



CITY OF MADISON PARKS - VILAS PARK MASTER PLAN **RRG/CPAG - MEETING #3 MINUTES**

Project:	Vilas Park Master Plan	Location:	Virtual Meeting - WebEx
MSA Project No.:	15885004	Date:	April 22, 2020
Meeting Purpose:	RRG/CPAG Concept Plan Review	Time:	2:00 pm – 3:30 pm
Meeting Organizer:	Dan Williams		

Attendees:

	Name	Affiliation	
	Resident Resource Group		
	Maia Pearson	Burr Oaks Neighborhood Resident	
\boxtimes	Tag Evers	District 13 Alderperson	
	Sheri Carter	District 14 Alderperson	
\boxtimes	Jim Lorman	Lorman Greenbush Neighborhood Association	
	Linda & Keith Feiler	Greenbush Neighborhood Residents	
\boxtimes	Ben Yahr	Friends of Lake Wingra	
	Casey Hanson		
	Peter Witucki		
\boxtimes	Wend Fearnside	Vilas Park Neighborhood Association	
	Lee Lazar		
	Ann Rivlin		
\boxtimes	Catherine Jagoe	Dudgeon-Monroe Neighborhood	
	Community Partners Advisory Group		
\boxtimes	Paul Dearlove	Clean Lakes Alliance	
	James Tye		
	Issis Macias		
\boxtimes	Timothy Kuhman	Edgewood College	
	Tyler Leeper	Wingra Boats	
	Gregory Hatzinger	St. Mary's Hospital	
\boxtimes	Dave Branson	Union Sportsmen's Alliance	
\boxtimes	Tim Yanacheck	Mad City Ultras	
	Keith Wanta	Access for Independence	
	Project Team		
\boxtimes	Dan Williams	MSA	
	Dan Schmitt		
	Brian Huibregtse		
	Jason Valerius		
\boxtimes	Kate Kane	Parks Div., City of Madison	
	Ann Freiwald		
	Dan Williams Dan Schmitt Brian Huibregtse Jason Valerius Kate Kane		

Meeting Information:

Meeting Access Link: https://meetingsamer6.webex.com/meetingsamer6/j.php?MTID=mc373c47ea817846e17e771f9ed73dcda

Meeting number (access code): 621 478 249

Meeting password: vilas

If you cannot access via computer, you can join by phone:

Call **1-408-418-9388**, Use meeting access code shown above, when prompted.

If you have issues accessing the meeting or have a last-minute conflict and will be unable to attend,

Vilas Park Master Plan RRG/CPAG, Meeting #3 Meeting Minutes April 22, 2020

please contact Dan Schmitt at (608) 216-2059.

Action Items:

- 1. (Re)Introductions 2:00 2:05 pm
- 2. Concept Presentation Overview 2:05 pm 2:30 pm
 - A. **<u>Updated</u>** project scope and schedule
 - B. Concepts
 - i. Existing Conditions (for reference)
 - ii. A
 - iii. B
 - iv. C
- 3. Discussion

- 2:30 3:30 pm
- A. Priorities for park features, existing and proposed, and how they fit into the Vilas Park Master Plan?
 - i. Focus of discussion is on preferred locations/layout of park features.
 - 1. Identify a preferred concept (or portions of concept)?
 - 2. Missing features?
 - 3. Combine elements from different concepts?
 - ii. Detailed design discussions (materials, colors, etc.) are not the scope of the Master Plan and will be discussed during project implementation/construction.
- 4. Adjourn Meeting

3:30 pm

- 5. Next Steps
 - A. Receive Comments from RRG/CPAG Members
 - i. please return by Friday May 22 to <u>dschmitt@msa.ps.com</u>. You may use the provided comment form or email your comments directly.
 - B. Public Information Meeting (#2) Date TBD, Likely late May via Web Conference
 - C. RRG/CPAG Meeting #4 Draft Plan Review

Vilas Park Master Plan RRG/CPAG, Meeting #3 Meeting Minutes April 22, 2020

Meeting Comments: (Chat transcript – attached)

Alder Evers – Suggested modifying language in recommendations regarding amplified music. Concerts are not a desired use of the park by his constituency. Amplified music would travel into surrounding neighborhoods.

Ben Yahr – Expressed concern the master plan is devoting significant effort to developing parking lots that appear to primarily serve the Zoo.

James Tye – Are there design principals/community understanding of setbacks required for development (roadways, parking lots and buildings) from the lake? Concerns about expanding the south parking lot (impervious surface), near the beach.

Ann Rivlin – Shelter location in Option A is too close to residences along Vilas Ave. Other options provide greater separation between the surrounding homes and shelter.

- -Playground space is lacking in all three concepts. They appear to be smaller than the current sizes and locations don't work well for local residents and zoo users.
 - Tennis courts are in high demand. Should be included in the final concept.

Jim Lorman – Expressed the desire for future engagement to be presented as a list of options rather than three alternate/separate concepts. Allow respondents to select preferences. Comments alone are likely to reduce the likelihood of responses and requires interpretation. Analysis allows opportunities for bias to be introduced into the process.

- Were other options explored for eliminating through traffic other than Option B? Such as traffic being allowed further into the peninsula with two way traffic east of the historic bridge.

Catherine Jagoe – Encouraged by the inclusion of closure of Vilas Park Drive to through traffic in Option B.

Via Email Follow Up -

 The Friends of Lake Wingra recommended the use of the terms Habitat Enhancement be substituted for Forebay or Wetlands. The recommendation includes the need for further study (limnogical and ecological) of the impacts of modifications to the Lagoons including dredging, addition of wetlands or forebays.