruin most of the year). The big weird bridge on Hamilton and the weird elevated walks at Olin in plan 3.
923	James Corner has both clarity of vision and appropriate experience to make it happen. Not a missed beat in their plan or their reassuring delivery and approach tonight 1/26/23.
924	N/A
925	The marshy inlet with James Corner, it will kill lots of old trees and take away valuable space. The Sasaki skywalk in the forest. Lack of safe crossings along John Nolen (crosswalks rather than bridges/tunnels).
926	I feel like Olin Park doesn't need quite as much attention/expense devoted to it...as in some of the renderings from Sasaki for example. Let's not try and compete with the UW Arboretum.
927	Sasaki's raised forest walkway, James Corner water inlet for paddle learning that will kill mature trees in Olin Park, Sasaki's lack of vision and places for people to hang out.
928	Unecessary paths or extra concrete

929	Industrialized, concrete elements overtaking the nature view and space
930	False water colors
931	I really like the wooden path idea that meanders along the lake front, especially the circle at Olin Park. But it also seems the risky, like it could turn out to be totally corny. People wouldn't use it. Like people coming into town would be like, that looks strange.
932	need to stop filling in the lake. they don't make lakes anymore
933	No; however, I did notice that the Agency Landscape plan did not show plans for the lakefront north of Monona Terrace, which was disappointing because that area also needs enhancements.
934	I did not like the one that transitioned the area back to a sandy beach. We have plenty of beach areas. The union is great for beer and college. We need a sophisticated, updated space for all.
935	Seems like a lot of trees suggested to be added to the roads. Don't like this because they get in the way of visibility
936	The boathouse. What's the point?
937	I don't like Sasaki's "Elevated Waterfront Park" and their range of pier shapes in the Law Park area.
938	I am not so excited about pushing the park land too far out into the lake. We need to keep in mind the effect on the lake bottom and the wildlife that live in and/or use the lake.
939	I don't like Sasaki's bridge idea—I don't think it would be feasible here in Madison.
940	The first one was just another path. Although it is a cool concept, it isn't bringing everyone together.
941	Agency Landscape showed some boardwalks without hand rails - makes me nervous with young kids
942	Sasaki plan seems to miss the land connection point and not utilize the Minoan terrace. Field Operations seems cookie cutter and not particularly site specific.
943	Beaches. Please no more beaches on Manona until water quality is improved. The only month I feel comfortable swimming is June. The rest of the time it's too cold or algae filled. Go look at how few people swim at Olbrich Beach in the summer. One solution to the beaches would be a filtration system similar to Warner Beach.
944	The Agency and Sasaki's plan didn't quite seem to incorporate downtown as well as James'.
945	Do not like the overhead walkway- stuff gets thrown off
946	I don't believe we need the boathouse and overall there seems to be a lot of reliance on "boardwalk" features. Except where we are trying to protect natural habitat or provide recreation opportunities (like a fishing pier), I believe we should minimize those features which require a lot of maintenance and might not age well. The lake and its attached greenspace should be the stars.

Q37	Are there lakeshore features not incorporated that you would like to see provided? Why?
Q37 Responses	
1	I just want there to be watercraft rentals that are affordable and accessible. I think the Sasaki and James Corner plans put a boathouse at the center of the project. Not everyone has a kayak or paddleboard and rack ...
2	I think some type of marina would be really good
3	NO.
4	Please make sure we can get to all this great stuff from the end of Waunona Way where the John nolen bike path starts. My husband and I run along there on a regular basis.
5	n/a
6	Mushroom waddles filled with oyster mushrooms to remediate the water and a location to do the work in that it would take to make that succeed . Also I'd like to see more organizing of lake area cleanups besides volunteers . More people around the lake means more litter and this is a very big deal. We need more garbage can areas and pickups. If you have seen the boat house and where people fish it is litterd with garbage . We are not doing so good right now on that and these plans means more garbage . Seriously this really needs to be thought about!
7	A larger inlet for an ice skating area.
8	more parking. not everyone is wealthy enough to live downtown.
9	No
10	Na
11	With more greenspace and wetlands as habitat, what ways will we prevent park space from being overtaken by ducks and geese? How can we achieve a balance that makes the space accessible and inviting to community and visitors. Right now, we can't lay a blanket on park space due to duck & geese feces.
12	I would love to see the playgrounds be expansive and really special for our kids. I love the Woodland Playground in the James Corner plan and it's natural elements combined with great opportunities for climbing and exploration.
13	Law park seems to not be incorporated much into the Agency or Sasaki plans
14	Additional lanes of traffic at a higher speed.
15	Wheelchair accessible to the lake directly!
16	I hope there will still be drinking fountains. I rely on those in the summer while running. Splash pads or places to cool down would be great.
17	Use natural riprap, don't dredge to move soil from one area to another. Nature has formed the lake based on the changes already caused by the Monona Terrace and the causeway.
18	Not that I can think of.
19	I feel strongly a major events space with access to the lake (beach) needs to be incorporated into the lake side corridor to complement the Alliant Energy Center campus.
20	gravel/dirt bike single track

21	Non-motorized boat access needs even more attention! That is a major use of the lakes for Madisonians and visitors.
22	would LOVE to see a Swing-A-Ring included somewhere along the waterfront, like the ones in New York's Riverside Park; protected parts of the causeway paths to stand under or sit down & rest in case of rain, etc.; a really cool addition would be a rock-skipping area where kids could practice skipping stones across the top of the water, without damaging any habitat; a public beer garden; maybe a little more parking could be provided nearby, especially near the Olin Park features, but not at the expense of natural spaces in the parks, please.
23	None.
24	As someone who grew up in the Madison area, very curious about natural sciences, I think youth programs included in the space would be a great way to connect to the next generation to the environment.
25	More water access, beaches, more work to make lakes clean and clear
26	Safety/ emergency phones throughout
27	Not really.
28	Maintaining the most natural areas that exist. One of the few central Madison parks that have much nature, animals, and bird life.
29	Not at this time.
30	It would be great if there could be additional public parking somewhere along John Nolan, south of Olin Park. It would nice to have a place to park in this area if you wanted to walk or bike from this location along the path, without trying to find parking downtown. The additional parking could also be used for any larger events that are held in Olin Park or perhaps even downtown.
31	I would like see John Nolan completely covered. I know it seems that two of the plans do this for part of the drive but I feel this would do a lot to easily connect people with the lakefront and reduce traffic noise as well. Also adding an overpass bridge for connecting the bike path to some of the other streets or paths, like the Southwest Commuter Trail, would help users cross safely.
32	More opportunity for people to get out on to the lake, not just walk along it, as well as access to shore for transient boats.
33	Outside bandshells or performance spaces for theatre/ music/ dance/ literature readings/ ceremonies/ festivals/ classes outside but sheltered. Or multiple small ones so that there could be events at multiple venues to provide an event with multiple stages you walk to in sequence - a "trail" for arts. Covered outdoor market area. Might need more parking on John Nolan causeway so mobility impaired or juse less fit people can engage.
34	I wish one of the designs incorporated both an extension of Hamilton and King St. Not just one
35	Place for musicians to play
36	Larger beach area, Floating play area, basket ball court.
37	More sculptures or rotating artists park to give people something to come look at.
38	I would like to see even more bicycle infrastructure connecting the lakefront to the city and the incredible network that Madison already has. It is one of the first selling points I share with people when talking about the city.



39	A reduction in size of John Nolan Parkway. It is a wasteful use of space that pollutes the environment.
40	Completely separate bike and walking paths along the entirety of the plan, along the lake, for commuting and exercise. Bigger natural sound barriers between those paths and John Nolen Drive, especially along the causeway.
41	No
42	I would love to see an outdoor performance venue for music and outdoor theater...perhaps at Olin Park - at minimum as a plan for future implementation. I would like to see mention of coordination with the rest of the lakeshore - specifically City of Monona. Water quality is a disaster on the north end of the lake so unless that is dealt with, the improvements planned may not be enough!
43	Maybe a designated area for birds, like the wildlife viewing area at Goose Pond Road. They're around anyways, maybe this would keep some in one spot instead of soccer fields.
44	Need more public docks for Madison boaters to have access to parks and business
45	More natural habitat.
46	Try to incorporate as much evergreens as possible to keep more natural color around after leaves fall and winter brings in the blues for many people. Bear naked trees with brown leaves on the ground just don't cut it.
47	I think I only saw one plan with a restaurant on the water. Having a Biergarten on this side of town and other places to grab a quick bite to eat or a drink would draw in a bigger crowd. A volleyball court or other leisure activities would be an added bonus as well!
48	I would like to see more natural inclusion in all the designs.
49	Incorporation of, programming and support for a watershed institute. While rethinking the lake's edge is critical, and the main thrust of this project, I'd like to also acknowledge and celebrate, study and improve those critical "upstream" and watershed factors that make the lake what it is.
50	boat parking. SUP rentals.
51	Play area for children?
52	Using the Parks Department new office building for the greater good. Hotel/restaurant/boat rental. Water taxis!
53	Ensure continued access for the sailing club.
54	Why are there no public piers for all the boating traffic to be able to spend money at downtown businesses? Seems like a hugely missed opportunity
55	Or golf cart paths or moving walkways or mini trains to transport people there and around. Like a mini trolley. We're not all young anymore. Also, I'd like to see some food purchase and bathroom facilities(not portapotties) along the walks. Designated food cart spots or mini cafes, that perhaps change out monthly featuring local restaurants. It's a great area but if I have to walk a mile to eat something or use the bathroom, I'm not going to use it. Elderly, handicapped or families With or without kids. Mini sidewalk trains for transport of more people. Love all the wild areas but people will throw crap in the water, pee from bridges and piers. How will you keep that from happening? Hours of operation?
56	No

57	Better access for viewing lake activities like the ski show
58	More emphasis on winter activities would have been nice.
59	Fountains.
60	Extending the park into the lake on pilings for a few hundred feet in front of Monona Terrace would change the space from a narrow concrete chute into an enjoyable space.
61	Large amounts of public green space close to the Monona Convention Center. The area below the Capitol Square along the lake needs to be expanded and bring in lots of people.
62	A more dedicated and developed marina for all water sports. Marinas of the future are not gasoline intensive rectilinear spaces dominated by power boats. Power will be electric and they will need clean power stations and spaces for a wide variety of watercraft both human and battery powered.
63	More public boat docks!!! Again there is not a single place on lake Monona that boaters can dock their boat to go ashore to enjoy the parks and businesses. Not one!
64	I would greatly prefer better maintenance of the existing infrastructure over the creation of new infrastructure that might be neither used nor adequately maintained.
65	Adult swings (tall with long chains) to look over the lake. I'd be in heaven. (:
66	A beach elevator/ramp for wheelchair users to be able to get in the water and swim. A kayak launch for wheelchair users.
67	Boat launch, parking, connection to community from Olin park to blair street
68	I would suggest taking Agency's hill side approach, and then one Nolen leaves it southbound to create the Blvd of Corner.
69	Would like to see long term planning done to eliminate private auto use of the causeway.
70	If this feature is supposed to be accessible to all Madison residents, more free or lower cost parking
71	More public docks
72	There doesn't appear to be piers for people to arrive by boat and park. Is this by plan? Maybe boat owners are considered richies and Madison is trying to say you have enough so you don't need anything more? idk. Lake Mendota has public boat docking by Memorial Union. That seems reasonable that it could be included here on Monona.
73	A minimalistic approach is best - and keep it cost effective.
74	no
75	require public parking to make this accessible to all. downtown is not very accessible to all people and socioeconomic status due to lack of downtown parking
76	Include ability to expand for kayaks, canoes, sailboats
77	I would like more accesible bathrooms by the monona terrace area
78	Ni

79	I am pleased with the features provided. That being said - it doesn't address parking shortages that I know many currently experience in trying to access the lakeshore. I think increasing access via bike/walk/bus are critical to address the parking shortage.
80	would the beaches have dedicated swim-only areas?
81	More parking so this does not become a park just for downtown residents & people staying at downtown hotels. However, I understand that more parking is a very difficult challenge.
82	How it will affect the traffic on John Nolan Causeway.
83	There probably is an area for this but if not, there should be a space where food trucks can come and go,
84	Considerations for parking- but that might not be in the scope of this project.
85	PIERS FOR USE BY BOATERS! I am surprised by the lack of piers within the plans which will allow boaters to moor and gain access to downtown Madison. It is way beyond time to meet this need. The Sasaki plan seems to at least contain piers, but whichever one incorporates this important component will gain my support.
86	No
87	Dedicated spaces for food trucks to operate close to trails and points of interest. This needs to be done thoughtfully, because they will spoil the ambiance, but it's important to have an amenity like that to encourage people to visit and linger.
88	Non-car transit should be a major priority. The incorporation of a commuter rail station into the terrace or nearby would allow visitors to access the waterfront and downtown from across the region without requiring significant parking. Bus stops and significant bike parking allow those from short to medium distances to get to the area without a car.
89	Sasaki seems to have no lake access. Sunday water-ski shows; winter recreation. Maybe you should have had a Wisconsin design firm in the mix that actually is familiar with our culture.
90	No
91	Transit connectivity, meaning dedicated bus stops, would be a welcome feature to improve accessibility.
92	None stand out
93	More space for boaters to visit / park. Alumni pier on Mendota is an incredible asset. Would like to see more Frank Lloyd Wright influence to designs of structures to tie in more Monona Terrace building.
94	Accessibility for people with disabilities
95	Not really.
96	More information on boat and other watercraft rentals and docking is needed. We have few restaurants on our lakes, what opportunities do these designs include?
97	No
98	I'd like native and Indigenous plants to be included and named. The Mill Ruins Park in Minneapolis does an excellent job of this -- incorporating Indigenous plants and then labeling them with signage and educational info. It would be a great opportunity to better connect with Ho Chunk history.

99	Even greater marshification of the landscape would be great to see, especially in Monona Bay.
100	The more we can be at the forefront of natural landscape rather than designed horticulture the better
101	Would like to see more about public transportation access.
102	Nothing comes to mind.
103	Public bathrooms
104	No
105	More restaurants and bars on the water, the lack of them currently is disappointing because of how beautiful the lakes are in both summer and winter.
106	Parkour/Rock Climbing area incorporated near the adventure playground
107	Can't think of any
108	Add spot for food carts or have restaurant. More benches.
109	Can't think of any.
110	More parking at Olin.
111	Parking!
112	N/A
113	Whomever is chosen should keep sustainability, healthy water drainage, and the sensitive marsh ecosystem in the foreground as they build. The chosen plan should respect the lake and its ecosystem - not further burden it.
114	At Law Park, I would like to see a specific beach landing area for the Mad-City ski team that allows the skiers to land in front of the audience viewing area.
115	dog beach
116	The plans looked good to me. I think we want to do all that is possible to improve water quality to avoid sediment and phosphorous run-off into Lake Monona.
117	More eating areas throughout.
118	I think everything is pretty much covered in most of the plans
119	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- railway throughout Madison by lakeshore</li> <li>- areas that are completely closed off to people for conservation only</li> <li>- increased litter &amp; pollution mitigation</li> <li>- memorial for victims of colonization and attempted genocide of Indigenous peoples to found the city of Madison</li> </ul>
120	If we are building shaded area, can the structure be solar panels? It will provide shading/shelter and it will help with the goal to be sustainable.
121	Natural art installations, self guided nature tours, dog beach
122	<p>More focus on designated swimming areas.</p> <p>Also, is there room for pop-up shops like food trucks? Or a coffee or ice cream shop? (Thinking to examples in Milwaukee for this).</p> <p>Parking- we need it, but how much do we need... also, are EV chargers included by chance?</p>
123	It looks like there is an over john Nolensville drive street walkway in the Saskia plan. I think this is really important for access.
124	Not sure.
125	Where will people park?

126	Plaque to commemorate Otis Redding and Buddy Holly flight
127	Educational space for community opportunities to learn from HoChunk elders, environmentalists, etc.
128	Monona Bay and Monona Bay side of John Nolen Drive is ignored - better place for wetland restoration vs in the lake where ice and wave energy are factor.
129	more boathouses, coffee shops on the waterfront.
130	No
131	More water health features all around to care for the lake and the fish. Whether that be more marshland, creating space for less runoff, or ways to combat invasive species.
132	No
133	a path for bikes, separate path for runners, separate path for walkers. If road stays the same width make one HOV and BRT only. Have a BRT from the massive parking lots near the beltline and bus into town.
134	Separated walking and biking paths
135	Na
136	Ensure kids parks in final design.
137	Waterfront dining with boat access
138	I hope that there will be birdwatching sites and signage about the area
139	I'm not sure that boating & fishing uses are given adequate space. Plans should consider the ice fishers who use the space on the bay side of John Nolen between the tracks. Should also make sure that any marsh/wetland does not interfere with boating activities in North Law park.
140	Education for public and lakefront property owners about water health and shoreline restoration.
141	No huge gathering spaces. Negative environmental impact and current community.
142	Bike commuting facilities. Connection to the mass transit facilities.  There seems to be a good opportunity to incorporate solar power generation
143	No
144	There's a spot on John Nolen Dr where you get a perfect view up Hamilton St to the Capitol. Nobody planned an outlook area at this location.
145	Having a cyclist/runner path branch off to go to the Capitol would be nice (in addition to following the lakeshore). Where are the public restrooms? I don't see much consideration for how the boats for the crew teams will access Monona from Brittingham boathouse; will there be room under the bridges still? An expanded shoreline and floating habitats would be problems.
146	Certainly Frank Lloyd Wright's boathouse would be an astounding addition, or even a rail terminal. Those may come later and will be greatly enhanced by a spectacular waterfront.
147	Where do people park their cars? Many/Most will still have to drive to get to the new "park". This reality is not addressed in any plans.
148	I would have appreciated seeing more attention to public art and artistic expression captured in these plans.

149	More beer garden options.
150	No, this part is fine
151	None
152	Greater bike access and safe parking would be wonderful. This is a project primed to promote bike transport which so many Madison residents enjoy.
153	A specific beach landing area for the ski team that ties directly to the spectators seating area. A dock that we can dock our boats and take off from.
154	Outdoor fitness area. Fishing docks.
155	Potential train station!
156	Rentable fire rings at Olin Park or near Monona Terrace (like at Picnic Point)
157	I think a lot more seating would be a good thing. The Mad City Team has a few small sets of bleachers and there are a few picnic tables and we are there nearly every night of the summer and see people sitting on those things facing the lake and enjoying the view. I think better seating would be enjoyed by all.
158	Bike maintenance stations!
159	<p>The most important thing to consider is pfas and runoff that causes toxic algae. I would love a sandy beach with a custard stand, live music, a permanent fixture for the Crossfit fit barge with exercise classes for the community but we need clean lakes for this all to be successful.</p> <p>Another idea to consider is having an underwater viewing area so that the community can see the fish and also better understand their impact on lake pollution.</p>
160	Swimming area with filtered safe water
161	Dedicated space for food carts, artists and other local vendors Dog park
162	I think they all are pretty comprehensive
163	access. not trying to make something that wouldn't be there. The opportunity for nature to take over.
164	The South Bank Parklands in Brisbane, Australia has a lot of great features and landscaping that draws in people from all over!
165	I would emphasize that the various modes of transportation-- auto, bike, and pedestrian-- all need to be separated on the causeway for both safety and esthetic reasons.
166	A ice skating warming house. Or is the concession stand going to double as a warming house.
167	Picnic tables, restroom facilities, bait shop, overflow space for the rooftop concerts.
168	Live music Food truck access Beach/beach volleyball
169	No
170	Between all 3 designs, there are many wonderful features to draw from for the final design.

171	More emphasis on the fishing pears near the terrace. As a biker, I see dozens (hundreds?) of people fishing there some days. We need to encourage that civic participation and offer then safe space away from traffic/bikes/runners.
172	Not really.
173	I'm not sure if this was actually addressed, but it would be nice to have restaurant/food options on both the south and north sides of Law Park and even Olin Park.
174	Not sure how shoreline fishing is being incorporated to its fullest potential.
175	No
176	There are no marinas on the lake now, so I'd like to see some thought to that
177	More amenities like cafes and ice cream. Ice Rink and warming house for the southside!
178	Something education visitors on the history of Lake Monona!
179	Ice Boat access, rowing for UW
180	1. Potential for ferry ports and access from downtown to the park. 2. Island habitat opportunities.
181	I would love to see a specific beach landing area for the waterski team that is tied into the spectator seating. I would encourage whichever firm is chosen to come to waterski show to really observe the whole process of how it works. That could help the firm better incorporate the team into the plan. The waterski team also needs a dock near the beach landing are to take off of, which wasn't in any of the plans. These would all provide easier access for the ski team, and in turn would draw more people to watch shows and enjoy Madison businesses. As long as the firm is willing to work with the team, and learn what it is that we do, than I am very excited to work with them for a better future for Lake monona
182	i was not impressed with the treatment of Olin park. this 13 acre area(largest park area in the plan) has the greatest potential to connect southside neighborhoods and the broader community in general to the lake. it should be more activated as it is the most accessible/equitable to the broader community not interested in the challenges of accessing the downtown/paid parking/hassel etc. this is the most impressive and reflective vantage pint of the city skyline and lake and should be a major focus of creating spaces for people and hosting them.
183	The ALP design adds a lot of green space and boardwalks but not much else. The Sasaki design offers greenspace and ecological improvements, but falls short on improving access to the lakefront. Both the Sasaki and ALP designs fall short on offering options for people to enjoy the lakefront that aren't interested in sitting in a park.
184	More playgrounds/beaches would be nice.
185	Would be great to have actual restaurant/bar service. Areas to sit, stay, eat, drink and enjoy the lakefront. Not just passing through.
186	N/A
187	The design must incorporate lake access for all types of boating. Lakeshore access without boating is meaningless.

188	-I think we have a captive audience with free entertainment by the Ski Team. Not that I think anything needs to change, but we should celebrate that as programming that is already done. Since Lakes aren't freezing as quickly or for as long a public ice skating rink could be interesting
189	I'd love to see a place to get food near the water. A place for food trucks maybe?
190	Native plant gardens although middle plan did have some
191	boat access to downtown
192	Instead of sandy beaches, I'd rather see grass plus benches, tables, boulders, and other types of seating that allow for relaxing along the lakefront without sitting on the ground among goose poop and debris from the lake. I'd like to see a natural playground for kids and families. I'd also like to see a variety of cultures represented through art and community feedback.
193	One of the designs showed lots of outdoor seating and a space that looked something like a small cafe or restaurant. I would love to see a few more places that, even open seasonally, offer people the opportunity to dine or stop and get a quick bite and linger ... along with a bit of shelter for people on rainy/snowy days. Also, I'm wondering how much each design took wind into account. There are many days on which the wind whips across the lake, making it difficult/unpleasant to be there.
194	Agency covered it well.
195	Storage for paddling boats for traveling to or within (from Olin Park to Monona Terrace) the destination by paddling.
196	Northern shore of Brittingham bay Complexity of John Nolan and Williamson and Blair intersections.
197	Remove the ugly rock shore protection and incorporate a more natural shoreline protection plan.
198	Unique playground artistically designed. Madison tends to have the same structures.
199	A specific landing area for the ski shows would be amazing!
200	A beach area at law park.
201	Vehicular access to boat launches.
202	important to make trails and seating accessible for all people, including those with disabilities (include ramps)
203	N/A
204	pretty darn complete! k
205	Just ensuring safety to get across John Nolen to access the spaces.
206	not really
207	As a boater, I would love to see a marina included in the project. Lake Monona severely lacks a marina, especially one that is accessible and conveniently located near downtown. Lake Mendota has two marinas: Skipper Buds and Mazanet, Lake Monona has none. The city could make a huge profit off of a marina / restaurant combination, and there may be a good way to implement that feature while still protecting and accommodating nature's needs.



208	More transient docks.
209	Can't think of any
210	None that come to mind.
211	<p>Outdoor classrooms for schools and other types of classes (like fitness or mindfulness) to reserve and use.</p> <p>A place for interactive art and expression.</p> <p>I am not sure how the lighting will be at night, but making it welcoming, warm and safe.</p> <p>A recognition of planting and nurturing certain plants, trees, flowers, etc that support the soil, the air and our wild life.</p>
212	It would be awesome to have seating for the ski show and a nice beach close by similar to the JCFO design. Along with potential bathrooms or water bottle filling stations along the waterfront.
213	No
214	A "destination" restaurant, not just less casual food stands
215	Protected wildlife areas
216	More access for fishing that does not interfere with bike path users. The path at Monona Terrace is terrible, with pedestrians not looking and walking in front of bikes. <b>AND DO NOT PUT A BIKE LANE UNDER MONONA TERRACE AND FORCE CYCLISTS TO BREATHE EVEN MORE DENSE CONCENTRATIONS OF HARMFUL EXHAUST!</b>
217	no
218	Parking and public transportation. How do people get to these spaces?
219	I like the approach and presentation of the Sasaski design. I would like to see more nature habitat incorporated in it, like the James Corner design.
220	I would like to see stations for trash and recycling, that actually teach about recycling and what trash can do to the environment. I would also like to see learning stations about wildlife and habitats. Ones that you can not only read, but scan with your phone and watch or listen to a video. Have there be a kid friendly option too!
221	Swimming areas are always welcome
222	The James Corner Field Operations had it all.
223	sculpture botanical gardens something like high line in nyc
224	I would like to see some features that are on the other side of John Nolen Dr that connect the Greenbush and Bay Creek neighborhoods to the rest of the lakefront.
225	More art! Can sides of buildings/structures be painted with murals? Get local artists involved please. This city need more color.
226	maybe more canoe racks for individuals to (pay) to store their personal canoes/kayaks

227	I would like to see proposed boating plans to provide safer boating opportunities for non motor vehicles. Particularly, turning the westernmost portion of the lake into a no wake zone.
228	Lots more benches! I'm disabled and would love to visit a park like this without worry of finding a place to sit.
229	No.
230	Place to stop for refreshments, bike repair station, bathroom facility.
231	More beaches! ;)
232	Some of the plans have this but I think having a walking path that is differentiated from the bike path is essential.
233	Not that I can think of.
234	More space for events to be hosted
235	More shaded paths for bikers, joggers, wheelchairs. More shrubs for windbreaks and floral scents and for pollinators. A barrier between traffic and parkway (bounce some traffic noise away from people in park). Some big cottonwoods at beaches to sit under like at Yahara Place Park.
236	No
237	Yes, a downtown marina similar to the Edgewater or the Alumni Pier at the union should be incorporated for good boater access to downtown.
238	Light rail attraction from Coliseum to Willy St, replacing one lane of traffic. Park at Coliseum and bike/walk/lightrail.
239	-
240	N/A
241	Swimming areas
242	Unclear what the parking access would be. Definitely more walkable from downtown, which is nice, but one of the pains with things like Olin Park or the Monona shoreline is figuring out where to park.
243	More given to swimming in the deeper lake water where it will be less marshy / reedy, off of Law Park / King St. Like the diving platform at BB Clarke. The floating recreation platform that Crossfit Games brought to Madison was also a nice target where you could paddle and swim comfortably in deeper water with less algae / plants.
244	No
245	I think overall they are all good, and you will never be able to put in something for everyone. They all try hard to include a lot.
246	I'm not sure if this was in all plans but Olin and the Terrace should have places that are easy to put in canoes/kayaks etc.
247	Agency's is my favorite plan but I wish they included the green infrastructure under their boardwalk like Sasaki.
248	I loved seeing the building of more marshes in JC and Sasaki. Any plan should have more marshes built in to start rebuilding the natural lakeshore that has been lost to development.
249	I think there is an opportunity to build out and have more dining options along the lake.
250	More tie-up for boats and kayaks.

251	Find a way to prevent nuisance animals such as geese from over congregating on the sites causing animal waste concentration which creates sanitary problems and health risks for children playing on the ground.
252	No
253	I may not have seen it clearly in the plans, but consideration for bus and car parking is important. It's good to encourage bike use, but that can only work for some park patrons and situations. Families will always need car park space for logistical reasons.
254	John nolan is so loud, would love even more separation on the causeway from the cars.
255	Frank Lloyd Wright Boathouse and easier access to Monona Bay. The current car and rail bridges should be raised so that boats and paddlers can get into the bay from all points and so water can circulate better which will help combat the huge algae issue. Currently, the bay can only be accessed under one set of bridges.
256	Was there space for food or other vendors during events? I'm looking at this on my phone and it really doesn't do any of the plans justice
257	No
258	For AL+P and James Corner, I'd like to see some consideration given to where they'd provide public restrooms, trash cans, drinking fountains, etc.
259	No. None are needed.
260	More focus on public transit on the John Nolen corridor to get cars off the road
261	Nothing comes to mind
262	Hiking trails, places to rent kayaks and maybe get and ice cream or warm tea/coffee by the lake
263	I'd hate to lose the Native heritage that frames the third plan though that plan seems inadequate for shaping a dynamic public space in other respects.
264	Piers for boats and pier
265	More cafe/restaurant space by the lake
266	A place for food trucks by the lakeshore!
267	No
268	More food and drinks available along the lake
269	Wasn't specifically mentioned, but public use docks or some way to launch kayaks would be nice.
270	A sand beach. So many water ski shows throughout the nation have beach landing areas. These soft landing area are important for skiers and because of wave bounce back. Cement walls, sea walls, and other hard structures along shore cause major wave bounce back for the water ski show and other recreational users of the water. A beach could be used by many.
271	Make sure there are food and drink vendor facilities available. Recreate a small piece of the Union terrace.
272	I would love to see more areas available to local vendors to have a spot along the trails to help promote smaller local businesses in the area.
273	I can't think of anything specific that I feel is missing!
274	Food truck area

275	Perhaps a boat dock near the north end of Law Park near the restaurant would be a nice feature. And an outdoor deck for the restaurant also.
276	Long Water walk incorporated with one of the other two designs. Water walk provides solitude while other two designs have a more - gather crowd - atmosphere. Both would be great together.
277	It would be amazing if there were many options for free and accessible recreational activities. Like kayaking, swimming (if safe), fishing, bird watching, etc. If we could build in opportunities in the infrastructure to promote these recreational activities.
278	Interactive experiences
279	Wildlife, I don't see images of wildlife and how they will use these spaces.
280	No
281	No
282	The beach / stair sitting area is nice
283	No
284	Boat launches on each corner of the lake to provide access for all and a place to ice fish
285	don't think so
286	Boat access
287	Each of these proposals is heavily feature-laden and not tied to any budgetary constraints.
288	A specific beach landing area for the ski team that ties directly to the spectators seating area. It would also be nice to have dedicated seating area for the ski shows.
289	NA
290	I think it was addressed in all 3 plans adeptly
291	I would like to see a rail station integrated into the JFCO design, then it would be perfect!
292	I like Field Operations natural wetland. Good for wildlife habitat and for educational opportunities.
293	n/a
294	I would like to see accessibility for those with disabilities. Multiple types of accessibility. Paths for wheelchair users to get from one place to another, sensory friendly places for those with sensory processing disorders, stuff like that
295	Sasaki's plan seems to meet my needs best.
296	nope
297	Viewing tower
298	No, but an emphasis on green space and clean water is most important. Wingra is the best lake in this city (I will die on this hill) because of its natural shoreline and spring-fed, cleaner water. We can't force Monona to have natural springs, but a design that emphasizes green space and mitigation of straight stormwater runoff is necessary.

299	<p>I had hoped we might incorporate a concept where we'd have people park at Alliant Center and have a trolley or rail to get them to downtown to reduce cars in downtown.</p> <p>I'd hoped for something a bit more dramatic- like Gardens by the Bay in Singapore or water feature like the one in Geneva Switzerland or Merlion (Singapore). Might be fun to incorporate some light show ala Singapore harbor.</p> <p>If you need to add landfill, I'd urge you to dredge east side of Monona ( across from where buckeye hits Monona drive) - area has become very silted in and seems like it would enhance both areas.</p> <p>I am concerned that with more people, more trash - what are thoughts to keep that all contained and not blowing into the lake? As someone who lives on the east shore of the lake, we get a lot of garbage now, fear it will be much worse.</p>
300	If a boat house is to be included, make a plan that includes more than tie up space for just 3 boats. Otherwise, it isn't very functional.
301	A food cart pavilion with parking would be great.
302	<p>Transit access. Especially if the intention is to decrease car dependance for getting into onto the isthmus. Could there be a rail bringing people in from surrounding suburbs/towns? It would be great to hop on the train with my bike for a day in Madison.</p> <p>I would like to see more directions to the lake front. They all put major emphasis on the diagonal connections via Hamilton and King, but I wonder if more connections could be established in between.</p> <p>Selfishly, I'd love a restaurant on the beach. Maybe it doesn't make sense to have a private entity in the public system, but it would be great to have a drink or food on the water, like how you can at the union terrace.</p>
303	No
304	not really
305	There could be more fishing piers
306	Public bathrooms
307	I will like to see more native vegetation
308	I think the skywalk is beautiful but I would like to know how accessible it is--I have a difficult time with stairs.
309	Indigenous gardens
310	More parking and bathrooms. More paths going over intersections instead of through.
311	Considering plantings, natives are great and needed, however, some evergreen plantings might be a very welcome sight to keep the area from looking so gray and depressing in the winter. I like the smooth line of the shore right now.
312	Better connection to Brittingham/Olin Parks

313	Not a new feature - but the Monona Terrace helixes are part of the visual grandeur of the shoreline as you come into the City. I hope that any design keeps the view of the helixes in it.
314	I do not see public bathrooms or bike parking listed on any of the plans.
315	No
316	No.
317	How do we minimize algae blooms and improve water quality. Only one proposal considered a broader plan to address this key concern.
318	I'd like to get out OVER the water more.
319	n/a
320	reduce John Nolen traffic lanes to one each direction
321	More commercial space. Coffee shops, restaurants, and family friendly places is what will draw people to the lakefront.
322	Limited business fronts accessible from the lower level of the monona terrace like community trusted restaurants and cafes.
323	n/a
324	Kite surfing launch points - I think you need more distance clear of trees, either bigger beaches or beach+lawn
325	Maybe there should be an outdoor shower to rinse off after swimming and/or changing area
326	no
327	I'd like to see more kayaking docks and open access to the lakefront.
328	Should incorporate native prairie plants into the landscaping
329	bathrooms
330	No
331	Will there be parking/bus stops for people to access these parks/places?
332	More specific arts program
333	Perhaps more opportunity for some small businesses to be available to provide visitors their goods and services on the lake front.
334	Boat dock for public access to downtown
335	Dock
336	Boat parking or restaurants on the water.
337	Lake health broadly, not defined by the shore, but none of the plans address an ever increasingly polluted and dying lake monona.
338	I would like to see more interaction with the water and shoreline.
339	It's unpopular to say, but parking seems to be missing. This area needs to be accessible to more than just those that live downtown already - that would feel very elitist.
340	Would be great to improve fish habitat but that obviously doesn't seem to be on the radar of anyone at the City.

341	YES! All 3 are missing a boat landing for people wanting to come in off the lake to enjoy the city. I think the Sasaki (sp?) one has a non-motorized boat landing but its way far away from the city. A lot of people would love to drive their boat up by Law park area, park it and walk in and enjoy what the city has to offer. None of these plans (as far as I could tell) offer that. I think that's really missing the mark on something that the people on Lake Monona would love to have and really embrace.
342	No
343	No- I think Sasaki's plan incorporates all the lakefront features beautifully!
344	not really Sasaki's plan has everything.
345	Maybe have the educational spaces more designated.
346	I don't think any of the three plans do a good job of thinking about children and active play in meaningful ways. The items provided seem standard fare for family activity, but don't seem to offer anything new and innovative.  I also think the public art aspect of each of the plans is woefully insufficient. I think there needs to be much deeper commitment to planning for AWESOME public art. It is not an afterthought, but it feels like that in these presentations. I also felt that the idea of public spaces for movies, performances, etc. was underdeveloped in each of these concepts.
347	Gardening area , too promote sustainability and better lifestyle and learning. Also maybe a stream, everyone loves a good stream.
348	N/A
349	The North Shore underpass wasn't clearly defined in any of the plans. I want to make sure that connection allows bikers to make it from the cap city path out to the causeway without stopping.
350	Ice skating warming area.
351	Nothing that comes to mind.
352	More fishing areas. People from all economic backgrounds fish & it's a more accessible hobby than other water activities like kayaking. I think it would make it more inclusive.
353	More information on lakeshore access with public transportation (not cars) would be helpful.
354	I'd like it to be made more clear what wheelchair access would be like
355	No
356	Sasaki plans seem most detailed regarding the water and ecosystem restoration and maintenance, with Agency also doing well to show a restoration plan. I would be interesting in a project design that also addresses details on sustainability for daily use, as well as the events, and the number of people using the area. Overall the accessibility, walking, biking paths and year round events were well addressed, along with waterfront activities.
357	Bus stops

358	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Maintain/enhance water connections to Monona Bay – for use by private owners and Brittingham Boats paddlers</li> <li>•Wingra Creek is an additional asset even though its connection to Lake Monona is outside the official study area. Paddlers can explore all the way to Vilas Park.</li> <li>•Safe places for people-powered boats to come ashore, e.g. sandy beach areas, so paddlers don't have to haul out over concrete or rocks.</li> </ul>
359	floating docks for people to swim out to and play on in the lake
360	Maybe. It would be nice to see improved breeding spots for birds in the plan. Madison is one of the areas that attracts a high diversity of bird species because of the lakes, and they not only depend on the fish but also thrive with healthy systems. I think it would be pretty neat to do something like adding structures to become an official bird city.
361	Maybe I missed this but renewable energy (solar panels on structure roofs, small wind turbines on structures or discreetly built into shoreline infrastructure) - to power things like lights in the parks and electricity in any structures. Also to be accessible, several bus stops in the area are needed.
362	No.
363	Underwater viewing for educational purposes and is unique to Madison
364	N/A
365	A total change for John Nolan Drive. If it stays too close to current, I think all of these designs risk being overshadowed by that busy drive nearby
366	No
367	If only 1/10th of the ideas shown were actually realized, it would be an improvement.
368	a restaurant or two with lake views
369	These design teams have thought of everything.
370	Nope
371	<p>There are always a lot of Black people strung out along the front of the Monona Terrace fishing. Did anyone bother to go down and talk to those people? They always space themselves out. I'm not sure fishing people would all want to be crowded on to one pier in front, which is what the plans envision. It would be a real shame to have the local fishing culture not have its needs met. Not to mention fish are an important protein source for some.</p> <p>ALSO the Madison lakes were full of wild rice beds before Euro-centric settlement. The wild rice beds can't be recreated, but it would be great if there were some reference or art that drew attention to what these lakes were once like.</p>
372	bike parking and probably car parking
373	I think the designers have a good bead on what we are looking for in terms of change
374	Similar to the memorial union, I'm wondering if there is a public boat pier for docking boats and experiencing the lake/DT. The one at the union is heavily used by boaters, fishermen, and sun bathers.



375	I think it's important to provide places for people to park and launch a canoe or kayak somehow. Bike racks as well.
376	We need a cultural aspect, playground, and access from the capitol.
377	N/A
378	Small little self-guided museum to showcase history of the area and lake
379	No, I think my wants were covered.
380	It wasn't always clear to me about the bike traffic and parking implications of the various plans. I was concerned that features in the causeway or Law Park area didn't adequately address access for those of us who don't live downtown.
381	no
382	- I think a playground at Olin park would be great for families - I also think a place to buy concessions/rent lake activity gear will be super important so that visitors don't have to pack in everything they need to enjoy the day -Clean public restrooms
383	Kayaking access and beach front.
384	more access close to touching the water
385	Boat docks so that people crossing the lake could pull up here to visit
386	none
387	A designated canoe/kayak launch area would be neat!
388	Where will the Olin Park Holiday Light display be? Where will Outdoor Yoga be?
389	It should be easy to get to and into the lake from every street and path nearby. I thought the canopy walk was innovative and expanded access in a new way. Please do whatever we can to make the lake better - forever and ever.
390	No
391	No
392	I'm content with the lakeshore features.
393	nah
394	I'd like to see some architecturally interesting bridges on John Nolan drive. Bridges often add to the identity of a place and none of the designs add anything interesting to the bridges
395	We need public docks for boats so people can access the downtown via boat as well car/bicycle etc
396	Wheelchair accessibility.
397	Mooring for boats (particularly sailboats) is in short supply in Madison. The Sasaki plan retains and expands that. The Agency Landscape plan reduces mooring space.
398	mmm, nope
399	I think similar to Memorial Union Terrace, maybe a live show/theater-ish component?
400	Temporary boat dockage. Availability of food but with keeping the place free of litter in mind.
401	Fishing spots- extremely popular outside of the terrace.
402	floating stage

403	Would love for food truck area Would love to have Parking
404	No
405	An scenario for entertainment presentation or an atrium for concerts or musicals events
406	No
407	Would there be spaces for food trucks or things like that? Any playgrounds?
408	No
409	Not specifically
410	more food concessions, nighttime dining
411	No.
412	NA
413	I would like to see more amenities for the ice-skaters, like space near Olin which will be maintained for skaters and hockey players using lake water to re-surface the ice at night so that skaters have fresh ice the next day. Probably a heated changing space at Olin would be nice too.
414	I would like to see more consideration for rowing on Lake Monona. This project impacts Camp Randall Rowing Club's access to the lake significantly.
415	There is little to no provision for power boats to be able to dock and enjoy the facilities or downtown. Some sort of dock to accommodate multiple boats needs to be incorporated. Possibly situated off the parking lot at Machinery Row.
416	I would like to see an underpass connecting the lakeshore bike path with north shore dr. So bikers and pedestrians can cross John Nolen without navigating through traffic.
417	more strategies to help clean the lake, manage stormwater/flooding, reduce erosion, etc
418	more restrictions on large boats to make the lake more accessible to more people.
419	Anything that would celebrate Frank Lloyd Wright's boathouse
420	I would love to see more input on the boat house near Law park.
421	While Madison's proximity to our lakes sets us apart from many other cities, we continue to think of our lakes as a just something to look at. Our city needs more locations where our citizens can actively engage with the lake. Facilities are needed like a sailing center or aquatic center where kids and adults can learn how to fish, sail or paddle a kayak. A waterfront facility can provide a venue for the city to host a sailing regatta which brings visitors downtown. Madison should provide the same kinds of facilities for those who wish to come downtown by the alternate transportation mode of a boat as she does for those who come by car, bike or on foot.
422	Vehicle parking for kayak access needs improvement
423	Whatever redesign is chosen, it needs to be aesthetically pleasing, and I think only one really achieved that for the law park segment. If we go with Sasaki and James Corner, please make the spaces less commercial and more natural.

424	Better facilities for the waterski team; a beach or landing area for them to be able to get from water to land safely and quickly would be great. Better docks more in line with what they currently have is also necessary to keep them in Law Park and the greater madison area. Storage opportunities for their gear so the 30' trailer doesn't have to be moved to the site for shows would be extremely helpful, as would sound and lighting infrastructure for show support. Overall, the intent is there and the viewing opportunities I think are there for more spectators, it just needs some polish and realistic additions to make it perfect.
425	Very important to tie in the existing fabric of downtown to the new. Also, vital to have dedicated bike path through the whole route - any shared path is highly dangerous like the current situation. I wish all the plans used the FL Wright designed Lake Monona boathouse (never completed here) as anchor at the end of John Nolen at Blair Street. The Sasaki design meets most of this. Would be improved with more separation of bike path and with the FL Wright Boathouse at the east end instead of contemporary design.
426	I would like to see more beach access. I want to see sand!
427	plenty of shade options
428	Please ensure that, regardless of plan chosen, beach access (and access to all other areas) accommodates people in wheelchairs/mobility scooters so that they can enjoy the new lakefront, too.
429	I am writing to you as a member of the Lake Monona Sailing Club. I have kept my sailboat on a hoist at the pier at Olin Park for 24 years. I only see one design (Sasaki) that has incorporated a sailboat pier in the design. I know LMSC has been attempting to work with the committee to inform everyone of the club's 50+ year existence and continued use and enjoyment of Lake Monona throughout those years. But only one design seems to make any acknowledgement of sailboats. LMSC has been constant users and enjoyers of the lake. It is important that proposed designs and changes incorporate LMSC's needs, just as Mad City Ski Team's needs have been well-publicized and taken into account. Continuing to have a sailing club pier at Olin Park is important for giving sailors a convenient place to keep their sailboat on a hoist and to be able to access Lake Monona to enjoy it on their sailboat. There are many ways to enjoy a lake. The designs certainly all include fishing, kayaking, a beach, a boardwalk, etc. But only one includes sailing. If Madison wants to be considered a 'Lake City', I would think it would be in everybody's interest to help facilitate the ability for sailors to enjoy the lake. Lake Monona Sailing Club has been doing just that for over 50 years. I feel it should be an underlying assumption and foundation for the committee and for the design firms to make sure LMSC is able to continue into the future, and make sure that the designs are not removing access from sailors to enjoy the lake, which is what two of the three design firms (all but Sasaki) appear to be doing in their current designs. Thank you.d
430	Very detailed plans, I don't see anything missing
431	I would like to see beaches kept clean for swimming area
432	None, all plans were creative and thoughtful

433	Floating restaurants. A boat house for rowing. A venue for sailing that allows for launching, docking, storage, maintenance, and learn to sail classes. Both rowing and sailing could be connected to STEM education for kids.
434	No. Actually, many of the lakeshore features in all of these plans seem really gimmicky.
435	These are all exciting. I'd like there to be some discussion of if we can incorporate dedicated bus lanes permanently.
436	N/A but excited about beaches!
437	Fenced dog park space
438	NA
439	More ideas about how to make the JND/Blair intersection a more compelling entrance to the waterfront; Add the Frank Lloyd Wright 1893 Boathouse; more ideas about connections to the Alliant Energy Destination District
440	I'd like to see less change. What we have WORKS. The only problem is biking needs more routes towards the capitol to encourage commuting that isn't via car. I'd love to see a dedicated bus lane or, even better, a tram line.
441	More attention focused on what we can do to improve lake quality/sustainability so we can have clean lakes and beaches
442	A ferris wheel
443	Can't think of any that are missing.
444	Not that I can think of.
445	I didn't see any mention of how parking structures at Monona Terrace will be impacted and what this may mean for parking downtown if that is removed.
446	Could we do away with John Nolen Drive in this development corridor altogether?
447	I'd like to see more viewpoints and overlooks. I remember seeing one in the plans for the area that goes along machinery row bike path.
448	The more paths for all speeds the better. None of the plans really emphasized bridges or underpasses over/ under John Nolen either to improve connectivity. I think this should be a central feature. See the Brygge bridge or Cykelslangen in Copenhagen or Hovenring in the Netherlands as a solution for the intersection at John Nolen, N Shore Dr, and Brittingham Park. Something like the Hovenring at the hairball intersection at John Nolen, East Wilson, and Williamson would be excellent too.
449	None
450	Some vendors.
451	all lakeshore areas covered
452	Incorporated in the plans, but worth highlighting: Madison could really use 'feature playgrounds' like you find in Minneapolis in the ThreeRivers Park District. <a href="https://www.threeriversparks.org/location/french-regional-park">https://www.threeriversparks.org/location/french-regional-park</a>

453	<p>To be honest, fairly happy with most of their ideas. My main concern is water management. How are we gonna make sure this doesn't accelerate erosion whether in construction or finished? Littering? Ideas like a dog park don't bode well for people being irresponsible with their "business." Another potential issue could be allergies or possibly diseases; obviously it would be necessary to prevent people from accidentally getting hay fever or Dengue from mosquitoes breeding in still water near the shore.</p> <p>Also, potentially some educational resources nearby? Perhaps maps or markers, things that can help understand the environment people are in and what we've missed over the many many many eons this place has been around. The archeological findings here are enough to be worth its own dedicated State historical area for preserving.</p>
454	more sound separation from traffic. the current situation is untenable, with traffic noise drowning out everything else. if we can find ways to buffer that noise and slow traffic for both safety and noise reduction, the waterfront will be much more enjoyable.
455	kayak launches for accessibility
456	Not sure if they were on there but it is a little detail maybe signs with the names, types of plants, animals, history of the area incorporated in the design on the paths
457	I would like to see a dock where boats can come into downtown and enjoy the greenspace/have easy access to downtown.
458	A key piece of lakeshore access that is not encompassed by this particular project but is an important consideration is the John Nolen/Blair/Williamson intersection. Even with the recent improvements to the bike path there, it is a busy and dangerous intersection, especially for bikers and pedestrians who use it daily. I have long felt we need a pedestrian/bike elevated bridge to address this problem and provide safer lakefront access.
459	I would like to see bicycle tune up stations installed along the bike path and plenty of trash and recycling bins.
460	Larger viewing areas and docks/stage by law park. More fishing areas by the current causeway.
461	More dog friendly places
462	N/a
463	There needs to be more coverage off either sides of the lake to make it accessible to all users, all times of year. Shelters along the middle of the stretch will be super important during bad weather and give people a place to meet between communities.
464	I saw it in one plan, but there should definitely be a beach area.
465	After hours areas should be restricted.
466	<p>Corners' design addressed so much detail and I felt they did a "deep dive" into the project.</p> <p>Sorry for the pun, couldn't resist.</p>

467	More shade trees and marches, while the two are contradictory I believe providing more shade along the path/rest areas is crucial and returning the area to its original capacity as a marsh are key steps in this process.
468	Not sure
469	N/A
470	Bike repair and parking is important if park space is expected to be a destination and not just a through-space. Remember that handicap accessible waterfront access is important for equitable use of the park space.
471	Maybe a bit more area to launch kayaks? Or wetland/something to prevent algae.
472	More restaurant/drinking establishments in public spaces in the lakeshore, more explicit plans to make John Nolan safer, identify how public transit will interact with the new plans, more boating/beaches
473	Some sort of small structure along John Nolan for ice-fishers in the winter to use to warm up/get food? Bathrooms for joggers/water fountains for all ages (and dogs)? That whole strip is so long for casual walkers, children, and others. Needs to be broken up with a rest stop. YEAR-ROUND bathrooms!  It would be nice to see some space dedicated to commercial business (foot traffic stops: shops, boutiques, parlors, restaurants, etc.) on the opposite side of John Nolan, within the terrace, or even a boardwalk/extralong pier for foodcarts/art carts, etc.). Would elevate the space from being simply a park and event venue to an integrated green space for shoppers, visitors, and the local community.
474	It seems now that they are all pretty much complete. Of course there are things that we cannot foresee.
475	Landing area for the ski team. Starting dock for the ski team. Clubhouse and storage for the ski team. Permanent seating for spectators.
476	I would like to see more places with lake access to host an event
477	N/a
478	I would have liked if the plans addressed accessibility for disabled members of the community. The Sasaki report highlighted some of the ADA compliance, but I would be interested to know if those measures are effectively designed and to see them highlighted in the other plans as well.
479	Solar panels on walk paths with under lighting would make it more enjoyable on a hot day and provide safety at night. Also the more pedestrian bridges the better.
480	no
481	Although there might be added expense to this, it would be nice to see seating (hammocks, 2-3 person swings, accessible seating), etc provided that might give people a chance to pause and enjoy nature. Some built in exercise structures around lower income neighborhoods would be a nice equalizing touch, so that residents can get access to exercise equipment that promote health and vitality.
482	I would like to make sure that there are activity spaces provided for the wintertime.

483	<p>Please don't over-light this area. Cars have headlights; bikes do, too. Park areas should stay nice and dark for people who enjoy night's beauty, the healing power of darkness in a park, the connection to stars above the city, the ability to look across the lake and not see more lighting. Madison is overly lit everywhere and it's getting worse with bright LEDs ruining our quality of life. We need refuges from over-lighting. Places to enjoy city-scapes, skylines, lake reflections, strolling, gathering, that aren't always overlit like Madison Parks are becoming. Madison Parks are adding too many floodlights to parking areas, boat docks, etc., that ruin the whole feeling of the park, and reduce enjoyment of starry nights, peaceful walks, etc. We need areas that are in the city that are still un-lit or of lower lighting that isn't visible across the lake. Shielded lighting is better than blinding LEDs. Plus, over-lighting hurts our insect populations and migrating monarchs and birds. There are so many reasons to make sure this project doesn't become an over-lighting disaster. Use of dimming lights (that turn down during low usage, and give more lumens during high usage) would be better than full lumens all the time. Use timer-lighting ... off at late night to let plants, animals, and park users rest and enjoy night-time. Use of reflector technology along bike paths and roads instead of just relying on bright lighting. Reflectors use your own light to illuminate for safety; and add no light pollution or wasted energy. Down-facing lighting instead of out-facing lighting, reduces blinding effect and light pollution. Light pollution is a problem in Madison. Let's make this park a progressively designed area that uses modern technologies to light when needed and not all night long.</p>
484	I like the idea of a beach where people can enjoy the water that don't have access to boats or kayaks
485	N/A
486	<p>The Boathouse should be as close to FLW's original design as possible.</p> <p>A pier in the downtown area for motorboats to dock and enjoy downtown's amenities -- much like Goodspeed Pier at the Memorial Union.</p> <p>It would be amazing to have a seasonal ferry service that would go, say, from Olin Park and Olbrich Park to downtown, docking at the Boathouse. This could be attractive to commuters, tourists, and locals just seeking an inexpensive way to get out on the water for a short period of time.</p>
487	I think the plans provide adequate information
488	As part of the selected design, it would be good to see more about how to handle ice and wave action, especially along MT or where walkways and piers are installed.
489	Not that I can think of.
490	I would like to see a specific beach landing area for the ski team that ties directly to the spectators seating area. This would provide a better experience for the audience and the skiers. Making this adjustment would improve the attraction to the team and therefore to the lake.
491	Increased wetlands
492	No.

493	Not Specifically
494	Campfire sites would be a very popular addition; similar to the Picnic Point campfire areas.
495	more habitats for animals and fish!
496	Would love to see more about transit access to area.
497	no
498	the canopy walkway and walkways that are surrounded by nature.
499	i dont know
500	an entirely new park besides enhancing the 2 parks
501	no
502	yes
503	The JCFO and Sasaki plans do not go nearly far enough to discuss traffic calming and increased pedestrian/biking access
504	More talk about improving John Nolan
505	Thoughtfully integrated commercial space near the Lakeside/John Nolen intersection - there isn't much there, currently.
506	A specific beach landing area for the ski team that ties directly to the spectators seating area.
507	Preventative measures for debris getting into the lake. With this endeavor, there will be more access to the lake than ever before. Although the changes being made will keep wildlife protected, the humans using this new space may not be as kind to the wildlife as the structural design is. Things like littering and lake debris will be an issue. How are these issues going to be addressed in the design? More garbage bins? How about natural lake debris? Dead leaves are a big problem for algae blooms in the spring. Algae blooms drive people away from the lakes and wanting to swim in them. How will the new design incorporate ways to decrease phosphorus levels in the water? Is there a method to prevent as many leaves from entering the lakes? Lastly, with added foliage, will we see an increase in algae blooms after the new designs are implemented?
508	No
509	not really
510	The more things to do the better (food stands, restaurant, boat rentals, beach, etc).
511	I'm not sure if they were included but public restrooms along the trail! Especially if there are places to change your clothes from wet to dry after activities.
512	The most common my my family and friends enjoy the lakes is going to sit by the lake with a drink and a snack. The Olbrich Park Beer Garden has been wonderful for us to enjoy lake Monona. I would love to have that sort of casual space that provides drinks and snacks with a view of the lake.
513	If it's Sasaki's not really.
514	Between the 3 plans, I cannot think of anything missing.
515	A floating swimming island would be neat.
516	I would like to see more that includes Madison's indigenous communities and the history of the lake into the design
517	Possibly a designated or several designated safe spaces for animals/the environment as this will attract a lot of people to the area



518	More places to sit on the lake that aren't necessarily tied to a restraint or something. Like just a place to sit and talk
519	More focus on connection to public transit
520	N/a
521	A spot for swimming
522	Better separation of faster-travel commuter cycling paths from walking/lake access paths
523	Bring back life guards. Bringing back life guards creates jobs for high schoolers and college students who are local and those that stay on campus during summer.
524	NA
525	No matter what we need a beach with concessions and plenty of public space.
526	Not in the Sasaki and JCFO plans. The only thing I would change is further separating automobile traffic from the pedestrian uses--diverting near the N Shore Drive intersection or burying it, if possible. Or just reducing lanes and incorporating other calming features. People will continue to speed otherwise, ruining the space and polluting the air (with fumes and sound) for all nearby
527	How about some large floating lily pads to serve as more accessible lounging locations for those not fortunate enough to have watercraft. I'm envisioning paddling out with a picnic lunch. Something you could reserve like other park shelter areas.
528	No.
529	Not that this time.
530	Good motorless boat landings needed. Separated bike lanes for fast speed wheeled vehicles.
531	I would like to see some land preserved for tall office/residential/mixed use buildings, provided that the grounds along the lake remain public. This is still prime downtown land, and allowing some additional development could help ease development pressures elsewhere.
532	A full, street width crossing at Hamilton Street
533	It would be great to see storage for kayaks, and space to share meals like picnic tables.
534	Any and all shoreline restoration and marsh is amazing.
535	no
536	No
537	More frequent covered areas and restrooms along the entirety of the lakeshore.
538	Everything that I would utilize were included in every plan. Just somewhere to relax.
539	Food truck areas
540	Connections for people under the causeway
541	Powerboat/Sailboat access to Law Park or somewhere along the downtown shoreline. Limited but shown. No slips, that looks too much like a marina and would promote the idea of exclusion.
542	None that I can think of.

543	I really, really think an Amtrak station in an addition to the Monona terrace would put this project over the roof in terms of accessibility and connection.
544	I don't know but the underpass is bad. Dark, cars, you know.
545	generally more ecological focus in second and first designs. lake health is important
546	A Sailing Center has the potential of creating opportunities for Learn-to-Sail and to host regattas, for which Madison has proven to be a popular destination.
547	Development along the rail bridges should be added. People fish off these and use them to cross Monona Bay year-round today. Enhancing them with bike and pedestrian paths would improve connections to downtown, Brittingham park and boats, and Olin park. The causeway should have an additional pedestrian and bike bridge at the juncture with the rail bridges. Perhaps the design used on east Wash with the long spirals could be echoed here?  I think the west side of John Nolen should also be accessible to pedestrians, cyclists, and anglers.  I would like to see infrastructure that treats and improves water quality.
548	Volleyball courts, floral areas, a conservatory of some kind - other spaces set aside for specific activities, likely near John Nolen Dr on the Olin Park property.
549	no
550	Potentially include west side of the causeway/monona bay along rail corridor. Both wetland and pedestrian connections.
551	The Frank Lloyd Wright boathouse! It would be neat.
552	Designated dog-welcome swimming areas. Otherwise, no - the 3 groups seemed to have sourced out many opinions and resources/people that are more creative and better informed about what is possible than I am.
553	Is Monona Bay a lakeshore? I don't any plans for an access trail on the "other side of the tracks."
554	Nude beach
555	N/A.
556	No, I think all three designs have very good lakeshore features.
557	I would like to see the Deaf perspective represented in the planning stages. To make sure the space is Deaf friendly in terms of width of paths, lighting, etc. Madison Association of the Deaf is on Willy St. Perhaps someone could reach out for input. PS, only one of the videos were captioned.
558	Big bronze statues, water slides, more vending machines, washer/dryer, teleportation
559	A small recreational boat dock for visitors coming off the lake similar to the UW Alumni pier. This should be an arrival park for boaters who want to dine downtown just as it is an arrival park for residents and visitors who wan to access Lake Monona.
560	Better integration of Brittingham Park's existing amenities (tennis courts, dog park, etc) into the plan would make the space more dynamic. Updating and expanding these features would be well-received as well.

561	It's not clear if any of them include leaving a boat launch at Olin Park. I think that is an important feature to have. Definitely would want a waterfront restaurant, which is not in all the plans.
562	Honestly, I'd love to see more of John Nolen Drive concealed/tunneled, especially around downtown and by Monona Terrace. I think that would create further feelings of safety and social connectedness.
563	A large, centralized sand beach
564	I hope that restaurant(s) will end up in the mix.
565	I may have missed it in the videos, but I don't recall seeing accessible recreational access/equipment such as kayak launches for folks w/o use of legs, hand-pedaled bikes, etc. I would like to see accessibility be a central feature.
566	I wish someone would have addressed the traffic noise. Aside from the road safety concerns, it is the main reason I avoid that route when biking or running. It's intrusive and stressful, and in opposition to the recreational and environmental goals for the area. It's hard to relax, listen to music, talk with a friend, or exercise if you have a constant roar of traffic right next to you.
567	No I feel they all did a good job with the lake shore features.
568	the focus seemed to be on pedestrians and bikers not so much on fishermen, who really could be big users of the new waterfront features. Also, places for folks to just sit and enjoy looking at the lake.
569	There was almost no focus on bus and train access. We want people to leave their cars home. Could there be a transit station nearby? (don't use up the scant shoreline for this)
570	I would have to learn more about what kinds of lakeshore features are available in 2023 to answer this question.
571	Not seeing much on visitor/user safety. I'm sure it's been/being discussed.
572	I wonder what happens to the boat launch in Olin Park? It is not visible in any of the plans; in fact, it looks like it's taken over in one of the plans. I think there is way too much asphalt in Olin Park right now, but I think the City will have hell to pay if that boat launch is eliminated.
573	The lack of parking. The waterfront should be a designation for visitors and not just for people living downtown.
574	The one tourist attraction that would be unique to Madison would be the FLW boat house added near the end of King St. That's something uniquely Madison - and not just another bar, another band, another...
575	No
576	Consideration for how the park would look/function with a possible Amtrak/light-rail station located at the Terrace (disregard if this is the "Terrace expansion" mentioned). I would like to see some planning toward how we will be linking the south side with dedicated bus/streetcar/emergency lanes and/or passenger rail service across the causeway. Prioritized bike and public transit signals. Public water fountains (I know this is a master plan, but these are important for both people and pets.)
577	More infrastructure for bus or metro stops

578	no
579	Water's edge handicapped access. Food trucks at Olin park, especially during events.
580	I use this space to access the Capitol Hill by bike. I take the flat path to the MT bike elevator because I cannot bike up hills.
581	I would like to see clearer plans for safe access to Olin Park from the other side of John Nolen Drive. JCFO did discuss the access via Wingra Creek path, but without detail as to how they would improve that.
582	More pedestrian bridges and picnic areas
583	Create a new landmark that would set Madison apart from other cities.
584	N/A
585	N/A
586	I would like to see more spaces for sitting and reading a book or taking a nap along the lake. I also would like to see public bathrooms. I think incorporating public transit (right now the bus system, but make accommodations for future light rail, interstate rail connections).
587	I there should be free boats (or kayaks would be fine too) to move people around between Olin park and the rest of downtown
588	Space for food carts & restrooms, people need to eat and, you know...
589	Please ensure there are lots of bicycle stations along the lakefront as part of this! It would also be helpful to ensure this integrates well with the metro redesign
590	A kids playground with natural materials!
591	More launch points for kayaks, SUP, more entry points for swimming or ice skating.
592	No
593	No.
594	Security & safety
595	The lake can be sometimes a bit scary to paddle on because of the number of boats that go very fast, especially right near paddlers. It would be nice to further this idea of re-wilding the lake and incorporate no-motor zones on the lake. I get that we can't do that with the whole lake, since that is a DNR thing. But we should be able to do it with some portion of the lake, right? It would make paddle sports much more accessible to people who have not tried to paddle on the lake before. It's pretty scary when you are in a kayak or on a paddle board and a speed boat goes flying by and makes a bunch of big waves that feel like they might knock you into the water.
596	Would like to see more "greening" of the drive
597	more restaurants, live music venues
598	The main things I would like to see for the lakeshore are ecological restoration and an increase in sustainability (minimize runoff, etc.) and accessibility, and I think all 3 plans have this to some degree.

599	If it would make sense from a financial/economic standpoint, it would be great to include a warming hut or similar feature where visitors could rent cross country skis, similar to Elver Park. I wouldn't expect anything nearly as fancy as that necessarily, but it would help people enjoy the lake in winter in a way they likely wouldn't otherwise. In each of the designs, there is a boathouse at the northern end of Law Park that could serve this purpose.
600	A bike repair service would be nice to have down there since it's an environment catered well to cyclists. A portion of the proceeds could go toward sustaining the park and wildlife.
601	I think the FLW Boathouse idea is awesome and would love to see it incorporated into Sasak's design.
602	MUST expand the bike path around the terrace waayyy too narrow,
603	Possible plans for a LRT system that uses the railway. Many connections using the existing railways in Madison could provide unparalleled benefit to the region
604	Trash cans and dog waste stations throughout the paths to ensure we keep it clean
605	I think I'd like the beach(es) a little bigger. Still seems very geared towards boating which can be expensive. Since inclusivity seems to be an important goal, there needs to be more free access to the water.
606	I would to see more modern and futuristic features building. I think it would definitely bring in way more tourism.  Make atleast 1 feature Thats super attractive and is the main feature of the park.  Find a way to bring in people even in the winter. Very important
607	N/A
608	No
609	None that I can think of.
610	I am happy with the first two companies.
611	Beaches into the Agency plan
612	The city needs an intense Waterpark
613	No, I think they did an great job. It will be a wonderful addition to our already amazing city!

614	Limited, but some options for shopping, dining, and events such as craft and art fairs close to the water. Madison lacks dining with views of what will be a lively social space. I feel all this construction will fail to attract as many people as was rendered without retail experiences. 2. I am not seeing heritage or uniquely Madison features expressed in the concepts other than on story panels and interpretive signs. These could be images of Seattle or Minneapolis. Incorporating Frank Lloyd Wright's original boathouse design could be a local heritage moment. Perhaps leaning into Madison as the Capital of WI could generate additions such as a lumbercamp playground celebrating WI lumber industry, industrial elements, elements representing mining, education, or integrated messaging related to our mottos and values such as 'Forward'. I would also like to see better access since there isn't parking provided. Access could include a passenger ferry connecting between Olbrich Park, Olin Park, and Monona Terrace.
615	no.
616	I think adding more land area to the John Nolen Causeway for use as a park could be good. We're already changing the area, may as well make some more land to stand on and enjoy.
617	Only the Sasaki plan took in to consideration the fishing that takes place on the lakeshore. Many residents who lack financial means to get a boat currently use the lakeshore for fishing, and I would like to see that maintained for them to be able to enjoy the lakes.
618	Sculptures or some sort of street art. I don't remember seeing docks for motorized boats in any of the plans. It would be nice to have some so people can make a stop while on the water all day.
619	Can Olbrich tie-in to this somehow? I would paddle across the lake if it was encouraged.
620	Public rent-free kayak or canoe racks for securing paddle-craft would be a great asset similar to bike-racks seen all over the city.
621	Reusable energy and aquatic biodiversity health. Can the waterfront be turned into a learning/didactic space? Is this an opportunity to educate people about why our natural resources (Lake Monona and the life within it) is so vital to our own quality of life? Perhaps something to embrace the "way of reciprocity" from Ho-Chunk traditions?
622	A public dock for boaters to be able to access downtown. A space similar to Goodspeed Pier on Lake Monona.
623	Performance space like an outdoor open air theatre. More rotating public art. City owned public kitchen spaces for use by community groups and pop up restaurants.
624	Some more covered/sheltered space would be great. Sometimes Wisconsin weather can be brutal
625	Consideration of natural disaster? Noise and light pollution? Trash collection
626	NO
627	I think both Sasaki & James Corner addressed the lakeshore very well. Agency didn't provide a boat house on the King Street axis - I think it's an important symbolic feature.

628	Watercraft access (motorized and non motorized)
629	The lake should be clean for families to enjoy. The more biodiversity the better so that swimming and other in-water activities can be enjoyed with family.
630	N/a
631	No. I think all of the features I want are incorporated.
632	Can not think of any.
633	Some more slips for kayaks/canoes. Maybe pier for sailboats.
634	I feel it would be detrimental to Madison to create an amphitheater feeling and activities along the lakefront
635	We would like to see some sort of Marina, w/ pier access for boaters and some restaurants. Ice skating rinks. Food vendors.
636	Open water swimming areas (not just for playing in the water, but for longer distance exercise).
637	Access to the bay
638	no.
639	Bicycle paths wide enough to allow for skating as well.
640	We should include support and amenities for winter sports in our planning--skating, ice boating, ice fishing, etc.
641	Nope
642	i like the idea of adding piers to enhance the engagement with the water, especially in the summer. having more places for people to come read their book or lay out in the sun on a nice day would be good
643	lake front beach access that isn't just sand but a ramp for wheelchair use that allows you to access the beach and get to the edge of the water.
644	I wish each had a plan to cover up the parking around the Monona Terrace with green space and gardens
645	Agency all day
646	None of the three mentioned public indoor space for any redesigns. Madison has very little "third places" that can be utilized in the Winter (Ex: rec centers with meeting rooms). I would love if these parks had indoor areas that are free to use so that people would have a free place to meet and chat throughout the year, especially in winter when it's difficult to chat outside due to the weather.
647	How great would it be to have the Amtrak station drop people off at this redeveloped location?!
648	Well, the Sasaki plan has a great mix. The others not so much.
649	Make John Nolan drive a tunnel or find some way to put a dome feature over it.
650	I would like to have seen more use of engineered treefalls to serve as coarse woody habitat. They would serve to further naturalize the shoreline and provide fish-attracting structures for shore anglers.
651	Too bad the Frank Lloyd Wright boathouse isn't in any of these. I feel like it's worth fighting to incorporate into the winner, even with more cost. Especially if there's already a boathouse in the plans, as with the excellent James Corner.
652	None of them made mention of the very nearby Turville Bay Park, a rich and beautiful resource, and of the link to Wingra Creek. I know these weren't in the plan scope, but these are assets that contribute to each vision

653	even more lake edge landscaping and in-routes to the park like areas from the city. bike lockers and landing points for people to enter the park areas.
654	Are there restrooms nearby these main areas? I would also like water drinking stations near the learning / class / picnic areas placed strategically.
655	N/A
656	I would like for universal, accessible design to be prioritized in the development so that people of all physical abilities can equally enjoy this addition to the city.
657	More fishing access, great for families in the area
658	It wasn't specified in any plan that I saw but I'd like to see more rental racks for owner supplied canoes/kayaks.
659	It's not clear to me in the plans how this would be accomplished, but I would like to see some consideration for buffering the extreme noise from cars on John Nolen Dr. Maybe when JND is reconstructed, it won't be as bad, if they use asphalt instead of concrete. Maybe the city could investigate "quiet asphalt", which I think is called porous asphalt, which offers reduced road noise. I think there's a higher cost and reduced lifespan associated with that type of asphalt, but anything to reduce the extreme noise would greatly enhance the experience for people utilizing the future improvements along John Nolen Dr.
660	N/A
661	No, but it would be cool to see a dedicated path all the way to the beltway
662	Increased beach access. All-seasons activity housing.
663	More space for restaurants/cafes on the water
664	Swimming area away from boats
665	no I like the james corner plan quite a lot
666	Scuba diving entryways
667	Might be nice to have a small place to get beer and some snacks. Not a whole meal, but something light.
668	Not specifically part of this plan, but if John Nolan road space is decreasing, I'd like to see increased options for getting downtown. Driving every day to work will be tough and frustrating. If other roads become better options, I'm happy to adjust my routes.
669	The Frank Lloyd Wright-designed boathouse as an anchoring feature.
670	A commercial pier section on the Olin Park side. More emphasis on extending the south side of the design seems executable.
671	The Frank Lloyd Wright Boathouse. It was designed for this site and is still viable. For Madison to have two readily accessible Wright buildings near each other would add inestimably to Madison's character
672	n/a
673	i might lengthen Civic Pier
674	NA
675	NO
676	A very long pier extending straight out 200-300 ft from the waterfront with a large gathering area at the end would be a signature place to visit.
677	Easy access to a potential train station located around Monona Terrace.



678	"Normal" Park benches for easy view of the water and activities. Picnic tables. Easy access to Parking. Also consider covered canopy areas where people can sit or shelter from rain.
679	None comes to mind
680	na. So note that there is not a age bracket between 59 and 70, so I've chosen prefer not to answer below.
681	No
682	Snow features
683	Train station
684	The boat house, see comment 35.
685	JCFO and Sasaki did a good enough job of showing that food trucks and restaurants would be there, but I can't emphasize enough how the goal should be to have people linger there with the food, beverages, space, and restrooms they need to be comfortable. Electric bikes for rent would be good. Finally, some built-in shade for the summertime would be good, primarily around beach/dining/lounge areas for the summertime.
686	More park space North of Hamilton St between E Wilson and JND should also be created.  Space for a future light rail corridor should also be considered as connecting this area to the airport and the Alliant Energy Center and a future Milwaukee/Chicago connection would allow for the best passageway between this park space, downtown and the surrounding areas.
687	Swings!
688	No
689	Not all plans have eating/drinking incorporated. I would love to see the opportunities, particularly near an amphitheater space.
690	Large board walk
691	marina/ waterfront restaurant where a boat can be parked while eating.
692	Parking?
693	I think more emphasis on where a local farmers market could set up or other small businesses can have pop-ups whether its food stands or other retail shops.
694	No
695	In general create usable natural buffer zone transition between the lake and shore that the community can use but also to improve the natural aesthetic and quality of the lake.
696	I would like more acknowledgement and celebration of native history, possibly a native artist mural feature or sculpture garden. I would like to maintain the majority of the Lakeshore as an area that can be enjoyed as a free public use space.
697	A concessions building might be a nice feature.

698	I think the ability to have vendors (pop up or food trucks) would draw people. Spending the day here is difficult without access to snacks, food, etc. in that same vein, I'd like to see Madison utilize solar or kinetic power (possibly from the bike trail) to provide power to vendors. Make this area a way for people to feel engaged in sustainable action in the community
699	No
700	no
701	More lake access along the bike path near the the West side of Lake Monona
702	Buildings with year-round uses are important. Two or three lakefront restaurants, delis, or cafe/coffee shops; museums, gift stores, or art galleries; public bathrooms - are essential. How about boat tours of Lake Monona? The Vilas Zoo's little train ride is VERY popular every summer. It's a fun thing to do with little kids. A short boat trip around the lake would be a lot of fun, too!
703	I think it would be great if there were a facility that could accommodate boat rentals, transient docking, and dining as a destination for water recreation. This facility could also be used as an ice-boating hub in winter (conditions permitting) and if we have snow, XC ski rentals and a groomed loop on the lake would be a cool idea. This all required a facility for warming, storage, rentals, food/drink.  Outdoor art installation might be nice as well.  It's unclear to me how any of the plans will accommodate plans to link Madison to Amtrak service to MSP, MKE and Chicago. In an ideal world, the transit hub would link to buses or light rail near Monona Terrace such that a visitor could arrive by rail, stay downtown, and never need to rent a car.
704	James Corner has both clarity of vision and appropriate experience to make it happen. Not a missed beat in their plan or their reassuring delivery and approach tonight 1/26/23.
705	Fish cleaning stations at the fishing pier.
706	Boat storage, access for sailboats. ice cream shop.
707	No
708	Thinking about the depth of the water and the challenges of engaging with it along Monona Terrace for paddling, swimming.
709	would love to see a place to rent beach wheel chairs to further increase the accessibility of waterfront to all people
710	More gathering places and a reduced cost
711	more fishing areas for normal people to get to the water
712	Make sure bus stop or Uber drop off/pick up site is within reasonable distance. Make sure enough lighting so people feel safe there at night
713	No.
714	NA
715	If the goal is to attract more folks to downtown i was surprised that there wasn't much discussion on parking.
716	I can't think of anything.

717	I would like to specifically understand how the designs are addressing algae problems in the summer. I would also like to see how we can beautify the Monona Bay side of the causeway.
-----	--

Q38	Overall, how do you feel about the three plan options?
Comment #	Q38 Responses
1	I would be fine with either the James Corner and Sasaki proposals but again, I feel that the Sasaki proposal tries to do too much and would be cost-prohibitive.
2	I think all the three of them would be an incredible improvement to what we have now and I would be happy with any of those that were picked.
3	They are all excellent options. Great job Madison!
4	I think they are all solid options with Agency and Sasaki being my favorites.
5	I love Sasaki's plan and incorporation of local history and the waterfront restoration, oh and the fish hotels. I really want to see the log jam garden thing come to fruition. I love the idea of a smaller running trail and the regular bike path as well.
6	They are all very similar.
7	very excited! I think they're all wonderful and I honestly wish we could combine them all together into some kinda mega restoration renovation plan
8	I'm really grateful we are realizing how important it is to take care of our waterways.
9	All are beautiful. Any one of them a great improvement.
10	I feel that all three plan options work to address the needs of residents in neighborhoods around the waterfront and provide improved travel between areas.
11	No good.
12	Excited that there could be more activities along the lake for non-athletes.
13	Great. The area has gotten stale.
14	Beautiful options!
15	They are all excellent. Sasaki and Agency both included more cultural and Ho-Chunk aspects within their plans.
16	All of the plans are beautiful. I feel that the Sasaki and James Corner plans offer the most diverse options for the community to connect with and engage in the space.
17	I would like to keep John Nolen Drive traffic moving smoothly.
18	All are probably wasteful and overbuilt. Sasaki's is the least disruptive to the area, but it is only phase 1 for their plan, so they may have more thoughts to build more unnecessary structures into the lake.
19	They are all good choices
20	All of the proposed plans look like they were made for someplace like Disneyworld with similar manmade fake natural areas. Don't want to see fake wetlands floating on plastic pontoons along the lakeshore.
21	It's hard to pick one! They all put so much thought, care, and effort into it. I love elements of all of them. They understand the concerns of Madison citizens very well. I guess my biggest concern is continued access through these enormous projects. I don't want to lose my running paths.
22	I would like to see the plans scaled back to improve the downtown and have minimal impact on Olin Park. I've spent a lot of time at Olin Park and I don't think we need to expand it usefulness for monetary gain.

23	If any of them were implemented, I'd be pretty happy.
24	Overall I want to see this project improve multi-mode transit through the area, help to clean/restore the lake and lakeshore, and provide pleasant open space downtown. I wouldn't be unhappy with any of them, provided it can be fully implemented to vision and not end up being a half-hearted (aka half-funded) project that never really gets there.
25	I feel that the James Corner Field Operations proposal is vastly superior to the other two. It successfully integrates the site into Madison's surroundings both in character and in terms of access, by extending the axes of the street grid toward the lake. It is the perfect blend of vibrant and exciting urban space and natural environment. The Sasaki proposal is comparatively generic and does not engage as deeply with the urban context and with Madison's character. The Agency proposal has some good ideas, but is not as fully developed as the James Corner project and is ultimately less compelling.
26	Very positive. I like the overall approach by each team that I think taken into account so many factors (history, access, environmental, etc.) I wish this would not take decades to complete. Please try to find ways to get the money needed to get going on this (wealthy individuals/families, corporations, government grants/assistance, anything
27	I'm very happy with the Agency or Sasaki plans. I don't like the James Corner one.
28	WAY too many questions on this survey. I gave up after the first few.
29	I think they all are very good.
30	Great - this is so exciting and I am inspired and proud to Like in Madison and see the efforts the City is making towards a real visionary project.
31	Great!
32	Saski was by far my favorite
33	Sasaki and ALP stand out. JCFO is disconnected from Madison's priorities.
34	Super happy that this process for a redesign is happening, to take back our waterfront for everyone. We don't need to prioritize bringing in visitors or new residents, because that is already happening and will naturally happen more as the waterfront is beautified and more events are taking place here; All three design proposals are amazing and have obviously taken into account so many of the needs and desires of the site and the community. Thank you for involving the community in such a meaningful way to have input into the process.
35	Agency is definitely the best, because it makes a huge statement with an enormous green space around Monona Terrace. I like how it builds on FLW's work, I think it respects the design of Monona Terrace while updating it for our millenium. Sasaki does the 2nd best in terms of bold redesign. I feel that James Corner is the least inventive an leaves too much of the existing roadways where they are, although I do like how it blurs the lake edge, that seems like a great idea for supporting wildlife.
36	overall good
37	They all feel good for Madison
38	These are three strong options, but Sasaki truly stands out against these other designs.

39	Exciting...possible...need to build more promotion and citizen buyin.
40	Good
41	I like the first two and would be pleased to use these spaces.
42	I'm most intrigued by the Wild Lakeshore.
43	Little consideration is communicated to respect current and long-term residents and homeowners. Let's have some consideration for those of us who live close to this project! It is a huge change-and no close neighbor input is sought. This might concern things like traffic, parking, noise, re-routing traffic, congestion, etc.
44	I felt the Sasaki plan was most compelling.
45	I feel very excited and impressed with the plans.
46	Overall, I'm very excited about the Agency plan. I would be satisfied with the JCFO plan although it doesn't feel as visionary. As it stands, I would be disappointed if the Sasaki plan is chosen.
47	They are all great, but I think the Sasak one is the best overall option.
48	Overall, I feel good about the three plans, with a preference for Agency Landscape and Planning, followed by the Sasaki plan.
49	I feel that all three plans will do a lot for improving the quality of the lake as well as accessibilty while making the lakefront attractive.
50	I wish the planners would have spent a day or so over at the Memorial Union Terrance to see the abundance and range of activity there, as well as the goings on in connection with Frozen Assets. This is a serious lost opportunity.
51	We feel honored to see how our city inspired these amazing proposals. These are all thoughtful and beautiful and each have their merits.
52	Mostly good. Not nearly as stylistically iconic as I'd hope, considering the surroundings, but I think they all achieve most of the goals.
53	All three designs have good ideas
54	All of them are an improvement
55	Excited. These areas are underutilized and could be a fabulous destination for a variety of uses.
56	Can't wait for this to kick off.
57	terrific
58	I am worried I didn't see any opportunities for parking.
59	<p>Although, unlike the other presentations, it was a pitch for the job, James Corner's incredible experience and hard realism made it clear that if we want this to be realized, his team may be the best team to do it, regardless of the design.</p> <p>We should not hire based on the best design elements; rather we should hire based on who can best get the job done and assign them the key elements we want to see in the final design.</p>
60	Amazing

61	I trust the Parks Dept to choose the best features of the three plans.
62	I am mostly in favor of restoring/protecting the lake, followed by improving the pedestrian/bicycle traffic along John Nolan Drive and creating an iconic natural space at the foot of the cityscape.
63	Overall, they are all wonderful.
64	<p>The more I think about Agency's plan and especially their Monona Hill concept, the more I think their plan is a very exciting option. Theirs is the most attractive and complete plan. Rather than a hodgepodge of ideas, it feels more holistic due to Monona Hill, new downtown access to the lake from multiple streets, and the twin overlooks, mirrored by the Olin Park overlook across the lake. The team was the most friendly and responsive, and had done their homework best by far.</p> <p>Sasaki's plan is OK at first glance, but other than the Canopy Walk and Nature Center, nothing stands out that could actually be built. The hill with amenities in front of existing condos, apartments, and a soon-to-be hotel is completely impractical due to interfering with residents' peaceful enjoyment of their homes.</p> <p>JCFO should be eliminated due to their lack of homework. Their leader was demeaning and hadn't even been briefed on which lake his plan was for, or what residents of the state call themselves. Their plan has a serious error in their rendering of the area where Union Transfer and Rubin's stand. Together, that gave the impression that we weren't an important-enough client for them.</p>
65	They are all amazing and I am excited for whatever is decided.
66	As a lifelong resident of the city who has landed at the north end of the lake only to learn at the last minute I was in Monona, I am thrilled to see progress on optimizing this marvelous asset. The plans are exciting but as mentioned before, I hope there is coordination with other parts of the city and with Monona. I would love to see a discussion of traffic effects.
67	Optimistic for the future of John Nolen Drive.
68	Very excited!
69	Sasaki's proposal most thorough and reflective of the context.?
70	All three have +s and -s, try to go as natural as possible and use what's best for the lake because as a life long area resident providing years of tours to out of town guests -- family, friends and business associates, at times I'd bypass getting too close to the lake when it's unsightly and smelly. Make it a natural place to experience to walk, run, sit back, relax, enjoy, reflect and re-energize.
71	I think all three would be wonderful additions to Lake Monona. In general, we as a community need to appreciate and utilize the amazing venue more than we do now. Biking, running, fishing, and sitting are all wonderful but those activities alone won't bring us together as a community.
72	Any of these would be a huge improvement over what is there now, not just for residents but also for a boost to tourism.
73	I like all of them.
74	World class work. Phenomenal.
75	They are all amazing. Well done.

76	James Comer impressed me most.
77	All are terrific!
78	Overall I think the three plans are great. The area has needed some improvement and I think all three of these plans vastly improve the area. Sasaki is my favorite because that one seems the most dedicated to improving the environment.
79	It could be the presentation, but I really like the James Corner plan the best. I like its ability to reuse existing space and bring people to the water.
80	All three are good - Agency seems to be the best.
81	Why are there no public piers for all the boating traffic to be able to spend money at downtown businesses? Seems like a hugely missed opportunity
82	I am excited about the vision presented and only wish it could be implemented sooner.
83	I thought all of them were great and whichever one is chosen will provide Madison with a beautiful new lakeshore.
84	They all have good ideas but none has everything that is needed. The big hill plan doesn't seem as good of a use of space as the others.
85	All three options are a huge improvement over existing conditions.
86	Enthused
87	They're all good. Like the Sasaki option better
88	Would be thrilled to see any of them come to fruition.
89	OK. Better than what we have.
90	I feel like all three have answered the brief. I would love to take elements from each one for the final version.
91	Excited that Madison is taking ecological lakeshore development
92	All were admirable but Agency and James Comer were most expansively detailed.
93	I like the connections between Olin Park and the Capitol area with many wide pathways and natural settings. I like expansion of the area around the Convention Center into a large public green space.
94	Good Start
95	All three plans are very thoughtful. I believe I read that the plans are now all property of the city and that the city might incorporate aspects of different designs into the final plan. If this is the case I think this would make for a very strong final design. I think the firms have good, notable reputations so their work can be trusted.
96	I think all are a great way to enhance the use and quality of the lakes but all lack in support of the residents who use the lakes the most which is the Madison boating and fishing communities.
97	All present wonderful options but need further refinement.
98	I would prefer minimal new infrastructure, better maintenance of existing infrastructure, and logical expansion of infrastructure for existing uses.
99	They are good but need to think about the disabled members of our community more
100	Excited for change



101	Love the James Corner Field Operations design! Agency is underwhelming but very nice. Sasaki is very basic and not as well done (not even close) to JCFO design
102	None of them suck. They all would make us proud. I just wish I knew the estimated costs. It is one thing to pick the biggest and the best, but without knowing the budget and its impact on our family as taxpayers, this is really nothing but a feel good survey.
103	All are an improvement but sasaki is hands down the most diverse, functional, and beautiful.
104	Good direction, all plans seemed to understand the priorities of the city well.
105	I think that any one of them would be a tremendous upgrade to a core part of downtown
106	They all have pros and cons
107	all are wonderful ideas and city and community leaders should be commended for pursuing ideas in advance of the John Nolen rebuild so it can properly prepare for future development. All plans will be challenged by The FEMA designation that no net lake infill is allowed. I strongly support removing earth from Olin Park so that more land can stick out from area by Monona Terrace as this is too narrow. There also should be piers that extend over the water to get even more space.
108	Any one of them would be great. Much better than current.
109	Happy to see some additional work being done.
110	Final Agency appears to have designers who understand biking and pedestrian flow
111	All 3 would develop the space into a public area that encourages use.
112	I think all three plans are great and would do wonder to the area.
113	Sasaki was the most comprehensive.
114	Great
115	Very good, I think all will improve the look of Madison
116	I think they are all good plans. I see things I like in each of them.
117	I love them all. The space along the lakefront has so much potential, and I'm so excited to be here for the progress!
118	The Agency plan and the JCFO plans were very comparable in my opinion and fit the Madison vision. I visually and emotionally did not like the Sasaki plan at all!
119	JCFO has the most potential & seems to best respect current uses and users. Sasaki missed the mark, as it clearly caters much more to visitors than residents.
120	They are pretty similar. It would be nice to have the bandshell near the water and hopefully the Madison Ski Team will be included since they have been one of the main reasons this area gets attention in the summer time.
121	Very mid
122	I think they would all be good.
123	I feel that all three do a tremendous job. I love the thought and effort behind all of them. I would want to prioritize expediency on top of the other core values for this project.
124	The agency plan lacks sufficient detail.

125	Great finalists. I feel like it's down to Sasaki and JCFO.
126	Excited! Long overdue! Traveling outside of Madison you see some of the amazing things done to create a space for community, entertainment, connection, economic growth (i.e. Milwaukee's 3rd Ward). Madison is lagging behind even though we have so much to offer! We need to get out of our own way and allow for improvements.
127	All of them were nice.
128	I really like Agency Landscape + Planning's and Sasaki's designs because they prioritize the most natural ecological features.
129	great, they are excellent
130	Overall, I like all three and would be excited to see any of them enacted
131	Disappointed. I had presumed that each would contain obvious public piers not only allowing the public access to the lake, but giving its boaters access to downtown Madison, including its restaurants. This is a major "access" problem with Lake Monona.
132	All 3 plans address the community questions. All the plans could work.
133	I like Agency the best.
134	They all have good ideas and creative visions for how to improve this very neglected part of the city. I think I'd like to see Agency mostly, but I do like Sasaki's vision for the Olin park area. Rewilding much of John Nolan I think is great, but that also runs counter to improving lake access. Sasaki seems to have the better plan for rewilding, but Agency touches on it as well.
135	Very excited. I think all of the concepts have some major high points, and I'm just disappointed that we will have to wait 10-50 years to see the final product
136	I feel the James Corner Field Operations and Sasaki had the best balance between nature restoration and recreational activities. Both would draw in more residents and outside visitors while still addressing environmental concerns.
137	All three should be plans for the area in front of Monona Terrace.
138	Fair and diverse choices
139	Love the focus on environmental protection and education.
140	All of the plans would be a vast improvement over the the lakefront's current state. I believe JCFO's plan is the most well balanced and cost effective and will be the most successful at improving lakeshore access.
141	Well thought out
142	All are good.
143	Strongly feel the agency plan is not greatly enhancing the space. The sasaki has the most variety. Very very important to include the ski team, a beloved summer activity for families to watch
144	Any new plan should blend into the existing environment without too much contrast
145	They're probably all over-ambitious but will be great for the city regardless.
146	Good and exciting
147	They are great plans, but Agency Landscape & James Corner need to dream bigger.
148	I think they all did a good job coming up with creative ways to utilize the water front

149	I think each option is intentional and very well thought out. I applaud the City of Madison for working towards a sustainable, inclusive, and inviting waterfront that will truly be like no other.
150	I could and would support any of these plans. I think lakeshore usage, and improvements to areas available to south side residents is important.
151	All are great! Excited to have fresh eyes look at this important property!
152	I think they're all pretty decent and would love to see SOMETHING done.
153	Really excited!
154	I don't think you could go wrong with any of them. My concern is how will it get funded?
155	The more nature focused plans (Sasaki and Agency Landscape, in that order) are ones I like better. I would like to see the Monona waterfront focus on the nature and environment of the area and preservation of it in tandem with drawing people to be engaged with the area
156	Maximize greenspaces and biodiversity!
157	I feel that 10% realization on any of the three plans would be a huge improvement. I hope the city really steps up here and provides something of value to the *people of Madison. *Not corporations. The current redevelopment strategy of the City seems to be to sell land without any stipulations or sanctions to apartment conglomerates who don't improve sidewalk useability or bike lanes in effective ways nor do they integrate plazas, walkways, or ground floor retail in an effective way.
158	I prefer the Sasaki plan because I believe it successfully balances sustainability, multi-modal transportation, and recreation/entertainment/dining needs.
159	They all are great and I'm happy our city is taking this step
160	JCF has me disappointed and skeptical. Agency gives me some hope but Sasaki really feels the most invested in community care and long term ecosystem impact, which resonates strongly with me.
161	They're all decent, but JCFO, Sasaki do a better job of addressing the parameters—with JCFO's proposal having the most "Madison" feel of all.
162	Its all pretty loose. Lots of maybes over the next 10+ years. No mention of money.
163	All are visionary. Good finalists
164	They are all beautiful in their own way
165	Prefer Sasaki plan.
166	I think all of them would be an improvement but I prefer sasaki
167	These plans obliterate the natural habitat of the lake. People of all types have always used this space, this whole equity nonsense is just that. I have lived in this area for 60 years and until Satya became Mayor the city was thriving. It is now being "transformed" into something I do not recognize and the history of this beautiful city is being wiped out by crime and climate change hysteria. Get crime under control first because whatever you build will be ruined if you don't get that under control first. Lastly, I know from recent experience that my comments and opinions will fall on deaf ears and you will make the decision you choose by dismissing differing opinions of the citizens of the city.

168	Two of them provide little that stands out. The Sasaki plan has great potential to be a "go to" place for locals and visitors.
169	Glad it's happening.
170	They all offer something great, but I'm partial to the Sasaki
171	Looking cool and modern for the future
172	Well done.
173	All good choices overall
174	I liked them all, but I felt like Agency's was the easiest to understand.
175	Options 1 and 2 appear to make good use of intended area and reflect that it is not limited to just one or 2 seasons.
176	Overall, I do think any of the 3 would be a good addition/renovation of the shoreline.
177	All would be an improvement.
178	Regardless of which project is developed and any other details, please, please, please, prioritize pedestrian and bicycle safety over vehicle throughput. We don't need John Nolen to be a highway. Reduce lanes and make it inconvenient to drive on.
179	They're all really promising. I feel like Agency boldly takes on the centerpiece (Monona Terrace area), James Corner brings experience (NYC high line), and Sasaki's plans respect the heritage and ecosystems of the land. Wish they could all combine and work together!
180	These are exciting and beautiful proposals! I hope that the designers that are chosen are open to collaborating with community organizations (like the Mad-City ski team) that rely on Lake Monona and its waterfront and parks to continue their missions and serve the Madison community.
181	I think Agency has a great plan
182	A few are better than others but overall they were impressive
183	Excellent. they would all be great.
184	I feel like they understood the assignment.
185	I thought all of them were capable and they all had good ideas. My preference was Sasaki and I liked the way they presented their plan.
186	They all have very strong points in design. I especially like the designs that focus on the Olin park area and corridor toward Monona Terrace. There isn't enough focus on South Madison from my opinion as a resident.
187	I think the city should just do work to the area in general, if people think that they should, and not contract everything out to design agencies, even if there's public input involved with it
188	Honestly anything would be better than our current situation, so I am very much looking forward to better access to the water, places for community to gather, less stressful biking (dodging pedestrians), and an even MORE beautiful view as I drive/bike down John Nolen.
189	I feel as if Madison could do even more to protect the history and vibrancy of Lake Monona and would prefer more intensive environmental plans
190	I like some aspects of all of them, so if we could combine them into one, that would be awesome.
191	James Corner would be a massive improvement to our city.

192	I like many features of all 3; I particularly like that they take into account water quality and preservation of the lakeshore ecosystems; I like the focus on the natural environment and providing ways for the community and visitors to enjoy that. I like the opportunity to share the history/culture of the original people of the area.
193	Well thought out, bravo to all the submissions and their presentations.
194	Eh. We'll see. There's plans, and there's reality.
195	Ok
196	I like parts of all the plans. I think the overriding issue is to improve lake quality, re-wild parts of the lakeshore while having areas for public use and recreation. BUT most importantly we need a plan that can be done within the financial constraints the city has. A beautiful plan that can not be financed or ends up in litigation for years is of no use
197	I like the Sasaki design because it seems natural and makes sense with our current landscape
198	they all have the strengths and it was difficult to decide
199	These will all be great additions for the city, though JCF and Sasaki are superior
200	They are all great!
201	They all need to provide more detailed renderings. At a minimum: Olin Park, Causeway, Monona Terrace area, and Law Park.
202	I think all are strong options
203	They're all cool, the boardwalk one is a little weird tbh
204	They are all improvements over what we have now! Paving the earth and privileging cars over humans never serves a community, ultimately.
205	The firms are incredible and all the plans are very exciting and will improve Madison overall
206	Excellent
207	They are all beautiful and would add to that shoreline's aesthetic, to be sure
208	Maintenance needs should be evaluated and presented.
209	2 of them are great. The James Corner one is just some landscaping around the existing problem.
210	very excited for madisonians
211	All three would be a major improvement. Can't really good wrong
212	I like how environmentally oriented they are. I think the Agency Landscape + Planning's design sees best the importance of connecting people to the environment as naturally as possible so they can find the benefits and relief and care and involvement towards nature.
213	Very excited!!
214	They all have great concepts, but felt like all were consultant that really had no connection to Madison. Some used examples that would never be built here, too expensive. But, a master plan can help spur the development we want and provide a vision for the city to work towards.
215	I think any of them would vastly improve the shoreline no matter what. This area still looks like it's living in the 60s/70s and really has a lot of potential to be a destination not just for people living in downtown Madison.

216	They are all exceptional, and unique. There are design elements that could be incorporated from all the plans.
217	Excited
218	I love the first two
219	I like the Agency plan, and the Sasaki plan the most. Specifically because they bring in a community aspect but also include heritage and a want to keep the lake amazing
220	I think they are very strong design ideas.
221	They are all really good
222	overdue to improve our lakes! especially Lake Monona
223	They are all well thought out and seem viable. Love that we incorporate winter sports as well.
224	Very hard to choose between Sasaki and James Corner but I feel they have more emphasis on the natural landscape and integrate their design with it better than Agency does.
225	Excited for any of them! I love madison parks and I can't wait to explore an improved area!
226	All three are improvement and impressive. I would pick the one that is most likely to attract visitors while maintaining community access. Two of the designs would make me want to bring my family downtown more.
227	All beautiful but to me Sasaki was stand out
228	Not blown away
229	I like Agency the best for all of the environmental and cultural considerations
230	Very excited to see John Nolen drive become more of a people-centric space.
231	Sasaki is the best
232	Good! I'm excited to see these changes and would love more opportunities to spend time on the lakefront. With increased water health the lakes will be more enjoyable for wildlife and humans.
233	Excited to see the care and creativity.
234	I think you should take the best features of each one and combine into an ideal project.
235	Hands down, James Corner really resonated with me. It made me proud to live in Madison and would offer more access to recreational activities while focusing on the natural environment.
236	Agency had the most cohesive vision, then JCFO, then Sasaki. Sasaki and JCFO included a lot of cool ideas though.
237	All three plans are excellent overall.
238	The main focus should be maintaining the cyclist/runner commuter path along the lakeshore (wide, blacktop, pretty straight, few intersections). The additional pedestrian curvy paths are searching for meaning but lack practicality in day to day reality.
239	Amazing

240	I feel strongly that the option presented by Agency took the design challenge very seriously and it shows in the quality of their project and their presentation. The other two fall behind and lack the zest and energy that is embodied within the graphics of Agency's plans and perspectives. That cross section of the Monona terrace and how the hill interacts with it is phenomenal. The powerful result that whole plan could have with Madison's global image is immense. Something that Madisonians can enjoy and be proud of as a whole.
241	Grateful that Madison is finally taking action to make the most of the lakeshore asset. When I look at the images it makes me realize how much we have been missing out on for so many years.
242	I am overwhelmed by the thoughtfulness and complexity of all three plans. Perhaps this is to be expected from a lay-audience member. I think any of them would improve upon the John Nolen Waterfront's existing state, but if I had to choose between one plan, I would go with Sasaki's plan and incorporate elements into it from James Corner's and Agency's plans as well.
243	I was impressed by all three.
244	Excited to see improvements made to this waterfront!
245	I feel underwhelmed by each one. Except the Sasaki plan is above and beyond more excited and has the most potential. If this is a once in a lifetime opportunity, let's make it something people will want to go back to time and time again by making sure we have areas that are big, connected, and entertaining.
246	My favorite of the three plans are Sasaki and Agency. JCFO's plan didn't feel terribly inspired.
247	Overall, I am very excited to see what can be created with this land. My family has lived in Madison my whole life (25 years) and we have loved the lakes so much. They are really our second home and I cannot wait to see how much more amazing they can become. I am a bit nervous that with all of the amazing new additions, the Mad-City Water Ski will be pushed to the side and forgotten. We are an amazing addition to downtown Madison and Lake Monona. I hope that there can still be a place where we can use and celebrate the lakes. We are very excited to continue to work with the plan that is chosen and help make this space the best it can be!
248	They all have a great vision for what the city could be and I hope we can capitalize on some of it in the future. All 3 make me happy to live in the city of Madison.
249	I think they are all fantastic. Will be hard to pick between better, best, and best-est!
250	Absolutely love them! Super exciting for Madison!
251	All plans are quite good but I think the Sasuki plan most seriously considers the health of our lakes
252	Pretty good, agency is my favorite
253	I like that the Sasaki plan starts with equity and culture at the forefront but there seem to be less concrete plans at this point and I think that we need to keep the driving access. All plans look beautiful and I would be happy with them though!!
254	They are a good start, but they all need work to better define the extent of their improvements. They could be combined to potentially get the best parts of each.

255	I feel very excited!!
256	Great options
257	Excited!
258	I grew up smack dab in the middle of this plan (lakeshore court) anything aside from the Sasaki plan would be an atrocity to what that neighborhood, history and natural space represent.
259	I spend at least 3 nights a week paddleboarding on Monona and have for the past decade. My living room faces the lake. I am thrilled for all the innovation, time and effort put into these renovations.
260	They all are great options for our community
261	Similar intentions and all would be a significant step in the right direction
262	All have positive elements and show creativity and improvements. I prefer prioritizing nature and green elements as well as minimizing traffic / negative impact and presence of vehicles.
263	I think all three are a great improvement to what now is simply an approach to downtown with a pretty cityscape.
264	I love them!
265	I think they are all quite similar overall, which makes ranking them hard. I hope we choose whoever will work with the city and residents in an authentic and open way to achieve our goals not their own.
266	Good. I want Madison to think BIG. Go BIG!
267	good ideas in each of them
268	They all look great
269	love all three
270	I think the three plans provide a good range of options for approaching the revitalization of Law Park, the Lake Monona shore, and the recently added portion of Olin Park.
271	Well done.
272	Prefer the features be oriented towards residents, not tourists.
273	All good options.
274	I think they all are beautiful and would enhance an already beautiful entrance to the city
275	I'm excited to see the plans in action, both immediately and in the long term future. I love that the designers are prioritizing safety and access in the early phases.
276	I generally like all three but am the most drawn to Agency Landscape + Planning and their sort of board walk design and theme. I think it looks the best and comes with many benefits for the community.
277	good
278	They all have plusses and minuses, but with careful consideration of the options to pull the best features from each the final design could be truly world-class.
279	They seem fine in theory - anything to reduce concrete and increase clean water is a win in my book. Sustainability of lakes and water health should be priority #1.



280	If we could actually do any of them it would be amazing. While I think the additional park space and connections from downtown to the lakeshore, along with the boardwalks, of the Agency plan are spectacular, I assume that much of that would be prohibitively expensive. If we could just get natural edges to the lake, a winding walking path and an improved John Nolen with sound/visual separation from bikes and walkers, and a vibrant natural park at Olin that is well connected to the neighborhoods across John Nolen, that would be enough.
281	I love all of them. Truly. Any of them would be a great asset to a beautiful part of our city.
282	Very innovative. I liked the first one the best of all three, then the second. Let's bring more nature into the plan without creating more structures just for the sake of it.
283	Any of these plans will greatly improve the Monona lakefront.
284	Nice improvement for Madison
285	Great. I think they all bring something different to the table.
286	Excited about development of the space used by so many.
287	I think all three were very interesting and I would be proud of my city with any of these designs. The one by Frank Corner Field Operations appealed to me the most aesthetically. I think the way they designed the boulevard on the causeway is beautiful and would be such a pleasant way to enter Madison. I liked how Monona Terrace was transformed to be so green - truly a central park and extension of Law Park.
288	All three would be a big improvement. Overall, I like the Agency Landscape + Planning design best.
289	They are ok.
290	I think they are generally well thought out. I think Sasaki is too over the top to be realistic.
291	They're great
292	I like them, I do think they are needed. I would say to continue to try to improve the water quality and make sure to cater to the runners and cyclists who use that space every single day.
293	This will be so wonderful for Madison
294	MUCH prefer James Corner and then Agency Landscape over Sasaki
295	Any of these would be improvements, but the strongest improvements are the Agency Landscape + Planning and James Corner Field Operations proposals.
296	Good
297	I really liked both Agency Landscape and Sasaki's plans. I was torn but for me the nature center is something I really value as an educator
298	Agency seems to capture the feel of lake Monona.
299	Great; they all seem good.
300	Felt they were all pretty good. However, Agency Landscape appeared the best to me

301	I think any one of them would be wonderful for Madison. There are always people on the bike trail, but I hardly ever see anyone in Law Park or other parts of the lakeshore, probably because John Nolan is wide and terrifying and everyone speeds (including me, I am guilty). It would be especially nice to have more green space on the isthmus.
302	All three design options are amazing and will show a push towards a better and more connected future in Madison. Personally, I'm very excited for a continued growth of the water ski team, and a future where they are included in the plans. I'm thrilled to be included in this design process and hope that the firms will continue to meet with us to understand what we do on the water, and how we can operate most efficiently. These renovations will accommodate all users, and make me excited for a place where the ski team can be included
303	each team brought good ideas and common themes. my challenge is that i liked different firms for each segment. i preferred JCFO for law park by far. Sasaki treatment the best for Olin park with more activities. i like Agencies treatment of the causeway. each segment has different objectives and i think those plans addressed each segment best.
304	If the JCFO design was chosen I would be very happy. It seems like a happy medium between the other two. It incorporates ecological factors, adds a visual beauty to the shoreline, improves access to the lakefront, and provides things for people to do.  I'd be happy with the Sasaki plan because it puts a lot of thought into improving water quality.  I'd be disappointed if the ALP design was chosen because it focused too much on visual elements and not enough on usability or ecological improvement.
305	They are all winners! With marsh improvements, the water will be more suited for swimming. But the Agency one fits our city best.
306	I would be delighted about any of these in the end — we NEED a better lakefront and it will be worth the investment now!
307	I like Susaki
308	They are all truly great, I'm looking forward to see how lake Monona will evolve.
309	They are all great plans. As noted, connectivity is my biggest concern as that will determine the project's success. How to execute year round programming will also be interesting.
310	I think they would all be an amazing improvement to what we have. There's a lot of potential there.
311	All very exciting!!
312	love them
313	As I mentioned, the price tag seems really high given all the other needs in the City. And, I have concerns that it will mostly appeal to wealthy white people. All of Agency's people in their video were white - that seems completely tone deaf. I appreciated Sasaki's incorporation of indigenous cultures.

314	I think we have three really, really solid options. I worry that Madison will wring its hands too long and nothing will get done, or only parts of the plans will be implemented before they're abandoned. I lived for 15 years on Lakeshore Court, just a couple of houses away from the Olin Park boat launch, and I yearned for plans like this to help us feel more connected to ... everything!!!
315	Agency is by far the most comprehensive and will serve Madison longer.
316	One is my favorite, and i would love too see a couple ideas from two (playground and trees along john nolen) and three (water filtering technologies) added to that plan
317	I thought they were all very good on the waterfront and I think the more bold plans for connecting the capital building area with the waterfront are better.
318	Common themes of multiple and expanded uses, residing shorelines, and linkages to downtown/ neighborhoods would be all positive improvements to the existing area.
319	Two are pretty good. Agency Landscape sucks.
320	Excellent
321	They all did very well. I do feel like all highlight the shore, Sasaki just does the best job incorporating so many different attractions into one. My main concern with all 3 is making sure the lakes also get cleaned up in this process. Looking out at blue green algae isn't exactly ideal.
322	I think they are all really so creative and interesting to look at. Being included in the process moving forward is something we are really looking forward to.
323	Any of them work but please keep Mad-City ski team being able to use this area!
324	All would be a positive improvement.
325	I feel they are a little unrealistic
326	They all improve the connection of Madison to Lake Monona.
327	They each offer beautiful and functional designs
328	Two out of the three seem great (James Corner Field Operations #1 and Agency Landscaping + Planning #2).
329	good! I worry that some ideas are too expansive or disturb the "small city between the lakes" community vibe, so appreciate the ones that tone it down a bit. do..variety but not overkill, please!
330	Very lucky to choose among three tremendously strong candidates.
331	I think this is a good starting point to go in a specific direction, Moore options would be too much.
332	They seem like great options and I am excited to see that area of Madison (which I spend a large amount of my time) be revitalized into a green community space.
333	Love the James Corner plan for the inviting feel - all of the elements seem to draw you to the water. The James Corner plan makes you feel as if you are a part of the lake. The Sasaki plan also has some of those elements but is not quite as inviting. However, the Sasaki plan is on point for the brief, incorporates Ho-Chunk voices most clearly, and up-front prioritizes the health of the eco-systems.
334	fantastic-- they are each impressive

335	Huge fan, love the ideas. I wish it could be done tomorrow!
336	Overly programmed, not cost conscious and extremely high maintenance.
337	They are all great.
338	All three plans would be an improvement, but i feel that Agency and Sasaki are the two standouts.
339	Very exciting. I definitely have a favorite option but, all would be an exciting direction for the city.
340	Great potential
341	They are all a step in the right direction, tweaks can be made to improve them all still and trying to find features that include everyone who uses the park are still absent from some designs or locations.
342	They were good over all.
343	Great job and taking the public's opinion into consideration. I'm excited to seeing the final iterations!
344	Overall positive
345	Fine
346	Really? You expect people to make good decisions based on this?
347	I'm glad that some effort is being considered to improve public access TO THE WATER, which Monona Terrace has utterly failed to do. People need to be able to have an opportunity to TOUCH THE WATER, which users of Monona Terrace cannot now do.
348	Any of the three plans would be a vast improvement. I worry about maintenance costs and vandalism and nighttime safety. All city public spaces experience vandalism and unlawful, drunken behavior after bar time (2 am).
349	strong options
350	All are unique and would greatly improve the area.
351	I like them all. Agency seems expensive to build and upkeep.
352	I am excited that these people put so much time and effort into this. The plans are all good, but James Corner Field really resonates with me!
353	long overdue
354	Sasaki would likely attract more people to the area; Land group the least
355	They're good options!
356	I'm so hopeful this will happen. It's one of the main things missing in Madison.
357	like parts of each wisconsin lakes are a treasure they should be accessible while maintaining ecology human interference but not too much
358	I think they are all good options that focused on different areas.
359	Very excited. They have a lot of similarities that I like. I want to see more art.
360	All 3 are excellent.
361	I'm very excited for the Agency and James plans. I would be outraged if the sasaki plan was chosen.
362	Agency and Corner are very exciting. Sasaki seems unimaginative.
363	I feel like you guys have a tough decision ahead. I hope this helped!

364	Very excited about Agency's plan provided it is executable. Corner's vision for greenification and nature is promising, but I have concerns about traffic and splitting the greater Madison area further in two. I find less to be excited about in Sasaki.
365	The James Corner Plan is very creative, achieves all objectives and is a very beautiful design. The Agency Plan shows some creativity, and meets objectives but lacks the overall cohesiveness of the James Corner Plan. The Sasaki Plan is underwhelming.
366	Concerned about parking for people to access the area.
367	Great! These are three solid plans for this project.
368	As is each plan would be a boon to the city and the city would be completely foolish not to implement any of them. Even the worse plan of the 3 should be implemented. None should be watered down.
369	I would be happy with either the Agency Landscape or James Corner design, though I preferred the Agency Landscape design, especially if the green boulevard for John Nolan was incorporated from the James Corner design. I am not excited with the Sasaki design because it seemed overdeveloped with too many structures.
370	I'm concerned about the costs, especially of some of the features that seem like they would add to annual city maintenance or would be expensive to repair as they age.
371	I think each of them has good things going on and I'm happy we're thinking like this as a city.
372	I believe the JCFO and Agency are head and shoulders above the Sasaki design
373	It is very difficult to choose - each one has elements I really liked. Each offered a striking approach to improving the Lake Monona shoreline and creating a park for everyone.
374	Good
375	Great vision for city
376	Good. But Sasaki is too busy and schizophrenic with competing ideas and would be hard to implement and maintain.
377	I'm inspired! I think it will be really important to hire a team that has Madison residents at the forefront of their design ideas, and balances environment, social, and economic sustainability. To me, Sasaki is hitting the sweet spot with their design.
378	I feel like they are appropriately ambitious, and I look forward to seeing their best ideas implemented!
379	Love the ideas of creating green spaces, supporting the lake health, ease of access for all, yet concerned with the cost to create this awesome destination beacon.
380	I think all three plans did a great job of aligning to the mission and vision of the project.

381	The Sasaki plan really felt like it was going to create a destination. I loved everything about it.
382	All three are a huge improvement but are missing key features mentioned above.
383	I'm very excited to see these plans in detail! They will make downtown a regional destination in a way that will only enhance the experience of living here.
384	All solid plans. Sasaki most suitable for usage/aesthetics.
385	Love them all
386	I really like the Agency and James Corner plans. The Sasaki plan is fine, but I wish there was more greenery.
387	Incredible and exciting! I live near campus and utilize the Mendota Terrace often - but would love an excuse to be at Monona more often!
388	I do not like suzuki
389	The Sasaki plan looks to be the most complete.
390	Great! I love the clean water initiative and softening of the shoreline. Connecting us to the lake is much more inviting than the current layout.
391	Overall, I think they all did a great job taking into consideration the different needs across the community and incorporating nature both for our sake as well as the environment's sake. Regardless of what plan is chosen, I'm excited for the potential possibilities!
392	<p>In general, I'm excited that much seems to focus on making John Nolen quieter and lower speed with traffic solutions that are likely to work without strict enforcement. Speed limit signs have done very little to keep it quiet.</p> <p>If I had to give a priority order to which things I'd like to see implemented:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Tree-lined causeway with more space given to non-car users</li> <li>2. Anchoring tenant at Olin Park like the nature center / kayak / marsh walk / overlook concepts</li> <li>3. Last / lowest priority for me is to improve the Law Park / downtown part of the waterfront. It feels to me like it's the one most out of the city's direct control and all the plans have the most costly elements happening on the isthmus side of the project.</li> </ol>
393	I think all are improvements to the current design. Agency Landscape + Planning and Sasaki seem slightly more appealing to me.
394	Fully support this project moving forward. Something needs to be done. As a downtown resident, I rarely find myself along lake monona because John Nolen Drive is not enjoyable to walk along.
395	Very excited. That part of lake Monona is focused on commuters rather than community and valuable/enjoyable land. Lake mendota has the union terrace, and that area of the lake has almost nothing for residents or visitors to enjoy or be proud of.
396	I'd rather have a blend of the ideas. I like parts of each.
397	They are all good, I think they will all come down to funding to make them happen.
398	Very exciting vision

399	Honestly they all seem like really good improvements to how the space is now. I'd be happy to see any one of them become reality, as long as the emphasis remains on inclusivity and protection and restoration of the shoreline habitat.
400	There are features I like and dislike in all three plan options.
401	I like elements of all of them. At this point, non are realistic. The focus should be on selecting a design partner who can pull ideas into focus, work with the community, work with adjacent property owners, and come up with a visionary yet realistic plan that can be implemented.
402	AMAZING!
403	Agency is by far the best and most informed plan.
404	Very good- you all will have a hard time choosing!
405	Good!
406	They seem vastly different in cost, and I like the Agency one for creating better walking/biking along that area, and that it probably wouldn't cost as much as others. If we were to receive money in amounts where it wouldn't cost taxpayers a lot, then going with a bigger one like Sasaki could make sense.
407	I think any would be an improvement but the conservation elements and the immersive elements of agency landscaping make that plan my favorite by far
408	They're all good, actually, combinging the better features would be better.
409	All are nicer than what we have now, but as with all visions, they are never completely right as they become realized. Do not foreclose other options for future change and development with a poorly conceived choice now. John Nolen will continue to be a necessary transport channel into the downtown far into the future because of basic geometry of the lakes.
410	Love them all. Will provide a great outing any time of the year.
411	Sasaki is the clear winner. They made a social space combined with maxing the water features. This would be huge for Madison.
412	Very excited!
413	They all have aspects to recommend them. The Agency plan just feels more comprehensive and visionary.
414	I think any of them would be great opportunities and I was excited looking at all the wonderful ideas.
415	Great starts by all teams.
416	Good renovations to incorporate public spaces and nature in the city limits
417	They are all good. Seems the last one might be the most inexpensive to implement
418	I love the Sasaki
419	Sasaki's incredible!
420	All three are strong plans and provide a lot of excitement about access and uses.
421	Expanding access to pedestrians is always better but providing something to do, building an experience is best. I feel that all 3 plans are attempting to provide safe green access while providing unique opportunities.
422	I don't think any one would be bad, but Agency loses a lot of points for their stupid video presentation that was distracting from the graphics and vision I wanted to see.

423	They are all SO GOOD!
424	Good
425	I'm happy to see that safety is the top concern, because my neighbors have watched bikers get hit on John Nolen Dr. and held their dying body waiting for paramedics to show up. I don't want anyone else to have to go through that. I'd personally like more detail on the safety aspect of all 3 plans, especially at intersections.
426	all are really exciting!
427	HUGE fan of the Agency plan. It feels like a unique and beautiful design I haven't seen before. I love the way it weaves so naturally in and out and over the lakeshore.  The James Corner plan looks too similar to the Chicago lakefront.  The Sasaki plan seems overly focused on equity rather than user experience.
428	Leave the area alone. Nothing needs to be done.
429	Any of them would be a vast improvement. I love the idea of beautifying the Monona terrace and making the Lakeshore more accessible.
430	I am excited about all of them, I think they all would transform the area!
431	I like all three
432	Some very nice options!
433	They are all good options - I'm looking forward to restored wetlands and improved paths around the lakeshore. I like James Corner's proposal the best.
434	I like the James Corner and the Sasaki quite a bit. Agency doesn't offer much.
435	All of them would be an improvement from today. Use my tax money on this plz.
436	They all have some pros to them. Sasaki is clearly the best and most forward thinking while still paying respect to the cultural roots.
437	Viewing the plans makes me excited about possibilities. I hope we dream big—simply tinkering with the shoreline will not have the impact that's possible via these plans.
438	There all have massive potential. This will be a signature event for the town
439	I'm excited
440	They're all great but preferred to Sasaki and James Corner.
441	Good
442	I feel very good about these plans and am excited to see what progresses from them
443	Pretty good - big improvements
444	Not very happy with any, don't feel things need to be changed.
445	They're interesting and most incorporate similar ideas, but I believe Saski (?) developed the elements I value further than others and they call out opportunities to make the park a more integral part of the community (nature centers, feature walks)
446	I liked all three



447	It is exciting to see so many cool design ideas. It is an honor to have the Mad-City ski team included in the designs. Our family has been watching shows for generations and I've been lucky to be a part of the team for 33 years. It's a National Championship team that draws people to the area and can be a true asset to the design and future use of the park. We'd love to be involved in the proper design of the space.
448	Climate change adaptation is my top priority.
449	All are an improvement from today. But Sasaki is a clear winner
450	Ehhh, they are ok.
451	All are vast improvements. All have good ideas. Start with the James Corner Field Operations plan for John Nolan and other major elements. Then use the Agency plan for the big picture plan of Monona Hill. And use Sasaki to design the amenities.
452	I believe all plans are going to be a great addition to the city of Madison.
453	I think they are all nice options that would bring many benefits to the residents of the greater Madison area.
454	I think all three options provide greater recreation and aesthetics for the city. I do place a lot of importance on options for dining and drinking as that often increases the amount of time to spend in an area and reduces the need to carry in everything on your own. The Agency option is beautiful but leans a little more toward making the lakeshore an art feature, whereas the other two plans have more diversity in their use of space.
455	One vote for Monona Hill!
456	All three are good plans. I think James Corner and Sasaki are the most ambitious and I'd be worried that there wouldn't be enough money to actually complete them. I'd rather see Agency's plan get fully implemented, rather than see one of the other two plans get only partly implemented.
457	Hopeful that one will be implemented in full! All are great; Sasaki is excellent.
458	Sasaki and Field prioritize the ecological and cultural factors. Agency leans more toward public use.
459	I like all three of them a lot! I think they all do a great job of creating a more environmentally friendly lakeshore, while increasing the variety and number of activities that people can do around the lake. I am very excited about all of them and look forward to the day when one of these plans is completed!
460	They all look to be an improvement of the current area
461	They are all amazing! If anyone of them get completed it would be a huge benefit to the community and greatly enhance the quality of life for all.
462	Fantastic
463	I think they are nice on paper, but I think the city will have a difficult time raising funding for them. Especially if the goal is mainly increase access for the adjacent neighborhoods and visitors. As someone who doesn't live in one of those neighborhoods I would have a hard time paying any increased taxes to build or maintain this project.
464	I feel really good about Sasaki or James Corner Field Operations, the only one that I don't like so much is the other one since it did not focus on environmental impact and does not reflect the value of the Madison community.

465	Good
466	Any of these plans seem to offer an expansion of recreational real estate along Lake Monona. More recreational areas with green space will only serve to beautify Madison and provide much needed breathing space.
467	great sounds like it will be a great renovations
468	None of them go far enough to solve the problems we have with water quality, climate change and the extinction crisis.
469	I like all three of them.
470	all are good
471	They are all excellent. I would love to incorporate features of all three plans.
472	All were fairly good
473	All heading in the right direction
474	they are similar so any
475	Not sure
476	All account for lake/shoreline ecology
477	They all would be vast improvements. I'm glad they all focus on adding more usability and sustainability.
478	all good quality with likeable features in all of them
479	My first choice is clear
480	They are all good and you can't go wrong. I have spent about 2.5 hours total reviewing these boards and there is so much information in these plans, a lot more time and additional review of materials would be required for me to really pick an option
481	I don't feel like the James Corner plan provides accessibility for continuation of our current use of the Law Park area. Agency provides a good viewing area but with no access to piers or a landing area. Sasaki seems the most flexible for still being able to provide ski shows to the Madison area.
482	Good options
483	Didn't like Agency, however would be satisfied with the other two. However as a community member I would prefer Sasaki due to its lake front incorporation, overall design and sustainability aspect.
484	They are all a VAST improvement over what we get now.
485	Agency wins hands down marrying an urban landscape into natural ecologically sound shoreline that provides an oasis to the city hard surfaces. I'm a walker and biker and would very much love to see Agency's proposal adopted! We need to save our most precious assets, our lakes! My profession for 37 was in interior architectural design so I am very familiar with joining nature and hardscapes together and our sensitivity as humans to amicably join hard urban landscapes to our natural landscapes because in cities they are inseparable.
486	These plans are great and Madison would be lucky to have any of the options, but I have a strong preference for the JFCO design! That design is an absolute stunner, and I can't wait to see it come to life!
487	I like Field Operations because it is most important to community, less fancy which is not necessarily better in the long run.
488	I love the top 2 plans!

489	They are great, but this survey is too redundant for folks to finish. Make it so much shorter.
490	I'm not sure there's enough money available for this without significant community fund raising. With the economy in it's downturn, this may be a dream that gets shelved for a while
491	Agency is my #1 and the other two are tied
492	Sasaki 9/10, amazing. Agency 6.5/10, nice, and acceptable. Corner 3/10, uninspired and unoriginal.
493	all would be improvements, but agency and sasaki would be much more exciting plans than the last one
494	I am excited about the possibilities of our lakefront and how much better it can be! Excited to see what actually happens and how the area changes over the years.
495	JCFO seems like the most realistic and with the highest returns to our community in the earlier design phases (I'm assuming we may see some of the earlier design phases complete but it's unlikely we'll get through them all).
496	I think any of them would be a significant improvement.
497	They all seem fairly similar in features, and any of them would make great additions to Madison, but the JCFO design goes above and beyond is staying and connectivity to the rest of downtown.
498	I feel like this is a good step forward in creating this side of Madison more inhabitable for people.
499	Sasaki cost too much to build that land bridge. That's total not realistic.
500	I appreciate the hard work and expert knowledge that has gone into this project. Sincerely.
501	all have very attractive aspects.
502	Sasaki seems to be the most real and plausible to be implemented sooner than the other suggestions in the Agency and James Corner Plans
503	good.
504	See above
505	All are quite creative.
506	Any of them would be better than what we've got now but my preference is the Agency plan.
507	Medium at best. The biggest issue the lake has is water quality. A lot of the summer it is so weed choked swimming at beaches is nasty and many other times blue green algae levels are so high, safety of people and pets in the water is a concern. THEN THERE ARE THE PCA's which is an absolute shame. How nobody is addressing these issues before aesthetics is equally shameful.
508	Boring

	They're beautiful and I can't wait :)
509	Overall I think they could use less program and more focus on ecology. Sasaki's, for example, showcases marsh in some area but it does not continue thought the waterfront. A pocket of marsh is not as effective without connectivity. With so much water front and connections to other parks and lakes, this would be a great starting point for laying the ground work for major connectivity.
510	Good options
511	dasani is a horrible idea
512	I want to like Sasaki, but the traffic control is concerning. I felt this was the most commercial looking and I didn't care for that until I realize this could bring in tourism. I think the JCFO was the least inspiring but likely the best fit for current Madison.
513	I very much like the Sasaki plan, the Agency plan seems okay, and the other plan didn't seem to have as clear a vision
514	They're inspiring.
515	Grate in some projects!
516	I Feel like have a great group, but Sasaki stands out by far
517	I think all 3 of them are pretty fantastic, honestly. I definitely like Agency the best but I would be happy to see any of these implemented.
518	Good plans
519	I think Sasaki plan is most thoughtful. I do wish they had added the overwater walkways that the other plan had. And I wish they had added the kayak course.
520	Only sasaki is viable
521	All plans seem adequate for the need to revitalize the area
522	I have family who live in Madison and the Sasaki plan seems the best fit for that area and I would want to spend time there along the lakeshore when we visit!
523	I think any would be great
524	I like the third spaces--free places to gather without having to spend money--and the green spaces. Those to me are the priorities. I also recognize the importance of John Nolen Drive as an artery into the city, and that can't be overlooked.
525	They were all exciting
526	Excellent. Each one different and each team thoughtful and engaging.
527	I think expanding a bit of our infrastructure into the lakes would give people a closer connection to the lake
528	All three designs have given great thought to the RFP guidelines and would significantly enhance out downtown, lakefront and provide amazing community amenities
529	Overall, I think all three plans are very good, and would be a massive improvement. I the JCFO is the most pragmatic, and does the best job of integrating the waterfront into the city.
530	Great! Super excited to see them
531	All three plans have great features. I believe Sasaki's plan provides the best community space and provided a provided a greater range of uses of the area than the other two options.

532	They are all horrible. Take the money and invest in cleaning up the Waterways and Beach's in Madison.
533	I would love to see the Sasaki design developed. We go to Madison frequently and it would be so nice to be able to hang out on the water's edge! I went to UW for undergrad, as did a lot of my friends.
534	I feel like any renovation to the Monona lakeshore is an inappropriate use of public funds at this time.
535	Excited. Could be a vital part of the city!
536	They are all strong, but I feel like the Sasaki team has the required approach and energy to move this forward in an equitable and empathetic way.
537	I think Sasaki is the most ambitious and appealing. Agency also looks interesting but it appeals to me less. James Corner just looks basic and incorporates some bad ideas.
538	very excited
539	Any would be a great improvement! Everyone has their opinions on what is best, but lets not let perfect be the enemy of good.
540	mostly good, practicality is very important, there should be significant progress within the next few years and should not take longer than a decade
541	I'm so excited about the re-design that I like all of the plans!
542	all three are such strong visions!
543	I like that the city is investing so heavily into that area considering its parking, accessibility, and proximity to downtown. I agree that it's such a smart move!
544	one is definitely more outstanding. the design of the environments are more exciting providing experiences of all kinds. chill and active.
545	They all seem wildly optimistic and expensive
546	All of them are beautiful
547	I think Sasaki's plan has the best understanding of Madison's history and community needs
548	I liked parts of them all. The extra docks out to the lake were fabulous and the gardens made the space much more beautiful.
549	I really like the Sasaki plan- I feel they were the most community oriented and really considered how spaces could and would be used year round in a way to draw people out to experience the lakeshore.
550	mostly good
551	Love Sasaki, it feels like Madison
552	Sasaki is by far the best to me. Their video and connection to the historical place was exactly what was needed. James corners pavillion does not seem like something Madison needs. And agency's circular deck seems dangerous and unclear for the reason for it.
553	All would be a vast improvement
554	I like them all a lot and am very excited to see them developed
555	Overall they are all really good! Again, I like the feasibility as well as the ambiguous vision of Sasaki's design. For example, the beach near the south part of the law park in JCFO's design is nice, but I don't think people can swim over there due to the pipes etc.

556	Wonderful to see this move forward. Lake Monona deserves these improvements and we must improve the quality of our lake water.
557	the second two are super cool
558	Field Operation has the strongest proposal from a design standpoint and feasibility/construction cost standpoint but less connected to cultural or local specificity. AGENCY's proposal is the strongest in terms of planning and holistic perspective but the design might need to consider cost and feasibility from a technical standpoint. Sasaki's proposal has interesting approach towards outreach but the design is quite inward thinking and not taking the urban context into consideration, therefore lacks holistic perspective.
559	I like only the one I endorsed
560	They are all great. If interested to contact the best method would be @ridgway2@wisc.edu
561	Seems way overdone and not realistic with a budget in mind
562	Well, they all give us many summer pics when we have 6 months of less green. We need to remember that and have winter plantings
563	Pretty good
564	All three seem viable but there are clear favorites in my mind
565	Overall, each is promising and would be a huge improvement.
566	I cannot envision how the cost would be covered. These are Chicago-scale visions. Unless an extremely wealthy donor steps forward, I can't see any of these plans being fully implemented.
567	I like them, but Sasaki is my favorite as it is the most thorough and detailed.
568	I feel great but think you should leave Olin alone or just do minimal things to it. It's our best hike in the city and if it has too much man made stuff it doesn't feel like you've left the city.
569	Excited!
570	They're nice. I'm not 100% sure any of them are truly necessary, but they do have some nice features.
571	Bad. Not sure why the City would take on a huge project like this when so many of the current City parks are in need of upgrades.
572	I wish cost estimates had been provided. Without that, the winner is likely the most expensive, and most difficult to attain. That is a fundamental law of this survey.
573	good; this would help our city
574	Negative
575	Overall, I believe all three plans are great, but Sasaki will have the greatest potential to transform this space
576	great process
577	Love a green space, love equity and inclusion, love recognizing Ho chunk land, which all plans at least mention. I just think sasaki's is more comprehensive and detailed and compelling than the others.
578	Beach > greenary > amphitheater > additional walkways
579	They are all strong but Sasakis plan soars above the rest to me

580	I like the idea of taking the best ideas from each one, and working from there. These are some really great things going on in each of the plans, and I am excited that the city has taken this step to REALLY THINK BIG and invest in this process. I hope that this kind of public-private partnership can continue, and that the City will work to adopt this kind of strategy in all of its planning for the future. It feels way more engaging and exciting to have more community input and involvement up front, and to have had the opportunity to have 3 different stellar firms work out some of these concepts for us to review. Please, please, do this process for other projects in the works, specifically Elver Park and Vilas Park, both which have lots of different users and needs. Both deserve to have a broader process to get the absolute BEST ideas.
581	All three present significant improvements and opportunities.
582	I'm very attracted to the visual appeal. Put in more vistas and art@
583	Sasaki's is great.
584	I think any one of them would be great, but I liked Agency the best. Then James Corner as the second. Sasaki was fine, but I liked how focused they were on mitigating environmental impacts. I think parts of that should be used on any plan.
585	All of them are amazing. I just don't like the Sasaki as much. Close call between the JCFO and the Agency, but I love the Monona Hill concept.
586	They are all excellent & I would be happy to see any of them come to life!
587	I think they are all great. A win for Madison no matter what.
588	All three will improve the waterfront
589	Pretty good
590	All are great and bring a lot of natural flexibility. Would love a combo of all three (mixture of walking paths but with many different activities)
591	All 3 have appealing elements. I liked the presentation style of my first choice. It would help to focus on one of the 3 main areas at a time and highlight design differences for each area.
592	Love James corner and agency most, although I feel like I'm/ family most welcome in james corner. Agency felt more like look don't touch, which hopefully was a misperception  Sasaki i felt negatively about - very architectural and not very soft or engaging
593	Overall, I think Agency and Sasaki are very strong designs. I'm not a fan of the JCFO proposal.
594	James corner is the best one
595	All have really awesome features and I am excited to see where these plans go and watch them develop through the years.
596	I greatly prefer the proposal by James Corner Field Operations. It feels like an extension of the city, not an add-on. It seems realistic to build and maintain. I like the way it is "stitched" to the city with green streets, and I like the more formal, rhythmic of features and plantings.
597	Very excited to see them become reality. Though not a Madison resident, I visit often and would make a point to use the proposed spaces and trails, and attend events there.

598	The Agency is the best!
599	love them
600	They each contribute interesting unique ideas, while addressing the fundamental goals of the design competition constraints. Great options to choose from!
601	I appreciate the focus on incorporating more nature and ecological restoration into the plans
602	If this is going to last for 100 years, it would be good to add something that keeps it around in the event of natural disasters and large flooding. So, the Marsh plants and waterfront restoration should be prioritized.
603	Any of them would bring improvements in walkability, bikeability, and green space
604	They were all well thought out.
605	Appreciate all the time and care the city and the various companies have put into making this a fine project.
606	Positive but pensive
607	I like the equity to all representation of life and really appreciate the opportunity to educate visitors of its history to the Native American tribes.
608	Great!
609	The first two listed are really exciting because it could truly transform the use of the space and make it a destination. The third (Sasaki) is probably cheaper and would certainly be an improvement, but it wouldn't really change how the space is used.
610	Great! All the plans would be a massive win for Madison
611	Good
612	Sasaki's proposals show the most sensitivity to the heritage, comments and visions of the community.
613	not good. I see alot of blooms being trapped on the surface in alot of these features
614	three great options
615	At the end of the day I would be happy with any of them.
616	Okay. I like Agency the best!
617	VERY EXCITED to see such plans, creativity and vision for how we can improve upon so many things and make the lakefront more accessible to all without harming the natural components.
618	excited!
619	I like the Conner the best because of all the public space
620	Excited about the project overall
621	All three have great ideas. The Agency plan is my favorite in terms of ideas for Olin Park, LOVE the board walk. But I also like JCFO Olin park ideas as well for the playground and learning course for kayaking/canoeing. The ideas for the Monona Terrace area including the boathouse, ampitheaters, overlooks, and storywalk designs from Sasaki are fantastic too and very versatile along with environmentally forward thinking.
622	Sasaki!!



623	I think this is a very exciting vision for Madison. I think it could be transformative, and I think that there are elements of all three that would make our city stronger.
624	Overall, I'm so excited about the possibilities of all of the plans. SOOOO much better than what is there now. I moved to Madison in 1990 before Monona Terrace, and I voted against it several times because I loved the green expanse of Law Park and worried the Terrace would make for less public access to the lake. I have since really come around and enjoy the Terrace as an event space and as a way to view the lake from above, but I mourn the fact that there really seems to be no direct connection with the lake anymore except in a few places around the Terrace (and those are concrete anyway). Madison is such a vibrant city, and the Square, State Street, and Memorial Union offer so much. And then it just cuts off to the south. We need a gem of a park on the Monona shoreline, and Agency's plan is exactly that.
625	I like them all.
626	I think all the plans are wonderful
627	All good plans
628	I feel like all have promise but they need to become more realistic and polished
629	These plans are, frankly, all so much of an improvement and would likely draw international media acclaim. Can Madison accomplish these visions? That's the real question.
630	All are very promising!
631	Some of them seem ambitious and elaborate relative to what I need and want from that space, but I think all of them have some good ideas. It's hard to choose among them when I don't know anything about the associated costs, however. JCFO and Sasaki seem like the priciest plans, but it's hard to tell how much it would cost to remake "Monona Hill" according to ALP's vision, so maybe there isn't as much of a cost difference as I imagine.
632	I thought they were all solid. I like the idea of Monona Hill being timed with an expansion of Monona Terrace. Not sure of the disruption related to moving the fill.
633	Overall I liked the Agency plan the best. The JCFO plan was attractive and added more opportunities for revenue, but lacked the addition of green space.
634	I like that something is being done. I want to see Lake Monona rejuvenated as a lake - was good fishing when I was a kid and now just weeds and carp.
635	Can't wait to see the change!
636	Any plan is better than none, but we love the agency plan (especially the hill)
637	I would have preferred a local to contribute to the design.
638	They do look like the lake will be more accessible and pleasant to be at.
639	I do not like the water park idea looks trashy I do not like the extended path way in front of convention center would ruin shoreline I love the second plan but not sure of Punic tables for trash left behind
640	All have similarities. My personal preference is Sasaki.
641	Do not like the James one, otherwise I am encouraged and hope we will move on this.
642	Positive
643	they are all impressive and would be a big improvement to the city

644	Agency.
645	Boring and expensive.
646	All seem like good choices.
647	All require a fair amount of maintenance to look good. I think the James Corner plan looks the most graceful and most natural.
648	None of the seem to consider their impact on commuters. John Nolan is pretty congested at times. This will push some traffic to Park street, but could also make John Nolan pain.
649	All had some very nice features. I have lived in Madison 30 years and creating more useable lakefront is exciting!
650	They are ok but I really like burying John Nolen Drive as much as you can along the entire law park stretch.
651	I think they all have positive aspects. I'm most intrigued by the Sasaki plan.
652	All three plans would be an obvious improvement. I just worry about the cost of initial development as well as long-term maintenance of all these public spaces and amenities. Also resiliency of damage due to flooding and other extreme weather events is a concern.
653	Agency is the best. Keep it as natural and open as possible.
654	Amazing!
655	Good. I like the vision of nature and access
656	Good
657	All options would be beneficial to Madison. We need more community spaces that can be used year round, separating bike and walking paths will be safer.
658	Excited to see if the final implementation matches the plans. Concerned about traffic flow through downtown and the impact.
659	I'm new to Madison from Chicago and these plans make me feel more invested in putting down roots here.
660	I'm an engineer and regularly work with planners. I love what they do. Please take a hard look at the City/private support budget and align your plan to the budget. Select a plan the City can afford to build on a meaningful timeline - not a 30 year plan. Fancy plans are useless if the City can't afford them.
661	So glad something is being done. The current situation is just very lackluster.
662	great
663	Good
664	All 3 would be an incredible improvement.
665	Completely inspired! Bittersweet as I wish I could be here in 100 years to see and enjoy it
666	Community spaces will be useful to all residents, rather than just to lakefront owners.
667	I think they are all great, but I do appreciate the plan (James) that prioritizes developing Olin Park first. It is underutilized space in my opinion.
668	Sasaki is very good. The James Corner is OK, although It seems to really alter the character of the lakefront around Monona Terrace. The Agency Landscape plan isn't a good fit for the character of the site or the community
669	Leave it all alone

670	Very optimistic.
671	They were all creative and definitely and improvement but Sasaki and Agency stood out.
672	Super excited!!!! Super duper wuper excited. Any of the designs really, but especially James Corner and Sasaki. It's pretty incredible to think of Madison improving that shoreline in this way, integrating both lake health and community activity. Just fantastic. DO IT!!!!
673	I feel inspired and great!
674	First the best with a lot of trails.
675	Excited!!!
676	Positive, of apprehensive.
677	Sasaki is the clear #1. I also really like that they included Spanish in their design pamphlet for enhanced equitability.
678	Only JCFO considered winter usage, Only Sasaki considered multiple uses for the monona terrace area (e.g. both normal park and event space)
679	This is wonderful for the city
680	I feel water cleanliness and concern for the environment has to be a top priority to preserve the lakes for everyone in future generations. We also need to focus on seasonality and year round use vs 5 months of summer (e.g we already have good kayaking opportunities in the city - what can we gain beyond that?)
681	It's exciting to see further development of the Monona lakefront
682	Looks really great
683	I like them
684	I like them all but James corner is probably my overall favorite.
685	all good in their own way
686	All of them really look wonderful. It will be hard to decide! But overall the feel of the James Field Operations design feels the most like the lake is being brought to the forefront of the design.
687	Ambitious! Even though this is just a vision and won't see the light of day for 10-15 years, I'm excited the city is at least considering improving its Monona lake shore.
688	I
689	Overall good
690	Very excited
691	I feel like they are all good plans. The Agency and James Corner are solid designs but nothing that specifically strikes me as exceptional. The Sasaki design gets me excited.
692	sasaki's has strongest potential
693	All are very good and exciting!
694	Sasaki is definitely the most appealing and even promotes the environment
695	Sasaki has the best plan
696	I think all three plans are very well thought out. But I do feel like Sasaki blew it out of the water - no pun intended.
697	encouraged! all were quality approaches. hope one is fully implemented.
698	All good options. None will disappoint

699	Like Sasaki's the best
700	James Corner's plan is beautiful and exciting for Madison!
701	Good about all but James Corner field operations
702	I think this is a great effort. I am excited. Madison was a pretty sucky place to live during the Pandemic with all constant and excessive mask mandates, school closings, social distancing, and basically how overboard the Mayor and County Executive went. And they weren't alone either. it made me feel like everyone in Madison has lost their minds. However, I think this effort is really great. I really like the Monona Hill concept, and all 3 plans make me feel excited about Madison again, and like maybe this place isn't so sucky after all once all these improvements to Lake Monona are done.
703	I wish there had been more communication with the existing activities on Lake Monona during designing.
704	All of the plans provide valuable concepts for enhancing the waterfront. While the plans are very similar in many respects each have unique components which should be incorporated into the more detailed plans to come. These components are noted in responses to prior questions.
705	Overall, all are great and would be an improvement to the current waterfront.
706	All would offer big improvements to the lakeshore.
707	Reject the whole idea and finish the outstanding projects.
708	I would be upset if the Sasaki plan is chosen.
709	Tremendous to have all three companies sharing their talent and perspectives with Madison
710	I feel great about these plans. It is terrific seeing Madison look to make even greater use out of our waterfront and, as previously stated, I'd love to help out in this process any way I can!
711	These plans represent completely out-of-the-box thinking and I applaud that. these are visionary ideas. Fresh thinking and that's great.
712	All are excellent -- any of the three will be great
713	I like them all. They provide better access and environmental solutions to the lakeshore. Overall I think James Corner and Sasaki are the two leaders for me.
714	Honestly, I would choose any of them at this point! They all will incorporate more accessible trails and improve water quality. If I had to choose, James Corner it would be due to all the connections to South WI ecosystems.
715	I feel ok about all three, all of them are an improvement on what it currently is. However I worry that Sasaki and James Corner will overbuild the area around the Monona Terrace and while it will still be a nice and usable space, it will pave over the natural beauty of that part of the shore.
716	I think all are great; however, I think there's definitely some clear design wins to incorporate.

717	Very important to tie in the existing fabric of downtown to the new. Also, vital to have dedicated bike path through the whole route - any shared path is highly dangerous like the current situation. I wish all the plans used the FL Wright designed Lake Monona boathouse (never completed here) as anchor at the end of John Nolen at Blair Street. The Sasaki design meets most of this. Would be improved with more separation of bike path and with the FL Wright Boathouse at the east end instead of contemporary design.
718	Although I have my preferences, I think all of the options would be great improvements to the current waterfront.
719	Parking is always a high priority so just make sure there is enough if you want people to come and enjoy it.
720	All have great strengths - and address many of the key focus areas outlined by planning committee.
721	Big fan of the Agency plan, willing to accept the Sasaki plan (also pretty good, I just think the Agency plan does most of the elements better), do not like the James Corner plan.
722	The sasaki plan is the best combination of bold vision and feasibility. It includes elements such as bridges/ boardwalk/ lake access, at the most appropriate scales compared to the other two plans. It is both exciting and visionary while respectful of the site constraints and history. Furthermore it focuses its biggest investments and improvements in the right locations along the corridor.
723	The design firms seem to be throwing just about everything they can think of against the wall. Amazingly though, two of them neglected any consideration of sailboats. I know there is a lot to consider, and they do seem to be trying to hit everything (again, somehow they missed 'sailing' though), but I think perhaps less is more, and in trying to do everything, it might actually be detracting from the natural beauty of the lake.
724	Agency proposal is best.
725	I think they are all great options and could work together to create the best design for the community
726	I am concerned that they are overly complex. While I think that the area is in need of a change, the change should be back toward nature and avoid lots of complicated construction which will disrupt the area for a decade.
727	I heavily favor Sasaki, however, I feel like any of the plans would be a beautiful improvement
728	Overall, I felt like Sasaki's had the most thoughtful attention to spaces and diversity of spaces and activities.
729	I like all of them.
730	Great!
731	As I have indicated, none of them is ideal, but the AL+P plan is the best overall by some distance.
732	Really excited!
733	So excited! I would be happy with any of these.
734	I think there was a lot of thought and careful detail that went into all three plans. Well done all around!
735	I am impressed! Big thanks to the City for thinking big about our Waterfront.

736	They're all excessive and don't respect the area. They look good in renders but are not realistic. And most of the proposed changes don't solve any real problems.
737	All would enhance the area absolutely but others certainly stood out more with feeling of connection and touched on many different options on how to accomplish that while creating a closer experience to nature
738	I think the Agency and James Corner plans best address the needs of Madisonians.
739	I am very excited by all options and the better utilization of this lakefront space!
740	<p>Agency Proposal - love that it's extremely visionary and has the most greenspace/most radical approach that includes fast/slow lanes for bikes &amp; pedestrians and really neat water walk to draw people into actually spending time (slowing down/hanging out) in the area vs just passing through. I also really liked the beach at Olin option - it really seemed to capture the "community park" essence overall.</p> <p>James Corner Proposal - I really didn't like much about this because there is just so much pavement yet/poor crossings &amp; accessibility to get to the parks from the city. I like the community spaces &amp; event venues though.</p> <p>Sasaki proposal - very very thoughtful overall. I appreciated the ADA accessibility &amp; all of the ecological awareness. It obviously had great community input and I liked the kayak center, but was disappointed by the lack of separation in bike/pedestrian paths and that there weren't many places to "stop".</p>
741	Overall I'm excited this is being discussed and I feel that the shoreline is well over do for a refresh and a rebrand to embody the environmental conscious of the Madison public.
742	In general, this is a tough decision, I am drawn to features in all of the plans. I lean toward JCFO and Agency Landscape and Planning (favorite). I think Sasaki has a little too much going on.
743	Any one would be a nice improvement for Madison!
744	I believe that all three have the potential to grow our city in a myriad of amazing ways.
745	I loved having the opportunity to review these plans, share my opinions, and vote on my ideal space, so thank you for that opportunity and for giving the people of Madison a voice. Overall, I like that all these plans has a strong focus on improving the water quality and enhancing the natural spaces, and they also want to make all these new spaces as accessible as possible to the most people.
746	Overall feel good though each has its benefits and drawbacks. Again, I'll emphasize BIKE INFRASTRUCTURE as someone who commutes to work from Middleton to an office in Machinery Row. I firmly believe cycling is a golden solution for reducing pollution, carbon emissions, making the area more inviting, and propelling Madison to a place among European cities in terms of liveability.
747	Don't need.
748	I like them all

749	three beautiful concepts with the citizens of Madison at top of mind; fitness, beauty, and lake health
750	I like all three plans. They all significantly improve Olin Park, add great biking and walking trails across the water way, and make it easier to get from the Square to the water.
751	I love the investment in our community.
752	They all have great ideas and ambitions, some more ludicrous than others. Admittedly, in a perfect world the most radical solution would be the best one, but unfortunately we live in a country hostile to pedestrian and bike focused infrastructure and especially ones that also incorporate an environmentalist stance on protecting the ecosystem. I couldn't even imagine seeing a project like this in Waukesha. It's important we keep things practical and able to be readily understood. That way people outside the smaller circles of bike and urbanist focused designs can find broader appeal. Hopefully, at least.
753	They all would be significant improvements to the lakeshore area. If I had to choose, it would be for the James Corner Field Operations. It has the best mix of all the various needs.
754	ambitious. they are all improvements on what's currently in place. my largest concern is with feasibility. construction phasing and cost . does our city have the courage to properly fund and stage such a wholesale change to this area? i hope so.
755	Headed in the right direction for Sasaki and Agency plans
756	I think they all provide more ways to use this area that are more practical then currently
757	I like all three options and think they will improve recreational use of the lake shore.
758	Any of them is a vast improvement over the much wasted space we have now! I welcome the changes.
759	Excited
760	exciting. I just hope all current users of the parks and water are included in future designs.
761	I think all would be good for Madison. I'm exited to see this happen
762	All seem like great options
763	I feel all of the options bring a very beneficial change to the lakes shoreline. I have to lean towards the more nature incorporated plans because I am a nature lover at heart.
764	I like all of them! I appreciate all of them consider making the lake more marshy to benefit the health of everyone here!
765	I'm excited to see how this project evolves. I grew up in Madison and spent many summers downtown with family at the zoo, near the Capitol, or along the lakeshore. The area around the Terrace has turned into a concrete jungle. I'd love to see more green space again.
766	The are too focused on the environment and not reality. Downtown is busy and just feeling happy and lounging at the lake isn't the only thing that goes on downtown.

767	I think having three options was perfect, just somewhat surprise that one group just do their "homework" and a generic stance and substandard for our great city.
768	I fell good about all three options. While there are parts of each that I don't like I think overall they are great option.
769	I think any of them would be wonderful
770	All of them are a vast improvement but I'd prefer Agency Landscape or Sasaki
771	I really like them! They all seem very ambitious
772	All are better than what exists, so any cahnge is better than no change.
773	Parameters given to the design teams (e.g. must make space for an expansion of Monona Terrace (why?), no ability to offer infill ideas) created plans that forced the designers to create poor options (building up and over the road/rail area that blocked views).
774	I think all would be better than what we have
775	I like JCFO's plans, and feel the other two promote plans that you see in big cities that want to completely control their nature/environment, rather than attempt to live amongst it. I'd like to see as little new cement added as possible.
776	All are would be great.
777	I could be happy with any one of them
778	I wish the plan was bigger
779	I would be happy with any of them.
780	Good
781	My preference for Corner is, overall, slight, but more strategic than substantive.
782	They all look great, and I'd be happy with any of them.
783	Its a good start
784	Monona hill is coolest
785	Amazing! Love all three, and would be happy with any of them.
786	All three seem like they would be a huge improvement for the area and have been really well structured and thought out. There are features in each that I like a lot!
787	They are great and would be a regular user of the space regardless of the plan chosen.
788	All have features that are desirable.
789	I think they're all great.
790	I feel like we have two great options and one that is really lacking vision
791	I'm excited !
792	I think that they would all make Madison a better city, but Sasaki would make it a premier destination.
793	Overall, good.
794	I like Sasaki the best
795	Great! These are all incredible.
796	I think JCFO is the best plan, but all are fabulous.
797	excited
798	All of them are great options and ensure a more connected waterfront



799	These are outstanding design efforts by broad thinking firms. We got or money's worth and then some. I hope we can honor their efforts by our own in making a good, timely selection, and in finding the will and resources necessary for implementaion.
800	I am very excited by them.
801	I think these plans are great! They do need some adjustments to make the lake more accessible for the community. But I think that the plans will make the lakefront more beautiful.
802	They are cool but I think more needs to be done to consider the climate change coming up.
803	Very good!
804	The Sasaki and JFCO plans are great.
805	Among them, I found the plan presented by James Corner Field Operations very interesting. Its plan is comprehensive and eco-friendly.
806	I think both the James Corner and Agency designs will be a huge improvement with my preference being James Corner.
807	great
808	I like Sasaki proposal the most
809	Great ideas but JCFO is the most realistic
810	good
811	They are all decent but two definitely takes the cake.
812	very good
813	they are all good. but i prefer #2
814	pretty good i like the greenery
815	good
816	no
817	good
818	good
819	The Agency plan seems much more mature and well thought out in comparison to the other two
820	I really love the James Corner Field's design it made me so excited! I think we can use the Agency plan for the Olin Park area but I don't like just adding a boardwalk around the whole thing - we need little pockets of activity and new infrastructure. I think Sasaki missed the assignment and focused on history instead of real tangible change - I didn't get much out of that at all other than them trying to tug on our heart strings. That one was a big disappointment if we want to honor the past then we need to make the future more about using the lakes
821	All are good. 1 is best
822	The Agency design checked all the boxes for me personally. More lake access, more nature, and accessible for all ages
823	All would be improvements. I like Sasaki's because it feels the most focused on utility and has the most features/sq ft. Focus should be on activities and utility before aesthetics/concepts.

824	Money could be better spent
825	Although there are many attractive elements of each plan, especially returning natural lake edge ecological elements and causeway separation of auto traffic, bikers, runners and walking/sitting uses, the Agency Landscape proposal provides the best environment and experience for connecting people to the lakefront. In particular, Monona Hill feels less artificial than other proposals and more like what the topography would be if nature had provided the ideal setting for connecting the city to the Monona lakefront.
826	WOW! These are some great designs and I'm excited to continue to see the future development.
827	I love the ideas and think a new inclusive design will liven up the once great Lake Monona.
828	All three should bring the community closer together
829	they all could be potentially good
830	Love them
831	All three would vastly improve the lakefront and would be a great addition to Madison.
832	Great--they would all be great.
833	I think they are all great options.
834	They would all be better then currently.
835	The JCFO plan is my favorite, but all three plans are very exciting and I can't wait for the future of Madison.
836	I feel like this is awesome and I'm so very happy we get to be apart of this choice!
837	These are all great plans, but I especially like Agency Landscape's overall vision and their vision of Monona Hill.  I have to admit, I was least impressed with Sasaki's plan. It seemed like a hodge podge of random ideas thrown together in a presentation. They did have some good elements (that were also covered in the other two proposals). Not very inspiring work.
838	There are a lot of good ideas in the plans. Just wonder if/ how the City will fund the work.
839	I think they are all a step in the right direction to help the health and ecosystems of our lakes and create more useable green space on our lakefront
840	All of them are quite good! However, the Agnexy plan stood out to me the most.
841	I honestly think they're all amazing and you can't really choose a bad option
842	They are fine. I think that the lake sustainability should be the top priority and therefore using material like concrete should be minimized (runoff) and increasing foot traffic around the lake also worries me about trash problems. As long as there are thought of and solutions are made I will be okay with the design.
843	Highly satisfied with plans and intentions
844	I am excited to enjoy the new amenities. I think that the Sasaki plan offers the most things to enjoy for college students.

845	I feel that Agency's and Sasaki's plans would add the most to the lakeshore, from both a nature and community aspect. they also seem to provide the most convenient and accessible connectivity.
846	Very excited! Cannot wait to see how the lakefront changes and improves
847	So thoughtfully done and beautifully designed. All good options
848	Sasaki has the best combination of lake access types, lake access locations, activities, and environmental improvements. It is clearly the best. JCFO's plan is fine, just not as good as Sasaki's. Agency's plan is certainly visionary, but it will be value-engineered into something much different and mundane. I know all the plan's will be value-engineered to one degree or another, but if Agency's plan is selected, the final product will not look like their plan at all.
849	I like Sasaki's plan the best, Agency Landscape + Planning's second best, and do not like JCFO's plan.  I'd also like to learn more about how the City imagines up keeping the proposed green spaces along John Nolen. All three proposals include reducing the number of lanes, creating more green spaces on both sides of John Nolen, and adding trees. Meanwhile between John Nolen, Olin, and the Beltline, the City is proposing to (or will actually) remove the planting beds and replace them with concrete due to increased maintenance costs and security concerns for maintenance crews. How will the City pay for the additional green space proposed in these plans if we're already reducing existing green space?
850	I love all three. I applaud the City of Madison for such a wonderful project.
851	They're all great, but the "The Wild Lakeshore" stands above the rest and is the most true to Madison.
852	I love JCFO and Sasaki. Agency would still be a drastic improvement over the status quo, even if I think it would miss a lot of opportunities.
853	All three appear to be strong options; however, there must be a greater emphasis on making this a safe area. Currently in Madison, areas like State Street are often unsafe, especially for females at night, due to rampant antisocial and criminal behavior. What design elements are going to prevent this affecting this updated public area?
854	As I said before I'm excited to have this project going ahead and all three designs are well thought out. I like the idea of being able to meld the ideas together to come up with one best plan.
855	The James Corner Field Operations plan is by far the best, this plan brought the ideas to life.
856	I think the plans all present good ideas, but the Sasaki plan seems to have specific intents, rather than just generally saying it will improve city life and water quality.
857	I think they are positive options.
858	All over decent context into the challenges of this project. Alot to talk about and work with.
859	All are "fine," but merely dressing up a downtown highway with some shrubs would be a colossal failure to seize the moment.

860	JCFO's plan is very good. The other two plans feel generic, and not designed for Madison in particular. This can be seen in the way that JCFO's plan highlights existing greenspace beyond the scope of the project, while the other plans ignore the rest of the city beyond John Nolen.
861	All good
862	They are all really cool.
863	they're good
864	All three seem like great options
865	Of the three, I like the Agency plan the best and the Sasaki the least.
866	There is one obvious choice- Agency's design
867	I think all three are exciting options and would bring a lot of value to the Madison area.
868	I think any of them will result in a better community area, and I'm a huge fan of the move towards sustainability in such a busy urban area.
869	Looks good!
870	I LOVE the concepts by Field Operations and Sasaki.
871	All three options are tremendous and each team are to be congratulated for their ideas and the effort they put into the Challenge. Madison is fortunate to have these nationally and internationally recognized firms care to the level that they developed these plans, images and ideas. Now it is up to us to see one of the plans through to fruition...Madison's waterfront deserves the best for our citizens.
872	I would have liked to see more emphasis on and recognition of the lakefront as part of downtown. Unfortunately, I do not believe that the Sasaki and JCFO proposals, if realized, would create distinct places that people would actually use.
873	lacking detail. not having been at the meetings, just reviewing the videos & documents provided on the website, I am left with a lot of questions, and am feeling nervous about an area that is a vital transportation connection for me, is about to get bulldozed in favor of the idea of it being an accessible park. The visions were beautifully rendered, but it all just felt like a lot of buzzwords. Its really hard to see what the realities would be.
874	I really like the JCFO plan and concept because it feels and looks more like Wisconsin and the midwest. It also preserves the natural habitat of the Madison area and the lakes along the lakeshore.
875	Strongly like Sasaki (third plan); I think the second plan is ok. The first plan feels unoriginal and I really, really don't like the 100 year implementation (who wants to pay for/work for something they won't see in their lifetime?). However, I think there are common design elements from each of the plans that represent proximate, relatively easy improvement that should be done first (multimodal path, softening the lake edge, improving Olin park). The harder and more expensive intervention will be the redevelopment around the Monona terrace (understandably so).
876	Great improvements to an iconic destination - this will put Madison, WI on the map!
877	They all looked solid. I just want something done with these spaces.

878	james corner NEEDS to be chosen it is the best by far.
879	Each has good features. They're not actually all that different from one another. I think the thing that HAS to happen is reknitting the lakefront with the city. Right now the Lake Monona waterfront feels distant, even if you're standing in front of the City-County building.
880	I'm optimistic that very nice improvements can be achieved by taking parts of each plan.
881	The JCFO option is terrible and prioritizes businesses while ignoring community needs. The remaining two are good starts but need improvement to improve the connection of South Madison to downtown.
882	They are exciting to think about, but practicalities are still questionable. Overall the designs were not as inventive as expected.
883	Okay, might be nice but would like to hear more about environmental impact of each (should be top priority)
884	They are all huge projects. I'm a life long near east resident, 74 years, and I have seen much smaller projects take decades to get out of the planning stage. I will never enjoy any of these in my life time.
885	All three plans would provide improvements to the existing lake shore as create an accessible commons.
886	I would love the James Corner Field Operations plan with the addition of Monona Hill. When I look at the James Corner plan, I see places where I would want to stop and enjoy the park, rather than just pass through. Each space seems like it would be thoroughly used and enjoyed. I appreciate the attention to detail put into this plan.
887	All of them are excellent.
888	WOW, EXCITED, Wishing I was 5 years old when the development starts so I'd have a whole lifetime to enjoy the changes.
889	Too much. Too much cost. Yes, new paving is needed along the bike/pedestrian trail on the East side of John Nolen Drive. Please repave the existing trail, call it good, and save Madison a lot of money on these grandiose plans.
890	I like them all, I think we have good options. There are some beautiful architectural ideas there. Just do NOT reduce traffic on John Nolen Drive. That is a horrible idea.
891	All 3 look great at a high level.
892	i think it would be great to see any of them completed
893	They're alright
894	They were honestly all great. Slight edge to Sasaki and Agency Landscape + Planning for their bold designs and prioritizing of green space.
895	Great!
896	I like the Sasaki and Agency plans more than the JCFO plan, but all three are excellent designs that would revitalize the Monona waterfront, and all three have valuable suggestions that should be incorporated.
897	they are great

898	I feel very confident in each of these plans. I think that this initiative will make the Monona Terrace area much more enjoyable to the people of Madison while being accessible to all and environmentally sustainable.
899	<p>All three are well planned and have design elements I love and some that I wouldn't include in the Final Master Plan. If the City believes the project needs a lead designer who is a "starchitect" to ease visibility level for future convention and tourism and working with philanthropists for fund raising - James Corner is the right choice as a strong designer with a strong personality. The City should check on his personal commitment to the City's LMW on the next phase of the master planning process and future design phases.</p> <p>If that level of design and fundraising isn't important, then I would like to see Sasaki do the next phase with its collaborative approach to planning and design. Either of these two firms and their multi-disciplinary teams can deliver the design concepts that the City decides they want to include in the Master Plan.</p>
900	All three are ambitious, focused on restoring natural habitats, and increasing the walkability and destination-feel of the Monona waterfront. Whatever plan is chosen, I hope the City and the Development team maintain a commitment to taming traffic on JND and prioritizing green space and downtown access over automobiles.
901	I think two of them are good (not James Corner's) and this is long overdue. The people of Madison want more lake access and I'm excited about that finally happening.
902	I think these are all strong options, and though my preference would be either James Corner Field Operations or Sasaki's plan, I am thrilled about the prospect of any of these plans being adopted.
903	I think all 3 would be a massive and sorely needed improvement and I'm happy we have three strong plans. My only fear is there are delays into it happening, steps in the right direction!
904	I think they all need to refocus and draw attention on creating a beach and swimming area. PEOPLE COME TO THE LAKE TO USE IT. We don't need any more restaurants etc taking up the lakeshore
905	Wonderful. Exciting. I'm so happy that this project is moving forward.
906	I like that they're all different. I like James Corner plan's idea of "Wild" but was not impressed with the overall vision and features compared to the other two.
907	I am moderately excited about Sasaki's plan. I am severely concerned with the other two.
908	All three plans were thoughtful and well done. I wish we could incorporate elements from all three plans to create a perfect final plan.
909	I feel they are all really good. I just think the third plan in my opinion is the best for the city.
910	Incredible! Excited to see this green space expanded upon!
911	Good concepts, what would be ideal is to take aspects from each of the plans to make an even better plan that uses the best of the ideas and get rid of the not so good ideas.
912	sasaki is the best but they're all great!

913	<p>All three are overbuilt. We have plenty of hardscape, theaters, walking areas, and beer gardens in Madison. I love the urban feel of them. This project should keep our scant lakeshore as green and underdeveloped as possible while retaining the ability to move to it and through it. Perhaps we could have a small touch of ice cream and beer here, gathering space there. These should not dominate the lakeshore.</p> <p>These plans have lost site of the lakeshore restoration goal. Some have too much "big city bling" with an amusement park feel. Only one got rid of the barrier and scar which is John Nolan Dr.</p> <p>We should focus on making this beautiful, accessible and functional for locals. Visitors will come for that. Our lakes are unique!</p> <p>Our priorities should be transit improvements (more bike/ped/bus, little or no cars), greening of the space (including shoreline restoration), and ped/bike connection with downtown (now nearly impossible). Start with that.</p> <p>BTW, for all my grousing, I am thrilled we are collecting visions and planning to restore the lakeshore. I wish one of the visions had come back with... less.</p>
914	Any of them would end up improving the area for the community. I gravitated towards the JCFO plan as the most eye catching and community focused.
915	I think all three options were good.
916	I think they are all superb imaginings of what could be along the Lake Monona shore between Monona Terrace (or King St.) and Olin Park. I love that the City is engaged in this process. None of these visions is "bad" or way off the mark, and I would live with any of them. But some are better than others.
917	Great, please make it happen.
918	Waste of money
919	Great ideas, now need to find some money!
920	Any three of the plans would be nice.
921	Inspiring. Love the boldness of the 8 acre park, the reintroduction of wetlands that expand the space into the lake without harming - actually helping the lake. The boardwalks over the water are cool. You want families downtown? A sledding space and elbow room help with that. Focus on the less expensive things that encourage greater diversity - biking, running, fishing, swimming, sledding, etc. Skip the pay-to-paly venues...please.
922	I think any of these would be a vast improvement to our current corridor.
923	Excellent!
924	I'd be happy with any of them
925	The James field and sasaki plans both seem excellent! If either one were to be completed in the next 10 years even to 60% I would be ecstatic!
926	I feel tempered and excited. I would like to see a faster completion timeline, but I'm looking forward to seeing Madison's new flagship park.
927	I love that the area is being developed more.
928	agency #1
929	All three have some good points. I think they should be combined, using the best parts of each plan.
930	I cannot tell.

931	While I appreciate the incorporation of stormwater treatment via wetlands, I have lived here long enough to remember DDT spraying due to massive mosquito infestations in wetland areas. How will the plans incorporate increasing wetland areas with attempting to increase human presence in those areas? Or will we have a beautiful place that we will all avoid for the summer? JFCO discussed their track record with comparable projects and inspired confidence in their ability to do it again. Agency mentioned the projects they studied but I have no idea if they have ever done a project of this scope and have the capability to implement their plan.
932	Improved access for all users and safer causeway driving. All 3 are worthy improvements over current plan.
933	Sasaki is the best.
934	Very good options.
935	The plan options are all great visions of what a better, more accessible, better utilized lakeshore will look like. Regarding the JCFO plan, I am extremely hesitant to believe the feasibility of converting John Nolen Drive to a parkway as determined by "early traffic studies". Does that data account for Madison's population growth trajectory? For events that are held in Madison? I foresee that having nightmare type impacts for commuters, traffic congestion during Madison events, etc.
936	Hopeful.
937	Any of the three seem like a good option, although the James Corner and Sasaki plans are head and shoulders above the Agency Landscape + Planning plan.
938	Very hopeful that pedestrian access across John Nolan will be improved and that the Monona Lakefront will now feel integrated with downtown
939	excited
940	I think they're all quite good, and while I prefer certain elements, and even certain overall plans, I could find things to love in all of them.
941	They are pretty similar overall.
942	I think they're a step in the right direction, based on the principles on which the proposals were solicited. I would need to become much more familiar with the particulars of each plan in order to get behind one over the others.
943	They looks very grandiose and a lot of construction. I'm curious how they plan to create all this extra land masses along the shore line as well as the impact to traffic along John Nolen. Additionally what will this do for (or against) Ironman WI
944	I think all three plans are ok, but don't address one of the main detractors of the Lakeshore, John Nolen Drive. Making John Nolen Drive a boulevard is a good start, but it would be better to restrict John Nolen to public transit only.
945	They're all pretty good, I would be happy to see any of these implemented successfully.
946	I generally like them - I think the basic improvements shared by all three will make a world of difference.
947	I'm glad that they all seem like great visions for Monona!
948	Better than what's there now.



949	I think they are all good. They seem like such drastic changes though so it will be amazing (and a little hard to believe) to see it actually come to fruition! I hope it does though!
950	All of them are way better than what we have now. I am really excited about this project!
951	Love Sasaki and JCF!
952	They are all really cool and I am very excited to see the plans with pictures.
953	Sasaki and JCFO are overall both good; I really don't like Agency's.
954	I think all three plans are really thoughtful and emphasize different aspects of what the shoreline could look like.
955	All are a huge improvement
956	I am a bit concerned about the changes and how they could impact biking in the city. But overall, I think this change will be really good for the city and improve how we see ourselves in conjunction with our lakes.
957	Inspiring, but need things that can be built in 2026
958	I really enjoy them. I think each presents different strengths, but ultimately the Agency Landscape & Planning option feels like the best overall.
959	they are good
960	I strongly favor the plan from Sasaki, but enjoyed reading all 3 options and feel excited about the future of this part of Madison.
961	honestly all are excellent and far superior to what we have now - please just do any of these!!!
962	They are amazing! However, the JCFO is the clear winner.
963	Very excited about this positive change.
964	Love AL+P. Mixed on Sasaki. The other plan I don't really like at all.
965	They all seem like large undertakings which could impact how residents are able to access and use features during the work needed on these areas without too much disruption
966	I prefer the JCFO option, but they would all be a significant improvement over the existing condition. Removing the car traffic from Law Park would make it far more inviting, improve the connection between the City and the lake, and enhance this entire shoreline.
967	They are all amazing
968	Very positive.
969	I think overall, two did a great job incorporating the goal of encompassing the redevelopment of nature within our city.
970	Sasak's design excites me as a future part of Madison!
971	They're all such a vast improvement to current, in my ideal world I'd combine JCFO and Sasaki
972	All plans are bold and an improvement to Madison, but we should actually see them through and not use them as a 'what-if'. We NEED change
973	Loved the Agency best.
974	I love Sasaki's
975	STRONGLY in favor of the James Corner Plan, the Sasaki Plan is an okay second option and the Agency plan doesn't seem well thought out at all.

976	Sasaki for sure is the winner for me, mostly because they want to reintroduce forestry to the area.
977	I think they are good sasaki and James. The other one is horrible.  I feel like it should be modern.  This project should be a statement and highlight to Madison.  ALSO ADEQUATE PARKING MUST HE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION
978	Love the fact that this is on the works! Crossing fingers budget approval and construction don't take ages
979	All really cool, innovative concepts. Hope to see one be fully implemented!
980	Wonderful ideas, have good community involvement, but are all quite bold and would take a monumental effort to achieve.
981	All of them are an improvement from the current lakefront and would be excellent options.
982	I least like the James Corner Field Operations plan. It just doesn't feel as 'Madison' as the other plans.
983	The first two are good.
984	They all feel like improvements and are a big step up from the current area. I love the sustainability considerations from all three. My only concern is the handling of bike traffic by Sasaki
985	The city needs an intense Waterpark
986	All three agencies did a great job but I think James Corner really hit a home run. Their options seem like they really covered options for all.
987	They are all incredible plans. I like James Corner the best by a small margin.
988	I really liked James Corner because it looks like a good use of the space and had a welcoming feel to it. I did not like Sasaki, it doesn't fit with Madison.
989	The James Corner is clearly the best option of the three designs.
990	I liked all, just like Sasaki best.
991	Happy with Agency or Sasaki
992	I would be very happy with all
993	All great options! visionary and innovative
994	They are all futuristic and clean. I hope the end results can be this clean, vibrant, and beautiful in all seasons.
995	Overall pretty pleased! It looks like they all did a great job addressing the brief and they would likely all improve pedestrian safety. I just hope that water quality and lake stewardship takes as big of a priority as the economic side.
996	Loved the vision of Sasaki, the work they have done at other similar spaces, they are amazing.
997	I think they are excellent and exciting!
998	Excited

999	They are all good. It wasn't really what I was thinking about in regards to lake front revitalization! I was thinking of destinations and things to actually do. We have a lot of parks along the lakefronts throughout Madison, these designs really separated themselves from what exists today. I love the designs that have so many spots for sitting! most parks have a few benches.
1000	Sasaki looks like the safest 'baby step' improvement overall. I'd enjoy it but not very daring. One step further would be Agency, but James Corner looks the most invigorating for current AND future life with our lakes.
1001	I believe the James Corner plan is the best overall, though there are elements of all three that I would like to see implemented as noted in question 35.
1002	Agency is the clear choice for me and my family.
1003	Aspects of all would make our city better.
1004	I feel optimistic, though I still worry about traffic on John Nolen Drive.
1005	Very good - JCFO seem to have the best overall concept that will make the waterfront more vibrant. Folding in concepts like Monona Hill will help further emphasize public spaces and make JCFO's plan less car dependent and more bike freindly.
1006	I like all three for different reasons. Any will be better than what we have today and all will enhance the waterfront in their own ways. I favor Agency more than the others as the best compromise of all three needs.
1007	They are so exciting!
1008	Too much going on in all of them. Hard to take it all in. Appreciate the opportunity to provide feedback but more generalized plans would be better for public to digest.
1009	They're all great, but the Sasaki had more to do with nature and less ways to use the lakeshore.
1010	They're all good options
1011	I think the James group is the best.
1012	I loved Sasaki & James Corner's options. They were both visionary and exciting.
1013	I didn't like the one plan taking 100 years
1014	They are a solid step forward.
1015	Sasaki! So nice. James's is nice too but Sasaki listens to people and James just tells you what to want. Sasaki's is cool and beautiful and rooted in humanity.
1016	I like them all, but Agency was by far put the most thought in the plan.
1017	JCFO is by far my favorite
1018	Sasaki for the W
1019	JCFO's plan seemed to be the best mix of feasible public area improvement and rewilding of the lakeshore. Separating the biking and walking trails and expanding their width really needs priority.
1020	All are very exciting, I would be happy with any one of them. The teams did an outstanding job with the set of requirements. I attended one of the listening sessions in 2019 and believe the consultants did a great job of identifying what we said and the teams did a great job of visualizing the possibilities.
1021	Good! I am excited to see more use of the lakes.

1022	All three have great elements, and presumably the best of each could be incorporated into the final plan. But the boldness of the New York submission really stood out.
1023	I feel that the plans that simply expand more access and lakefront opportunities without becoming Dells like are optimal
1024	We like parts of all three but cant decide on just one.
1025	Glad this is happening!
1026	The three plans look very similar. The first and the third options did a better job of incorporating the Ho-Chunk than the 2nd option. I suspect that functionally, the three would be essentially the same.
1027	I have a clear preference for the second plan. I like the way it works with the flow of traffic without reinventing already available infrastructure.
1028	The amphitheater option (Sasaki) is the only truly innovative one that has the potential to bring commercial and tourist activity. The other two are just touchups, one adding a funky sidewalk that will get torn apart by the ice every year and the other adding piers, which is at least sensible, if unambitious
1029	Agency is great, the only thing I hope that they can change is providing a clear plan for King St to connect to the water like James Corner's plan. James Corner's is definitely second - I love the learn to kayak and the marsh, that is amazing, but hate their plans for around Monona Terrace. Agency nailed that area so well. I mean OF THE HILL! How great!!! Sasaki was terrible, they have done amazing work elsewhere but they dreamed so small for this project. Their work seems like it took them 3 days to do
1030	i think they are all great options
1031	Glad they are happening, they all get the idea of keeping the natural edge and feel of the lake
1032	all 3 are great
1033	Really good
1034	They provide an array of different designs to engage the community. Saska is great for environmental health of the lake but lacks in a better community space. Corner field is my favorite design for our community needs but lacks focus on the environmental health of the lake. And landscape agency lacks in their planning to connect other parts of Madison to the lakefront. If you can cobble butts and pieces from each plan together it would truly be a special place for community and a draw to visitors while sustaining the health of the lake.
1035	Overall I think that Agency Landscape + Planning is the best choice to move the plan forward.
1036	Sasaki is the best if it will continue with the community programs, maintenance of the seasonal decorations, and connection to the local culture.
1037	Agency is the best
1038	Sasaki is by far my favorite of the two, though there are elements I enjoy from the other two.
1039	No question the Agency plsn is best.
1040	Start digging! : )
1041	This was a cool process and you certainly got two good ideas but the agency plan not so much. Overall the Sasaki plan is the best.

1042	All plans are very inspirational and show the opportunities ahead.
1043	Very positive. All good plans.
1044	So excited! I hope the City has a plan to fund and implement these designs.
1045	A stellar array of options for the future of Madison!
1046	Agency Landscaping is the best
1047	Agency Landscape is best
1048	All three are excellent. However, James Corner offers the most imaginative and transformative option that seems to check all the boxes for what the community desires.
1049	Good choices! None are losers.
1050	Quite thrilling!
1051	good
1052	I think they all have some good and interesting features!
1053	They're all great options and better than what exists now.
1054	I liked Agency Landscape + Planning's plan the best. James Corner Field Operations' plan was also good but not as good as Agency. Sasaki's presentation wasn't very good.
1055	I am very excited about them all.
1056	Excited
1057	Excellent. The committee is to be commended on their work so far in selecting excellent design firms; the firms themselves clearly also deserve kudos for their vision and efforts.
1058	My favorite is plan 1
1059	Optimistic
1060	I loved aspects of all of them. My favorite overall is definitely the JCFO plan - it seemed to take the most care in incorporating capitol views, and addressing real issues that affect how people use the lakes today (e.g. expanding the area in front of Monona Terrace). It also provides sorely needed beach space and waterfront dining/cafe options, and it seemed to be the most realistic in terms of implementation. The Sasaki plan clearly had the most thought in terms of connecting with the community and history of the region, and I loved their separate running / biking / boardwalk trails along JND, as well as the canopy walk idea. I just felt like they dropped the ball a little in the area directly in front of Monona Terrace.
1061	In many respects quite similar in content but with different looks and features
1062	Agency feels like the best. James Conner is underwhelming. I would be satisfied with Sasaki
1063	Really excited about Sasaki's. Would accept James Corner Field, would rather leave it alone than do Agency's
1064	They are all great visions and would improve the waterfront in dramatic ways. Great additions
1065	Sasaki and JCF excelled, Agency was average.
1066	I feel great about them.
1067	Very excited about the possibilities
1068	more space to use please

1069	I feel good, I am excited to see the Monona lakefront really taken advantage of since it has so much to offer!
1070	Overall, they are all beautiful!!!!
1071	They were all pretty amazing, and it was hard to chose which one I liked best.
1072	Excellent! They all vastly expand the green space in the area, make John Nolen less dangerous and ugly, and would be far more pleasant to visit or just transit through.
1073	I think there are three wonderful plans and the revamping of this space, no matter what's done, will be a wonderful addition to Madison.
1074	I respect the teams, their efforts, and professionalism. I take great pride in our city. I especially like the ideas for improving water quality.
1075	All three options are excellent. Strongly favor the Agency Landscape plan given the amount of green space provided and on-water access and use.
1076	Very impressed and hope Madison can follow through on this. Has the potential to truly make Madison a destination city to live and visit.
1077	I think Agency's is by far the best. It is the most heartwarming and soulful design solution and it seems like their team really cares
1078	good
1079	All way better than what is currently there.
1080	They all are creating wonderful spaces to experience. I don't think we can go wrong with any of the teams.
1081	Encouraged that something less urban jungle and significantly different than the Nolan raceway currently offers.
1082	Too car centric.
1083	Save our money
1084	They are all great. Kudos to all three design teams.
1085	They are all an improvement, but some plans go way above and beyond what is needed to make the area accessible with too many man-made structures that may even prevent viewing of the water area. The cost of on-going maintenance needs to be considered; how will we pay for this??? Overall, I would suggest finding ways to simplify the plans with an eye on cost. Also, public safety should be carefully reviewed by Madison Police, Ambulance, and Fire Departments. None of the plans address adequate parking, although one plan did show a small area which means it was at least considered. Increased green space and access to NATURE and recreation vs large man-made structures should be a big focus.
1086	All seem decent, I really like Sasaki's
1087	Great!
1088	Better than what's currently there.
1089	optimistic
1090	These all seem like very good choices.

1091	<p>They are all solid, but I definitely prefer the Agency plan for a more natural look. Downtown Madison has plenty of retail and dining options, but very little green space.</p> <p>The Sasaki plan is a nice balance of natural spaces and more urban park feel. I would prefer a more natural feeling, but it is still very excellent.</p> <p>The JCFO plan feels too urban, with not much addition of green space directly downtown. Additionally, there seems to be no real consideration of cultural history.</p>
1092	All impressive
1093	Great solutions
1094	They all listened to what we want, in different ways.
1095	Monona Terrace is one of the jewels of the crown of Madison and should be the focus of the south side of the isthmus and we need to keep out and not encourage the giant high rises that clog the view of the Capital, that crown jewel of Madison. These improvements need to be accompanied by renewed strengthening of building rules and zoning to preserve the old Madison while expanding and improving the future we want!
1096	Agency Landscape + Planning plan seems the most ambitious. I think Madison has to be ambitious to correct the issues with the lakefront accessibility exacerbated by John Nolen and Monona Terrace.
1097	The three presentations were very impressive. I was most impressed by the resume of the James Corner team, I felt as though they have the experience to vision to make it come together.
1098	Very positively about Sasaki and JCFO. I'd be ok with Agency, but not enthralled.
1099	I am not sure about swimming in the lake. Is that going to happen? If not, there is no need for an abundance of actual beach space which Corner Field seems to have incorporated.
1100	They provide a great vision for the future!
1101	Wonderful and exciting
1102	Agency Landscape + Planning is the clear winner
1103	I think there's great ideas in all three proposals and all would be a great improvement from the current state.
1104	Overall all three have good ideas and need to be combined together. Non of the single designs are already to be implemented yet
1105	Field Operations offers experience and pragmatism to get this done while putting forward a bold vision to strive for. Agency's ecology ambitions are strong but it felt one note. Sasaki has clearly put a lot of effort into engagement, but the design feels unresolved still with no large guiding gestures.
1106	It would be nice to see any of the plans come to fruition.
1107	I love Sasaki's plan and feel encouraged by the others.
1108	Good
1109	I'm excited and I hope the city actually goes ahead with something despite people complaining about the cost

1110	Excited
1111	Impressive planning all around - I hope we focus on improving a natural resource instead of turning it into a carnival.
1112	very excited about all of them
1113	I overall find Sasaki the most visually appealing and supports the most uses of the space. However, I feel that it sometimes lacks a connection to Madison's charm and heritage. Agency best incorporates nature and feels most like a unique Madison experience but doesnt always keep in mind the practical needs of such a high-traffick area
1114	They are all very strong options that would greatly improve the waterfront.
1115	All will have a very positive impact on the lakeshore
1116	I like Corner's best, especially because of its "wild lakeshore" concept.
1117	Sasaki is clearly the best. I do like the wetland kayaking areas of the other plans, I couldn't tell if Sasaki's plan had that option but it would be nice to incorporate that if not.
1118	I think they do a phenomenal job and I can't want to see the changes!
1119	I liked the plan developed by James Corner Field, it's versatile while improving the important aspects the community is looking for.
1120	Love #2
1121	Car traffic is a moral wrong and indefensible.  Close John Nolan Dr. Charge vehicles for driving into downtown. Expand bus and train transportation.
1122	They're all good choices, but it's important to reduce speeds on John Nolen drive and maintain a good buffer between car traffic and the parks.
1123	Great!! Note: Q41 below is missing an age category in which I belong: 60-69. Thank you, Madison, for pushing this project forward (you have my fullest support; JAL, UW prof)
1124	JCFO has the best plan, by far, as well as a proven track record for projects of this scale. I'd love to see it happen.
1125	James Corner has both clarity of vision and appropriate experience to make it happen. Not a missed beat in their plan or their reassuring delivery and approach tonight 1/26/23.
1126	James Corner Field Operations has the best submission in my opinion.
1127	Agency Landscape is visionary but maybe overly ambitious. The south shore of Agency Landscape is far and above the best implementation. James Corner smartly thinks about cost, implementation, practical day-to-day use. Sasaki felt like they were checking boxes and meeting minimum expectations.
1128	The James Corner plan is so much better than the others.
1129	I like the vision of the first two (James Corner and Agency L+P) because they really took advantage of this unique opportunity to transform our city in the long run. Sasaki felt uninspired and too narrow of a mindset.
1130	I really like the James Plan
1131	They're all good options, but feel some of them appear more eco friendly



1132	i love the agency landscape and planning one. i can see my family walking laps of the circle
1133	Blah. Seem to be architects wanting to showcase their egos versus common sense development.
1134	Three plans all see really ambitious and exciting and would really be a feather in the city's cap.  Also, wanted to include the fact that I love the design aspects that address the health of the lake. Ultimately, this could be the most important part of the plan.
1135	Fantastic - they're all very impressive.
1136	Fairly optimistic
1137	wast of time and money
1138	I thought the James Corner Field Operations & Sasaki plans were incredibly beautiful and ecologically important plans that will transform the Monona lakefront into a national destination (particularly James Corner Field Operations) and will enhance development throughout downtown and near the water.
1139	Very different options, definitely learn towards the two modern artistic styles, which would be new and fresh in Madison
1140	Like the look of them because it makes the area more walkable. Right now it almost seems too heavy on being directed for cars and bikes only. Bikers are very willing to yell at you on the path because the walking path doesn't give enough room for 2 people to walk side by side. Just not safe for either party.
1141	They al have great potential to reshape the waterfront.
1142	Good, I'm excited to see the City putting effort into cleaning up the lakes and providing more outdoor opportunities for residents. I think improvements like these can only get more people outside and will promote more people to bike/walk to work!
1143	All three have good ideas. However, I like the Sasaki plan the best. They have clearly done a lot of work in envisioning what this area can do to serve the people, while respecting history.
1144	I like them all, but JFCO's is the best.
1145	Good
1146	Three really really solid ideas. Would be awesome to see some these ideas incorporated elsewhere along all the chain of lakes (bio swales, rain gardens, others means to clean the runoff)
1147	Agency has the best most complete plan
1148	Better than the existing
1149	All decent.

1150	<p>If feasible, the concept of re-establishing a marsh shoreline is fantastic. More than any other concept, this would create a distinct design and experience that you can't experience in many other places. All the other concepts (and many of the other elements in the JCFO proposal) are typical (over-designed) urban signature parks. A marshy riparian zone would be truly unique, and beautiful. That said I think all submitted proposals are vastly over-designed, with over-prescribed walkways and "pathway experiences". In short, they're too stuffed full of monuments to urban park design and landscape plantings, and don't provide enough of what Madisonians and our visitors really love about our city: open space where we can enjoy our surroundings and do our activities on our own terms. These proposals remind me of what UW-Madison has done with the terrace and Alumni Park. They're beautiful, yes, but after a while they feel like expensive conference centers--designed to be impressive and to be the object of your attention in and of themselves. Alumni Park could have been a great addition of open space with minimal design elements. Instead it's a pass through "experience." Let's not do the same to the Lake Monona shoreline. Let's beautify the shoreline itself, create more and better thoroughfares for bike and pedestrian traffic (not a maze of noodles as many of these proposals show), create more open space that people can enjoy on their own terms. Brittingham Park comes to mind as a template--I don't think we need to do so much with the park spaces themselves, as long as we figure out a way to make John Nolen such an obstacle to enjoying these spaces. Also, no matter what any of these designs do to reduce localized runoff, none of them is going to solve agricultural runoff, so let's please not create beaches, basins, or other over-built elements that are just going to become festering algae traps. In short, more green, more pedestrianways, more marsh grass, less John Nolen! Thanks to everyone working on this.</p>
1151	<p>Really happy the City is doing this project at all and I feel we wouldn't lose with any of these plans. Ultimately, the decision makers will have lots to consider including initial costs and ongoing maintenance, so good luck!!!</p>
1152	<p>Any of these would be huge improvements, but Agency is the most transformative.</p>
1153	<p>No matter what design we choose, this is incredibly exciting and validates one reason why I love have loved living here for 30 years.</p>